Notice of Proposed Amendment: NPA 26/26

Title of Proposal: RA 3511 Issue 6 – Permanent Fixed Wing Aerodrome Physical Characteristics

RA(s) or Manual Chapter(s): RA 3511

Organizations and / or business sectors affected: Heads of Establishment; Aviation Duty Holder-Facing Organisations; Aerodrome Operators; Defence Infrastructure Organisation; organisations responsible for aerodrome pavement assessment, maintenance, design and aeronautical information.

Summary of Proposed Amendment

Objective: To update RA 3511 to incorporate the Aircraft Classification Rating–Pavement Classification Rating methodology, strengthen the requirements and guidance for pavement evaluation and reporting, and align physical-characteristic criteria with the referenced civil and Defence source material.

Changes made: The proposed amendment updates RA 3511 Issue 6. Principal changes are:
• Replacement of the ACN–PCN terminology and method with the ACR–PCR method for pavements supporting Aircraft above 5700 kg AUM, including updated reporting codes for pavement type, subgrade strength, tyre-pressure category and evaluation method.
• Addition of pavement reporting provisions for composite or non-standard construction, PCR publication accuracy, and reference to JSP 495.
• Addition of Cumulative Damage Factor guidance and expanded technical and Aircraft-experience pavement-evaluation methods, including UMS, DCP, HWD, core sampling, GPR and laboratory testing.
• Addition of provisions for Aerodromes without CBR or K data, the use of historical data, and determination of the critical wheel-track offset.
• Expanded surface-evenness criteria and guidance, including roughness limits, maintenance responses and the effect of surface deformation and water pooling.
• Consequential updating and renumbering of figures and tables across runway, taxiway and holding-position provisions, with supporting references updated where shown in the draft.

Impact Assessment:

T / Training – Personnel responsible for pavement assessment, aerodrome assurance and publication may require familiarisation with ACR–PCR terminology, reporting and evaluation methods.
E / Equipment – Technical evaluation may require access to specialist survey and test equipment, including UMS, DCP, HWD, core sampling and GPR where applicable.
P / Personnel – Aerodrome Operators may require appropriately competent pavement specialists to complete or assure evaluations and reporting.
I / Information – Aerodrome pavement data, survey evidence, PCR reporting and aeronautical information publications may require review and amendment.
D / Doctrine and Concepts – Local orders, pavement-management arrangements and associated MMATM guidance may require updating to reflect ACR–PCR and the revised

evaluation provisions.
O / Organisation – The draft does not change the regulated-accountability statement, but affected organisations may need to confirm responsibilities for evaluation, assurance, publication and maintenance action.
I / Infrastructure – The amendment introduces more detailed criteria for pavement strength evaluation and surface evenness. It may identify requirements for further surveys, maintenance or corrective work.
L / Logistics – Specialist survey support and access to pavement data may be required. The draft does not establish the cost or implementation period; these require Regulated Community feedback.

RFC Reference(s): N/A – no RFC reference is identified.

Cross-references to Other Documents or Relevant Sources

Other MRP Amendments: MMATM guidance for ACR–PCR implementation, Cumulative Damage Factor and Aircraft-experience evaluation will be released at the same time as NAA.

Service Inquiry Recommendations: N/A.

AAIB Recommendations: N/A.

Other Investigation Recommendations: N/A.

Any Other Document: ICAO Annex 14 Volume I; ICAO Doc 9157 Parts 1, 2 and 3; ICAO Docs 9184, 9829, 4444, 8168 and 9643; JSP 495; MMATM; DIO A Guide to Airfield Pavement Design and Evaluation, 3rd Edition 2011; CAA ADR.OPS.C.010 and associated GM.

Feedback Notes for the Regulated Community

The Regulated Community are invited to offer feedback about the proposed amendment in the following areas:

- Air or Flight Safety impact
- Operational impact
- Errors or omissions
- Timescale for implementation
- Cost of implementation
- Amendment to internal processes/orders
- Resourcing the outcome of change
- Contract amendments because of the change

The format for feedback is available within a single Excel Template file on both internal and external MAA websites; it is important to use this format to ensure that your responses are considered and answered correctly.

Consultation Period Ends: 18 December 2026.

The consultation period for this proposed amendment ends on the stated date. Please send your feedback, using the Response Form, via email to DSA-MAA-MRPEnquiries@mod.gov.uk

Table 1 MAA Author

Post	Name	Rank	Signature
MAA Reg ATM2 Ad Infra	Redacted	Redacted	Redacted – Original Signed

Table 2 MAA Independent

Post	Name	Rank	Signature
MAA Reg ATM1	Redacted	Redacted	Redacted – Original Signed

Table 3 MAA Supervisor

Post	Name	Rank	Signature
MAA Reg ATM	Redacted	Redacted	Redacted – Original Signed

Table 4 MAA LegAd

Post	Name	Rank	Signature
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Table 5 MAA Approval

Post	Name	Rank	Signature
MAA Dep Hd Regs	Redacted	Redacted	Redacted – Original Signed

RA 3511 - Permanent Fixed Wing Aerodrome - Physical Characteristics

Rationale

The physical characteristics of an Aerodrome are defined to reduce the Risk to Life (RtL) associated with an Air System transiting over, when taking-off and landing or when taxiing around the Aerodrome. The incorrect siting of Aerodrome elements could increase the Risk to Aircraft Operations and ultimately RtL issues such as, but not limited to, insufficient distances between surfaces and inappropriate specification in construction could cause damage to Aircraft. The characteristics are designed to reduce RtL during an Incident or Accident scenario; to enable safe use by rescue and firefighting vehicles; when loading, and unloading Passengers, Aircrew and Cargo; and when servicing Air Systems[1] .

Contents

3511(1): Pavement - Characteristics
3511(2): Runway - Number, Siting and Orientation
3511(3): Runway - Dimensions
3511(4): Runway - Characteristics
3511(5): Runway - Runway-End Safety Areas
3511(6): Taxiway - Characteristics
3511(7): Aprons

Regulation 3511(1)

Pavement - Characteristics

3511(1) Heads of Establishments (HoEs) and Aviation Duty Holder-Facing Organisations (ADH-Facing Organisations) **shall** ensure that the pavements at their Aerodrome are sufficient, in terms of Bearing strength, Overload operations, friction levels and surface evenness, to cope with the continual use of the Air System for which the Aerodrome is intended to serve.

Acceptable Means of Compliance 3511(1)

Pavement - Characteristics

Bearing Strength.

1. ►**Pavements for Aircraft Greater than 5700 Kg All Up Mass (AUM).**◄ The bearing strength of a pavement, including the Runway, intended for Air Systems of AUM greater than 5700 kg **should** be made available using the Aircraft Classification ►Rating◄ - Pavement Classification ►Rating (ACR-PCR)◄ method by reporting ►◄ the following information:

   a. The Pavement Classification ►Rating (PCR) and numerical value.◄

   b. Pavement type for ►ACR-PCR◄ determination.

   c. ►◄

   d. ►◄

   e. ►◄

2. ►The PCR reported **should** indicate that an Aircraft with an Aircraft Classification Rating (ACR) equal to or less than the reported PCR can operate on the pavement subject to any limitation on the tyre pressure, or Aircraft AUM for specified Aircraft type(s).

3. The ACR of an Aircraft **should** be determined in accordance with (iaw) the standard procedures associated with the ACR-PCR method.◄

Draft for NPA

[1] Aerodrome Reference Codes used throughout are expanded upon in RA 3510 - Permanent Fixed Wing Aerodrome - Reference Information.

Acceptable Means of Compliance 3511(1)

4. For the purposes of determining the ►ACR-PCR,◄ the behaviour of a pavement **should** be classified as equivalent to a rigid or flexible construction.

5. Information on pavement type for ►ACR-PCR◄ determination, subgrade strength category, maximum allowable tyre pressure category and evaluation method **should** be reported using the codes in Table 1, ►2, 3 and 4.

Table 1. Pavement type for ACR-PCR Determination

Pavement Type	Code
Rigid Pavement	R
Flexible Pavement	F

Note:

If the actual construction is composite or non-standard, include a note to that effect.

Table 2. Subgrade strength category

Subgrade	Code
High strength. Characterised by E = 200 MPa and representing all E values equal to or above 150 MPa, for rigid and flexible pavements.	A
Medium strength. Characterised by E = 120 MPa and representing a range in E values equal to or above 100 MPa and strictly less than 150 MPa, for rigid and flexible pavements.	B
Low strength. Characterised by E = 80 MPa and representing a range in E values equal to or above 60 MPa and strictly less than 100 MPa, for rigid and flexible pavements.	C
Ultra-low strength. Characterised by E = 50 MPa and representing all E values strictly less than 60 MPa, for rigid and flexible pavements	D

Table 3. Maximum Allowable Tyre Pressure Category.

