



Government Legal Department

Operations
102 Petty France
Westminster
London
SW1H 9GL

+44 (0)20 7210 3000
FOI@governmentlegal.gov.uk
www.gov.uk/gld

Ref No: **FOI 25_264**

Date 14/01/2026

Dear [REDACTED]

Thank you for your email of 23 December 2025, 22 December 2025, which contained your requests for information. The Government Legal Department ("GLD") has processed your request for information under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 ("the Act"). Please note we have already responded to requests made by you on 3rd November and 26 November 2025. They are included here because they provide context to our response.

Request

Same request as previous 2 requests in which this was not itemised as a condition.

Refusal 1. Conditional

Refusal 2; Not your personal data.

Request 3

All info held in relation to Tribunal hearing in which Co Op solicitor [REDACTED] accessed documents he cannot have known existed and sourced to treasury solicitor in 2002.

If you have any further queries not covered in requests 1 and 2 feel free to call.

Any disclosable info on death of [REDACTED] 6th 2025 of CWU lest that be a [REDACTED] mystery or otherwise.

Retrieval of or supervision of legacy contents in his possession relating to our association in challenging Postmaster contract for services in 1997 in the same Tribunal

The number of cost awards to government bodies by chairman [REDACTED] at the expense of the dispossessed income deprived in the manner of the post office scandal.

This was a clarification of:

Notwithstanding the fact that this was not relied on in request number one (Conditional) and appears to be a change of evidence to (not personal data) ,,,,,,,and the thought that the second reason would to any normal assessment be the first reason ,,,,,,,,

Could i please re submit the request as a freedom of information request for materials submitted to Sheffield Tribunal and the reasons and personnel allowing or instructing that to happen,

As this was a wilful subverting of justice imposing undue influence and causing cessation of action which would have saved 900 postmaster custodials 13 suicides and 2700 contrived bankruptcies with outstanding victims not compensated by Prime Minister Starmer for damages inflicted by DPP Starmer then the obstructive responses will be attributed to vicarious liable Starmer who is being copied in,

Re submission of request for all docs sourced to government and arriving in Sheffield Tribunal as per freedom of information, As the process was SD act i would draw your attention to inference for any further vague or evasive response,;

How many more allocations of unsourced money and in what amounts have been provided to [REDACTED] outside of her confessed 321k to bankrupt [REDACTED].

Does any of this link to the loss of life of [REDACTED] 6th 2025 in which i have been ruled not to be an interest person despite being a victim of permitted perjury committed by [REDACTED] and probably known to [REDACTED] CEO Post Office, 2 embarrassed counsel and 1 recuse chairman.

I look forward to response as per Freedom of information which may or may not include personal data within the wilful fit up mechanisms employed by Post Office CWU POSIS Royal Mail (Philips procedure) pre dating [REDACTED] 2006 900 custodials [REDACTED] 2010 and 13 suicides [REDACTED] 2013m.

There is no relationship i am aware of between Co Op and Post Office other than Hoole POSIS umbrella unless you can provide further particulars.

I do not know if [REDACTED] is a suicide and if so what the content of any note will reveal.

I have been aware of his perjury o Sept 7th 98 since declaration of the same by 2 embarrassed counsel in June 1999 and it follows the government have been aware via same method in same timeline,

,We wouldnt want to be found to be assisting offenders now would we if there is an [REDACTED] legacy reveal of info you wont release ?

Im sure you will do your best in the interests of 13 bereaved postmaster families and grieving CWU gen sec [REDACTED] and family of [REDACTED] of CWU

Information provided after GLD advised the request is being processed:

These are now asked a third time so kindly appraise yourselves of the background if you have not already done so.

It's pretty basic common courtesy irrespective of law to inform what relationships determined the possession of co op solicitor [REDACTED] info on me sourced to your good selves.

Having used it to subvert justice then was that a conspiracy you engineered ? !sought to benefit from ? , were aware of when releasing the info? or were a victim of. ?

Is the death of CWU rep Sheffield AMAL. [REDACTED]. Nov 6th 2025:Connected in any way given he has associations to previously perverted justice in the same court and the non recovery by police of evidence offered by 2 embarrassed counsel in 1999.

Explanations please.

And:

14 people are dead. Consider that

Previous requests by applicant. (Responded to as seperate SAR requests)

I would like to make a sect 7 subject access request for all info / personal data held by Treasury Solicitor.

