



Department for
Energy Security
& Net Zero

Lewis Agius
Fisher German LLP
Unit 2a
Banbury Office Village
Noral Way
Banbury
OX16 2SB

Energy Infrastructure Planning
3-8 Whitehall Place
London
SW1A 2JP

Email:
S37consents@energysecurity.gov.uk

Website: www.gov.uk/desnz

Our ref: 2555u
Your ref: FFW841 St Mary Bourne

10 August 2026

Dear Mr Agius,

**SCREENING DECISION BY THE SECRETARY OF STATE UNDER THE
ELECTRICITY WORKS (ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT)
(ENGLAND AND WALES) REGULATIONS 2017 (“THE 2017
REGULATIONS”)**

NAME OF SCHEME: FFW841 St Mary Bourne

Decision:

The Secretary of State concludes that the proposed works are not EIA development under the 2017 Regulations and do not require a statutory EIA as they are unlikely to have significant effects on the environment due to their nature, location and size. A copy of this letter has been sent to the Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council (“the LPA”) for information.

Screening decision for a proposed development (“the proposed development”) to:

- Upgrade a 350m, 240/415v overhead line to 95 ABC 4-wire;
- Replace 8 poles;
- Upgrade a transformer to 100kVA 3- phase



Department for Energy Security & Net Zero

Secretary of State considerations:

The Secretary of State has considered the factors set out in Schedule 3 of the 2017 Regulations, together with the information within the supplied documentation (“the Application”) by Southern Electric Power Distribution PLC (“the Applicant”) in relation to the impacts on the environment of the proposed development and the views of the LPA. In reaching her decision the Secretary of State notes the following factors:

1. The proposed development does not fall within Schedule 1 (mandatory EIA).
2. The proposed development falls under Schedule 2 of the 2017 Regulations as the electricity line is to be installed above ground in a sensitive area.
3. The proposed development falls within the following designated area:
 - North Wessex Downs National Landscape
4. The applicant consulted with Natural England in April 2026 who advised that there are no landscape issues which, based on the information received, necessitate Natural England’s involvement.
5. The Applicant consulted with the LPA who had no objection to the proposed development (reference: 26/00756/OHL) in July 2026, after confirmation was obtained that impacts would be minimal. It concluded that the proposed development is not an EIA development.
6. The Secretary of State notes the limited scale of the works, the temporary, localised nature of any impacts and that the proposed development is a minor modification to existing infrastructure. The Secretary of State therefore considers that there are no likely significant effects arising from the expected residues and emissions and the production of waste or the use of natural resources, in particular soil, land, water and biodiversity and that the proposed development is not likely to have significant effect on the National Landscape in which it is located.

Yours sincerely,

Anna-Maria Inglis
Consent Manager
Energy Infrastructure Planning Delivery Team