



EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS

Claimant:
Ms G Jordan v

Respondent:
Dascher Intelligent Logistics

Before: Employment Judge Fredericks-Bowyer

On: 2 January 2026

On the papers

STRIKE OUT

The claim is struck out because it is not being actively pursued.

REASONS

1. The claimant has not engaged with the claim or complied with directions.
2. The respondent made an application for an unless order on 23 October 2025. The respondent also made an application to strike out the claim for non-pursuit on 7 November 2025.
3. On 7 November 2025, Employment Judge Corrigan warned the claimant that she was considering striking the claim out and that the claimant should object within 14 days if she wished to make any submissions to be taken into account.
4. As it stands on 2 January 2026, the claimant has not responded to EJ Corrigan's communication.
5. EJ Corrigan was satisfied that the claimant was not actively pursuing the claim. I am similarly satisfied, my view reinforced by a failure to respond to EJ Corrigan. The question for me is whether it is now proportionate to strike out the claim.
6. In my judgment, it is proportionate to strike out the claim. The respondent should not be put to time and cost defending a claim which is not being pursued. The Tribunal should not be put to time and cost in administering and hearing a claim which is not being pursued.

7. The claim is consequently struck out.
8. Any costs application arising from the respondent's defence of a claim which was never actively pursued should be made in compliance with the Rules, marked for the attention of EJ Fredericks-Bowyer.

Employment Judge Fredericks-Bowyer

Dated: 2 January 2026

Sent to the parties on:
6 January 2025

For the Tribunal Office:

P Wing