Specifically any relationships connecting Co Op [REDACTED] S Yorks Police Constituency MPs [REDACTED] and court personnel or CWU named officials named in any of the cases deemed to be vexatious.

In the alternative you can remove my name from the list of vexatious litigants with immediate effect and re instate the due post office remedies denied by relentless perjury by any identifiable mechanism at your disposal, If you have any queries then please do not hesitate to contact,

I cant contact [REDACTED] or name the signatory on S Y Police doc,

Could you please deal or delegate as appropriate as none of the Hollingrake compensation schemes address the issues.

Current dispute between police and cps for non arrest of the 4 perjurers identifiable by 2 embarrassed counsel 1 recused chair Sept 7th 98 and the 2023 reveal of 321k funds available to [REDACTED] are new evidence to me but presumably available to police in real time.

For ease I have summarised your request into the following:

- Information relating to a Tribunal hearing involving Co-op solicitor [REDACTED] and documents sourced to the Treasury Solicitor in 2002.
- Any disclosable information regarding the death of [REDACTED] (CWU) on 6 November 2025.
- Retrieval or supervision of legacy contents relating to your association in challenging the Postmaster contract for services in 1997.
- The number of cost awards to government bodies by Chairman [REDACTED] in the context of the Post Office scandal.
- Requests for materials submitted to the Sheffield Tribunal, including the reasons and personnel involved.
- Questions regarding allocations of funds to [REDACTED] and any links to the loss of life of [REDACTED].
- Additional queries relating to the Post Office, CWU, and related individuals and events.

Response

Section 14(1) of the Act says that a public authority is not obliged to comply with a request for information if the request is vexatious.

After careful consideration we have concluded that your request is vexatious and we are therefore refusing it under section 14(1) of the Act.

In reaching this decision we have worked through the Information Commissioner's guidance on vexatious requests which is available at:

<https://ico.org.uk/for-organisations/foi/guide-to-managing-an-foi-request/vexatious-and-repeated-requests>

Please note that under the Act, section 14 applies only to the request itself, not to the requester. However, an authority may consider the relationship with the requester and any historical interactions.

Excessive Burden

Your requests combine multiple, wide-ranging requests spanning several decades, organisations, and individuals, without clear limits of scope or time. To determine

whether relevant records are held would require extensive searches across numerous years and systems, representing a disproportionate burden on GLD resources. Whilst Section 12 of the Act, could also apply, which limits the request to 24 hours or £600.00 to search, identify, extract and compile the information, the nature and breadth of your request meet the threshold for refusal under section 14(1).

Apparent Motive

The correspondence appears primarily to advance a longstanding grievance and compels engagement with allegations, rather than seeking clearly identifiable recorded information in a focused and proportionate manner. Some language used could be perceived as coercive or distressing to staff, which is not in the spirit of the Act.

"Any disclosable info on death of [REDACTED] 6th 2025 of CWU lest that be a [REDACTED] mystery or otherwise."

"We wouldnt want to be found to be assisting offenders now would we if there is an Isdell legacy reveal of info you wont release ?"

"Im sure you will do your best in the interests of 13 bereaved postmaster families and grieving CWU gen sec [REDACTED] and family of [REDACTED] of CWU"

"Having used it to subvert justice then was that a conspiracy you engineered"

"Fourteen people are dead. Consider that."

Limited Public Interest

While there is public interest in the Post Office Horizon scandal, the information sought appears to be a broad fishing exercise aimed at substantiating a conspiracy theory, rather than a focused request for specific information.

In view of the above, GLD considers that this request falls within section 14(1) of the Act, as defined by the Information Commissioner's guidance on vexatious requests.

Consideration of Previous Requests

We have reviewed your previous requests and our responses. No part of your current request can be reasonably isolated and answered without incurring the same issues of vexatiousness and burden described above.

Your Rights

If you are dissatisfied with the handling of your request, you have the right to ask for an internal review. Internal review requests should be submitted within two months of

the date of receipt of the response to your original correspondence. Please use the contact details provided at the top of this letter in order to request an internal review relating to your original request.

Please remember to quote the reference number above in any future communications.

If you are not content with the outcome of the internal review, you have the right to apply directly to the Information Commissioner for a decision. The Information Commissioner can be contacted at:

Information Commissioner's Office
Wycliffe House
Water Lane
Wilmslow
Cheshire
SK9 5AF
www.ICO.org.uk

Yours sincerely,

Freedom of Information Team
Operations, Government Legal Department,
102 Petty France, Westminster
London SW1H 9GL
FOI@governmentlegal.gov.uk