Case Number: 6009016/2025

On: 9 September 2025



## **EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS**

Claimant: Syed Hussain

Respondent: Mitie Ltd

**Heard at:** London South Employment

Tribunal by Video

**Before:** Employment Judge Burge

## **REPRESENTATION:**

Claimant: In person

**Respondent:** Mr R Lassey, Counsel

## **JUDGMENT**

It is the Judgment of the Tribunal that:

- 1. The Claimant's application to amend is refused; and
- 2. The claim is struck out under Employment Tribunal Rule 38(1)(a) because it has no reasonable prospect of success.

Approved by:

**Employment Judge Burge** 

9 September 2025

## **Notes**

Reasons for the judgment having been given orally at the hearing, written reasons will not be provided unless a request was made by either party at the hearing or a written request is presented by either party within 14 days of the sending of this written record of the decision. If written reasons are provided

Case Number: 6009016/2025

they will be placed online.

All judgments (apart from judgments under Rule 51) and any written reasons for the judgments are published, in full, online at <a href="https://www.gov.uk/employment-tribunal-decisions">https://www.gov.uk/employment-tribunal-decisions</a> shortly after a copy has been sent to the claimants and respondents.

If a Tribunal hearing has been recorded, you may request a transcript of the recording. Unless there are exceptional circumstances, you will have to pay for it. If a transcript is produced it will not include any oral judgment or reasons given at the hearing. The transcript will not be checked, approved or verified by a judge. There is more information in the joint Presidential Practice Direction on the Recording and Transcription of Hearings and accompanying Guidance, which can be found at <a href="https://www.judiciary.uk/guidance-and-resources/employment-rules-and-legislation-practice-directions/">www.judiciary.uk/guidance-and-resources/employment-rules-and-legislation-practice-directions/</a>