



Home Office

Appendix Statelessness guidance

Version 8.0

Contents

Contents.....	2
About this guidance.....	4
Contacts	4
Publication	4
Changes from last version of this guidance	4
Introduction	5
Background	5
Policy intention	5
Application in respect of children	6
Modern slavery	7
Relevant legislation	9
Statelessness conventions	9
Immigration Rules.....	9
Considering statelessness applications.....	10
Definition.....	10
Application process and admissibility	10
Family members	11
Decision-making process	12
Three stage process	12
Stage 1: Validity requirements for a stateless person.....	12
Application form	12
Biometrics	12
Establishing identity	13
Present in the UK.....	13
Rejecting applications	13
Stage 2: Suitability requirements for a stateless person	13
Part 9: general grounds for refusal.....	13
Exclusion.....	14
Existing protection arrangements	14
Habitual residence cases	14
Serious criminality	15
Stage 3: Eligibility requirements for a stateless person	15
Core requirement	15
Relevant country and competent authority.....	15
Evidence	16

Child applicants.....	16
Statelessness interviews	17
Conducting statelessness Interviews.....	17
Evidence	19
Burden and standard of proof	19
Gathering evidence.....	19
Documentary and testimonial evidence	20
Country of origin information (COI)	21
Passports	21
Enquiries with relevant national authorities	21
Evidence from previous asylum claims	22
Language analysis	22
Assessing evidence	22
Credibility	23
Definition of statelessness	23
Applying the ‘by any state’ element.....	23
Applying the ‘not considered as a national’ element	23
Determining nationality under operation of state laws	24
Those not considered as nationals under state law and practice	24
Acquiring nationality	25
Decisions made by the national authorities	25
Absence of evidence of the national authorities’ position.....	26
Response to enquiries with overseas governments	26
Voluntary renunciation of nationality	27
Nationality acquired in error or bad faith	27
Deciding applications	29
Granting permission to stay	29
Refusal of permission to stay.....	29
Administrative reviews	30
Further submissions and repeat applications	30
Family members	30
Removal to safe third countries	30
Outstanding asylum claims.....	31
Further leave applications.....	31
Settlement applications	33
Travel documents.....	35

About this guidance

This guidance is for all staff dealing with applications for permission to stay in the UK on the basis of statelessness. It explains the policy, process and procedure which must be followed when considering applications made on or before 30 January 2024 under [Part 14, paragraphs 417 to 418](#) of the Immigration Rules and applications made on or after 31 January 2024 under [Appendix Statelessness](#).

This guidance provides specific instructions on the validity, suitability, and eligibility requirements for applications on the Statelessness route. It also provides instructions on the considerations that you must make where an applicant does not qualify on the Statelessness route.

This instruction must be read in conjunction with policy guidance products, Immigration Rules, and associated guidance signposted within this document.

Contacts

If you have any questions about the guidance and your line manager or senior caseworker cannot help you or you think that the guidance has factual errors then email the Asylum Policy team.

If you notice any formatting errors in this guidance (broken links, spelling mistakes and so on) or have any comments about the layout or navigability of the guidance then you can email the Guidance Review, Atlas and Forms team.

Publication

Below is information on when this version of the guidance was published:

- version **8.0**
- published for Home Office staff on **22 July 2025**

Changes from last version of this guidance

Changes relating to dependants of stateless persons following Asylum Aid v SSHD [2025] EWHC 316 (Admin). Previous versions of this guidance can be found in the archive.

Related content

[Contents](#)

Introduction

This guidance explains the policy, process and procedure which must be followed when considering applications for permission to stay as a stateless person in the UK. It applies to all staff dealing with statelessness applications and covers:

- the definition of statelessness
- evidence gathering and how to consider applications
- applying exclusion provisions and general grounds for refusal when considering statelessness applications

Background

Statelessness occurs for a variety of reasons, including discrimination against minority groups in nationality legislation, failure to include all residents in the body of citizens when a state becomes independent (state succession) and conflicting laws between states. In some countries, citizenship can be lost automatically after prolonged residence in another country. The absence of proof of birth, origins or legal identity in several countries can also increase the risk of statelessness.

Possession of nationality is considered essential for full participation in society and a prerequisite for the enjoyment of the full range of human rights. Stateless people are not necessarily at risk of persecution or serious harm in their country of habitual residence, but they are potentially vulnerable to serious discrimination. They may, for example, be denied the right to own land or exercise the right to vote. They are often unable to obtain identity documents. They can be denied access to education and health services or blocked from obtaining employment.

In 1954, the United Nations adopted the [Convention Relating to the Status of Stateless Persons](#), which the UK ratified in April 1959. The Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) has a mandate to work with governments to prevent and reduce statelessness and to identify and protect stateless persons. In April 2013, the UK incorporated a new procedure under the Immigration Rules to allow stateless persons to be formally determined as stateless and granted permission to stay where they have no other permissions under the rules but cannot leave voluntarily or be removed from the UK because they have no right of permanent residence in their country of former habitual residence or in any other country.

The guidance in this instruction is drawn from the UNHCR guidelines, set out in its [2014 Handbook on Protection of Stateless Persons](#), although it does not follow those guidelines in every respect. Where there are differences, this instruction must be applied.

