From:	Rosen Kehayov
To:	Crown Casework
Cc:	Calum Miller MP; wpwsobjection@gmail.com; yourvoice@bbc.co.uk; policy@reformparty.uk; info@restorebritain.org.uk; wellbeing@cherwelldc.gov.uk; Public.Enquiries@homeoffice.gov.uk; buckingham.palace@royal.uk
Subject:	Formal Objection to planning application PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843, Site A, MOD Bicester
Date:	11 September 2026 22:13:42

[redacted]

Dear Private Secretary to His Majesty The King , Secretary of State and Dear All

I hope this email finds you well ,

My MP advised me to don't include a safety reasons of my objection to you but I insist to do so .... !!!

I have a few questions , very normal human questions .

In the United Kingdom Law says that I suppose you suppose to know and I'll give short version and in the end I'll ask the main question :

Under UK law, crossing the border illegally without an ID or valid documentation is handled as a serious criminal and administrative immigration offense.

1. Criminal Offenses and Jail TimeUnder the Immigration Act 1971 (as heavily amended by the Nationality and Borders Act 2022), knowingly entering or arriving in the UK without a valid entry clearance, Electronic Travel Authorization (ETA), or permission to enter is a criminal offense.

Maximum Penalty: Up to 4 years' imprisonment, a fine, or both.

Sentence Adjustments: The court typically considers a 12-month provisional starting point for custody. The penalty increases if the individual piloted a vessel or committed other offenses.

Identity Documents: Presenting false or forged ID cards or passports, or completely destroying identity documents to frustrate the border process, carries an independent penalty of up to 2 years' imprisonment.

2. Lifelong Consequences for British CitizenshipUnder strict Home Office rules, individuals who enter the UK illegally—such as via small boats, concealed in vehicles, or without any valid travel authorization—will face a permanent refusal of British citizenship.

This "good character" assessment rule applies regardless of how much time has passed since the individual initially crossed the border, effectively locking illegal entrants out of ever obtaining UK nationality.

3. Immediate Detention and Biometrics CaptureWhen an "irregular or unlawful arrival" is intercepted at the border or inland, immigration authorities enforce strictGOV.UKoperational procedures...

Biometric Capture: Border officials will immediately take the person's fingerprints and photographs under Section 141 of the Immigration and Asylum Act 1999 to establish identity through databases.

Detention & Deportation: The individual is formally issued an investigation notice (such as an IS81 form) and placed into an immigration removal center. Once processing is complete, they face formal deportation.

And In the end which is NOT UK laws and just cooperation with alliances :

Refugee Convention Protection: Under Crown Prosecution Service (CPS) guidelines,

individuals who immediately claim asylum upon arrival may have a statutory defense under Article 31 of the Refugee Convention (which protects refugees from being penalized for illegal entry if they come directly from a territory where their life was threatened). However, the 2022 legislation heavily restricts the line between "arriving" and "entering" to push for prosecution.
Humanitarian Rescue: Individuals rescued at sea under international maritime safety laws (SOLAS) are initially treated as arriving passengers awaiting examination rather than illegal entrants .

So let's begin :

We have at least 5 UK laws that treat criminal arriving AND PLACING THE NATIVE POPULATION AT RISK !

We have imprisonment for those who do that !
We have immediate arrest for those who do that !
We have no grants of citizenship !
We have Jail !
We have Fine !
Biometrics evidence of the arrivals (good luck with that , anyway we don't know who they are) !
Deportation which we don't see happening often , even it's the other way around , You Grant more citizenship the You Deport !

And then we have this :

Statutory Defenses and Asylum ClaimsWhile arriving without a visa is treated as a crime, UK law dictates specific legal exemptions:Refugee Convention Protection: Under Crown Prosecution Service (CPS) guidelines, individuals who immediately claim asylum upon arrival may have a statutory defense under Article 31 of the Refugee Convention (which protects refugees from being penalized for illegal entry if they come directly from a territory where their life was threatened). However, the 2022 legislation heavily restricts the line between "arriving" and "entering" to push for prosecution.
Individuals rescued at sea under international maritime safety laws (SOLAS) are initially treated as arriving passengers awaiting examination rather than illegal entrants.

WE HAVE FROM UNITED KINGDOM LAW AT LEAST 5 RED FLAGS AND LAWS THAT SAY TO US THIS IS BAD , AND WE HAVE 2 OR SO COALITION ENGAGEMENT LAWS WHO SAFE CRIMINALS TO HARM THIS COUNTRY !!!

Majority do NOT arrive DIRECTLY and from the Distinction they claim on the First Place !

The Majority You CANNOT track and IDENTIFY !!!

This Individuals are CRIMINALS AT THE MOMENT THEY FORCIBLY BREACH AN SOVERIN COUNTRY BORDER AND HAVE TO BE DETAINED !!!

Regarding the TAXPAYERS MONEY (Which are all people in the country and Government Budget) I'll say what I see :

The Taxpayer is paying at least 3 times for this Illegal Immigrants .

We pay for :

Arrival Guarding and transport OR 'TAXI' :

The whole Administration and people on front line do to that until WE ALL start paying second Bill .

Accommodation
Bills (water , electricity , gas)
Food
TRANSPORT
Courses
Fitness
Language Education
Sex Education (WHICH IS RIDICULOUS)
AND SO ON .... !!!

Third Bill coming when they Do CRIMES TO NATIVE POPULATION AND ALL THE RSET AROUND , We pay to the Police , Detective Jail and Jail Personel , and So On . ....

The Fourth is when THEY ARE IN JAIL !!!

AND IN THE END THERE ARE NO DEPORTATION OR IS VERY LESS OR WE PUT THEM BACK INTO THE COMMUNITY !!!

YES I do understand People in need but there is a formal way to do it !!! NOT BREAKING THE BORDER IN THAT SCALE , THIS IS NATIONAL SECURITY EMERGENCY FOR ME AS A LEGAL IMMIGRANT AND FOR BRITISH PEOPLE TOO !!!

SO MY FORMAL OBJECTION IS ABOUT MOD BICESTER AND MY CEVERE CONCERN IS ABOUT SAFETY OF MINE , MY PARTNER , MY PROPERTY AND EVERYONE AROUND ME !!!

And My Main Question is as we all know this small army of 1 350 fighting age single Males eventually will be placed Here IS :

How the Government will Possibly Can Explain the theoretical part and practical part of HOW THEY WILL MANAGE THIS INDIVIDUALS OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOMMODATION ???

All that information from you about checking , Security , CCTV and so On remain into that perimeter . HOW THE GOVERNMENT WILL SECURE SAFETY LIVE FOR ALL OF US AROUND WHEN THE INDIVIDUALS ARE FREE TO ROAM AROUND ???

Do you have Plan for Reaction if Even 1% of this people (13 individuals) decide to do

something Wrong and go Through Your Door While Watching a Movie with Your Family and What's Your Plan ???

WHAT ABOUT BIGGER PROCENT ???

WE DON'T FEEL SAFE !!!

Kind Regards

Rosen Kehayov

[redacted]

[redacted]

On Wed, 9 Sept 2026, 07:52 Rosen Kehayov, [redacted] wrote:

> Dear Secretary of State,
>
> I am writing as a resident of Bicester Town to Formally object the planning application PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843, the proposed 1 256 Single Male asylum accommodation centre at Site A, MOD Bicester, Bicester Garrison, Launton Road, Oxfordshire, OX25 1AE.
>
> I ask that planning permission to be refused !
>
> I have concerns about the completeness of the evidence submitted with the application. Important ecological and technical work remains outstanding, and significant questions remain around fire safety, ground conditions and the suitability of the site.
>
> I am particularly concerned that ground contamination has not been fully investigated. Previous land-quality work identified potential contamination issues, yet no borehole investigation has been carried out to resolve those risks.
>
> There are also significant flooding and drainage concerns. Parts of the site are at high risk of surface-water flooding and aspects of the drainage strategy remain unresolved.
>
> The transport evidence also raises serious questions. The Transport Assessment repeatedly refers to the wrong road, while the B4011 serving the site has limited pedestrian infrastructure and a recorded history of serious collisions.
>
> The application has not demonstrated a confirmed permanent solution for essential infrastructure such as electricity and wastewater. I do not believe a development accommodating up to 1 256 Single Males should be approved while such fundamental matters remain unresolved.
>
> The proposal is also exceptionally large for an isolated rural location with little surrounding infrastructure, limited public transport and few services within walking distance.
>
> I am concerned that the extremely short representation period does not provide sufficient time for residents, councils and technical specialists to properly examine such a substantial and complicated application. The application documents run to well over 1 000 pages across multiple technical disciplines, and it is not reasonable to expect them to be read and properly considered in so short a time. Ordinary planning applications carry

a minimum 21 day period, and the standard Crown Development route prescribes 21 days at every stage therefore I ask that the representation period to be extended to at least 21 days from 7th of September 2026 to Monday 28th September 2026 as the 21st day falls on a Sunday.

This site has also previously been considered for asylum accommodation. A smaller proposal was refused by an independent Planning Inspector before subsequently being cancelled and it rises more questions why You now want to push a Urgent Crown Development Application for the same matter , avoiding the normal procedures and increasing the scale significantly ?!?

Taken together, these issues mean I do Not believe the applicant has demonstrated that Site A is a suitable location for a development of this scale.

I therefore respectfully request that planning permission be refused !

If the Secretary of State is nevertheless minded to approve this application, I ask that no decision is made until outstanding work concerning drainage, flooding, wastewater, contamination, ecology, highways, pedestrian safety, power supply, lighting, noise, fire safety and decommissioning has been completed and made available for proper scrutiny.

Yours faithfully,

Rosen Kehayov

[redacted]