From: [redacted]
To: Crown Casework
Cc: "calum.miller.mp@parliament.uk"; "wpwsobjection@gmail.com"
Subject: Objection to planning application PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843, Site A, MOD Bicester
Date: 07 September 2026 11:43:15

[redacted]

Dear Secretary of State,

I am a resident of Blackthorn and wish to register my objection to planning application **PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843**, which seeks consent for a 1,256-person asylum accommodation facility at **Site A, MOD Bicester, Bicester Garrison, Launton Road, Oxfordshire, OX25 1AE**.

For the reasons outlined below, I believe planning permission should not be granted.

My primary concern is that the application appears to be incomplete. A number of key ecological and technical assessments are still outstanding, leaving important issues unresolved, including matters relating to fire safety, ground conditions and the overall suitability of the proposed location.

In particular, I am concerned that the potential for land contamination has not been adequately addressed. Earlier assessments identified possible contamination risks, yet no borehole investigations have been undertaken to fully assess and quantify these concerns.

I am also troubled by the remaining uncertainties surrounding flooding and drainage. Sections of the site are vulnerable to surface water flooding, and elements of the proposed drainage arrangements have yet to be finalised.

The transport information submitted with the application gives rise to further concern. The Transport Assessment contains references to an incorrect road, and the B4011, which provides access to the site, has limited facilities for pedestrians as well as a history of serious road traffic incidents.

In addition, the applicant has not demonstrated that there is a secured long-term solution for key infrastructure requirements, including electricity supply and wastewater treatment. I do not consider it appropriate to approve a development intended to accommodate up to 1,256 people while these essential services remain uncertain.

The scale of the proposal is another significant concern. It represents a substantial development in a relatively isolated rural area that has limited public transport connections, minimal local infrastructure and few amenities within reasonable walking distance.

I am also concerned about the limited period provided for public representations. Given the complexity and scale of the proposal, I do not believe residents, local authorities and technical experts have been afforded sufficient time to properly assess the application and supporting documents.

Furthermore, this location has previously been proposed for asylum accommodation. An earlier, smaller scheme was refused by an independent Planning Inspector and was later withdrawn.

Taken as a whole, these issues lead me to conclude that the applicant has not provided sufficient evidence to demonstrate that Site A is an appropriate location for a development of this magnitude.

I therefore respectfully urge the Secretary of State to refuse planning permission.

Should approval nevertheless be considered, I request that no decision is made until all outstanding assessments and information relating to drainage, flooding, wastewater capacity, land contamination, ecology, highways, pedestrian safety, electricity supply, lighting, noise, fire safety and site decommissioning have been completed and subjected to full public and technical scrutiny.

Yours faithfully

