From: [redacted]
To: Crown Casework
Cc: calum.miller.mp@parliament.uk; wpwsobjection@gmail.com
Subject: Objection to planning application PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843, Site A, MOD Bicester
Date: 15 September 2026 18:47:48

[redacted]

Dear Secretary of State,

I wish to register my objection to planning application **PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843**, which seeks permission for a 1,256-person asylum accommodation centre at **Site A, MOD Bicester, Bicester Garrison, Launton Road, Oxfordshire, OX25 1AE**.

For the reasons set out below, I believe planning permission should not be granted.

A key concern is that the application appears to rely on evidence that is not yet complete. Important ecological assessments and technical investigations remain outstanding, and there are still unresolved issues relating to fire safety, ground conditions and the overall suitability of the site for a development of this scale.

In particular, I am concerned that potential land contamination has not been adequately assessed. Earlier land-quality studies identified possible contamination risks, yet the borehole investigations necessary to properly understand and address those risks have not been undertaken.

Questions also remain regarding flood risk and drainage. Areas of the site are known to be vulnerable to surface-water flooding, while elements of the proposed drainage arrangements have yet to be finalised or satisfactorily resolved.

The transport assessment gives rise to further concerns. It repeatedly refers to the wrong road, and the B4011, which provides access to the site, has limited pedestrian facilities and a documented record of serious road traffic collisions.

I am also concerned that the application does not identify a confirmed long-term solution for critical infrastructure requirements, including electricity provision and wastewater treatment. In my view, a proposal intended to accommodate up to 1,256 residents should not proceed while such essential matters remain uncertain.

The scale of the proposal is another significant issue. The development would introduce a very large residential population into an isolated rural location that has limited supporting infrastructure, restricted public transport options and relatively few services within convenient walking distance.

I would also like to raise concerns about the consultation timetable. The period provided for representations is, in my view, insufficient given the size and complexity of the application. The supporting documentation extends to well over 1,000 pages and covers numerous specialist disciplines. It is unrealistic to expect local residents, parish councils, elected representatives and technical experts to review such extensive material and provide informed comments within the time available. Standard planning

applications are normally subject to a minimum 21-day consultation period, and the Crown Development process likewise specifies 21 days at each stage. I therefore request that the representation period be extended to at least 21 days from 7 September 2026, resulting in a revised deadline of Monday 28 September 2026, as the twenty-first day falls on a Sunday.

I also note that this site has previously been proposed for asylum accommodation. A smaller scheme was considered and subsequently refused by an independent Planning Inspector before later being cancelled.

When these issues are considered collectively, I do not believe sufficient evidence has been provided to demonstrate that Site A represents an appropriate or sustainable location for a development of this magnitude.

Accordingly, I respectfully urge the Secretary of State to refuse planning permission.

Should the Secretary of State nevertheless be minded to approve the proposal, I request that no decision be issued until all outstanding work relating to drainage, flooding, wastewater, contamination, ecology, highways, pedestrian safety, power supply, lighting, noise, fire safety and eventual decommissioning has been completed and made available for full public and technical scrutiny.

Yours faithfully,

[redacted]