

Call for proposals under International Crimes Accountability Programme in Ukraine

Question	Answer
Could you please clarify whether it is applicable to projects supporting Ukrainian survivors in Ukraine and abroad (including in the UK), or only to projects in Ukraine?	It is up to the Applicant to decide on the beneficiaries for the proposal. Best practice would be for the proposal to cover beneficiaries both currently residing in and outside of Ukraine.
1. Pillars. This is largely a matter of formality on our side, as we understand the two pillars to be closely linked and complementary. Could you nonetheless confirm that proposals are expected to address both Pillar 1 (support to the CCVW OPG) and Pillar 2 (support to the Local Units in regional prosecutors' offices), rather than focusing on a single pillar?	The proposals should address both Pillar 1 (support to the CCVW OPG) and Pillar 2 (support to the Local Units in regional prosecutors' offices).
2. Application route. The call allows bidding either as a consortium of CSOs or as a primary grantee with identified local sub-grantees. Is there any difference in practice between these two routes in terms of eligibility or assessment?	No difference in terms of eligibility or assessment. The only difference would be in the delivery model chosen by the applicant.
3. Eligibility of for-profit entities. The call is open to non-profit organisations only. Could you confirm whether for-profit entities (for example, a strategic communications company) may nonetheless be engaged as service providers/contractors under the grant through fair procurement, as distinct from consortium partners or sub-grantees?	It is possible to engage for profit entities, including LLCs, private entrepreneurs, service providers etc as sub-contractors. It is up to the Applicant to decide on any sub-contracting required for the project delivery.

End