Tyre Pressure	Code
Unlimited. No pressure limit	W
High. Pressure limited to 1.75 MPa	X
Medium. Pressure limited to 1.25 MPa	Y
Low. Pressure limited to 0.50 MPa	Z

Draft for NPA

Acceptable Means of Compliance 3511(1)

Table 4. Evaluation Method.

Evaluation Method	Code
Technical evaluation. Representing a specific study of the pavement characteristics for the types of Aircraft which the pavement is intended to serve.	T
Using Aircraft experience. Representing a knowledge of the specific type and mass of Aircraft satisfactorily being supported under regular use.	U

6. **Pavements for Aircraft Less than 5700 kg AUM.** ◄ The bearing strength of a pavement intended for Air Systems of AUM equal to or less than 5700 kg **should** be made available by reporting the following information:

a. Maximum allowable Air System mass.

b. Maximum allowable tyre pressure.

►◄

7. ►Reporting of Composite or Non-Standard Pavements. If the actual construction of the pavement is composite or non-standard, this **should** be noted as required by JSP 495[2].

8. PCRs **should** be published to an accuracy of one-tenth of a whole number.

9. **Cumulative Damage Factor (CDF).** Guidance on how to calculate the CDF is contained within the Military Manual of Air Traffic Management (MMATM). This **should** be used to assess the structural fatigue life of the pavement being used.

10. **Pavement Evaluation – Method for Technical Evaluation.** To achieve a comprehensive technical PCR evaluation, Aerodrome Operators **should** employ a combination of Unbound Material Sampling (UMS), Dynamic Cone Penetrometer (DCP), Heavy Weight Deflectometer (HWD), and coring surveys to assess and analyse in-service pavements:

a. Foundation Layer Characterisation. UMS is utilised to determine the composition and characteristics of the foundation layers.

b. DCP is employed to evaluate the stiffness of the foundation layers. When conducted in sufficient quantities, the combined data sets from UMS and DCP can provide a reliable estimation of the in-situ California Bearing Ratio (CBR).

c. Pavement Layer Evaluation. HWD is used to determine the E-Modulus of each pavement layer, including the subgrade modulus.

d. Additional Technical Evaluations:

(1) Core Samples: Used to determine layer thicknesses.

(2) Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR): Employed to assess interface bonding conditions, such as the presence of water or moisture.

e. Laboratory Testing:

(1) Conducted to determine the E-Modulus of material

11. **PCR Using Aircraft Evaluation**. If an Aerodrome Operator wishes to assess the PCR of their Runway but due to constraining factors a technical evaluation is not immediately feasible, they **should** undertake an evaluation using the Aircraft evaluation method. This is an interim measure while a technical evaluation is undertaken. The method of undertaking Aircraft evaluation is detailed within the MMATM.

12. **Pavement Condition and behaviour.** Assessments **should** be conducted by directly referencing guidance within the MMATM.

Draft for NPA

[2] ►Refer to JSP 495 - Aeronautical Information Policy.◄

Acceptable Means of Compliance 3511(1)

13. **Aerodromes Without CBR or K Data:**

a. If CBR or K data is not available Aerodrome Operators **should** undertake pressure plate testing as close to the Runway edge as possible in order to determine a CBR or K value which can be converted to calculate the E value of the adjacent Runway giving the Runway theoretical strength.

b. The number of test areas **should** be sufficient to provide CBR data for the entire length and width of the surface being surveyed which **should** consider any differing pavement construction areas.

14. **Maximum Age of Historical CBR or K Data**:

a. Historical data used for technical evaluation **should not** be older than 5 years; however, if data greater than 5 years has significant Assurance attached to it then the MAA may consider the use of the data. Consultation with the MAA **should** be made in these instances.

b. If data is older than 5 years Aerodrome Operators **should** use the using Aircraft evaluation method or undertake a new technical survey consisting of a combination of HWD, core samples with DCP and GPR.

15. **Determining the Critical Offset:**

a. Using the Aircraft mix operating at the Aerodrome the wheel track of the Aircraft types **should** be calculated to ascertain the distance from the centreline of the wheel track which may cause the most damage, this will be the critical offset.

b. The most used Aircraft **should** be the reference Aircraft given the number of passes of the particular Aircraft is likely to have the most detrimental impact to the pavement. This distance dependent on the Aircraft type is likely to be approximately 1 - 3 m.◄

Overload Operations.

16. Overload operations **should** only be permitted by the Aerodrome Operator having considered the criteria contained in the Defence Infrastructure Organisations (DIO) publication 'A Guide to Airfield Pavement Design and Evaluation' (3rd Edn 2011)[3] ►and CAA ADR.OPS.C.010(b)(1) Pavements, other ground surfaces, and drainage, criteria for overload operations[4].◄

Friction Levels.

17. The ►Aircraft Operating Surface◄ **should** be constructed or resurfaced to provide suitable surface friction characteristics iaw International Civil Aviation Organizations (ICAO) Annex 14 Vol I Attachment A, section 7.

►◄

18. ►◄

19. ►◄

►◄

Guidance Material 3511(1)

Pavement - Characteristics

20. Whilst the above considerations are important for all Air System Movement Areas, they are most critical for Runways.

21. ►The MMATM contains examples and detailed guidance on how to assess and implement ACR-PCR values.

22. Guidance on publishing of PCRs is contained within ICAO Doc 9157 Part 3.◄

23. Detailed descriptions of the ►ACR-PCR◄ method are given in the ICAO DOC 9157 Aerodrome Design Manual, Part 3 (2nd Edn 1983) and in the DIO publication 'A Guide to Airfield Pavement Design and Evaluation' (3rd Edn 2011).

[3] Refer to RA 3500 – Aerodrome Design and Safeguarding for contact details.
[4] ►Refer to GM1 ADR.OPS.C.010(b)(1) Pavements, other ground surfaces, and drainage, criteria for overload operations.◄

Draft for NPA

Guidance Material 3511(1)

24. ►◄

a. ►◄

b. ►◄

c. ►◄

d. ►◄

25. Unless a pavement is subject to extreme overloading it is unlikely to fail suddenly or catastrophically. Regular overload operations can substantially reduce the design life of the pavement. Advice can be sought from MOD DIO Pavement specialists.

►Surface Evenness.

26. Except across the crown of a camber or across drainage channels, the finished surface of the wearing course will be of such regularity that, when tested with a 3 m straight-edge placed anywhere in any direction on the surface, there is no deviation greater than 3 mm between the bottom of the straight-edge and the surface of the pavement anywhere along the straight-edge.

27. The operation of Aircraft and differential settlement of surface foundations will eventually lead to increases in surface irregularities. Small deviations in the above tolerances will not seriously hamper Aircraft operations. In general, isolated irregularities of the order of 2.5 cm to 3 cm over a 45 m distance are acceptable, as shown in Figure 1.

Figure 1. Comparison of Roughness Criteria



Note:

These criteria address single event roughness not long wavelength harmonic effects, nor the effect of repetitive surface undulations.

28. Although maximum acceptable deviations vary with the type and speed of an Aircraft, the limits of acceptable surface irregularities can be estimated to a reasonable extent. Table 5 describes acceptable, tolerable and excessive limits:

Guidance Material 3511(1)

Table 5. Surface Evenness

	Minimum acceptable length of irregularity (m)								
Surface irregularity	3	6	9	12	15	20	30	45	60
Acceptable surface irregularity height (or depth) (cm)	2.9	3.8	4.5	5	5.4	5.9	6.5	8.5	10
Tolerable acceptable surface irregularity height (or depth) (cm)	3.9	5.5	6.8	7.8	8.6	9.6	11	13.6	16
Excessive surface irregularity height (cm)	5.8	7.6	9.1	10	10.8	11.9	13.9	17	20

Note:

"surface irregularity" is defined herein to mean isolated surface elevation deviations that do not lie along a uniform slope through any given section of a Runway. For the purposes of this concern, a "section of a Runway" is defined herein to mean a segment of a Runway throughout which a continuing general uphill, downhill or flat slope is prevalent. The length of this section is generally between 30 and 60 metres, and can be greater, depending on the longitudinal profile and the condition of the pavement.

29. The tolerable region. if the surface irregularities exceed the heights defined by the acceptable limit curve but are less than the heights defined by the tolerable limit curve, at the specified minimum acceptable length, herein noted by the tolerable region, then Maintenance action will be planned. The Runway may remain in service. This region is the start of possible Passenger and pilot discomfort.

