



EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS

Claimant: Mr K Ciurkot

Respondent: Clokken UK Limited

JUDGMENT ON APPLICATION FOR RECONSIDERATION

The Claimant's application for reconsideration, dated 9 March 2026, is denied because there is no reasonable prospect of the original decision being varied or revoked.

REASONS

1. Judgment was sent to the parties on 7 March 2026. The Claimant did not ask for written reasons.
2. The Claimant's application for reconsideration was received within the relevant time limit in accordance with Rule 69 on the Employment Tribunal's Procedural Rules. It consists of a two sentenced email that states: "I respectfully request that the court reconsider the recent judgment in my case. I believe there may be important points or circumstances that deserve further review, and I would be grateful for the opportunity for the decision to be revisited".
3. The Claimant's email was not copied to the Respondent. It is unclear whether the Respondent was notified of the application by any other means. No response has been received from the Respondent. Ordinarily, I would invite submissions from the Respondent before determining an application for reconsideration. However, given the absence of any substantive grounds in the application, there is nothing to which the Respondent could meaningfully respond. I have therefore determined the application without seeking further representations.
4. The Claimant's application identifies no specific error in the judgment and no new evidence, facts, or circumstances that might justify reconsideration. The application merely asserts that there "may be important points or circumstances that deserve further review" without identifying what those matters are.

5. Reconsideration is not an opportunity for a party who is dissatisfied with the outcome to seek a further hearing of the case. The Tribunal's power of reconsideration is exercised where it is necessary in the interests of justice to do so. An applicant must identify a basis upon which it can properly be argued that the judgment should be varied or revoked.
6. This application discloses no such basis. No error is identified, no new evidence is relied upon, and no explanation is provided as to why reconsideration would be in the interests of justice.
7. If the Claimant wants to argue that there was an error of law, this is a matter for appeal and not reconsideration.
8. In the circumstances, the application for reconsideration is rejected on the basis there is no reasonable prospect of the judgment being varied or revoked. Accordingly, the application for reconsideration is refused.

Approved by:
Employment Judge Murdoch
Date: 5 June 2026

JUDGMENT SENT TO THE PARTIES ON
25 June 2026

Jade Lobb
FOR THE TRIBUNAL OFFICE