



Department for
Energy Security
& Net Zero

John O'Reilly
New Forest Depot,
Minstead,
Lyndhurst,
SO43 7PE

Energy Infrastructure Planning
3-8 Whitehall Place
London
SW1A 2JP

Email:
S37consents@energysecurity.gov.uk

Website: www.gov.uk/desn

Our ref: 2433u
Your ref: JO/CHRI E10L5 Town Common

2 June 2026

Dear Mr O'Reilly,

**SCREENING DECISION BY THE SECRETARY OF STATE UNDER THE
ELECTRICITY WORKS (ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT)
(ENGLAND AND WALES) REGULATIONS 2017 ("THE 2017
REGULATIONS")**

NAME OF SCHEME: JO/CHRI E10L5 Town Common

Decision:

The Secretary of State concludes that the proposed works are not EIA development under the 2017 Regulations and do not require a statutory EIA as they are unlikely to have significant effects on the environment due to their nature, location and size. A copy of this letter has been sent to the Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole Council LPA ("the LPA") for information.

Screening decision for a proposed development ("the proposed development") to:

- Replacement of 8 poles on an 11kV, 1,178m overhead line;



Department for Energy Security & Net Zero

Secretary of State considerations:

The Secretary of State has considered the factors set out in Schedule 3 of the 2017 Regulations, together with the information within the supplied documentation ("the Application") by Southern Electric Power Distribution PLC ("the Applicant") in relation to the impacts on the environment of the proposed development and the views of the LPA. In reaching his decision the Secretary of State notes the following factors:

1. The proposed development does not fall within Schedule 1 (mandatory EIA).
2. The proposed development falls under Schedule 2 of the 2017 Regulations as the electricity line is to be installed above ground in a sensitive area.
3. The proposed development falls within the following designated areas:
 - Town Common Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI)
 - Dorset Heathlands Ramsar
 - Dorset Heathlands Special Protection Area (SPA)
 - Dorset Heaths Special Areas of Conservation (SAC)
4. In July 2025, the applicant produced an ecological constraints report to assess the possible risks and mitigations of the development. The survey advised mitigations such as a Non-Licensed Method Statement for Great Crested Newt, Sand lizard, Smooth Snake, Hazel Dormouse, Badger and schedule 1 birds. Works under the method statement to be supervised by suitably qualified ecological clerk of works (ECoW). Endoscopes are required for those poles found to have bat roosting potential.
5. The Applicant consulted with the LPA in January 2026 who had no objection to the proposed development (reference: P/25/03806/PDNOT). It concluded it was not an EIA development due to it being a minor development.
6. The Applicant contacted NE in February 2026 to inform them that they were unable to carry out the works within the assented period and noted their intention to complete the works from September 2026. The Applicant stated that no amendments are proposed to the scope of works or the environmental mitigation, NE did not respond.
7. The Secretary of State notes the limited scale of the works, and localised nature of any impacts and that the proposed development is a like for like replacement to existing infrastructure. The Secretary of State therefore considers that there are no likely significant effects



Department for
Energy Security
& Net Zero

arising from the expected residues and emissions and the production of waste or the use of natural resources, in particular soil, land, water and biodiversity and that the proposed development is not likely to have significant effect on the designated sites in which it is located.

Yours sincerely,

John McKenna
Head of Network Planning team
Energy Infrastructure Planning Delivery Team