From: Dylan Bagley
To: Crown Casework
Cc: wpwsobjection@gmail.com; calum.miller.mp@parliament.uk
Subject: PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843 - Piddington Objection
Date: 11 September 2026 08:26:03

[redacted]

Objection.

Dear whom it may concern,

I am writing to register my objection to the proposal to establish a large-scale asylum accommodation centre at the former MOD Bicester Site A near Piddington.

My objection is based on concerns regarding the suitability of the location, the sustainability of the development, and the potential impact on existing local communities. It is important to stress that my concerns are not directed towards the individuals who may be accommodated at the site, but rather whether this particular location represents an appropriate and effective choice for a development of this size.

The proposed site is situated in a predominantly rural area with limited infrastructure and services. Unlike urban locations where residents have easy access to public transport, healthcare facilities, employment opportunities, educational resources and community services, this site is relatively isolated. This raises serious questions about how residents of the proposed centre will access essential services without placing additional pressure on neighbouring villages and towns.

I am particularly concerned that the proposal appears to create a large, self-contained community in a location that does not naturally support a population increase of this magnitude. Accommodating over 1,200 people at a site adjacent to villages with comparatively small populations risks creating significant challenges both for the local community and for those residing within the accommodation itself.

Furthermore, I believe there remain unresolved questions concerning:

- The sustainability of the site as a long-term accommodation solution.
- The adequacy of transport arrangements for residents and staff.
- The impact on local roads and traffic movements.
- Emergency service response times and operational capacity.
- Access to healthcare and other public services.
- Security, safeguarding and community cohesion arrangements.
- The long-term use of the site beyond the initial proposed period.

I am also concerned by reports indicating that supporting documentation has referenced occupancy figures substantially higher than the publicly stated capacity. Although it has been suggested that these figures relate to earlier estimates, their inclusion has understandably raised concerns regarding the future scope of the development and whether expansion could take place at a later date.

Given the significance of the proposal, I respectfully request that the Home Office provides full transparency regarding any future capacity considerations and publishes all relevant assessments demonstrating why this location was selected over alternative sites.

In addition, I believe greater weight should be given to local opinion. Residents and parish councils have consistently expressed concerns regarding the proposal, and it is essential that these concerns are genuinely considered rather than treated as a procedural exercise. A decision of this scale should only proceed when there is clear evidence that all practical, environmental and community impacts have been thoroughly assessed and appropriately mitigated.

I therefore urge the Home Office to reconsider the suitability of this site and explore alternative locations that are better positioned to support a development of this scale without creating disproportionate impacts on neighbouring communities.

I would welcome a detailed response addressing the concerns outlined above.

Yours faithfully,

Dylan Bagley

[redacted]