From: Amy Twibell

To: Section 62A Applications Non Major

Subject: S62A/2025/0133 Stoke Lodge Playing Fields, West Dene, Shirehampton, Bristol BS9 2BH

Date: 04 December 2025 21:10:45

You don't often get email from

Section 62A Planning Application: S62A/2025/0133 Stoke Lodge Playing Fields, West Dene, Shirehampton, Bristol BS9 2BH

I would like to register a strong objection to the proposed CCTV cameras on the grounds below.

1. My families right to privacy in our home and garden.

- i) due to the proposed location, a camera will be able to see into our home and garden.
- ii) we have no way of knowing how data protection will be enforced (and we have no trust with the school)
- iii) a 5 megapixel camera can see in detail for far further than Cotham Schools application demonstrates.
- iv) Cotham School are likely to add infra red capabilities, giving them night vision into our home and garden too.
- v) I categorically do not give Cotham School permission to watch, film or record my family at our home or garden.

The document "Additional Expert View" covers a these points in far greater depth and detail. I fully support that document.

2. PROW

- i) according to the application, at least one camera would obstruct a PROW. I believe this is unlawful.
- ii) the school inaccurately stated the locations of the PROW as 'adjacent' to the land. They are not adjacent, they are within Stoke Lodge.
- iii) these aren't claimed rights of way, they have passed approval.

3. Impact on TPO Trees

- i) The document "BTF Representations" highlights many extremely important concerns. I'm very supportive of the points they raise in particular:
- A) potential damage to TPO trees, several of which are Veteran
- B) Cotham Schools disdain and disregard for tree health during their previous works on Stoke Lodge. I also saw this new fence be positioned across a young elderflower tree which was then cut down to re-arect the fence. Yet the tree had survived the first fence.I believe this is relevant as it demonstrates their disregard for the onsite trees.
- ii) we're in a climate emergency, actively and properly protecting TPO trees must be a core part of Bristols 'climate resilience actions'.

4. Heritage and Visual Impact

- i) Stoke Lodge is beautiful, open parkland punctuated by veteran trees.
- ii) our children don't get to experience nature enough away from hustle, bustle and the modern world. 24 cameras on 8 poles would very much bring the modern world into this parkland.
- iii) Stoke Lodge is a very important heritage asset and this CCTV would destroy the character and appearance of the site.

5. Falsifications and inaccurate information in the application

- i) locations of the cameras seems to vary at points.
- ii) the cabling report supplied and that within the arboreal report vary.
- iii) the boundary line is incorrect which has an impact on the net gain. This needs to be readdressed.

Cotham School have a history of providing misinformation, this must be straightened out

6. A previous application for one CCTV camera was refused.

Leaping to 8 poles with 24 cameras of 5 mega pixels and the opportunity to add infra-red seems a stretch to anyone.

Especially when Cotham School have previously installed CCTV illegally.

For the reasons listed above I respectfully request that you completely reject this application.

If there is a hearing, I would consider speaking there.

Many thanks, Amy