



Permitting decisions- Surrender

We have decided to accept the surrender of the permit for **Martham West Farm Poultry Unit** operated by **2 Agriculture Growing Limited**.

The permit number is EPR/VP3933UB

The decision was issued on 23/01/26.

We are satisfied that the necessary measures have been taken to avoid any pollution risk and to return the site to a satisfactory state. We consider in reaching this decision that we have taken into account all relevant considerations and legal requirements.

Purpose of this document

This decision document provides a record of the decision-making process. It summarises the decision-making process to show how the main relevant factors have been taken into account.

This decision document provides a record of the decision-making process. It:

- highlights [key issues](#) in the determination
- summarises the decision-making process in the [decisions considerations](#) section to show how all relevant factors have been taken into account

Key issues of the decision

- The confirmation of name change from Hook 2 Sister Limited to 2 Agriculture Growing Limited. was confirmed by letter dated 16/09/25. This is a name change but no change in legal entity.
- The installation has not had any poultry places above 40,000 since November 2025.
- The permit is being surrendered in full. In practice the poultry site is being reduced below 40,000 bird EPR threshold , with potential for bird placement with numbers under this threshold. The site will operate a broiler breeder facility with bird numbers no higher than 34,100.
- The site was fully decommissioned by December 19th 2025.

- Our site officer visited the site December 17th 2025.
- The local Environment Officer has confirmed the site is considered low risk and is satisfied that the condition of the installation is acceptable to be surrendered.

Decision considerations

Confidential information

A claim for commercial or industrial confidentiality has not been made.

The decision was taken in accordance with our guidance on confidentiality.

Identifying confidential information

We have not identified information provided as part of the application that we consider to be confidential.

The decision was taken in accordance with our guidance on confidentiality.

Pollution risk

We are satisfied that the necessary measures have been taken to avoid a pollution risk resulting from the operation of the regulated facility.

Satisfactory state

We are satisfied that the necessary measures have been taken to return the site of the regulated facility to a satisfactory state, having regard to the state of the site before the facility was put into operation.

Growth duty

We have considered our duty to have regard to the desirability of promoting economic growth set out in section 108(1) of the Deregulation Act 2015 and the guidance issued under section 110 of that Act in deciding whether to accept this permit surrender.