Policy intention

The underlying policy objectives of the Statelessness route are to:

- provide a means for considering if someone is stateless and assist those unable to return to their country of former habitual residence because they are stateless and have no right of residence there, to ensure we comply with our obligations under the UN Stateless Conventions
- provide a means for stateless persons in the UK who cannot obtain admission to any other country for the purposes of permanent residence, to access their basic human rights by granting them permission to stay in the UK as part of our efforts to address wider global issues facing stateless persons
- tackle abuse from those who are not stateless but make an application in an attempt to frustrate legitimate removal action and ensure that criminals and those who are a threat to our security do not benefit from the Statelessness route

Application in respect of children

Section 55 of the Borders, Citizenship and Immigration Act 2009 requires the Home Office to make arrangements for ensuring that immigration, asylum and nationality functions are discharged whilst having regard to need to safeguard and promote the welfare of children who are in the UK.

You must comply with the section 55 duty when carrying out the actions set out in this instruction, in respect of children and those with children. You must follow the principles set out in the statutory guidance under section 55, [Every Child Matters – Change for Children](#).

The statutory duty to children includes the need to demonstrate that applications are dealt with in a timely and sensitive way where children are involved. In accordance with the [UN Convention on the Rights of the Child](#) (UNCRC) and the Supreme Court judgment in [ZH \(Tanzania\) \(FC\) \(Appellant\) v SSHD](#), the best interests of the child are a primary consideration, although not necessarily the only consideration, when making decisions affecting children. This applies whether the child is the main applicant, or an adult applicant is the primary parent or guardian of a child in the UK or has genuine and subsisting family life with a child in the UK.

Article 7 of the [UNCRC](#) provides that children have the right to a nationality. Children, especially unaccompanied children, may face acute challenges in communicating basic facts about their nationality. Close attention must always be given to the welfare and best interests of the child when considering their nationality and potential that they may be stateless. This involves the same procedural and evidentiary safeguards for child claimants as apply in asylum claims, including priority processing of their claims and provision of appropriately trained caseworkers. It also requires you to assist in the determination of statelessness by making enquiries with relevant national authorities, which the child may not be in a position to undertake themselves.

Considering the welfare and best interests of a child also applies where a child is included as a dependant on a statelessness application. Whether or not parents or children are stateless or have the right to reside in another country will be a mixed assessment of fact and law. Where statelessness is accepted for any members of the family and the question of admissibility is at issue, whilst the best interests of any

children will be a primary consideration, a decision as to whether they qualify under statelessness provisions will depend on whether they are admissible to another country for purposes of permanent residence there.

For children born in the UK to be considered stateless, evidence must be provided to show they, or their parents, have made a genuine attempt to register their birth with the relevant authorities but have been refused for reasons beyond their control. In [R \(JM \(Zimbabwe\)\) v SSHD \(2018\)](#), the Court of Appeal confirmed that a child, born in the UK of a foreign national, who can under the law of the parent's nationality, obtain citizenship of that country by descent by registering their birth, can properly be regarded as admissible to that country, as set out at S 3.3. of [Appendix Statelessness](#).

Where you are concerned about child welfare or protection issues that may involve safeguarding issues within the family unit, you must immediately contact the relevant safeguarding team within your operational unit, who will refer the case to the relevant local authority in accordance with guidance in making safeguarding referrals. In an emergency, you must refer the case to the police. You can ask the Office of the Children's Champion for advice on issues relating to children, including family court proceedings and complex cases. For further information on the important principles to consider, see the Section 55 children's duty guidance. Our statutory duty to children means you must demonstrate:

- fair treatment which meets the same standard a British child would receive
- the child's best interests being a primary, although not the only, consideration
- no discrimination of any kind
- timely processing of applications
- identification of those who might be at risk from harm

You must keep this duty in mind throughout the process.

Modern slavery

Modern slavery encompasses human trafficking and slavery, servitude and forced labour. The essence of human trafficking is that the victim is deceived into a situation where they are exploited. UN Convention number 29 concerning forced or compulsory labour defines 'forced or compulsory labour' as 'all work or service which is exacted from any person under the menace of any penalty and for which the said person has not offered himself voluntarily'.

UNHCR research suggests there may be a link between statelessness and human trafficking, whereby a stateless person is at greater risk of becoming a victim of human trafficking because it increases risk factors such as poverty, lack of education and the ability to deal with a sudden crisis. A combination of risk factors may push stateless people to decide to migrate and take risks to find a solution to their plight. You should be aware of the nexus between modern slavery and statelessness, to ensure that you take the necessary action in cases where you identify an applicant who you consider may have experienced modern slavery.

For further guidance on dealing with possible victims of modern slavery, including how to refer someone to the National Referral Mechanism (NRM), see the [Competent Authority guidance](#).

Related content

[Contents](#)

Relevant legislation

Statelessness conventions

[The 1954 Convention relating to the Status of Stateless Persons](#) regulates the status of non-refugee stateless persons and ensures that they enjoy human rights without discrimination. It sets out a common framework with minimum standards of treatment for stateless persons, provides them with an internationally recognised legal status, and (for example) offers access to travel documents for those lawfully staying in a territory.

[The 1961 Convention on the Reduction of Statelessness](#) is intended as the primary international legal instrument adopted to deal with the means of avoiding statelessness.

Immigration Rules

The statelessness rules were introduced in 2013 by the [statement of changes to the Immigration Rules: HC1039](#).

