From:	[redacted]
To:	Crown Casework
Cc:	Calum Miller MP; wpwsobjection@gmail.com
Subject:	Objection to planning application PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843, Site A, MOD Bicester, Bicester Garrison, Launton Road, Oxfordshire, OX25 1AE
Date:	17 September 2026 13:00:05

Dear Secretary of State,

[redacted] I wish to object to planning application PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843, the proposed 1,256-person asylum accommodation centre at Site A, MOD Bicester, Bicester Garrison, Launton Road, Oxfordshire, OX25 1AE.

I would like planning permission be refused as I have grave concerns about the suitability of the site.

The proposal is too big for a rural area with little surrounding infrastructure, limited public transport and few services within walking distance.

The Transport Assessment refers to the wrong road, while the B4011 serving the site has limited pedestrian infrastructure and a recorded history of serious collisions.

I don't believe that ground contamination has been fully investigated and, as far as I know, no borehole investigation has been carried out to mitigate any risks. Some of the site is at high risk of surface-water flooding and aspects of the drainage strategy remain unresolved.

The application has not demonstrated a confirmed permanent solution for essential infrastructure such as electricity and wastewater. I do not believe a development accommodating 1,256 or more people should be approved while such basic essentials remain unresolved.

I am shocked at the very short representation period which hardly allows time for residents, councils and technical specialists to examine such a large and complex application. The application documents run to well over 1,000 pages across multiple technical disciplines, and it is not reasonable to expect them to be read and properly considered in so short a time. Ordinary planning applications carry a minimum 21-day period, and the standard Crown Development route prescribes 21 days at every stage, so I ask that the representation period be extended to at least 21 days from 7 September 2026, to Monday 28 September 2026, since the 21st day falls on a Sunday.

This site has been considered for asylum accommodation in the past and was refused by an independent Planning Inspector before being cancelled. What has changed since it was last assessed to make it suitable?

Taken together, these issues mean I do not believe the applicant has demonstrated that Site A is a suitable location for a development of this scale.

I therefore respectfully request that planning permission be refused.

If the Secretary of State is nevertheless minded to approve the application, I ask that no decision is made until outstanding work concerning drainage, flooding, wastewater, contamination, ecology, highways, pedestrian safety, power supply, lighting, noise, fire safety and decommissioning has been completed and made available for proper scrutiny.

Yours faithfully,

[redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted]