

From: [Lizzy Clark](#)
To: [Section 62A Applications Non Major](#)
Subject: S62A/2025/0139 - Castle House, Brentry Avenue, BS50DL
Date: 16 January 2026 11:15:42

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

Hi there,

As a resident of [REDACTED], I am writing to object to plans to development of 8 flats at 42 Brentry Avenue.

I am not against development of new housing but residents of Brentry Av, Hemmings Parade and Tenby Street are feeling somewhat under attack at the moment from some very uncoordinated proposals on our doorstep in quick succession.

We already have the huge 390 home Barrow Rd development looming just behind us, as well as the 9 new flats on Sarah Street - just a few metres away from the 14 proposed at 42 Brentry. That's 413 additional residences - potentially upwards of 1000 additional people.

None of these developments come with adequate parking spaces. I understand that there's a drive to reduce car use, which is commendable. However, expecting that this many new residents will not own cars is simply not realistic. Site traffic and eventually, new residents vehicles will put significant additional strain on our already full on street parking on Brentry, Tenby, Sarah Street and the Hemmings Parade residents carpark on Sarah Street. We already see residents of local flats and businesses parking on our streets to avoid parking charges elsewhere, meaning the streets are congested. Since the works have begun on Sarah Street, we have already lost roadside parking spaces and seen an increase in site traffic to the area. This will only continue to get worse as things progress around us, and if the plans to add a parking charge at the nearby Ducie St carpark continue.

Allowing just one bike space per flat is also not just unrealistic but also unfair! If residents of 42 Brentry are expected to not have a car - they should at the very minimum each be able to park a bike on their property! Yes we are close to bus and rail routes, but these only get you so far. People have cars for the journeys to further afield which can't be carried out on public transport. We have to accept that reality.

I am a car owner. I walk or get the bus to work, I cycle to see my friends locally, I walk to the supermarket, I get the train to London and other cities - I drive to visit my family who live in rural Devon and are unreachable by public transport. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED], I also rely on my car more for the local trips I'm no longer able to make on foot/ the bus doesn't service. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

I'd like to understand what engagement has taken place with the local residents who will be most impacted by the building works - especially 41 Brentry Avenue who share a wall with Castle House, and onto whose garden some of the flats will look/ windows open.

41 Brentry (as well as other houses to a lesser extent) will undergo serious disruption and noise while the works happen.

Have the developers also clocked that the next closest neighbours are the Hells Angels? ...

I'd like to question the appropriateness of the size of the development. For the footprint of land in question, it feels like there are too many flats with too many occupants. I would be more supportive if the development was inkeeping with the scale and size of the existing properties on the block, and the square metrage per flat increased for a more reasonable living space. Existing houses nearby are mostly 2/3 bedrooms, perhaps more where extensions have been added but with a significantly bigger footprint than the proposed flats.

Thankyou for considering my concerns.

Best wishes,

Lizzy Clark

Sent from [Outlook for Android](#)