[Changes to the statelessness Immigration Rules](#) were laid in Parliament on 7 December 2023 and commenced on 31 January 2024. These introduced [Appendix Statelessness](#) which replaced the provisions for stateless persons in Part 14 of the Immigration Rules. The changes also introduced a requirement for dependants to apply under Appendix FM rather than Part 14. However, this latter requirement was quashed in light of the High Court's determination in *Asylum Aid v SSHD* [2025] EWHC 316 (Admin),

The transitional provisions at paragraphs 417 to 418 of Part 14 of the Immigration Rules which reflect the above requirement for dependants are also no longer applicable following this judgment. Dependants will be considered under Paragraphs 410 and 411 of Part 14 as they were prior to the January 2024 changes.

Appendix Statelessness contains the validity (S1), suitability (S2) and eligibility (S3) requirements for permission to stay as a stateless person. S5 of Appendix Statelessness states that successful applicants will be granted 5 years permission to stay in the UK.

Applications for permission to stay as a stateless person must be made on the relevant [specified application form](#) on the GOV.UK website. [Paragraph 34](#), in Part 1 of the Immigration Rules, sets out the requirements for applications for permission to stay to be made using a specified form.

Part 9 of the Immigration Rules sets out the general grounds for refusing an application. These grounds apply to statelessness applications.

Related content

[Contents](#)

Considering statelessness applications

Definition

Article 1(1) of the [1954 UN Stateless Convention](#) defines a stateless person, for the purpose of the convention, as a person who is not considered as a national by any state under the operation of its law.

An individual is a stateless person from the moment the conditions in Article 1(1) of the 1954 Convention are met. This means that any finding by a state, or UNHCR, that an individual satisfies the test in Article 1(1) is a declaratory act. The definition is reflected in the preamble of [Appendix Statelessness](#).

Application process and admissibility

All applications must be made on the specified online application form, FLR(S), which is available on the [GOV.UK](#) website, in accordance with [paragraph 34](#) of the Immigration Rules. Any attempt to make an application in any other way, for example by telephone, email or on the old paper form, will be invalid and not considered. Forms may be updated so applicants must use the latest version available online when they apply.

[Paragraph 34BB](#) of the Immigration Rules makes clear that an applicant may only have one outstanding application for permission to stay at a time. If a new application for permission to stay is made while a previous application is still outstanding, it will be considered as a variation of the previous application. However, for instructions on how to consider statelessness applications where applicants have outstanding protection claims, see the sections on [outstanding asylum claims](#) in this guidance.

Claims that are based on a need for protection from persecution or serious harm should not be made by way of a statelessness application. Those who wish to claim asylum must make an appointment with the [Asylum Intake Unit](#). Failed asylum seekers wishing to lodge further submissions must do so in person in accordance with the published Further submissions policy.

Those previously granted permission to stay in another capacity who wish to apply for permission to stay as a stateless person should make an application up to 28 days before their existing permission expires. Whilst an application can be submitted earlier, such applications will normally be held until 28 days before their permission to stay expires before being considered. This is to ensure applications from those who do not have any permission to stay are prioritised.

All statelessness applications must be carefully considered in accordance with this guidance. Applications should be progressed without unnecessary delay as applicants may be in vulnerable situations whilst awaiting a decision. The Appendix Statelessness rules apply a 3 stage decision-making process: validity, suitability, and eligibility.

Family members

Partners and children, whether in the UK with the main applicant at the time of application or not, should, irrespective of their own nationality or lack of nationality, be included in the application form, along with details about their immigration history and countries of former residence that may be relevant to the application. This is because it will be necessary to consider whether the applicant may be admissible to their partner or child's country of nationality. See [definition of statelessness – applying the 'by any state' element](#).

Partners and children may apply and be granted leave as family members of a stateless person providing they meet the relevant dependant requirements of the Immigration Rules under paragraphs 410 to 411 of Part 14. This follows the Asylum Aid v SSHD [2025] EWHC 316 (Admin) judgment which quashed the requirement for dependants to apply via Appendix FM (as introduced by the 30 January 2024 rules changes).

Paragraphs 410 to 411 of the archived Immigration Rules set out the requirements for permission to stay as the family member of a stateless person. Paragraph 412 sets out when a family member will be refused and paragraph 414 sets out where permission will be curtailed. Paragraphs 415 to 416 set out the criteria for the grant or refusal of settlement for family members.

If a partner or child wishes to be considered individually and be recognised as a stateless person and are in the UK, they can submit a separate application under Appendix Statelessness.

Where the factual basis for family members is the same, any related applications must be linked and considered together.

If the main application is granted permission under the stateless provisions, family members should normally be granted permission in line with the stateless person, providing they meet the requirements of the Immigration Rules and do not fall for refusal under general grounds.

Where a partner or child of a stateless person are overseas, they must apply for entry clearance on the application form for the [route which most closely matches their circumstances](#).

Related content

[Contents](#)

Decision-making process

Three stage process

First, you must consider whether the application is valid, in line with S1 of [Appendix Statelessness](#). Where the application does not meet the validity requirements, you may reject the application as invalid and not consider it. Where the application does meet the validity requirements, you must then consider the suitability requirements.

Second, you must consider whether the applicant meets the suitability requirements, set out in S2 of Appendix Statelessness. Where the applicant does meet the suitability requirements, you must then consider the eligibility requirements. Where the applicant does not meet the suitability requirements, you must refuse the statelessness application, but you should still consider the eligibility requirements.

