From: [redacted]
To: Crown Casework
Cc: Calum Miller MP; wpwsobjection@gmail.com
Subject: Objection to planning application PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843, Site A, MOD Bicester
Date: 16 September 2026 15:12:01

[redacted]

Dear Secretary of State,

I am writing as a member of the public to object to planning application PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843, the proposed 1,256-person asylum accommodation centre at Site A, MOD Bicester

I ask that planning permission be refused.

The application is far from complete and would be rejected under normal planning process.

My concerns are the lack of available utilities. Bicester already has a water shortage , a lot of developments are on hold due to the lack of supply and the same with electricity, we suffer from outages regularly due to the shortage of supply ,when a larger project takes from the grid. It cannot be acceptable to use water tankers on a permanent basis . There are no foul drains on the site to handle such a quantity from 1,256 individuals plus the numerous staff on site, how is this to be resolved, no detail is in the application and these are fundamental matters.

Another concern is the lack of ecology reports and the information given is not correct. There are many 'red status' animals and birds on the site, including rare bats, nightingales, badgers and great crested newt. The application indicates there are none of these!

There is so much information missing from the application with significant questions regarding fire safety, ground conditions, including contamination , there has not been any work done to assess this, which must be vital due to the nature of the site's previous use.

The ground there floods easily and regularly especially its highlighted in the application the lack of foul water drains re are also significant flooding and drainage concerns. P
The transport evidence also raises serious questions.

The Transport Assessment repeatedly refers to the wrong road, while the B4011 serving the site has limited pedestrian infrastructure and a danger for pedestrians walking along the road

The proposal is also exceptionally large for an isolated rural location with little surrounding infrastructure, limited public transport and few services within walking distance.

This site has also previously been considered for asylum accommodation. A smaller

proposal was refused by an independent Planning Inspector before subsequently being cancelled. nothing has changed since that application.

The costs to develop this site are enormous and will not provide any savings the government is looking for.

Taken together, these issues mean I do not believe the applicant has demonstrated that Site A is a suitable location for a development of this scale.

I therefore respectfully request that planning permission be refused.

Yours faithfully,

[redacted]