



EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS

Claimant: Mr A Vickers

Respondent: Gecko Plumbing and Heating Ltd

Heard at: Leeds **On:** 2 April 2026

Before: Employment Judge Shepherd

Appearances

For the claimant: In person

For the respondent: Mr J Vickers (director of respondent company)

JUDGMENT

The judgment of the Tribunal is that the claims of unauthorised deduction from wages succeeds and the respondent is ordered to pay the claimant the sum of £6,186.27 net.

Employment Judge Shepherd

2 April 2026

Notes

Summary reasons for the judgment having been given orally at the hearing, written reasons will not be provided unless a request was made by either party at the hearing or a written request is presented by either party within 14 days of the sending of this written record of the decision.

Public access to employment tribunal decisions

Judgments and full written reasons for the judgments are published, in full, online at

www.gov.uk/employment-tribunal-decisions shortly after a copy has been sent to the claimant(s) and respondent(s) in a case.

Recording and Transcription

Please note that if a Tribunal hearing has been recorded you may request a transcript of the recording, for which a charge may be payable. If a transcript is produced it will not include any oral judgment or reasons given at the hearing. The transcript will not be checked, approved or verified by a judge. There is more information in the joint Presidential Practice Direction on the Recording and Transcription of Hearings, and accompanying Guidance, which can be found here:

Brief reasons if requested – I heard evidence from Andrew Vickers – the claimant. He provided bank statements and calculations in respect of the unpaid wages. I heard submissions from James Vickers, director of the respondent company who said that there was a contract of employment which allowed deductions. No response had been received. There was a request for an extension of time but the Tribunal did not respond to this – no extension was given. James Vickers referred to loans given to the claimant who had been a director and also an employee. These may have been director's loans and not relevant to deductions from wages. I gave judgment for the amount of deductions claimed.