Case Number: 6020377/2024



EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS

Claimant: Mr. J Lawson

Respondent: Mitie Ltd

Heard at: Manchester, by CVP **On:** 16 and 17 June 2025

Before: Employment Judge KM Ross

REPRESENTATION:

Claimant: In person

Respondent: Mr K Harris, counsel

JUDGMENT

- 1.The Claimant was unfairly dismissed, for procedural reasons, pursuant to sections 95 and 98 Employment Rights Act 1996.
- 2. However by reason of the principle in Polkey v AE Dayton services Ltd 1987 IRLR 503, there is a 100 % chance that the claimant would have been fairly dismissed if a fair procedure had been followed and so the award of compensation is nil.
- 3. In the alternative, the claimant caused or contributed to the dismissal by blameworthy conduct, and it is just and equitable to reduce the compensatory award payable to the claimant by 100 %. I also find it is just and equitable to reduce the basic award payable to the claimant by 100 % because of the claimant's conduct before the dismissal.

Approved by Employment Judge KM Ross

17 June 2025

Judgment sent to the parties on: 30 July 2025

For the Tribunal:

Case Number: 6020377/2024

Public access to employment tribunal decisions

Judgments (apart from judgments under rule 52) and reasons for the judgments are published, in full, online at www.gov.uk/employment-tribunal-decisions shortly after a copy has been sent to the claimant(s) and respondent(s) in a case.

Recording and Transcription

Please note that if a Tribunal hearing has been recorded you may request a transcript of the recording, for which a charge may be payable. If a transcript is produced it will not include any oral judgment or reasons given at the hearing. The transcript will not be checked, approved or verified by a judge. There is more information in the joint Presidential Practice Direction on the Recording and Transcription of Hearings, and accompanying Guidance, which can be found here:

https://www.judiciary.uk/guidance-and-resources/employment-rules-and-legislation-practice-directions/