From: [redacted]
To: Crown Casework
Cc: calum.miller.mp@parliament.uk; wpwsobjection@gmail.com
Subject: Objection to planning application PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843, Site A, MOD Bicester
Date: 07 September 2026 21:34:15

[redacted]

Dear Secretary of State,

I am writing to object to planning application PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843, the proposed 1,256-person asylum accommodation centre at Site A, MOD Bicester, Bicester Garrison, Launton Road, Oxfordshire, OX25 1AE.

I strongly ask that planning permission be refused for this application as I have concerns about the provided evidence submitted for this application.

Being a local resident and working at the local school I have researched the application as there are several items that remain outstanding. I am particularly concerned about the suitability of the site and the completeness of the evidence submitted with the application, including Important ecological and technical work as well as transport evidence. With regard to the transport evidence, the transport assessment repeatedly refers to the wrong road, while the B4011 serving the site has limited pedestrian infrastructure with only one pavement from the entrance to the site to the prison on the opposite side of the road. The road is a dangerous road with many recorded accidents including the death of a child only last year.

I am also concerned that the ground contamination has not been fully investigated along with ecological concerns. Previous land-quality work identified potential contamination issues, yet no borehole investigation has been carried out to resolve the risks. I would like to draw your attention to the area and its current vulnerability to regular flooding in the immediate area where properties and fields adjacent to the site can remain under water for several weeks each month every year.

The proposal is exceptionally large for an isolated rural location with little surrounding infrastructure, limited public transport and few services within walking distance. The application has not demonstrated a confirmed solution for essential infrastructure such as electricity and wastewater. Whilst these matters remain unresolved I do not believe a development accommodating up to 1,256 people should be approved. I am also concerned that the extremely short representation period does not provide sufficient time for residents, councils and technical specialists to properly examine such a substantial and complicated application.

Taking all the above issues, I do not believe the applicant has demonstrated that Site A is a suitable location for a development of this scale.

I therefore respectfully request that planning permission be refused.

Yours faithfully,

[redacted]

[redacted]

Sent from my iPhone