30. The acceptable region. If the surface irregularities exceed the heights defined by the tolerable limit curve but are less than the heights defined by the excessive limit curve, at the specified minimum acceptable length, herein noted by the excessive region, then Maintenance corrective action is mandatory to restore the condition to the acceptable region. The Runway may remain in service but be repaired within a reasonable period. This region could lead to the Risk of possible Aircraft structural damage due to a single event or fatigue failure over time.

31. The excessive region. If the surface irregularities exceed the heights defined by the excessive limit curve, at the specified minimum acceptable length, herein noted by the unacceptable region, then the area of the Runway where the roughness has been identified warrants closure. Repairs will be made to restore the condition to within the acceptable limit region and the Aircraft operators may be advised accordingly. This region runs the extreme Risk of a structural failure and will be addressed immediately.

32. The maximum tolerable step type bump, such as that which could exist between adjacent slabs, is simply the bump height corresponding to zero bump length at the upper end of the tolerable region of the roughness criteria of Figure A-3. The bump height at this location is 1.75 cm.

33. Deformation of the Runway with time may also increase the possibility of the formation of water pools. Pools as shallow as approximately 3 mm in depth, particularly if they are located where they are likely to be encountered at high speed by landing aeroplanes, can induce aquaplaning, which can then be sustained on a Wet Runway by a much shallower depth of water. Improved guidance regarding the significant length and depth of pools relative to aquaplaning is the subject of further research. It is, of course, especially necessary to prevent pools from forming whenever there is a possibility that they might become frozen.◄

Draft for NPA

Guidance Material 3511(1)

Civil Equivalence.

34. This Regulation is in line with ICAO Annex 14 Vol I[5] para 2.6.1 – 2.6.8 and Attachment A.

Regulation 3511(2)

Runway - Number, Siting and Orientation

3511(2) HoEs and ADH-Facing Organisations **shall** ensure that the number, siting and orientation of Runways are such that the Aerodrome is optimally configured for Operational delivery. Ensuring that Safety **shall not** be compromised in achieving that configuration.

Acceptable Means of Compliance 3511(2)

Runway - Number, Siting and Orientation

35. The number, siting and orientation of Runways **should** be such that the usability factor of the Aerodrome would be not less than 95% for the Air System that the Aerodrome is intended to serve.

Guidance Material 3511(2)

Runway - Number, Siting and Orientation

36. Factors affecting the determination of the orientation, siting and number of Runways include:

a. The wind distribution (to minimise crosswinds liable to affect Runways);

(1) Wind statistics used for the calculation of the usability factor are normally available in ranges of speed and direction, and the accuracy of the results obtained, depends on the assumed distribution of observations within these ranges.

(2) The maximum mean crosswind components for the designed Air System, refer to normal circumstances. There are some factors which may require that a reduction of those maximum values be considered at a particular Aerodrome. These include;

(a) The wide variations which may exist, in handling characteristics and maximum permissible crosswind components, among diverse types of Air System (including future types).

(b) Prevalence and nature of gusts.

(c) Prevalence and nature of turbulence.

(d) The availability of a secondary Runway.

(e) The width of Runways.

(f) The Runway surface conditions - water, snow, and ice on the Runway materially reduce the allowable crosswind component.

(g) The strength of the wind associated with the limiting crosswind component.

b. The need to facilitate the provision of approaches conforming to the approach surface specifications, ensuring that Obstacles in these areas or other factors do not restrict the operation of the Air System for which the Runway is intended. This may relate to individual Obstacles or local geography (eg high ground).

c. The need to minimise interference with areas approved for residential use and other noise-sensitive areas close to the Aerodrome.

d. The need to avoid the turbulence impacts of buildings on or close to the Aerodrome.

Draft for NPA

[5] ►ICAO Annex 14 - Aerodromes - Volume I - Aerodromes Design and Operations.◄

Guidance Material 3511(2)

e. Topography of the Aerodrome site, its approaches and surroundings, particularly:

(1) Compliance with the Obstacle Limitation Surfaces (OLS).

(2) Current and future land use. The orientation and layout may be selected to protect, as far as possible, the particularly sensitive areas, such as residential, school and hospital zones, from the discomfort caused by Air System noise. Detailed information on this topic is provided in the ICAO Doc 9184, Airport Planning Manual, Part 2, Land Use and Environmental Management and in ICAO Doc 9829, Guidance on the Balanced Approach to Aircraft Noise Management.

(3) Current and future Runway lengths to be provided.

(4) Construction costs.

(5) Possibility of installing suitable non-visual and visual aids for approach-to-land.

f. Air Traffic in the vicinity of the Aerodrome, particularly:

(1) Proximity of other Aerodromes or Air Traffic Service (ATS) routes.

(2) Traffic density[6].

(3) Air Traffic Control (ATC) and missed approach procedures.

Civil Equivalence.

37. This Regulation is in line with ICAO Annex 14 Vol I Attachment A.

Regulation 3511(3)

Runway - Dimensions

3511(3) HoEs and ADH-Facing Organisations **shall** ensure that the length of the Runway provides declared distances adequate to meet the operational requirements for the Air System(s) which the Aerodrome is intended to serve, and that the width is derived according to the Aerodrome reference code.

Acceptable Means of Compliance 3511(3)

Runway - Dimensions

Length.

38. The following distances **should** be calculated to the nearest metre for each Runway:

a. Take-Off Run Available (TORA).

b. Take-Off Distance Available (TODA).

c. Accelerate-Stop Distance Available (ASDA).

d. Landing Distance Available (LDA).

39. The length of the Runway **should** be measured from the start of the Runway pavement or where a transverse stripe marking is provided to indicate threshold displacement, at the outer edge of the transverse stripe across the Runway

40. 150 m at each end of each Runway **should** be of rigid construction to combat the effects of jet engine efflux.

Width.

41. The width of a Runway **should** be not less than the appropriate dimension specified in the ►Table 6◄.

42. The width of the Runway **should** be measured at the outside edge of the Runway side stripe marking where provided, or the edge of the Runway.

Draft for NPA

[6] Refer to ICAO Annex 14 Vol 1, 1.1 – Definitions, Aerodrome Traffic Density.

Acceptable Means of Compliance 3511(3)

Table ►6◄. Runway Minimum Widths

Code Number	Outer Main Gear Wheel Span (OMGWS)			
	Up to but not including 4.5 m	4.5 m up to but not including 6 m	6 m up to but not including 9 m	9 m up to but not including 15 m
1[a]	18 m	18 m	23 m	-
2[a]	23 m	23 m	30 m	-
3	30 m	30 m	30 m	45 m
4	-	-	45 m	45 m

*a. The width of a Precision Approach Runway **should not** be less than 30 m where the code number is 1 or 2.*

Note 1 – The combinations of code numbers and letters for which widths are specified have been developed for typical Air System characteristics.

Note 2 – Factors affecting Runway width are given in the Aerodrome Design Manual (ICAO Doc 9157), Part 1.

Guidance Material 3511(3)

Runway - Dimensions

Civil Equivalence.

43. This Regulation is in line with ICAO Annex 14 Vol I para 3.1.7 – 3.1.10.

44. The wingspan is relevant for Aerodrome characteristics related to separation distances (eg Obstacles, strips), while OMGWS impacts ground-based manoeuvring characteristics (eg Runway and Taxiway widths). The two components need to be used separately, since using the most demanding component may cause overdesign, either for separations or Runway / Taxiway width for some Air System types. As the OMGWS is the relevant parameter for determining Runway width and Taxiway width, it is referenced directly in the relevant provisions to avoid the complexity of a third code element.

45. Additional guidance on Air Systems with OMGWS greater than 15 m can be located within ICAO Doc 9157 Part 1, foreword, figure 5-5 and para 6.2.

Regulation 3511(4)

Runway - Characteristics

3511(4) HoEs and ADH-Facing Organisations **shall** ensure that the Runway physical characteristics are designed to enable the stabilised and safe use of the Runway by the Air System for which the Aerodrome is intended.

Acceptable Means of Compliance 3511(4)

Runway - Characteristics

Longitudinal Slope.

46. The longitudinal slope is computed by dividing the difference between the maximum and minimum Elevation along the Runway centre-line by the Runway length and **should not** exceed:

a. 1% where the code number is 3 or greater.

b. 2% where the code number is 1 or 2.

47. Along no portion of a Runway **should** the longitudinal slope exceed:

a. 1.25% where the code number is 4 or greater, except that for the first and last quarter of the length of the Runway where the longitudinal slope **should not** exceed 0.8%;

b. 1.5% where the code number is 3, except that for the first and last quarter of the length of a Precision Approach Runway category II or III where the longitudinal slope **should not** exceed 0.8%; and

Draft for NPA

Acceptable Means of Compliance 3511(4)

c. 2% where the code number is 1 or 2.

