



**FIRST-TIER TRIBUNAL  
PROPERTY CHAMBER  
(RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY)**

**Case reference** : **LON/00AY/F77/2025/162, 164, 166, 168, 160, 159, 157, 163, 165, 167, 153, 140 and 150**

**Tenants** : **Mrs Bell - Flat 3, Edward Henry House  
Mr & Mrs Phillips - Flat 4 Edward Henry House  
Mr Nicholson - Flat 21 Edward Henry House  
Mrs Smith - Flat 4, Henry House  
Ms Nosedal - Flat 5, Henry House  
Mrs Davies - Flat 11, Henry House  
Mr Dyer - Flat 15, Henry House  
Mr Hart - Flat 18, Henry House  
Ms Phillips - Flat 29, Henry House  
Miss O'Brien - Flat 32, Henry House  
Mrs Goldfinch - Flat 37, Henry House  
Mrs Want - Flat 38 Henry House  
Mrs Mitchell - Flat 43, Henry House**

**Landlord** : **Edward Henry Housing Co-Operative Ltd**

**Properties** : **Flats 3, 4 and 21 Edward Henry House,  
Cornwall Road, London, SE1 8YF  
Flats 4, 5, 11, 15, 18, 29, 32, 37, 38 and 43  
Henry House, Coin Street, London, SE1 8YE**

**Date of Decision** : **30 September 2025**

**Chair** : **Ms H C Bowers**

---

**REASONS**

---

**Background:**

1. There has been an objection by Edward Henry Housing Co-Operative Ltd, the Landlord, to various Fair Rents registered by the Rent Officer and therefore these cases have been referred to the Tribunal.

2. Given the limited nature of the dispute a Case Management Hearing (CMH) was arranged. Ms Brennan from MB Housing Management Ltd, was in attendance on behalf of the Landlord. None of the Tenants were in attendance. Directions were issued that set out the timetable for parties to prepare for this case.
3. The main issue raised by the Landlord is that the Rent Officer has set a fixed sum of £9.00 for the service charge element in the registered rents. It is the Landlord's position that the figure included in the rent should be £19.31. All the rents have been capped using the Rent Acts (Maximum Fair Rent) Order 1999 (the 1999 Order). The Landlord does not dispute the level of rent that has been registered but appreciates that any rent determined by the Tribunal may be different from the current registrations to reflect the application of the 1999 Order.
4. None of the tenants have made submissions on the issue in dispute. The only representations came from Ms Martin and are dated 11 July 2025. Those submissions explained that when the Landlord applied to have the rents re-registered a service charge element of £19.31 was sought. But that when the Rent Officer registered the rents the sum for the service charge element was set down as £9.00. A telephone call with the Rent Officer revealed that the difference between the two figures was because of the removal of lift costs which the Rent Officer said had been claimed incorrectly.
5. The appeal was made on the basis that in the past the lift expenses had been allowed; the lift expenses includes depreciation, maintenance, insurance and emergency telephone; the Rent Officer's handbook (Valuation Officer Agency 2022) suggested a guideline of 25-30 years for the life of a lift and the Landlord's position was that due to the level of use in the building, it is estimated that the lift will need replacing in 20 years; the 2021 lift refurbishment cost £609,680 and that equates to an annual depreciation of £30,484 and this in contrast with the figure being claimed of £29,000; that the Department for Work and Pensions has published advice on Universal Credit for service charges (Guidance for Social Landlords 2019) that includes on the list of eligible service charges "the provision, maintenance, cleaning or repair of communal lists including stairlifts in communal areas" and "a communal telephone (excluding the cost of telephone calls)".
6. Included with the original application was a schedule setting out all the service charges and included a sum of £29,000 for the lift replacement fund contribution and administration costs of £4,860 for all of the services provided. The total services for 2024 was £66,260 and apportioned for the number of flats within the development the weekly service charges amounted to £19.31 per week for each flat.
7. It is clear from the Rent Officer's Handbook (the Handbook) that the phrase "services" has a wide definition and is an item that represents a provision beyond the actual occupied residential unit. Service charges can include the provision of plant that is serving the communal parts of the building. From this I determine that the lift is communal plant and that given the depreciation tables in the Handbook that there is an anticipation that the depreciated of the lift can be included in the service charges. I accept the evidence given on behalf of the Landlord that replacement cost in 2021 was £609,680 and that for a 20-year

period the depreciated cost if £30,484. I accept a depreciation period of 20 years given that this is an item of plant that is heavily used. Therefore, the depreciated sum of £29,000, adopted by the Landlord seems reasonable and I am satisfied that this and the associated administration charge should be included in the service charges. From the calculations provided by the Landlord I adopt a service charge figure of £19.31 per week for each flat.

8. As there is no dispute on the level of the uncapped rents and as these are all significantly below the capped rent, I make no adjustment to those uncapped rents. However, as this is a new decision of the Tribunal the capping figures have been recalculated to reflect the RPI figures as they stand now. This re-registration should last for another two years from the date of this determination, unless there are any major works/improvements to the property.
9. Attached to this decision are the Decision Forms for all the properties included in this matter.

**Chair: Ms H C Bowers**

**Date: 30 September 2025**

### **RIGHTS OF APPEAL**

By rule 36(2) of the Tribunal Procedure (First-tier Tribunal) (Property Chamber) Rules 2013, the Tribunal is required to notify the parties about any right of appeal they may have.

If a party wishes to appeal this decision to the Upper Tribunal (Lands Chamber), then a written application for permission must be made to the First-tier Tribunal at the Regional Office which has been dealing with the case. The application should be made on Form RP PTA available at <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/form-rp-pta-application-for-permission-to-appeal-a-decision-to-the-upper-tribunal-lands-chamber>

The application for permission to appeal must arrive at the Regional Office within 28 days after the Tribunal sends written reasons for the decision to the person making the application.

If the application is not made within the 28-day time limit, such application must include a request for an extension of time and the reason for not complying with the 28-day time limit; the Tribunal will then look at such reason(s) and decide whether to allow the application for permission to appeal to proceed, despite not being within the time limit.

The application for permission to appeal must identify the decision of the Tribunal to which it relates (i.e. give the date, the property and the case number), state the grounds of appeal and state the result the party making the application is seeking. Please note that if you are seeking permission to appeal against a decision made by the Tribunal

under the Rent Act 1977, the Housing Act 1988 or the Local Government and Housing Act 1989, this can only be on a point of law.

If the First-tier Tribunal refuses to grant permission to appeal, a further application for permission may be made to the Upper Tribunal (Lands Chamber).