From: [redacted]
To: Crown Casework
Subject: MOD Bicester Site A – PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843
Date: 07 September 2026 20:43:59

[redacted]

Dear Sir/Madam,

Re: MOD Bicester Site A – PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843

I am writing to formally object to the proposal to accommodate up to 1,256 single adult male asylum seekers at MOD Bicester Site A.

I live in [redacted] My objection is not about national asylum policy or an assumption that individuals seeking asylum pose a threat. My concern is about the exceptional scale and concentration of this proposed accommodation, its rural location, and the lack of clarity I currently have regarding the safeguarding, security and management arrangements that would accompany it.

[redacted] this proposal genuinely worries me. At present, I do not feel I have been given sufficient information to reassure me that I could continue going about my normal day-to-day life with my daughters without feeling intimidated or concerned for our safety.

This is not because I know anything about the individuals who may be accommodated there – in fact, that uncertainty is part of my concern. I do not know who will be accommodated at the site, what assessment will take place before individuals are housed there, what supervision will be provided, or what protocols will be in place to protect both the residents of the site and people living in surrounding communities.

I believe it is reasonable for women and families living locally to expect these questions to be answered before a development accommodating up to 1,256 single adult men is approved.

I am particularly concerned about how movement into and out of the site will be managed. I would therefore like clear answers to the following:

- Will the site operate 24-hour security and supervision, and what will that actually involve?
- Will the identity of every person entering and leaving the accommodation be recorded?
- How will the site operator track who is on the premises at any given time?
- Will residents be required to sign or scan in and out when leaving and returning?
- Will there be an expected or required time by which residents should return to the accommodation?
- If an individual leaves and does not return within the expected timeframe, what happens? Is this recorded, investigated or reported to another authority?
- If someone does not return for a prolonged period, how will the site operator establish where that individual is and whether they remain resident at the site?
- Will visitors be permitted onto the site and, if so, how will their identities and movements be monitored?
- What safeguarding or risk assessments will be undertaken before individuals are placed at the site?

- What procedures will apply where an individual presents a known safeguarding or behavioural risk?
- What arrangements have been agreed with Thames Valley Police, and will additional policing resources be provided to surrounding communities?
- How will incidents involving antisocial behaviour, intimidation or safeguarding concerns outside the site be reported back to and acted upon by the site operator?

I also have concerns about the security of our family home. Again, I am not suggesting that the future residents will commit offences. However, introducing a population of up to 1,256 people into a relatively small rural area is a substantial change, and I want to understand what assessment has been made of its potential impact on crime, antisocial behaviour, policing demand and community safety.

There is also a very human aspect to this. I want to be able to take my daughters out, walk locally and allow them to enjoy their community without changing our behaviour because I feel uncertain or intimidated. At present, the absence of clear information about the security, supervision and safeguarding arrangements means I do not have that confidence.

Beyond safeguarding, I question whether the surrounding infrastructure is appropriate for accommodation on this scale. Housing up to 1,256 people will create additional demand for transport, healthcare, policing, utilities, wastewater and other local services. I would like to understand what evidence demonstrates that this additional demand can be accommodated without adversely affecting existing residents.

I recognise that the Government has a responsibility to provide appropriate accommodation for people seeking asylum. However, it also has a responsibility to the communities in which accommodation of this scale is being proposed.

Before any approval is granted, I believe local residents deserve much greater clarity about exactly how this facility would operate, how residents' movements would be managed, what safeguarding measures would be in place, and how the safety and wellbeing of surrounding communities – particularly women, children and families – have been considered.

Until these questions are properly answered and supported by clear evidence and robust safeguards, I do not believe sufficient reassurance has been provided that a development of this scale is appropriate for this location. I therefore formally object to the proposal.

Yours faithfully,

[redacted]