Third, you must consider whether the applicant meets the eligibility requirements – even where the applicant falls for refusal as a stateless person under the suitability requirements. This is because individuals who are stateless and are not admissible to any other country will normally be granted a more restrictive form of permission to stay. Consult the Discretionary leave guidance where this is applicable.

Stage 1: Validity requirements for a stateless person

For an application on the statelessness route to be considered, first, you must assess whether a valid application has been made.

The validity requirements for an application on the statelessness route are outlined in S1 of [Appendix Statelessness](#).

Application form

A person applying for permission to stay as a stateless person must apply online on the [GOV.UK website](#) on the specified form Further Leave to Remain – Stateless Person. Applicants must apply on their own application form. For child applicants under the age of 18, a responsible adult may apply on their behalf.

Biometrics

The applicant must have enrolled any required biometrics in order to make a valid application. The applicant is informed of this requirement to enrol biometrics within 45 working days from the date they made an application for permission to stay as a Stateless Person. Biometrics are required for the purposes of the Biometric Immigration Document should an applicant be granted for permission to stay in the UK.

Should an applicant fail to enrol their biometrics within 45 working days, you must send the applicant a biometric enrolment reminder letter. Should biometrics still not be enrolled after a further 10 working days, and the application fails to meet any

other validity requirements, then the application may be rejected as invalid. Should biometrics still not be enrolled after a further 10 working days, but all other validity requirements are met, then you must proceed to consider whether the applicant meets the suitability and eligibility requirements. Should an applicant who has failed to enrol their biometrics qualify for settlement or further permission to stay, they must enrol their biometrics before a Biometric Immigration Document is provided.

Establishing identity

To be considered a valid application, an applicant must complete all the mandatory sections of the form. Where this has not been completed, or an attempt is made to make an application in another way (such as by telephone or email), an application must be rejected as invalid without consideration. This information will be used to help establish an individual's identity.

Present in the UK

To make an application for permission to stay as a stateless person, the applicant must be present in the UK on the date it is submitted.

Rejecting applications

In-line with the guidance above, should an applicant not meet the validity requirements set out in S1 of Appendix Statelessness, then you may reject the application as invalid without consideration using the relevant template. Applications should be rejected promptly. You must complete all validation checks before rejecting an application.

Stage 2: Suitability requirements for a stateless person

The suitability requirements for an application on the Statelessness route are outlined in S2 of [Appendix Statelessness](#).

Part 9: general grounds for refusal

The Home Office is responsible for maintaining effective immigration control. This includes preventing abuse of the immigration system. The general grounds for refusal (GGfR) set out in [part 9 of the Immigration Rules](#) apply to the consideration of statelessness applications. Where an individual falls for refusal under the general grounds the application must be refused. However, you must still consider whether an applicant meets the definition of a stateless person under S 3.1 of Appendix Statelessness. This is because those refused under general grounds who are stateless and not admissible to any other country cannot be removed, because there is nowhere to remove them to, and as such may be granted permission outside the Immigration Rules.

Official – sensitive: start of section

The information on this page has been removed as it is restricted for internal Home Office use.

Official – sensitive: end of section

S 2.1 permits the refusal of an application if any of the grounds set out in paragraph 322 apply. Where an application falls for refusal under paragraph S 2.2 or S 2.3 as well as the GGfR, you should refer to all reasons for refusal in your decision.

Further details on applying the GGfR can be found in the General Grounds for Refusal guidance.

Exclusion

The Immigration Rules set out instances where exclusion from recognition as a stateless person will apply or where permission to stay should be refused on grounds of the applicant's character or conduct.

Existing protection arrangements

Paragraph S 2.2(a) of Appendix Statelessness mirrors the provision of Article 1(2)(i) of the 1954 Stateless Convention. This means that in practice, Stateless Palestinians do not come within the scope of the Stateless Convention if they are already given the protection and assistance of the United Nations Relief and Works Agency for Palestine Refugees in the Near East (UNRWA). However, they may come within the scope of the Stateless Convention if they have not received that assistance or have ceased to receive assistance for reasons beyond their control and independent of their volition. For further information see Article 1D guidance on asylum claims by persons from the Occupied Palestinian Territories.

Habitual residence cases

Paragraph S 2.2(b) of Appendix Statelessness reflects Article 1(2)(ii) of the Stateless Convention. It excludes from the Convention's scope those who do not have the nationality of the state in which they are habitually resident but who have, to all intents and purposes, the rights of those in that state who do. A strict test is required to be excluded under Article 1(2)(ii).

This reflects provisions in Article 1E of the Refugee Convention and means that an individual will not be suitable for permission to stay as a Stateless Person where they have:

- secure residence in the country with respect to which the application of Article 1(2)(ii) is being examined
- been recognised by the competent authorities of that country as having the rights and obligations attached to possession of nationality of that country

UNHCR's [note on the interpretation of Article 1E of the 1951 Convention relation to the Status of Refugees](#) provides further guidance on criteria relating to residency and the rights and obligations attached to possession of nationality relevant to the interpretation of Article 1(2)(ii). This applies to cases where the person is currently recognised by the country concerned as having these rights and obligations. If the competent authorities of the country concerned recognised the person as having such rights in the past but no longer endorse this recognition, Article 1(2)(ii) and paragraph S 2.2 of Appendix Statelessness are inapplicable. You should also consider paragraph 17 of this note, where an individual is recognised by the competent authority but holds a well-founded fear of being persecuted or other serious harm there.

