

# Methodology Note: Capture Plant CAPEX Benchmarking

## Purpose and Scope

This methodology note outlines the approach used to benchmark capital expenditure (CAPEX) for carbon capture plants across a range of emitter types and project configurations. The analysis supports the generation cost modelling undertaken by DESNZ by providing comparative cost data for retrofit and new-build capture installations, adjusted to a common reference year and scale. The benchmarked data informs techno-economic assumptions for future deployment scenarios of carbon capture and storage (CCS) technologies in the UK.

## Data Sources and Project Selection

The benchmarking draws on a curated set of 13 capture plant projects, spanning various emitter types including combined cycle gas turbines (CCGT), cement, energy-from-waste (EfW), and bioenergy with carbon capture and storage (BECCS). Projects were selected based on availability of detailed engineering, procurement and construction (EPC) cost data, and represent a mix of:

- New build and retrofit configurations
- FEED, FID, and post-FEED maturity levels
- UK, US, Norwegian, and Swedish locations
- Solvent technologies including Shell CANSOLV, MEA, Piperazine, and proprietary systems

Sources include Class 2 bids, FEED studies, EPC press releases, and agreed cost estimates from project developers and contractors.

## Normalisation and Adjustments

To ensure comparability across projects, all CAPEX figures were normalised to Q1 2025 GBP (£) using appropriate escalation indices and exchange rates. Adjustments were applied for:

- Inflation escalation using DCCI and CPI indices
- Construction period escalation (typically 2% p.a.)
- Location factors to account for regional cost differentials
- Scaling to a common CO<sub>2</sub> input rate of 252 t/h and 98% design capture rate

- Flue gas CO<sub>2</sub> concentration effects Where necessary, scope adders and removals were applied to align project scopes with a standardised capture plant boundary, excluding CO<sub>2</sub> transport, liquefaction, and storage infrastructure.

## Cost Metrics and Uncertainty

The primary cost metric used is EPC cost per tonne of CO<sub>2</sub> input capacity (GBP/t/h), supplemented by total CAPEX (P85) per tonne of CO<sub>2</sub> input. Uncertainty ranges were applied to reflect estimation maturity and scaling assumptions:

- ±10% for high-confidence Class 2 bids
- ±20–25% for FEED Class 4 estimates and scaled data
- Additional uncertainty flags for projects with extrapolated scope or limited public data

No adjustments were made for performance risk premiums or sustainability incentives beyond those already embedded in contractor estimates.

## Key Assumptions

- All capture plants are assumed to operate continuously at design capacity
- Heat integration with host facilities is assumed where applicable (e.g. cement kilns)
- Flue gas pretreatment requirements are excluded unless explicitly costed
- Capture rate and solvent performance are assumed to meet design specifications

## Use in Generation Cost Modelling

The benchmarked CAPEX data feeds into levelised cost of electricity (LCOE) and abatement cost modelling for CCS-enabled generation technologies. Capture plant costs are paired with power plant CAPEX and OPEX assumptions to derive full-chain economics. The data also supports sensitivity analysis around technology maturity, scale, and regional deployment.