From: [redacted]
To: Crown Casework
Subject: PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843
Date: 16 September 2026 18:52:18

[redacted]

To whom it may concern,

There are multiple reasons that I could include in my representation to this application. There are in-depth details which I know are included in other representations that have been lodged.

But to name a few, it is not suitable for the small pockets of local rural population, Piddington being the obvious village but there are many others within walking distance. A walking distance on fast rural roads with no pavements or lighting.

It's not suitable for the ecological of the site and local area, either can support the infrastructure required for this site.

It's not that the Government have rushed the planning and ignored several items picked up in surveys that point to the wrong location either.

It's that the government has promised to remove asylum seekers from hotels, this just appears to serve that promise. I suppose it's more palatable for a Labour Government to put this site in a traditional non Labour rural constituency. This site cares not for the local community that will have to live with the influx of people but it is also those people, some of who will have legitimate claims for asylum, that are going to be made to live in a place that is not suitable and borders on the dangerous.

Please reconsider this scheme and find a more suitable site that can safely accommodate and is fit for purpose for those that require it whilst their claim to asylum is processed.

Yours
[redacted]

my iPhone