From: Aarti Shah
To: Crown Casework
Subject: Fwd: PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843 application reference
Date: 11 September 2026 16:41:55

[redacted]

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Aarti Shah [redacted]
Date: 11 September 2026 at 18:37:15 GMT+3
To: Crowncasework@communities.gov
Cc: calum.miller.mp@parliament.uk, wpwsobjection@gmail.com
Subject: PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843 application reference

"Wrong Plan, Wrong Site" Campaign

FORMAL OBJECTION: Urgent Crown Development Application – MOD Bicester (Site A)

Application Reference: PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843
Location: Bicester Garrison, Launton Road, Oxfordshire, OX25 1AE

Dear all

I am writing to submit my formal, categorical objection to the Home Office's Urgent Crown Development application to convert **MOD Bicester (Site A)** into a long-term asylum accommodation facility. This proposal is fundamentally flawed, dangerously conceived and completely unviable and it must be rejected to protect the residents in piddington and the wider Bicester community.

I object in the strongest possible terms based on the following points :

Total Denial of Democratic Process and Lack of Consultation

The manner in which this application has been handled is a severe violation of local democracy. Local residents, parish councils, and district representatives were **entirely excluded from prior consultation**. Cherwell District Council was only made aware of these plans hours before they were leaked to the public.

To impose a facility housing up to 1,256 single adult male or more - we don't even know what the number is now - onto a small rural village like Piddington (which has a population of circa 350) without a transparent and community consultation is a total abuse of the Urgent Crown planning process and the residents.

Absolute Human Unviability and Severe Environmental Contamination

Site A is a semi-derelict, and former industrial defence storage and distribution centre . The land is highly contaminated waste ground. To force over a thousand humans to reside on **highly polluted, environmentally hazardous ground that will require** independent on-site wastewater treatment plant is fundamentally inhumane and unsafe. They are also human beings and you are very selfish.

This site lacks the structural integrity, safe drainage, and environmental safety required for human habitation. It is an unviable environment for any person to live on and you are not even considering this as a factor as you would not even out your own family there!

Immediate Threat to the Fundamental

Right to Safety and Freedom of Movement

The absolute right of women and families to walk, run, and exist safely in public spaces without fear is a cornerstone of UK society and of the town. Recent local altercations in Ambrosden have already caused immense local anxiety.

As a female I already do not feel safe and reflecting on the National, high-profile tragedies—including the horrific murders of Rachel Nickell and Sarah Everard on Clapham Common—have permanently shifted how public safety and women's rights are viewed.

As a resident, I will no longer feel safe walking or running in my own community, and I am terrified for the safety of my children. Our son has already had an altercation with someone in ambrosden and he now no longer feels safe to walk our dog due to the barrier language and lack of understand and respect.

I am worried about the future of our children all others and the fact that Bicester is central for logistics and generating employment.

This plan will encourage dishonesty and the locals will be scared to walk anywhere and there will not be enough police.

Already racism has kicked off in the town and growing up as an Indian I was subject to racial abuse of the word paki and put in the same group as an asylum and now I will be subjected to this! The police are not even there and you will have to employ more police to w sure safety and you have no money for this!

Placing an isolated, high-density population adjacent to a rural village will completely decimate our community's right to safety.

You are already building more houses and how will these properties sell on the basis of site A down the road?

The tourism and the integrity of the Cotswolds is at risk and you are not even thinking about this.

Life-Threatening Road Infrastructure and Speeding Hazards

The roads surrounding Site A, Piddington, and Upper Arncott are entirely unequipped for pedestrian transit. The lanes are unlit, completely lack pavements, and feature notorious high-speed blind intersections.

Already there has been a number of deaths on this road and now you want to force a massive influx of pedestrians onto dark, narrow country lanes with **speeding traffic** creates an immediate, severe hazard to human life for both pedestrians and local drivers.

Pressure on Bicester Infrastructure and local NHS Services

The proposal will funnel massive pedestrian traffic toward Bicester town center and Bicester Village.

Our local public infrastructure is already at a breaking point. Local residents face immense, untenable waiting lists to secure basic GP and dental appointments. Adding more than 1,200 people to this will collapse the local healthcare network, effectively denying tax-paying residents access to vital healthcare services that they deserve and their health should be a priority!

Ecological Nature and Wildlife

The proposed development sits within an environmentally ecosystem. The surrounding fields and woodlands are vital local nature spaces, populated by protected wildlife, including rare bat species, local bird populations, and great crested newts and deers.

The heavy industrialization, massive influx of human activity, security lighting, and noise pollution generated by a facility of this scale will completely decimate the local nature.

It will cause irreversible damage to the sensitive local habitats and disrupt the peaceful, natural green spaces that local residents rely on for their physical and mental well-being. You are not even thinking of those in the areas.

Conclusion

This application represents the **wrong plan on entirely the wrong site**. Site A is an isolated, heavily polluted waste ground that lacks the basic infrastructure to safely or humanely support this population. Due to the complete absence of local consultation, severe environmental unviability, and the undeniable safety hazards to local families, I demand that the Ministry reject this application immediately.

Yours faithfully,

Aarti Shah

Sent from my iPhone