Case number: 6002481/2023



EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS

Claimant: Ms Zukauskiene

Respondent (1): Sofina Foods Ltd

Respondent (2): Karro Food Group Ltd

Heard in Sheffield (in person)

On: 25 and 26 June 2025

BEFORE: Employment Judge Brain

REPRESENTATION:

Claimant: In person (assisted by Mrs D Braneviciene, interpreter)

Respondent: Mr A Hodge, counsel

JUDGMENT

The Judgment of the Employment Tribunal is that:

- 1. The claimant was employed by the second respondent.
- 2. It is not in the interests of justice that the first respondent be dismissed from the proceedings.
- 3. BY CONSENT the claimant was summarily dismissed from her employment on 8 August 2023.
- 4. The claimant had a reasonable belief and that it was in the public interest to make disclosures about:
 - 4.1 Staff handling bacon without wearing gloves.
 - 4.2 Staff violating the respondents' dress code.
- 5. The claimant did make disclosures to the second respondent about the matters in paragraphs 4.1 and 4.2 prior to her dismissal and which qualify for protection under section 43B of the Employment Rights Act 1996.

Case number: 6002481/2023

The claimant's complaint brought under section 103A of the 1996 Act that the reason or the principal reason for her dismissal was the matter in paragraph 5 fails and stands dismissed.

- 7. The complaint of unfair dismissal brought under sections 94-98 of the 1996 Act is well-founded. The claimant was unfairly dismissed.
- 8. The claimant would have been fairly dismissed in any event with an effective date of termination 25 September 2023.
- 9. The respondent unreasonably failed to comply with the ACAS *Code of Practice on Disciplinary and Grievance Procedures 2015* and it is just and equitable to increase the compensatory award payable to the claimant by 15% in accordance with s 207A Trade Union & Labour Relations (Consolidation) Act 1992.
- 10. The claimant caused or contributed to the dismissal by blameworthy conduct, and it is just and equitable to reduce the compensatory award payable to the claimant by 75%.
- 11. It is just and equitable to reduce the basic award payable to the claimant by 75% because of the claimant's conduct before the dismissal.
- 12. The respondent shall pay the claimant the following sums:
 - (a) A basic award of £968.31.
 - (b) A compensatory award of £918.53.

Note that these are actual the sums payable to the claimant after any deductions or uplifts have been applied.

13. The Employment Protection (Recoupment of Benefits) Regulations 1996 do not apply.

Date: 26 June 2025.

Sent to the parties on:

18 July 2025

For the Tribunal:

Case number: 6002481/2023

Note - Reasons for the judgment having been given orally at the hearing, written reasons will not be provided unless a request was made by either party at the hearing or a written request is presented by either party within 14 days of the sending of this written record of the decision.

Public access to employment tribunal decisions

Judgments and reasons for the judgments are published, in full, online at www.gov.uk/employment-tribunal-decisions shortly after a copy has been sent to the claimant(s) and respondent(s) in a case.

Recording and Transcription

Please note that if a Tribunal hearing has been recorded you may request a transcript of the recording, for which a charge may be payable. If a transcript is produced it will not include any oral judgment or reasons given at the hearing. The transcript will not be checked, approved or verified by a judge. There is more information in the joint Presidential Practice Direction on the Recording and Transcription of Hearings, and accompanying Guidance, which can be found here:

https://www.judiciary.uk/guidance-and-resources/employment-rules-and-legislation-practice-directions/