From: [redacted]
To: Crown Casework
Subject: Objection to Asylum accommodation at Piddington
Date: 07 September 2026 20:18:08

[redacted]

Formal Objection to the proposed use of the MOD site at Piddington for Migrant accommodation

Dear Sir/Madam

I am writing to formally object for the proposal to use The MOD site of Piddington to house approximately 1250 migrants.

My objection is I have concerns over the location of the accommodation. Piddington is a tiny village with approximately 310 residents and many young children. The village itself doesn't have a shop or even a pub, so wouldn't provide even basic facilities for the proposed immigrants, which would mean they would have to travel outside of Piddington. This would also impact other local villages and towns, which already struggle with access to healthcare, and other public services. There is also a lack of public transport, there are no pavements from the proposed site meaning this is also a safety concern.

Local street lighting is also turned off at night, making it a dangerous area for anyone of foot.

Existing services are already over stretched, often not being able to get a dentist or having to wait several weeks for a GP appointment. Extra policing would be requited, as most of the migrants will be undocumented, and local people are already afraid and anxious of the prospect of 1250 men being housed locally, and the possibility that these numbers will be massively increase, as have been done at other former military sites.

The former site near Piddington was previously deemed twice, as unfit to house migrants due to issues, that this time seems to have been ignored, when previous concerns were raised. There is already pressure on water and electricity usage locally and 1250 men would also create issues and further pressure on these resources.

To provide the infrastructure and work on the site must run into millions of pounds and would be on going as I note that you would probably need to bring in water and also install generators. The generators would increase co2 emissions further creating a negative impact on local people.

Before you make any decision , I would ask that the relevant authorities publish or make available the evidence and assessments underpinning the decision that identified Piddington as a suitable site.

In particular I request evidence addressing:

Highway and traffic impact
Pedestrian and road safety
Nighttime pedestrian safety
Public transport capacity
Healthcare capacity
Police and emergency service capacity
Fire safety and emergency evacuation
Safeguarding
Waste and refuse management
Water, sewage and electricity services
Community cohesion
Impact on neighbouring settlements
The cumulative impact of the proposed population increase
Why the site which will accommodate 1250 people is proportionate for a community that only has 310 residents

I respectfully request that the proposal is reconsidered and refused, and that a more appropriate site is identified.

The issue is whether its reasonable or sustainable to place 1250 additional people in a small rural community of 310 residents which has no shop, no public house, limited local facilities, inadequate pedestrian infrastructure and limited overnight street lighting. Such a massive increase in population requires more substantial infrastructure, transport, healthcare and emergency services, public services to support both the existing communities and those being potentially accommodated.

In the absence of compelling evidence demonstrating that these matters have been properly addressed, I believe there are serious grounds to conclude that the proposed site is unsuitable on the scale that is being proposed. I therefore respectfully ask that this objection is formally recorded, and fully considered before any final decision is made.

Yours faithfully

[redacted]