48. Where slope changes cannot be avoided, a slope change between two consecutive slopes **should not** exceed:

a. 1.5% where the code number is 3 or greater.

b. 2% where the code number is 1 or 2.

49. The transition from one slope to another **should** be accomplished by a curved surface with a rate of change not exceeding:

a. 0.1% per 30 m (minimum radius of curvature of 30000 m) where the code number is 4 or greater.

b. 0.2% per 30 m (minimum radius of curvature of 15000 m) where the code number is 3.

c. 0.4% per 30 m (minimum radius of curvature of 7500 m) where the code number is 1 or 2.

50. Where slope changes on Runways cannot be avoided, they **should** be such that there is an unobstructed line of sight from:

a. Any point 3 m above a Runway to all other points 3 m above the Runway within a distance of at least half the length of the Runway where the code letter is C, D, E, or F.

b. Any point 2 m above a Runway to all other points 2 m above the Runway within a distance of at least half the length of the Runway where the code letter is B.

c. Any point 1.5 m above a Runway to all other points 1.5 m above the Runway within a distance of at least half the length of the Runway where the code letter is A.

51. The distance between the points of intersection of two successive curves **should** be the greater of either:

a. The sum of the absolute numerical values of the corresponding slope changes multiplied by the appropriate value as follows:

(1) 30000 m where the code number is 4 or greater.

(2) 15000 m where the code number is 3.

(3) 5000 m where the code number is 1 or 2.

(4) 45 m.

Transverse Slope.

52. To promote the most rapid drainage of water, the Runway surface **should** be cambered, except where a single cross fall from high to low in the direction of the wind most frequently associated with rain would ensure rapid drainage. Except at Runway or Taxiway intersections where flatter slopes may be necessary, the transverse slope **should** be:

a. Not less than 1% and not more than 1.5% where the code letter is C, D, E or F.

b. Not less than 1% and not more than 2% where the code letter is A or B.

53. For a cambered surface, the transverse slope on each side of the centre-line **should** be symmetrical. The transverse slope **should** be substantially the same throughout the length of a Runway. Except at an intersection with another Runway or a Taxiway where an even transition **should** be provided taking account of the need for adequate drainage.

Surface.

54. The surface of a paved Runway **should** be constructed to provide good friction characteristics when the Runway is wet.

Draft for NPA

Acceptable Means of Compliance 3511(4)

55. The average surface texture depth of a new surface **should** be not less than 1 mm.

56. If the surface is grooved or scored, the grooves or scorings **should** be either perpendicular to the Runway centre-line or parallel to non-perpendicular transverse joints where applicable.

57. Except across the crown of a camber or across drainage channels, the finished surface of the wearing course **should** be of such regularity that, when tested with a 3 m straight-edge placed anywhere in any direction on the surface, there is no deviation greater than 3 mm between the bottom of the straight-edge and the surface of the pavement anywhere along the straight-edge.

Runway Strips.

58. Location - a Runway Strip **should** extend before the threshold and beyond the end of the Runway or Stopway for a distance of at least:

a. 60 m where the code number is 2 or greater.

b. 60 m where the code number is 1 and the Runway is an instrument one.

c. 30 m where the code number is 1 and the Runway is a non-instrument one.

59. Precision Approach Runway - a strip including a Precision Approach Runway **should** extend on each side of the centre-line of the Runway and its extended centre-line throughout the length of the strip laterally to a distance of at least:

a. 140 m where the code number is 3 or greater.

b. 70 m where the code number is 1 or 2.

60. Non-Precision Approach Runway - a strip including a Non-precision Approach Runway **should** extend on each side of the centre-line of the Runway and its extended centre-line throughout the length of the strip laterally to a distance of at least:

a. 140 m where the code number is 3 or greater.

b. 70 m where the code number is 1 or 2.

61. A strip including a Non-Instrument Runway **should** extend on each side of the centre-line of the Runway and its extended centre-line throughout the length of the strip, to a distance of at least:

a. 75 m where the code number is 4.

b. 55 m where the code number is 3.

c. 40 m where the code number is 2 .

d. 30 m where the code number is 1.

62. A longitudinal slope along a Runway Strip **should** be less than:

a. 1.5% where the code number is 4 or greater.

b. 1.75% where the code number is 3.

c. 2% where the code number is 1 or 2.

63. Longitudinal slope changes on a strip **should** be as gradual as practicable, and abrupt changes or sudden reversals of slopes avoided.

64. Transverse slopes on that portion of a strip to be graded **should** be adequate to prevent accumulation of water on the surface. They **should** be less than 2.5% (where the code number is 3 or greater), or 3% (where the code number is 1 or 2), except that to facilitate drainage from the slope for the first 3 m outward from the direction of the Runway, shoulder or Stopway edge may be as great as -5% as measured in the direction away from the Runway.

65. The transverse slopes of any portion of a strip beyond that to be graded **should** be less than an upward slope of 5% as measured in the direction away from the Runway.

Draft for NPA

Acceptable Means of Compliance 3511(4)

66. **Grading of Runway Strips**. That portion of a strip of a Non-Instrument Runway within a stated distance from the centre line of the Runway and its extended centre line **should** provide a graded area for aeroplanes which the Runway is intended to serve in the event of an aeroplane running off the Runway. These distances **should** be:

a. 75 m where the code number is 4.

b. 55 m where the code number is 3.

c. 40 m where the code number is 2.

d. 30 m where the code number is 1.

67. The strip **should** be of sufficient strength such that it does not hinder the movement of rescue and fire fighting vehicles.

68. **Strength of Runway Strips**. That portion of a strip containing a Non-Instrument Runway within a stated distance from the centre line of the Runway and its extended centre line **should** be so prepared or constructed as to minimise Hazards arising from differences in load-bearing capacity to aeroplane which the Runway is intended to serve in the event of an aeroplane running off the Runway. These distances **should** be:

a. 75 m where the code number is 4.

b. 55 m where the code number is 3.

c. 40 m where the code number is 2.

d. 30 m where the code number is 1.

69. The surface of that portion of a strip that abuts a Runway, shoulder, or Stopway **should** be flush with the surface of the Runway, shoulder, or Stopway.

70. That portion of a strip to at least 30 m before a threshold **should** be prepared against blast erosion to protect a landing Air System from the danger of an exposed edge.

71. The graded portion of Runway Strips **should** be delethalised as indicated in Figure 1. The sub-surface ramp **should** be inclined to the horizontal at a maximum slope of 12.5%.

Figure ►2◄. Delethalisation



Shoulders.

72. Runway shoulders **should** be provided for a Runway where:

a. The code letter is D or E, and the Runway width is less than 60 m.

b. The code letter is F.

73. The Runway shoulders **should** extend symmetrically on each side of the Runway so that the overall width of the Runway and its shoulders is not less than:

a. 60 m where the code letter is D or E.

b. 75 m where the code letter is F.

74. The surface of the paved shoulder that abuts the Runway **should** be flush with the surface of the Runway and its transverse slope be less than 2.5%.

75. A Runway shoulder **should** be prepared or constructed to be capable, in the event of an Air System running off the Runway, of supporting the Air System without

4 for NPA

Acceptable Means of Compliance 3511(4)

inducing structural damage to the Air System and of supporting ground vehicles which may operate on the shoulder.

76. The surface of a Runway shoulder **should** be prepared to resist erosion and prevent the ingestion of the surface material by Air System engines.

77. Longitudinal slopes of shoulders **should** be as for the associated Runway.

Clearways.

78. A clearway, where provided, **should** be of adequate distance to meet the operational requirements for the Air System which the Runway is intended to serve.

79. The origin of a clearway **should** be at the end of the TORA.

80. The length of a clearway **should not** exceed half the length of the TORA.

81. A clearway **should** extend laterally to a distance of at least 75 m on each side of the extended centre-line of the Runway.

82. The ground in a clearway **should not** project above a plane having an upward slope of 1.25%, the lower limit of this plane being a horizontal line which:

a. Is perpendicular to the vertical plane containing the Runway centre-line.

b. Passes through a point located on the Runway centre-line at the end of the TORA.

83. A clearway **should** remain within an Aerodrome boundary unless Obstacle control can be exercised over the additional land / water outside of the boundary fence.

Stopway.

84. A Stopway **should** have a length equal to the ASDA required, less the Runway length, and be provided at both ends.

85. A Stopway **should** have the same width as the Runway with which it is associated including the Runway shoulders if present.

