

## **About You**

### **Which of the following best describes how you are responding to this consultation?**

I am a member of the public, giving my views as an individual

I am responding on behalf of, or as a representative of, a business or organisation

As both an individual and on behalf of an organisation

### **Details**

Name:

E-mail address\*:

Postcode\* (1st part):

### **Select the specific or wider proposed regions you responding about**

Adlington, Cheshire East

Milton Keynes

Brabazon and the West Innovation Arc

Plymouth

Crews Hill and Chase Park, Enfield

South Barking, Barking and Dagenham

Heyford Park, Cherwell

Tempsford

Leeds South Bank

Thamesmead, Greenwich

Manchester Victoria North

Wychavon, Worcestershire

Marlcombe, East Devon

None

### **Wider regions**

North East

West Midlands

North West

East of England

Yorkshire and the Humber

London

East Midlands

South East

National Interest

South West

I'm not responding about a potential new town location/region.

### **What is your connection to the proposed location/region?**

Resident nearby

Environmental organisation

Landowner

Professional interest

Local authority

No specific connection

Developer

Other

## For those responding as an Organisation

### **Organisation details**

Organisation Name:

Your role:

### **What best describes your organisation?**

Business

Local Authority

Housing association

Professional or representative body

Social Enterprise

Registered Provider

Voluntary and community sector or charity

Academic Institution

Other (Please Specify)

### **What size is your organisation?**

Micro (1–9 employees)

Small (10–49 employees)

Medium (50–249 employees)

Large (250+ employees)

### Section 3.1 - Assessment of Locations

Q7. Thinking about the thirteen locations listed, which locations do you believe have positive features that could help achieve the objectives of the New Towns programme?

Please select all that apply:

Adlington, Cheshire East

Brabazon and the West Innovation Arc

Crews Hill and Chase Park, Enfield

Heyford Park, Cherwell

Leeds South Bank

Manchester Victoria North

Marlcombe, East Devon

Milton Keynes

Plymouth

South Barking, Barking and Dagenham

Tempsford

Thamesmead, Greenwich

Wychavon, Worcestershire

None

What do you believe are the positive features of the location(s) that you have selected?

Q8. Do you think any of the thirteen locations listed face barriers which could hold back delivery of the New Towns Programme objectives?

Please select all that apply:

Adlington, Cheshire East

Milton Keynes

Brabazon and the West Innovation Arc

Plymouth

Crews Hill and Chase Park, Enfield

South Barking, Barking and Dagenham

Heyford Park, Cherwell

Tempsford

Leeds South Bank

Thamesmead, Greenwich

Manchester Victoria North

Wychavon, Worcestershire

Marlcombe, East Devon

None

If you answered YES, please tell us what you believe the barriers to delivery in the location(s) you have selected are:

Q9. Do you have any comments about whether the SEA report has identified the main environmental issues relevant to the thirteen locations?

Yes

No

Not sure

If you answered YES, please add your comments here:

Adlington, Cheshire East

Brabazon and the West Innovation Arc

Crews Hill and Chase Park, Enfield

Heyford Park, Cherwell

Leeds South Bank

Manchester Victoria North

Marlcombe, East Devon

Milton Keynes

Plymouth

South Barking, Barking and Dagenham

Tempsford

Thamesmead, Greenwich

Wychavon, Worcestershire

None

Q10. Is there any additional environmental information about these locations that the SEA should take into account?

Yes

No

Not sure

If you answered YES, please tell us what additional information the SEA should take into account :

Adlington, Cheshire East

Brabazon and the West Innovation Arc

Crews Hill and Chase Park, Enfield

Heyford Park, Cherwell

Leeds South Bank

Manchester Victoria North

Marlcombe, East Devon

Milton Keynes

Plymouth

South Barking, Barking and Dagenham

Tempsford

Thamesmead, Greenwich

Wychavon, Worcestershire

None

Q11. Do you have any suggestions for practical mitigation measures to address any effects identified in the SEA report?

Yes

No

Not sure

If you answered YES, please set out the practical mitigation measures that should be considered:

Adlington, Cheshire East

Milton Keynes

Brabazon and the West Innovation Arc

Plymouth

Crews Hill and Chase Park, Enfield

South Barking, Barking and Dagenham

Heyford Park, Cherwell

Tempsford

Leeds South Bank

Thamesmead, Greenwich

Manchester Victoria North

Wychavon, Worcestershire

Marlcombe, East Devon

None

Q12. Do you have any other feedback on the SEA report, including the issues and effects identified therein?

### **Section 3.3: Proposed New Town Locations**

Q13. Do you think the 7 locations proposed for the new towns programme are the ones most likely to meet the programme's objectives?

Yes

No

Not sure

If you answered NO, please set out why you think the proposed locations do not meet our objectives:

## **Section 4.1 - Government Offer**

Q14. Do you agree with the overall government offer for proposed new town locations?

Yes

No

Not sure

If you answered NO, is there any additional support you think should be offered?

Q15. Do you think there are any additional interventions that government should consider to ensure design and placemaking quality in new towns?

Yes

No

Not sure

If you answered YES, please set out the additional interventions government should consider:

## **Section 4.2 - New Towns Planning Policy**

Q16. How clear do you find the proposed planning policy?

Very clear

Clear

Neutral

Somewhat unclear

Very unclear

If you answered very unclear or somewhat unclear, which elements are unclear and how would you suggest changing them?

Q17. Do you think establishing placemaking principles in the proposed planning policy is an effective way to implement the placemaking ambition of the programme?

Yes

No

Not sure

If you answered NO, how do you think the placemaking principles should be implemented?

Q18. Do you think the proposed planning policy provides sufficient flexibility to new town locations to meet the placemaking principles?

Yes

No

Not sure

If you answered NO, what other measures could create a flexible approach to the placemaking principles?

Q19. Is establishing a 40% target for affordable housing an effective way of delivering an ambitious number of affordable homes?

Yes

No

Not sure

If you answered NO, what changes to the target are needed?

Q20. Is the proposed policy on giving substantial weight in decision-making to the social and economic benefits of new towns clear?

Yes

No

Not sure

If you answered NO, please provide your reasons here:

Q21. Do you agree with the Government's approach to decision-making policy on the Green Belt?

Yes

No

Not sure

If you selected NO, what further changes to plan-making or decision-making policy are needed to deliver sufficient clarity?

Q22. Do you think the proposed planning policy is sufficient for the purposes of safeguarding land for development as new towns?

Yes

No

Not sure

If you answered NO, how could the proposed planning policy go further?

Q23. Do you think any additional planning policies are needed to support the delivery of the programme objectives?

Yes

No

Not sure

If you answered YES, how could the proposed planning policy go further?

Q24. Do you have any views on the potential impacts of the New Towns Draft Programme on people or groups with protected characteristics?

Q25. Is there anything else you would like to tell us that you think is relevant to this consultation but has not been covered in previous questions?