From: enda mcmahon

To: Section 62A Applications Non Major

Subject: stoke lane cameras

Date: 15 November 2025 12:15:01

[You don't often get email from

Learn why this is important at

https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification

Regarding application reference number S62A/2025/0133 on Stoke Lodge Playing Fields, West Dene, Shirehampton, Bristol BS9 2BH, I have the following comments:

- 1. This application does not make any reference to the public rights of way on the site (which have been approved by Bristol City Council). This application must consider the impact of the cameras on the public rights of way.
- 2. The applicant claims that the cameras are needed to keep the children safe. Ofsted's School Inspection Handbook states that 'We expect schools to meet the other requirements of Keeping children safe in education, but have no additional or separate expectations of schools with respect to taking any specific steps with regard to site security; in particular, inspectors do not have a view about the need for perimeter fences or lockdown alarms' i.e. Ofsted do not require perimeter fences (even on their main school site) or 100% CCTV coverage. The applicant states that there is a statutory requirement for fences and cameras, this is not true.
- 3. The references to specific sections of the Children Act 2004 appear to be incorrect or irrelevant.
- 4. The extent and positioning of cameras is disproportionate or unnecessary and an intrusion on the amenity use of the land.
- 5.It is my understanding that Cotham School's previous application for just one CCTV pole was rejected partly due to its detrimental impact to a non-designated heritage asset. As such this application should be rejected.

I request that the application be refused.

Regards

Mr Enda McMahon