86. Slopes and changes in slope on a Stopway, and the transition from a Runway to a Stopway, **should** comply with the specifications of this RA for the Runway with which the Stopway is associated except that:

a. The limitation in this RA of a 0.8% slope for the first and last quarter of the length of a Runway need not be applied to the Stopway.

b. At the junction of the Stopway and Runway and along the Stopway the maximum rate of slope change may be 0.3% per 30 m (minimum radius of curvature of 10 000 m) for a Runway where the code number is 3 or greater.

87. A Stopway **should** be prepared or constructed to be capable, in the event of an abandoned take-off, of supporting the Air System which the Stopway is intended to serve without inducing structural damage to the Air System.

88. The surface of a paved Stopway **should** be constructed to provide a good coefficient of friction to be compatible with that of the associated Runway when the Stopway is wet.

89. Concrete blast pads, where provided, **should** be of sufficient size to prevent surface erosion and migration of foreign material onto the Runway. The ends **should** comply with the provisions RA 3511(6). Blast pads **should** form part of Stopways, in which case they **should** be designed as paved Stopways.

Arrestor Net Barrier Overruns.

90. The length of the Arrestor Net Barrier Overrun **should** allow for the full extension of the barrier type used.

91. The pavement construction for Arrestor Net Barrier Overruns **should** be designed as for a Stopway. Except that a paved surface **should** be provided from the end of the Runway up to a point at least 2 m beyond the barrier. In the case of flexible pavements, a minimum bituminous surfacing thickness of 100 mm **should** be

Draft for NPA

Acceptable Means of Compliance 3511(4)

provided. The run-out area beyond this point can either be paved or unpaved designed as for a Stopway.

Turn Pads.

92. A Runway turn pad **should**:

a. Be designed such that when the cockpit of the Air System for which the Aerodrome is intended remains over the turn pad marking, the clearance distance between any wheel of the Air System landing gear and the edge of the turn pad is not less than that given by Table ►7◄;

b. Have an intersection with the Runway no greater than 30 degrees.

c. Be designed such that the nose wheel steering angle used does not exceed 45 degrees.

93. The longitudinal and transverse slopes on a Runway turn pad **should** be the same as those on the adjacent Runway pavement surface.

94. The strength of a Runway turn pad **should** be compatible with the adjoining Runway which it serves.

95. The surface of a Runway turn pad **should**:

a. Be constructed to eliminate surface irregularities that may Cause damage to an Air System using the turn pad.

b. Be constructed to provide friction characteristics compatible with the Runway friction characteristics.

96. The Runway turn pad shoulders **should**:

a. Be of such width as is necessary to prevent surface erosion by the jet blast of the most demanding Air System for which the turn pad is intended and any possible foreign object damage to the Air System engines.

b. Have a strength capable of withstanding the occasional passage of the most demanding Air System it is designed to serve without inducing structural damage to the Air System and to the supporting ground vehicles that may operate on the shoulder.

Table ►7◄. Turn Pad Clearance

Code letter	***Clearance***
A	1.5 m
B	2.25 m
C	3 m if the turn pad is intended to be used by Air System with a wheel base less than 18 m; 4.5 m if the turn pad is intended to be used by Air System with a wheel base equal to or greater than 18 m.
D	4.5 m

Note. – Wheel base means the distance from the nose gear to the geometric centre of the main gear.

Parallel Runway Operations.

97. Where parallel Non-Instrument Runways are intended for simultaneous use, the minimum distance between their centre-lines **should** be:

a. 210 m where the Aerodrome code number is 3 or greater.

b. 150 m where the Aerodrome code number is 2.

c. 120 m where the Aerodrome code number is 1.

Draft for NPA

Acceptable Means of Compliance 3511(4)

98. Where parallel Instrument Runways are intended for simultaneous use, the minimum distance between their centre-lines **should** be:

a. 1035 m for independent parallel approaches.

b. 915 m for dependent parallel approaches.

c. 760 m for independent parallel departures.

d. 760 m for segregated parallel operations.

99. For segregated parallel operations, the specified minimum distance **should** be:

a. Decreased by 30 m for each 150 m that the arrival Runway is staggered toward the arriving Air System, to a minimum of 300 m.

b. Increased by 30 m for each 150 m that the arrival Runway is staggered away from the arriving Air System.

Short Take Off and Landing (STOL) / Vertical and / or STOL (VSTOL) Operations.

100. Runway dimensions (length and width) for STOL / VSTOL operations are laid down in respective Air System manuals and will vary from Air System to Air System. The requirements will be dependent on payload and crosswind components and the manuals for the Aerodrome / Runway design Air System **should** be consulted before any design or construction work is undertaken. In all other respects, the Runway **should** be treated as a standard Runway with the criteria being dictated by the Aerodrome Code Number and Letter.

Guidance Material 3511(4)

Runway - Characteristics

101. The slopes on a Runway are intended to prevent the accumulation of water (or possible fluid contaminant) on the surface and to facilitate rapid drainage of surface water (or possible fluid contaminant). The water (or possible fluid contaminant) evacuation is facilitated by an adequate combination between longitudinal and transverse slopes and may also be assisted by grooving the Runway surface. Slopes may be so designed as to minimise impact on Air Systems and so not to hamper their operations.

102. Slope changes are designed to reduce dynamic loads on the undercarriage system of the Air System. Minimising slope changes is especially important on Runways where Air Systems move at high speeds.

103. For Precision Approach Runways, slopes in a specified area from the Runway end, including the touchdown area, may be designed so that they will correspond to the characteristics needed for such type of approach.

104. Caution may be needed when inserting Runway lights or drainage grilles in Runway surfaces to ensure that adequate smoothness of the surface is maintained.

105. Runway shoulders need to be capable of supporting the Air System using the Runway without causing structural damage to those Air Systems. They also need to be capable of supporting vehicles such as firefighting appliances. In some cases, whilst the bearing strength of the natural ground may be sufficient, special preparation may be necessary to avoid erosion and the possible ingestion of debris by engines.

106. Runway shoulders are required because strong crosswinds may result in significant deviation from the Runway centre-line. Thus, with some large Air Systems the wing-mounted engines may overhang the Runway edge and there is then a Risk of jet blast eroding the surface adjacent to the Runway. This can cause dust and the possible ingestion of debris by the engines.

107. Paved shoulders may be authorised in special cases (eg for Air Systems with outrigger wheels on the wing-tips or where jet blast from large Air Systems with wing-mounted engines overhanging the pavement edge causing possible Foreign Object Debris (FOD) problems or where the topsoil / climate will not support grassed shoulders).

108. For Runways where the code letter is D, there may be circumstances where the shoulder need not be paved. Where the Runway is not used by 4-engined Air

Draft for NPA

Guidance Material 3511(4)

Systems, it may be possible to contain the Risk from erosion or the ingestion of debris in the absence of paved shoulders. In such cases:

a. The ground could be prepared so that there is full grass coverage with no loose gravel or other material. This may include additional materials if the bearing strength and surface of the ground are not sufficient.

b. A programme of inspections of the shoulders and Runway may be implemented to confirm its continuing serviceability and ensure that there is no deterioration that could create a Risk of FOD, or otherwise Hazard Air System operations.

c. A programme of sweeping may be required before and after movements, if debris is drawn onto the Runway surface.

109. If movements of 4-engined Air Systems with a code letter D or larger take place, the need for full paved width shoulders could be assessed by local Hazard Analysis.

110. Because of transverse or longitudinal slopes on a Runway, shoulder, or strip, in certain cases, the lower limit of the clearway plane specified above may be below the corresponding Elevation of the Runway, shoulder, or strip. It is not intended that these surfaces be graded to conform with the lower limit of the clearway plane.

111. Abrupt upward changes in slope need to be avoided when the slope on the ground in a clearway is relatively small or when the mean slope is upward. In such situations, in that portion of the clearway within a distance of 22.5 m or half the Runway width whichever is greater, on each side of the extended centre-line, the slopes, slope changes, and the transition from Runway to clearway need to generally conform with those of the Runway with which the clearway is associated.

112. A Stopway needs to be designed to withstand at least a certain number of loadings of the Air System which the Stopway is intended to serve without inducing structural damage to the Air System. Notwithstanding that a Stopway may have a paved surface, it is not intended that PCN figures need to be developed for a Stopway.

113. When an Arrestor Net Barrier is provided, the length of the overrun beyond the barrier need not normally be included in ASDA as not all Air Systems can trample a lowered Barrier.

114. Except that for independent parallel approaches, combinations of minimum distances and associated conditions other than those specified in the ICAO Document 4444[7] may be applied when it is determined that such combinations would not adversely affect the Safety of Air System operations.

115. Procedures and facilities requirements for simultaneous operations on parallel or near-parallel Instrument Runways are contained in the Doc 4444, Chapter 6 and the ICAO Document 8168[8], Volume I, Part III, Section 2, and Volume II, Part I, Section 3; Part II, Section 1; and Part III, Section 3, and relevant guidance is contained in ICAO Document 9643[9].

