



Department for
Energy Security
& Net Zero

John O'Reilly
New Forest Depot,
Minstead,
Lyndhurst,
SO43 7PE

Energy Infrastructure Planning
3-8 Whitehall Place
London
SW1A 2JP

Email:
S37consents@energysecurity.gov.uk

Website: www.gov.uk/desnz

Our ref: 2426u
Your ref: JO/WART E3L5 Wareham Meadows

22 April 2026

Dear Mr O'Reilly,

**SCREENING DECISION BY THE SECRETARY OF STATE UNDER THE
ELECTRICITY WORKS (ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT)
(ENGLAND AND WALES) REGULATIONS 2017 (“THE 2017
REGULATIONS”)**

NAME OF SCHEME: JO/WART E3L5 Wareham Meadows

Decision:

The Secretary of State concludes that the proposed works are not EIA development under the 2017 Regulations and do not require a statutory EIA as they are unlikely to have significant effects on the environment due to their nature, location and size. A copy of this letter has been sent to the Dorset Council LPA (“the LPA”) for information.

Screening decision for a proposed development (“the proposed development”) to:

- 1 x pole replacement of existing 87m, 11kV overhead line;



Department for Energy Security & Net Zero

Secretary of State considerations:

The Secretary of State has considered the factors set out in Schedule 3 of the 2017 Regulations, together with the information within the supplied documentation (“the Application”) by Southern Electric Power Distribution PLC (“the Applicant”) in relation to the impacts on the environment of the proposed development and the views of the LPA. In reaching his decision the Secretary of State notes the following factors:

1. The proposed development does not fall within Schedule 1 (mandatory EIA).
2. The proposed development falls under Schedule 2 of the 2017 Regulations as the electricity line is to be installed above ground in a sensitive area.
3. The proposed development falls within the following designated areas:
 - Wareham Meadows Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI)
 - Poole Harbour Ramsar
 - Dorset Heaths Special Areas of Conservation (SAC)
 - Dorset National Landscape
4. Natural England was consulted regarding the designated sensitive area in June 2025 and granted unconditional assent to the development from the period between 1 September 2026 and 28 February 2027 (Natural England reference: 1306251237CW).
5. The Applicant consulted with the LPA who had no objection to the proposed development in September 2025 (reference: P/STA/03687). It concluded it was not an EIA development due to it being a minor development.
6. In January 2026, the applicant produced an ecological constraints report to assess the possible risks and mitigations of the development. The survey advised mitigations such as a Non-Licensed Method Statement for Great Crested Newt, Hazel Dormouse and Badger. Ecological Clerk of Works supervision is required during vegetation clearance. Bat emergence surveys are required, spaced at least three weeks apart. If a bat roost is found, a mitigation licence from Natural England would then be required before the works begin.
7. The Secretary of State notes the limited scale of the works, and localised nature of any impacts and that the proposed development is a like for like replacement to existing infrastructure. The Secretary of State therefore considers that there are no likely significant effects



Department for
Energy Security
& Net Zero

arising from the expected residues and emissions and the production of waste or the use of natural resources, in particular soil, land, water and biodiversity and that the proposed development is not likely to have significant effect on the designated sites in which it is located.

Yours sincerely,

John McKenna
Head of Network Planning team
Energy Infrastructure Planning Delivery Team