Minutes and Actions

The Euston Partnership Board



Meeting De	tails	Attendees			
Date	14/11/2024	John Reed (interim Ch	air) TEP	Matt Cope	WCP
Time	10:00 – 12:00	Alan Over	DfT	Cathy Francis	НМ
Location		Jill Adam	DfT	David Rowe	TFL
		Matthew Steenhoek	LL	Jenny Rowland	LBC
Reference	EPB49	Jenny Sawyer	LL		
		Nick Jones	HS2		•
		Stephen Dance	IPA	Stephen Dance	IPA
				Apologies	
		Lucinda Turner	GLA	Andy Swift	HS2
		Elaine Holt	HS2	David Burns	LBC
		James Dean	NR	Jules Pipe	GLA
				Patrick Cawley	ONW
Next meetin	ıg details:	12/12/2024			















1. Welcome and Introductions

The Chair welcomed members to the meeting.

2. Review of Minutes & Actions

Minutes were circulated in the usual way and agreed as correct. The Chair took the Board through the open actions, noting the following updates:

- Action 3.01 (12/09/24): Clarify the impact of business rates on any new delivery vehicles (GLA/DfT/LL/LBC). Ongoing. Decision to update due date to 30 November 2024.
- Action 5.01 (12/09/24):

 on seeking and incorporating community feedback on the strategy and accompanying implementation plan, and to ensure that LBC experience and expertise is harnessed to support successful delivery of the strategy.

 Agreed to keep open until community engagement has taken place engagement sessions with seven different community groups are planned for November and December. An update to be provided at EPB in February and paper added to forward look.
- Action 7.01 (12/09/24): JR to seek feedback from all partners through working groups and keep EPB up-to-date on this workstream. Closed.
- Action 4.01 (17/10/24): L'Rep/LL to develop an engagement plan to ensure the
 effective continued involvement of Camden and GLA in the development of the
 campus planning strategy. Expected to be shared on 30 November 2024.
- Action 5.01 (17/10/24): DfT to work with Partners to draft a vision for Euston and provide a progress update to EPB in December.

3. Introductions and Updates

DfT/MHCLG updates

Jill Adam (JA) provided a verbal update for DfT. The Budget confirmed funding for tunnelling from Old Oak Common to Euston. Programme focus remains on demand forecasting and spatial planning. The DfT is committed to continued engagement with the community as the programme develops.

Members agreed the importance of ensuring that local residents are appropriately involved in events being organised in and around Euston by partners.

Cathy Francis (CF) provided the update for MHCLG including the work being done to look at opportunities for housing delivery. Next focus is community engagement.

Action 3.01: CF to provide a Euston housing overview at the next EPB and regularly as a standing agenda item.

Budget update

provided an update on the Autumn statement, notably that tunnelling from Old Oak Common to Euston will be funded by Government. Decisions on further investment at Euston, including on the station itself, are for Phase 2 of the Spending Review which is expected to conclude in late Spring.

Stephen Dance (SD) noted that National Infrastructure Commission and IPA will be replaced by National Infrastructure and Service Transformation Authority (NISTA) in Spring 2025. He added that a 10-year infrastructure plan is being developed that will be directly relevant to the Euston programme, with consideration of housing, HS2 stations (including Euston), and the social value of planned infrastructure.

4. Management Information & Leadership Report

took members through the TEP and Campus Activity update.

The work on spatial planning remains a focus. The Euston Community Hub project is progressing well with a soft launch proposed for Spring 2025. It was agreed that, once open, a future EPB meeting could be held at the hub.

James Dean (JD) provided the NR update with a focus on progress made on spatial planning and funders options.

Nick Jones (NJ) provided the HS2 update, noting ongoing work to test and validate the HS2 MVP concept for the station with work on an LUL MVP commencing in partnership with TfL. The National Temperence Hospital meanwhile use project is now complete with a public opening planned for in the next two months.

Jenny Sawyer (JS) provided the Lendlease update, noting ongoing work to agree the masterplanning principles for Euston.

Lucinda Turner (LT) provided the update for GLA and noted continued work with Camden and TFL on Healthy Street projects. GLA is eager to continue to engage on the Euston campus workstreams.

David Rowe (DR) provided the TfL update. TfL continues to work with TEP on the spatial requirements for buses and taxis.

Jenny Rowland provided the LBC update notably supporting on housing in Camden and Healthy streets project.

Matt Cope provided the WCPD update noting continued work on demand forecasting and customer experience.

5. Spatial Planning Options Update

John Reed (JR) provided further update of the whole campus spatial layout workstream and highlighted that Partners' written feedback has been reviewed and discussed.

Feedback had been helpful in drawing out the key characteristics, features and choices that will need to be considered in order to agree a preferred spatial layout. JR detailed some of these, including concourse heights and positions, the spatial requirements for taxi and bus provision, the plans for existing buildings, and interfaces with the tube station. Some of these areas are already being addressed in live workstreams. Others will need to be scoped and taken forward in more detail

A discussion followed, with board members providing generally positive feedback on the options and agreement on the areas for further consideration. A number of points were raised, including ensuring that the preferred option's impact on the passenger experience over time was fully understood. SD suggested that station service requirements (e.g. energy, delivery arrangements, staff accommodation) must be part of the spatial planning considerations.

Action 5.01: JR to ensure servicing and operational issues are considered during spatial planning work.

SD asked for more clarity on the benefits of this programme beyond transport (e.g. economy, housing and wider social value). JR noted that the draft outcome requirements encompass some of the benefits but that more work was required to develop, refine and agree these.

EH noted the importance of ensuring collaboration and integration continue once partners have re-started their project designs. JR agreed this is important and that it needs to be a key part of the future delivery model arrangements.

6. Any Other Business/Forward look

There was no other business.



Forward look

Meeting Date	Topic/Description	Туре	Lead
12 Dec 24	Proposal for local Business engagement	Endorsement	Jenny Sawyer/
12 Dec 24	Housing overview	Update	Cathy Francis
12 Dec 24	Vision for Euston	Discuss	Jill Adam/John Reed
09 Jan 25	Meeting cancelled: potential for informal community hub visit – details tbc	-	-
06 Feb 25	Community representative	Information	
06 Feb 25	Community Hub	Update	
06 Feb 25	Meanwhile Use	Update	
06 Feb 25	Spatial Concept	Update	John Reed
06 Mar 25	LU MVP	Update	Nick Jones
01 May 25	Meeting at the Euston Community Hub (TBC)		

7. Action Table

Date	No	Action	Owner	Due	Status
12/09/24	3.01	Arrange discussion around modelling and assumptions on business rates (GLA/DfT/LL/LBC)	/JA	17 Oct 24	In progress
17/10/24	4.01	L'Rep/LL to develop an engagement plan to ensure the effective continued involvement of Camden and GLA in the development of the campus planning strategy	JS/LT	30 Nov 24	Open
17/10/24	4.02	Keep the EPB updated on the progress of the Euston Area Plan.		14 Nov 24	Closed
17/10/24	5.01	DfT to work with Partners to produce an updated vision for Euston.	JA	14 Nov 24	In Progress
14/11/24	3.01	CF to provide a Euston housing overview at the next EPB and regularly as a standing agenda item.	CF	12 Dec 24	Open
14/11/24	5.01	Ensure servicing and operation of campus is considered during spatial concept work.	JR	12 Dec 24	Open