Serious criminality

Article 1(2)(iii) of the 1954 Convention excludes from its scope those persons for whom there are serious reasons for considering that they have committed war crimes, crimes against peace or humanity, serious non-political crimes, or acts contrary to the purposes and principles of the United Nations. This reflects the provisions of Article 1F of the Refugee Convention. Paragraph S 2.3 of Appendix Statelessness are to be understood in a manner consistent with guidance on Exclusion under Article 1F and Article 33(2) of the Refugee Convention.

The burden of proving that an individual falls within these provisions lies with the Secretary of State, and you must have 'serious reasons for considering' that an individual meets the criteria. This requires 'strong' or 'clear and credible evidence' that the individual has committed the crimes or performed the acts in question. The standard of proof is not as high as the criminal standard of 'beyond reasonable doubt' but requires you to weigh up all the evidence and come to a reasoned conclusion – 'considering' is nearer to 'believing' rather than 'suspecting'.

Stage 3: Eligibility requirements for a stateless person

Once you are satisfied that the application has met the validity and suitability requirements for a stateless person, you must go on to consider whether the applicant meets the eligibility requirements.

Core requirement

To be considered eligible for permission to stay as a stateless person an application must be from an individual who is not considered as a national by any state under the operation of its law, as set out in Article 1(1) of the 1954 Statelessness Convention.

Relevant country and competent authority

A relevant country for the purposes of assessing the eligibility of a stateless person is defined as countries with which the applicant has relevant links, such as on the basis of birth on the territory, descent, marriage, adoption or habitual residence.

A competent authority is a recognised government body which has the legal authority to confirm whether a person applying for permission to stay as a stateless person is recognised as a national or has the right or status leading to permanent residence in a relevant country.

The applicant must have taken all reasonable steps, but nonetheless failed to both:

- acquire, or re-acquire, nationality with the competent authorities of any relevant countries
- establish a right to admission as a permanent resident, or a status leading to permanent residence, in any relevant countries

Evidence

The applicant must have obtained and submitted all reasonably available evidence to the Home Office as part of their application which shows that they meet the eligibility requirements. You must consider the [evidence](#) section of this guidance when assessing eligibility.

Child applicants

If the applicant is a child born in the UK, their parent or legal guardian must have taken all reasonable steps to register the child's birth with the competent authorities and have been unsuccessful. You must consider representations from the child applicant where there are reasonable grounds for not being able to do this where applicable.

It is important to recognise that registering a child's birth does not necessarily confer nationality to that child. Birth registration is the permanent recording of a child's existence and does not confer nationality. Nationality is acquired through the operation of a state's nationality law. The 2 processes are distinct. Nonetheless, birth registration helps to prevent statelessness because it establishes a legal record as to where a child was born and who their parents are, elements of information key to proving entitlement to nationality.

If a child's parent or legal guardian has been unsuccessful in registering their birth, where applicable, you must consider representations from the child applicant where there are reasonable grounds for not being able to do this.

When considering representations or any applications from children, you should be aware that children, especially unaccompanied children, may face acute challenges in communicating basic facts with respect to their nationality. They will require additional procedural and evidentiary safeguards. Consult the section on [applications in respect of children](#).

Related content

[Contents](#)

Statelessness interviews

You may consider arranging an interview to address any outstanding issues or ambiguities. An interview may be required if you consider that the statelessness application is lacking information needed to make an informed decision, which cannot be obtained through other means, for example, writing to or arranging a telephone call with the applicant's legal representatives. In other instances, questions about evidence submitted as part of the application may be resolved through additional written communications. An interview may also be required to address any adverse credibility findings. This provides the individual with the opportunity to refute, explain or provide mitigating circumstances in respect of any evidence that appears inaccurate, contradictory, vague, implausible, or inconsistent.

An interview will not be required where there is already sufficient evidence that an individual is stateless, is not admissible to any other country and is eligible for permission to stay on this basis. An interview will also not be required, and the application will be refused, where recent and reliable information including the applicant's previous evidence or findings of fact made by an immigration judge, have established that the applicant is not stateless or is clearly admissible to another country for purposes of permanent residence and where no evidence to the contrary has been provided.

In cases where you consider an interview is required to gather further evidence on which to make an informed decision, the applicant should be invited to an interview by sending a letter (use template ASL.4754) to the applicant and representative (where relevant). If an applicant has a legal representative, then you should also send a covering letter (ASL.4753) to them. Letter templates are available on DocGen. You will then need to send a booking request to Liverpool Asylum Reception to book a room for the interview. If an interpreter is required, you will need to send an interpreter request sheet to interpreter requests (IOU).

Conducting statelessness Interviews

Paragraph 100 of the [UNHCR Handbook on Protection of Stateless Persons](#) sets out how interviews should be approached. An interview provides an opportunity for the applicant to fully set out their case, present relevant supporting evidence and answer questions about key issues that remain outstanding. You should ask open-ended questions to encourage applicants to deliver as full an account as possible. You must bear in mind that applicants can only be expected to reply to the best of their knowledge and in some cases even basic information may not necessarily be known, for example, their place of birth or whether their birth was registered.

During the interview, you must establish what steps the applicant has taken to obtain relevant documentation, including details of any enquiries with relevant authorities in the country of origin or habitual residence or through contact with embassies or high commissions in the UK. The interview should also be used to put any inconsistencies to the applicant, to give them the opportunity to explain so that you can make an informed decision on whether to accept their account on the balance of probabilities.