116. Guidance on operating surface and strength characteristics may be obtained from global best practice where required, provided the MAA is kept informed of all design decisions and rationale.

Civil Equivalence.

117. This Regulation is in line with ICAO Annex 14 Vol I para 3.1.13 – 3.1.23 and para 3.4.

Draft for NPA

[7] ICAO Document 4444 – Procedures for Air Navigation Services – Air traffic Management (PANS-ATM).
[8] ICAO Document 8168 – Procedures for Air Navigation Services – Aircraft Operations (PANS-OPS).
[9] ICAO Document 9643 – Manual on Simultaneous Operations on Parallel or Near-Parallel Instrument Runways (SOIR).

Regulation 3511(5)

Runway - Runway-End Safety Areas

3511(5) HoEs and ADH-Facing Organisations **shall** ensure that Runway-End Safety Areas (RESA) are provided for all Runways to reduce the Risk of damage to an Air System undershooting or over running the Runway and to facilitate the movement of rescue and fire fighting vehicles.

Acceptable Means of Compliance 3511(5)

Runway - Runway-End Safety Areas

118. A RESA **should** extend from the end of a Runway Strip to a distance of at least 90 m and, as far as practicable, extend to a recommended distance of:

a. 240 m where the code number is 3 or greater.

b. 120 m where the code number is 1 or 2 and the Runway is an instrument one.

119. Where the code number is 1 or 2 and the Runway is a non-instrument one the RESA **should** be at least 30 m.

120. A Safety Audit which takes account of adverse operational factors **should** be provided where the recommended distances are not practicable, notwithstanding compliance with the minimum requirement.

121. The width of a RESA **should** be at least twice that of the associated Runway and, wherever practicable, equal to that of the graded portion of the associated Runway Strip.

122. The longitudinal slopes of a RESA **should** be such that:

a. No part of the RESA penetrates the approach or take-off climb surface.

b. They do not exceed a downward slope of 5%.

c. Slope changes are as gradual as practicable. Abrupt changes or sudden reversals of slopes are to be avoided.

123. The transverse slopes of a RESA **should** be such that:

a. They do not exceed an upward or downward slope of 5%.

b. Transitions between differing slopes are as gradual as practicable.

124. Engineered Materials Arrestor System (EMAS) or other arresting system designs **should** be supported by a validated design method that can predict the performance of the system. The design method **should** be derived from field or laboratory tests. Testing may be based either on the passage of an actual Air System or an equivalent single wheel load through a test bed. The design **should** consider multiple Air System parameters, including but not limited to allowable Air System gear loads, gear configuration, tyre contact pressure, Air System Centre of Gravity and Air System speed. The model **should** calculate imposed Air System gear loads, g-forces on Air System occupants, deceleration rates and stopping distances within the arresting system. Any rebound of the crushed material that may lessen its effectiveness, **should** also be considered.

Guidance Material 3511(5)

Runway - Runway-End Safety Areas

125. It is recognised that achieving the recommended distance could present challenges. Therefore, the aim of this guidance ►will◄ identify the types of Aerodrome activities that can be undertaken to reduce the likelihood and consequences of an overrun occurring, and to decide on appropriate actions and it is suggested that Aerodrome Operators assess their RESA provisions.

126. Notwithstanding the provisions in this RA, the length of the RESA may be reduced where an arresting system is installed, based on the Design Specifications of the system:

a. Demonstrated performance of an arresting system can be achieved by a validated design method which can predict the performance of the system. The

Draft for NPA

Guidance Material 3511(5)

design and performance needs to be based on the type of Air System anticipated to use the associated Runway that imposes the greatest demand upon the arresting system. The system design needs to be based on a critical (or design) Air System which is defined as the Air System using the associated Runway that imposes the greatest demand upon the arresting system. This is usually but not always, the heaviest / largest Air System that regularly uses the Runway. Arresting system performance is dependent not only on Air System weight but landing gear configuration and tyre pressure. All configurations need to be considered in optimising the arresting system design. The Aerodrome operator and arresting system manufacturer need to consult regarding the selection of the design Air System that will optimise the arresting system for a particular Aerodrome.

127. A RESA could provide an area long and wide enough, and suitable to contain overruns and undershoots resulting from adverse operational factors. On a Precision Approach Runway, the Instrument Landing System (ILS) localiser is normally the first upstanding Obstacle, and the RESA could extend up to this facility. In other circumstances and on a Non-Precision Approach Runway, the first upstanding Obstacle may be a road, a railroad, or other constructed or natural feature. In such circumstances, the RESA could extend as far as the Obstacle.

128. Whatever length of RESA is provided, it is important to ensure that likelihood of, and potential impacts arising from, an overrun are minimised as far as reasonably practicable.

129. The overrun is a complex Risk to assess because there are several variables, such as prevailing weather, type of Air System, the landing aids available, Runway characteristics and available distances, the surrounding environment, and Human Factors. Each of these can have a significant contribution to the overall Hazard; furthermore, the nature of the Hazard and level of Risk needs to be different for each Aerodrome and even for each Runway direction at any one Aerodrome. The Aerodrome may address some, and these are included below. Additionally, Air System operating procedures may impact but the Aerodrome may have little ability to influence these. This need not prevent Aerodromes from working with Air System operators so that the operations are conducted to minimise the likelihood of an overrun occurring.

130. Aerodromes need to try to maximise the length of RESA available on all applicable Runways. When considering the RESA distance required for individual circumstances, Aerodrome Operators need to consider factors, such as:

a. The Runway length and slope, in particular, the general operating lengths required for take-off and landing versus the Runway distances available, including the excess of available length over that required.

b. Current RESA provision (length and width – how much the RESA complies with the recommended distance) and options to increase or improve this.

c. The nature and location of any Hazard beyond the Runway end, including the topography and obstruction environment in and beyond the RESA and outside the Runway Strip.

d. The type of Air System and level of traffic at the Aerodrome, and actual or proposed changes to either.

e. Air System performance limitations arising from Runway and RESA length – high performance Air Systems, operating at high loads and speeds have greater length requirements than smaller, low-performance Air Systems, the relationship between required balanced field length and available distances.

f. Navigation aids available Performance Based Navigation, instrument or visual - if an ILS is only available on one Runway direction, a downwind approach and landing may be necessary in poor weather, and the availability of vertical guidance.

g. Friction and drainage characteristics of the Runway, which impact on Runway susceptibility to surface contamination and Air System braking action.

Draft for NPA

Guidance Material 3511(5)

h. Traffic density[4], which may lead to increased pressure to vacate so increased speed.

i. Aerodrome weather patterns, including wind shear.

j. Aerodrome overrun history.

k. Overrun / undershoot causal factors.

131. Measures may be considered that would reduce the severity of the consequences if an event occurs. Wherever practicable, Aerodrome Operators need to seek to optimise the RESA. This may be achieved through a combination of:

a. Relocation, shifting or realignment of the Runway - it may be possible to construct additional pavement at the start of take-off end to make more pavement available to retain the declared distances. The start and end of declared distances can be moved towards the downwind (start of take-off) end, thereby retaining the declared distance and creating space for a longer RESA.

b. In the case where undershoot RESA is limited and the Runway has a displaced landing threshold, examine whether the threshold can be moved (downwind) to increase the RESA and / or Runway length.

c. Reducing Runway declared distances to provide the necessary RESA may be a viable option where the existing Runway length exceeds that required for the existing or projected design Air System. If the take-off distance required for the critical Air System operating at the Aerodrome is less than the take-off distance available, there may be an opportunity to reduce the relevant Runway declared distances.

d. Increasing the length of a RESA, and / or minimising the obstruction environment in the area beyond the RESA. Means to increase the RESA provision include land acquisition, improvements to the grading, realigning fences or roads to provide additional area.

e. Installing suitably positioned and designed arresting systems, to supplement, or as an alternative to, a RESA where an equivalent level of Safety is demonstrated.

f. Improving the slopes in the RESA to minimise or remove downward slopes.

g. Providing paved RESA with known friction characteristics.

Civil Equivalence.

132. This Regulation is in line with ICAO Annex 14 Vol I para 3.5.

Regulation 3511(6)

Taxiway - Characteristics

3511(6) HoEs and ADH-Facing Organisations **shall** ensure that the Taxiway physical characteristics are designed to enable the stabilised and safe use of the Taxiway by the Air System for which the Aerodrome is intended.

Acceptable Means of Compliance 3511(6)

Taxiway - Characteristics

Width.

