

On Thu, 4 Dec 2025, 07:02 Gillian Tomlinson, [REDACTED] wrote:
Good morning

I would like to submit my very strong objection to the planning application number S62A/2025/0133 at Stoke Lodge Playing Fields, West Dene, Shirehampton, Bristol, BS9 2BH.

My grounds for objection are as follows:

Public rights of way negatively impacted - for nearly [REDACTED] I have regularly walked/run through (now, around the time step of land at the very edge of) Stoke Lodge. I understand there are 4 public rights of way already impacted by the fences, the cameras are going to be viewing me at all times as I walk around on those rights of way and are (per the plans) right on top of the rights of way.

Constant monitoring of children and adults (vulnerable and not vulnerable) whilst walking on public rights of way, in the playground and around the area. There is no physical way the cameras can be set, based on the number, specification and locations, to only view the field on which the school children will go. Surely this can't be right to be able to monitor public areas in this way?

Constant monitoring into people's houses and gardens - with three cameras per pole very high up with 360 degree vision and the way technology is evolving those cameras will be able to see into people's houses and gardens no matter where they are as all boundaries have houses close by. I don't understand how any cameras of this nature anywhere on Stoke Lodge can in reality be within guidelines. Even with residents have a legal agreement that no data is recorded, and with access to all recordings to be able to verify the validity of adherence to the agreement?

Some cameras are in amongst age old trees which would have their roots damaged by the installation and which would need heavy pruning to keep back away from the cameras. Both the roots damage and pruning could be potentially detrimental to the age old protected trees. And damage which can not be undone. The locations and the cabling to those locations should go no where near trees.

Plus, why on earth do Cotham school need cameras given they have the fence? The fence was apparently needed to safe guard the children, it certainly stops people from getting in uninvited, so why do they need cameras at all? It's turning what was for hundreds of years a lovely city open space into Fort Knox. Cotham school have been successfully using Golden Hill without cameras.

I would be very grateful for your consideration of the above.

Kind regards

Gillian