The burden of proof is on the applicant to prove they are stateless and have taken reasonable steps to facilitate admission to their country of former habitual residence or any other country but have been unable to secure the right of admission and attempted to re-establish their nationality with the relevant authorities. However, you should consider whether officials are more likely to receive a response, particularly if the state has previously demonstrated their cooperation with the Home Office. As such, whilst you will need to explore the extent to which the applicant has tried to obtain evidence during interview, you must consider liaising directly with relevant authorities where the information available (from the application and interview) is not determinative.

Those who refer in correspondence or at interview to a fear of return to their country of habitual residence, or to family, private life, or other human rights grounds, must be advised that such issues are not part of the statelessness determination procedure, but will be considered as part of their application. Applicants who want to raise protection grounds must do so in person by claiming asylum at the Asylum Intake Unit in Croydon or by lodging further submissions in person in Liverpool if they have previously made an asylum claim. Applicants who wish to apply on any other ground must do so using the appropriate application form available on the [GOV.UK](https://www.gov.uk) website.

In cases where an interview has been arranged and the applicant fails to attend without a reasonable explanation or fails to reply to a written request for information, the application may be refused on the basis that they have failed to provide the required evidence, taking into account the [general grounds for refusal in paragraphs 322\(9\)-\(10\) of the Immigration Rules](#).

For further guidance on the broad principles and standards on conducting interviews, you can refer to the published policy on asylum interviews.

Related content

[Contents](#)

Evidence

Burden and standard of proof

In all cases, the burden of proof rests with the applicant, who is expected to co-operate with you to provide sufficient evidence to demonstrate that they are stateless and that there is no country to which they can be removed for purposes of permanent residence. The standard of proof that applies in considering statelessness is that of a balance of probabilities, as confirmed by the Court of Appeal in [AS \(Guinea\) v SSHD \[2018\] EWCA Civ 2234](#).

Paragraph S 3.4 of [Appendix Statelessness](#) requires applicants to obtain and submit all reasonably available evidence to enable the Secretary of State to determine that they are stateless and are not admissible to another country under the meaning of paragraph S 3.2. It is not enough, for example, for the applicant to rely on an unsupported assertion of statelessness or provide no explanation or evidence to support their application, particularly where this runs contrary to previously available information. Paragraph S 3.4 requires applicants to evidence that they have sought and failed to obtain or re-establish their nationality with the appropriate authorities of the relevant country. This includes parents taking the required steps to register their child's birth with the relevant authorities. Applicants are expected to make enquiries with relevant national authorities unless there is a very good reason not to, or with friends or relatives who may be able to assist and should provide information about their endeavours in their application, subsequent correspondence or during the interview.

However, you must make a distinction between applicants who show no interest in genuinely co-operating or providing supporting information, such as evidence of their attempts to obtain or re-establish their nationality with the relevant authorities, and those who may be unable to submit much evidence or information because, for example, they do not have the resources or knowledge to obtain information about the nationality laws of a given state. In such circumstances, where the available information is lacking or inconclusive, you must assist the applicant by interviewing them to elicit further evidence, undertaking relevant research and, if necessary, making enquiries directly with the relevant authorities and organisations. See [gathering](#) and [assessing](#) evidence below.

The applicant is required to establish that they are not considered a national of any state to the standard of the balance of probabilities (that is more likely than not), since the factual issues to be decided justify a higher standard of proof than the reasonable likelihood required to establish a well-founded fear of persecution in asylum claims, where the issue may be the threat to life, liberty and person.

Gathering evidence

Statelessness determination requires a mixed assessment of fact and law. The information that may be relevant can be divided into 2 categories:

- evidence relating to the individual's personal circumstances submitted as part of the application process or as part of previous applications or claims
- evidence about the law and practice in the country in question, both regarding the individual concerned, and the group (or groups) of individuals to which the applicant belongs

You may need to make further enquiries as part of the consideration process. This may be undertaken before or after any interview has taken place. If an interview is considered necessary you should make relevant enquiries when preparing for the interview where possible, so that you are able to ask questions of the applicant on matters arising following those enquiries.

Documentary and testimonial evidence

Evidence documenting an applicant's personal history can help identify the state (or states) whose nationality laws and procedures need to be considered to determine nationality status. **This is not an exhaustive list, but examples of evidence may include:**

- testimony of the applicant (written application and/or oral evidence at interview)
- responses from relevant foreign authorities to an enquiry regarding nationality status of an individual
- identity documents (for example, birth certificate, extract from civil register, national identity card, voter registration document), passports (see [passports](#) below) or other travel documents (including expired ones)
- documents regarding applications to acquire, or obtain proof of, nationality
- certificate of naturalisation or certificate of renunciation of nationality
- previous responses by states to enquiries on the nationality of the applicant
- marriage certificate, military service record/discharge certificate, school certificates, medical certificates/records (for example, attestations issued from hospital on birth, vaccination booklets)
- identity and travel documents of parents, spouse and children, immigration documents, such as residence permits of their country or countries of habitual residence
- other documents pertaining to countries of residence, for example, employment documents, property deeds, tenancy agreements, school records, baptismal certificates, and record of sworn oral testimony of neighbours and community members

You must ensure that requests for information are realistic and reasonable. For example, there is no point asking for school records if there is no established practice in the country of origin of producing them. In some countries, women or members of ethnic minorities may have difficulty obtaining documents due to discrimination. Where feasible, it may therefore be necessary for you to undertake your own further research to assist the applicant.