133. The minimum clearance from the Taxiway edge to the outer main wheel, with the Aircraft cockpit remaining on the Taxiway centre-line **should** be as specified in Table ►8◄.

Draft for NPA

Acceptable Means of Compliance 3511(6)

Table ►8◄. OMGWS Taxiway Edge Clearances

	OMGWS			
	Up to but not including 4.5 m	4.5 m up to but not including 6 m	6 m up to but not including 9 m	9 m up to but not including 15 m
Clearance	1.5 m	2.25 m	3 m[ab] or 4 m[c]	4 m

a. On straight portions.
b. On curved portions if the Taxiway is intended to be used by Air Systems with a wheel base of less than 18 m.
c. On curved portions if the Taxiway is intended to be used by Air Systems with a wheel base equal to or greater than 18 m.

Note – Wheel base means the distance from the nose gear to the geometric centre of the main gear.

134. Fillets **should** be provided at junctions and intersections of Taxiways with Runways, Aprons, and other Taxiways to ensure that the minimum wheel clearances are maintained.

135. Straight portions of Taxiway **should** have a width not less than that specified in Table ►9◄.

Table ►9◄. OMGWS Taxiway Width Guidance

	OMGWS			
	Up to but not including 4.5 m	4.5 m up to but not including 6 m	6 m up to but not including 9 m	9 m up to but not including 15 m
Taxiway Width	7.5 m	10.5 m	15 m	23 m

136. Changes in direction of Taxiways **should** be as few and small as possible.

137. The radii of the curves **should** be compatible with the manoeuvring capability and normal taxiing speeds of the Air System for which the Taxiway is intended and not less than 60 m.

Strength.

138. The strength of Taxiways **should** be at least equal to that of the Runways that they serve.

Surface.

139. The surface of a Taxiway **should not** have irregularities that Cause damage to Air System structures.

Longitudinal Slope.

140. The longitudinal slope of a Taxiway **should not** exceed:

a. 1.5% where the Aerodrome code letter is C, D, E, or F.

b. 3% where the Aerodrome code letter is A or B.

141. Where slope changes on a Taxiway cannot be avoided, the transition from one slope to another slope **should** be accomplished by a curved surface with a rate of change not exceeding:

a. 1% per 30 m (minimum radius of curvature of 3000 m) where the Aerodrome code letter is C, D, E, or F.

b. 1% per 25 m (minimum radius of curvature of 2500 m) where the Aerodrome code letter is A or B.

142. Where a change in slope on a Taxiway cannot be avoided, the change **should** be such that, from any point:

a. 3 m above the Taxiway, it **should** be possible to see the whole surface of the Taxiway for a distance of at least 300 m from that point where the Aerodrome code letter is C, D, E, or F.

Draft for NPA

Acceptable Means of Compliance 3511(6)

b. 2 m above the Taxiway, it **should** be possible to see the whole surface of the Taxiway for a distance of at least 200 m from that point where the Aerodrome code letter is B.

c. 1.5 m above the Taxiway, it **should** be possible to see the whole surface of the Taxiway for a distance of at least 150 m from that point where the Aerodrome code letter is A.

143. The distance between the tangent points of gradient changes **should** be no less than 150 m.

Transverse Slope.

144. The transverse slopes of a Taxiway **should** be sufficient to prevent the accumulation of water on the surface of the Taxiway. ►This◄ **should** be no greater than:

a. 1.5% where the Aerodrome code letter is C, D, E, or F.

b. 2% where the Aerodrome code letter is A or B.

Strips.

145. A Taxiway strip **should** extend symmetrically on each side of the centre-line of the Taxiway throughout the length of the Taxiway to at least the distance from the centre-line given in Table ►10◄.

Table ►10◄. Taxiway minimum separation distances

Code letter	Distance between Taxiway centre-line and Runway centre-line								Taxiway centre-line to Taxiway centre-line (metres)	Taxiway, other than Air System stand taxilane, centre-line to object	Air System stand Taxilane centre line to Aircraft stand taxilane centre line	Air System stand taxilane centre-line to object
	Instrument Runways Code number				Non-Instrument Runways Code number							
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4				
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)
A	77.5	77.5	-	-	37.5	47.5	-	-	23	15.5	19.5	12
B	82	82	152	-	42	52	67	-	32	20	28.5	16.5
C	88	88	158	158	48	58	73	93	44	26	40.5	22.5
D	-	-	166	166	-	-	81	101	63	37	59.5	33.5
E	-	-	172.5	172.5	-	-	87.5	107.5	76	43.5	72.5	40
F	-	-	180	180	-	-	95	115	91	51	87.5	47.5

Note 1 – All figures in Table ►10◄ are in metres.

Note 2 – The separation distances shown in columns (2) to (9) represent ordinary combinations of Runways and Taxiways. The basis for development of these distances is given in the Aerodrome Design Manual (ICAO Doc 9157), Part 2.

Note 3 – The distances in columns (2) to (9) do not guarantee sufficient clearance behind a holding Aircraft to permit the passing of another Aircraft on a parallel Taxiway. See the Aerodrome Design Manual (ICAO Doc 9157), Part 2.

146. The centre portion of a Taxiway strip **should** provide a graded area to a distance from the centre-line of the Taxiway of at least:

a. 10.25 m where the OMGWS is up to but not including 4.5 m.

b. 11 m where the OMGWS is 4.5 m up to but not including 6 m.

c. 12.50 m where the OMGWS is 6 m up to but not including 9 m.

d. 17 m where the OMGWS is 9 m up to but not including 15 m, where the code letter is D.

e. 19 m where the OMGWS is 9 m up to but not including 15 m, where the code letter is E.

Draft for NPA

Acceptable Means of Compliance 3511(6)

f. 22 m where the OMGWS is 9 m up to but not including 15 m, where the code letter is F.

147. The surface of the Taxiway strip **should** be flush at the edge of the Taxiway or shoulder if provided.

148. The graded portion of a Taxiway strip **should** have an upward transverse slope no greater than:

a. 2.5% for strips where the Aerodrome code letter is C, D, E, or F.

b. 3% for strips of Taxiways where the Aerodrome code letter is A or B.

149. The upward slope **should** be measured with reference to the transverse slope of the adjacent Taxiway surface and not the horizontal.

150. The graded portion of a Taxiway strip **should** have a downward transverse slope no greater than 5% measured with reference to the horizontal slope.

151. The transverse slopes on any portion of a Taxiway strip beyond that to be graded **should** have an upward or downward slope no greater than 5% as measured in the direction away from the Runway.

Shoulders.

152. Straight portions of a Taxiway **should** be provided with shoulders which extend symmetrically on each side of the Taxiway so that the overall width of the Taxiway and its shoulders is not less than:

a. 44 m where the Aerodrome code letter is F.

b. 38 m where the Aerodrome code letter is E.

c. 34 m where the Aerodrome code letter is D.

d. 25 m where the Aerodrome code letter is C.

153. On Taxiway curves and on junctions or intersections where increased pavement is provided, the shoulder width **should not** be less than that on the adjacent straight portions of the Taxiway.

154. When a Taxiway is intended to be used by turbine-engine Air Systems, the surface of the Taxiway shoulder **should** be prepared to resist erosion and the ingestion of the surface material by Air System engines.

155. Taxiway shoulder transverse slopes **should** be between -1.5 and +3%.

156. Longitudinal slopes of shoulders **should** be as for the associated Taxiway iaw RA 3511(4).

Parallel Taxiways.

157. A Risk Assessment **should** be completed to support operations from a parallel Taxiway.

158. If provided, an Aerodrome **should** have only one parallel Taxiway assigned for the purpose of allowing take-offs and landings.

159. The design of a parallel Taxiway that can be used for take-off and landing, **should** conform to the specifications as detailed in Table ►11◄.

160. The surface of a paved parallel Taxiway that can be used for take-off and landing **should** be constructed to provide good friction characteristics when the Runway is wet.

161. The Taxiway **should** be of sufficient strength to support normal operations of the Air System intended for use at the Aerodrome without Risk of damage either to the Air System or the Taxiway.

Draft for NPA

Acceptable Means of Compliance 3511(6)

Table ►11◄. Parallel Taxiways

Facility	Length	Width	Slopes	
			Longitudinal	Transverse
Parallel Taxiway	As for main Runway	≥23 m	As for main Runway	
Shoulders	Full length	≥30 m from Taxiway edge		
Clearway[ab]	≤150 m	52.5 m	Not to project above plane through end of Taxiway with slope = 2%	≤3%
Stopway	Not Required			
Strip	60 m beyond parallel Taxiway ends	100 m to each side of parallel Taxiway centre-line	As for main Runway	
Separation Distances	a. To Runway centre-line – 150 m b. To Aprons– 100 m c. To nearest building, facility etc. – 100 m d. To the centre-line of nearest Taxiway – 100 m			
Notes: [a] At both ends of the Taxiway [b] Not to extend beyond Runway clearway				

Separation Distances.

