| | |
|---|---|
| **From:** | Sian Roberts |
| **To:** | Crown Casework |
| **Cc:** | calum.miller.mp@parliament.uk; wpwsobjection@gmail.com |
| **Subject:** | Objection to planning application PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843, Site A, MOD Bicester |
| **Date:** | 11 September 2026 13:13:45 |

[redacted]

Dear Secretary of State,

I am writing to you as a very concerned member of the public to object to planning application PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843, the proposed 1,256-person asylum accommodation centre at Site A, MOD Bicester, Bicester Garrison, Launton Road, Oxfordshire, OX25 1AE.

Along with many others living in the neighbouring villages, my ask is that planning permission be refused. This site previously been considered for asylum accommodation (A smaller proposal at that) and it was refused by an independent Planning Inspector before subsequently being cancelled.

Therefore planning an even larger site has even greater concerns. I've looked through all the evidence submitted with the application, and there are many gaps:

- Important ecological and technical work remains outstanding
- Fire safety considerations
- Ground contamination investigations do not seem thorough - especially considering previous land-quality work identified potential contamination issues, yet no borehole investigation has been carried out to resolve those risks?
- Flooding and drainage, with lats of the site under high risk of surface water flooding
- Transport evidence also raises serious questions. The Transport Assessment repeatedly refers to the wrong road, while the B4011 serving the site has limited pedestrian infrastructure and a recorded history of serious collisions.
- No consideration to a permanent solution for essential infrastructure such as electricity and wastewater.

Finally, this proposal is exceptionally large for an isolated rural location with little surrounding infrastructure, limited public transport and few services within walking distance.

To date, there are over 1,000 pages to be reviewed in line with this plan. Typically planning applications cOrdinary planning applications carry a minimum 21 day period, and the standard Crown Development route prescribes 21 days at every stage. The current close date is extremely short representation period does not provide sufficient time for residents, councils and technical specialists to properly examine such a substantial and complicated application. I call on you to provide an

extension to the deadline.

Based on all these issues, I don't believe the applicant has demonstrated that Site A is a suitable location for a development of this scale.

I therefore respectfully request that planning permission be refused.

If the Secretary of State is nevertheless minded to approve the application, I ask that no decision is made until outstanding work concerning drainage, flooding, wastewater, contamination, ecology, highways, pedestrian safety, power supply, lighting, noise, fire safety and decommissioning has been completed and made available for proper scrutiny.

Many thanks,

Sian Church
[redacted]