Country of origin information (COI)

You should undertake research into nationality and other relevant laws, including their implementation and the practices of the relevant state. This information can usually be found in published Home Office COI products or provided by the [COI request service](#), who may contact the Foreign, Commonwealth and Development Office (FCDO) and overseas posts where necessary. Where further research is considered necessary, information should be obtained through the Country Policy and Information Team (CPIT) useful sources list, reliable news media or from databases such as [UNHCR Refworld](#). The reliability of the information obtained must be carefully considered and evidence corroborated from other sources where possible. Any COI gathered through independent research must be fed back to CPIT.

Passports

Apparently genuine, unexpired passports will usually raise a presumption that the passport holder is a national of the country that issued the passport and is entitled to reside there unless there is evidence from COI that an individual in the applicant's circumstances is not normally considered to be a national of that state. This may include, for example, where the document is shown to be a passport of convenience, or the passport has been issued in error by an authority that is not competent to determine nationality issues.

In such cases the passport does not demonstrate that the individual is a national of that country. Equally, no presumption is raised by passports that are shown to be counterfeit or otherwise fraudulently issued. The burden of proof is on the applicant to demonstrate why their passport does not confer nationality, but you should consider checking with national authorities to confirm whether a passport has been genuinely and correctly issued where it is alleged otherwise.

Enquiries with relevant national authorities

Enquiries of the authorities of the country of former habitual residence which disclose the applicant's personal details will be necessary in some cases to make an informed decision. Such details may be disclosed through the applicant approaching relevant authorities or any enquiries that you make directly. For example, this may be for the purposes of verifying information which the applicant has provided in support of an application. Such requests may include seeking to verify documents, information, or identity with private and public authorities in the UK and other countries. When making enquiries with foreign national authorities, there must be no disclosure of the rejection of any previous asylum claim, if applicable. Also, see section on [outstanding asylum claims](#).

Where a response from the state includes reasoning that appears to involve a mistake in applying the local law to the facts of the case or an error in assessing the facts, you must seek clarification from the state concerned. Whilst it is the subjective position of the other state that is critical in determining whether an individual is its national for the purposes of the stateless person definition, you must consider the

evidence provided to the state by the applicant. If it is clear that the applicant has provided false or misleading information to state officials, this may be viewed as evidence of false representations and may lead to a refusal of the Statelessness application.

Evidence from previous asylum claims

Findings of fact relevant to determining whether a person is stateless which have previously been established during an asylum claim may be relied upon when considering a subsequent statelessness application. External enquiries undertaken as part of the asylum process may also be relevant. For example, enquiries may have been made to national embassies or consulates to secure travel documents in relation to removal action following the refusal of asylum. Evidence of credibility from previous asylum claims can also be drawn upon for the statelessness determination. However, you must not rely on any credibility findings that have subsequently been overturned on appeal.

Language analysis

Although language analysis cannot determine the nationality of an individual or whether they are stateless, it can provide evidence regarding linguistic origin, which may be useful evidence in cases where there is doubt as to their country or region of origin. Those refusing to participate in the language analysis process must be asked to explain why. Their response must be taken into account when considering their Statelessness application. More information, including the bases for carrying out language analysis, can be found in guidance on language analysis.

Assessing evidence

An individual's nationality must be assessed as a matter of fact and law at the time the statelessness application is determined. The question as to whether a person is stateless is not a historic or predictive exercise. You must consider whether at the point of making your decision, an individual is or is not a national of the country or countries in question.

This means that if an individual is partway through a process for acquiring a nationality, but those procedures have not been completed at the date the statelessness application is determined, they cannot be considered a national for the purposes of Article 1(1) of the 1954 Convention. You must establish with the applicant, and with the relevant authorities, if necessary, the nature of any ongoing enquiries before making a decision on the application. Similarly, where requirements or procedures for loss, deprivation or renunciation of nationality have only been partially fulfilled or not been completed, the individual is still a national for the purposes of the stateless person definition.

A determination that the individual is currently stateless does not mean that they are inadmissible to any other country, particularly where they have the means to obtain a nationality to which they can reasonably expect to be entitled. In [R \(JM \(Zimbabwe\)\) v SSHD \(2018\)](#) the Court of Appeal considered the correct approach to admissibility

and held that, if it lies within an applicant's power to obtain admission, for example, through registration of a person's birth which would confer citizenship, then, absent any evidence to the contrary, the applicant is admissible.

The changes introduced by the [statement of changes to the Immigration Rules HC\[1919\]](#), which came into effect on 6 April 2019, make clear that applicants are required to take reasonable steps to facilitate admission to their country of former habitual residence or any other country in which they could reasonably expect to have a right of permanent residence, and that the applicant must obtain and submit all reasonably available evidence to enable the Secretary of State to determine whether they are stateless and whether they are admissible to another country within the meaning of S 3.1-S 3.4.

Credibility

With reference to paragraph 101 to 107 of the UNHCR Handbook on Protection of Stateless Persons, you should consider the credibility of the application where there is little or no documentary evidence available and there is a greater reliance on an individual's testimony. This could include reviewing the specificity and sufficiency of details provided, consistency in their statements and COI information as well as plausibility of the statements.