162. The separation distance between the centre-line of a Taxiway and the centre-line of a Runway, the centre-line of a parallel Taxiway, the centre-line of an Air System stand, or an object **should** be no less than the appropriate dimension specified in Table ►10◄.

Holding Positions.

163. Holding bays or other bypasses of sufficient size and adequate construction **should** be provided where necessary, to allow for sequencing departing Air Systems.

164. A Runway-Holding Position or positions **should** be established:

a. On the Taxiway, if the location or alignment of the Taxiway is such that a taxiing Air System or vehicle can infringe an Obstacle limitation surface or interfere with the operation of radio navigation aids.

b. On the Taxiway, at the intersection of a Taxiway and a Runway.

c. At an intersection of a Runway with another Runway when the former Runway is part of a standard taxi-route.

165. An intermediate Holding Position **should** be established on a Taxiway at any point other than a Runway-Holding Position where it is desirable to define a specific holding limit.

166. An emergency access road **should** be equipped with road-Holding Positions at all intersections with Runways and Taxiways.

167. A road-Holding Position **should** be established at each intersection of a road with a Runway.

168. The distance between a holding bay, Runway-Holding Position established at a Taxiway / Runway intersection or road-holding position and the centre-line of a Runway **should** be iaw Table ►10◄ This distance **should** be such that a holding Air System or vehicle does not infringe Obstacle Free Zones, approach surfaces, or the take-off climb surface, nor interfere with the operation of radio navigation aids.

169. At elevations greater than 700 m, a Precision Approach Runway code number 4 requires the distance of 90 m specified in Table ►12◄. This figure **should** be increased as follows:

a. Up to an elevation of 2000 m; 1 m for every 100 m in excess of 700 m.

b. At elevations of more than 2000 m and up to 4000 m; 13 m plus 1.5 m for every 100 m in excess of 2000 m.

Draft for NPA

Acceptable Means of Compliance 3511(6)

c. At elevations of more than 4000 m and up to 5000 m; 43 m plus 2 m for every 100 m in excess of 4000 m.

170. If a holding bay, Runway-Holding Position or road-Holding Position is at a lower elevation compared to the threshold, the distance **should** be decreased by 5 m, for every metre the bay or holding position is lower than the threshold, contingent upon not infringing the inner transitional surface.

a. This distance may need to be increased to avoid interference with radio navigation aids, particularly the glide path and localiser facilities.

b. Where the code letter is F, this distance **should** be 107.5 m.

Table ►12◄. Minimum distance from the Runway centre-line to a holding bay, Runway-Holding Position or road-holding position

	Code number			
Type of Runway	**1**	**2**	**3**	**4**
Non-Instrument	30 m	40 m	55 m	75 m
Non-Precision Approach	40 m	40 m	75 m	75 m
Precision Approach Category I	60 m[b]	60 m[b]	90 m[a, b]	90 m[a, b, c]
Precision Approach Categories II and III	-	-	90 m[a, b]	90 m[a, b, c]
Take-off Runway	30 m	40 m	55 m	75 m

Note 1 – The distance of 90 m for code number 3 or 4 is based on an Air System with a tail height of 20 m, a distance from the nose to the highest part of the tail of 52.7 m and a nose height of 10 m holding at an angle of 45° or more with respect to the Runway centre-line, being clear of the Obstacle Free Zone.

Note 2 – The distance of 60 m for code number 2 is based on an Air System with a tail height of 8 m, a distance from the nose to the highest part of the tail of 24.6 m and a nose height of 5.2 m holding at an angle of 45° or more with respect to the Runway centre-line, being clear of the Obstacle Free Zone.

Note 3 – The distance of 107.5 m for code number 4 where the code letter is F is based on an Air System with a tail height of 24 m, a distance from the nose to the highest part of the tail of 62.2 m, and a nose height of 10 m holding at an angle of 45° or more with respect to the Runway centre-line, being clear of the Obstacle Free Zone.

Guidance Material 3511(6)

Taxiway - Characteristics

171. Due consideration needs to be given to the fact that a Taxiway may be subjected to a greater density of traffic and as a result of slow moving and stationary Air Systems, leading to higher stresses than the Runway it serves.

172. Where slope changes specified above are not achieved and slopes on a Taxiway cannot be avoided, the transition from one slope to another slope needs to be accomplished by a curved surface which needs to allow the safe operation of all Air Systems in all weather conditions.

173. Paved shoulders may be authorised in special cases (eg for Air Systems with outrigger wheels on the wing-tips or where jet blast from large Air Systems with wing-mounted engines overhanging the pavement edge causing possible FOD problems or where the topsoil / climate will not support grassed shoulders).

174. The wingspan is relevant for Aerodrome characteristics related to separation distances (eg Obstacles, strips), while OMGWS impacts ground-based manoeuvring characteristics (eg Runway and Taxiway widths). The two components need to be used separately, since using the most demanding component may cause overdesign, either for separations or Runway / Taxiway width for some aeroplane types. As the OMGWS is the relevant parameter for determining Runway width and Taxiway width, it is referenced directly in the relevant provisions to avoid the complexity of a third code element.

Draft for NPA

Guidance Material 3511(6)

Civil Equivalence.

175. This Regulation is in line with ICAO Annex 14 Vol I paras 3.9 – 3.12, and ICAO Document 9157 Part 2, table 1-1.

Regulation 3511(7)

Aprons

3511(7) HoEs and ADH-Facing Organisations **shall** ensure that Aprons are adequate to permit safe and expeditious handling of Aerodrome traffic at its maximum anticipated density.

Acceptable Means of Compliance 3511(7)

Aprons

Size.

176. For Dispersed Stands and Hangar / Hardened Aircraft Shelter (HAS) Aprons, the size of the Apron **should** be no less than the turning radius of the Air System's outer wheels plus 3 m.

Clearance.

177. An Air System stand **should** provide the following minimum clearances between an Air System using the stand and any adjacent objects as follows:

a. Aerodrome code letter A and B: 3 m.

b. Aerodrome code letter C: 4.5 m.

c. Aerodrome code letter D or greater: 7.5 m.

Strength.

178. The strength of Aprons **should** be at least equal to that of the Runways that they serve.

Surface.

179. The surface of an Apron **should** be constructed or resurfaced to provide suitable surface friction characteristics iaw ICAO Annex 14 Vol I Attachment A, section 7.

Slope.

180. The design of slopes **should** direct spilled fuel away from buildings and Apron service areas. Where such slopes are unavoidable, special measures **should** be taken to reduce the fire Hazard resulting from fuel spillage.

181. On an Air System stand, the maximum slope **should** be no greater than 1% in any direction.

182. Where the slope limitation of 1% on the stands cannot be achieved, the slope **should** be kept as shallow as possible and **should** be such that the operation of the Air Systems and vehicles is not compromised.

Strip.

183. For Aprons and dispersed stands, the Apron strip **should** extend no less than 15 m from edge of paved surface.

184. For Hangar / HAS Aprons, the Apron strip **should** extend no less than the greater of:

a. 5 m from the edge of the paved surface.

b. Half the wingspan of the Air System the Apron is intended to serve from the edge of the paved surface.

Shoulder.

185. Apron shoulders **should** extend no less than 3 m from the edge of the paved surface.

Draft for NPA

Guidance Material 3511(7)

Aprons

186. The amount of area required for an Apron layout will depend upon the following factors:

a. The size and manoeuvrability characteristics of the Air System using the Apron.

b. The volume of traffic using the Apron.

c. Clearance requirements.

d. Type of ingress and egress to the Air System stand.

e. Aerodrome layout or other Aerodrome use.

f. Air System ground activity requirements.

g. Taxiways and service roads.

187. Due consideration needs to be given to the fact that an Apron may be subjected to higher stresses than the Runway it serves due to great density of traffic and through slow moving or stationary Air Systems.

188. Slopes on Aprons have the same purpose as other pavement slopes, to prevent the accumulation of water (or possible fluid contaminant) on the surface and to facilitate rapid drainage of surface water (or possible fluid contaminant). Nevertheless, the design of the Apron, especially for the parts containing Air System stands, need to specifically consider the impact of the slopes on the Air System during its braking at the stand and during its start for departure (with push-back or with its own engines). The aim is to avoid an Air System passing its stop point and going on the service road or to the closest building and to save fuel and optimise the manoeuvrability of the Air System or of the push-back device.

Civil Equivalence.

189. This Regulation is in line with ICAO Annex 14 Vol I 3.13.

Draft for NPA