Definition of statelessness

Applying the 'by any state' element

It is only necessary to consider states with which an individual may be linked, whether by birth on the territory, descent, marriage, through a child or habitual residence. In some instances, consideration of this element alone may be decisive, if the only country or entity to which an individual has a relevant link is not recognised as a state, for example, Palestine. However, in such cases, there may be other states in the region (or elsewhere) with which the applicant may be linked and where nationality may have been acquired. In addition, in relation to Palestine, the applicant may be excluded from recognition as a stateless person under S 2.2. See [existing protection arrangements](#).

It will not be difficult in most instances to determine which country or entity is a 'state' and which is not, but for the purposes of this guidance, a 'state' is one recognised as such by the UK. This is regardless of the effectiveness of its government. A state which loses an effective central government because of internal conflict will nevertheless remain a 'state' for the purposes of Article 1(1) for as long as it remains recognised as such by the UK.

Applying the 'not considered as a national' element

An understanding of the laws of nationality and their administration in practice in the applicable state (or states) concerned is required when considering whether a person is stateless. You must refer to relevant published COI, consider whether a

request should be made to CPIT and, where appropriate, to the FCDO, where further information is required to make an informed decision.

Determining nationality under operation of state laws

The law and practice of determining nationality can be complex. The following paragraphs, drawn from the [UNHCR Handbook on Protection of Stateless Persons](#), highlight the main elements in establishing nationality, or the lack of it. The reference to 'law' in Article 1(1) should be read broadly to encompass not just legislation, but also ministerial decrees, regulations, orders, judicial case law (in countries with a tradition of precedent) and, where appropriate, customary practice. However, it is important that you do not apply provisions of foreign law selectively or in isolation from other relevant law or practices because you need to make a decision based on all the evidence available.

You will need to assess the evidence provided in the application to consider the way in which statelessness may have arisen. An understanding of the particular circumstances of the case will assist in making appropriate enquiries with relevant national authorities and in reaching an informed decision. A person may be Stateless, or become stateless, because they have:

- never held a nationality and have always been stateless
- acquired and subsequently lost a nationality
- been denied a nationality by the national authorities of their country of former habitual residence despite providing evidence
- voluntarily renounced a nationality
- not registered for a nationality to which they are entitled having been born outside the country of their parents' nationality
- genuinely been unable to provide evidence that they are a national of their country of former habitual residence

Those not considered as nationals under state law and practice

Establishing whether an individual is not considered a national under the operation of its law requires an analysis of how a state applies its nationality laws in practice and has applied them to the individual applicant, taking account of any review or appeal decisions that may have had an impact on the individual's status. Reference to 'by the operation of its law' in the definition of a stateless person in Article 1(1) is intended to refer to those situations where state practice does not always follow the letter of the law.

The question to be answered is whether, at the point of decision, an individual is a national of the country in question. This also applies where an individual provides evidence that they have made an application to the national authority only to find more and more evidence is requested by the state in question, combined with long delays, which in practice amounts to a denial of recognition. Similarly, where requirements or procedures for loss, deprivation, or renunciation of nationality (see section below on [voluntary renunciation](#)) have not been completed, the individual is

still, at the point of determination, a national for the purposes of the Stateless Person definition.

Acquiring nationality

In most countries, nationality is acquired automatically by birth on the territory or by descent, or a combination of the 2. Nationality may also be acquired automatically by most individuals affected by state succession (for example, successor states to those which have ceased to exist, those which separate from a state and become independent, or acquire territory from another state). The law in some states provides for automatic loss of nationality, when certain conditions are met, such as prescribed periods of residency abroad or failure to register or make a declaration within a specific period.

Where nationality is acquired automatically, documents are generally not issued by the state as part of the mechanism. In such cases, it is usually birth registration that provides proof of place of birth and parentage and thereby provides evidence of nationality, either by *jus soli* (literally 'right of the soil' – a right by which nationality or citizenship is acquired by birth in the territory) or *jus sanguinis* ('right of blood' – acquired by parentage). Documents which serve as proof of nationality (for example, passports, citizenship certificates, identity cards) are typically not issued until later.

In non-automatic procedures, where an act of the state is required for acquisition of nationality, there will generally be a document recording that act, such as a citizenship certificate, and such documentation will usually be decisive in proving nationality. Where the applicant claims that the necessary action was not taken and therefore nationality was not acquired, you may decide it is necessary to obtain further evidence from the applicant or to ask the relevant overseas authority to confirm whether nationality was acquired by the applicant.

Where a person could acquire a nationality by registering with the relevant national authorities, but they have not taken the necessary steps to apply, they may be Stateless but will not be eligible for permission to stay as a stateless person under S 3.2 and S 3.3.

Decisions made by the national authorities

Where the national authorities have in practice treated an individual as a non-national even though:

- the applicant appears to meet the criteria for automatic acquisition of nationality under the operation of a country's laws
- the applicant has cooperated with reasonable requests from the state and has made a genuine attempt to acquire nationality

It is the position of the national authorities rather than the letter of the law that is likely to be decisive in concluding that the state does not consider such an individual as a national. For example, this may occur where discrimination against a particular group is widespread in government departments or where, in practice, the law

governing automatic acquisition at birth is systematically ignored and individuals are required instead to prove additional ties to a state.

Where there are difficulties in obtaining relevant travel documents to facilitate removal this does not, in itself, mean that the applicant is stateless or inadmissible to the country of return.

Absence of evidence of the national authorities' position

There may be cases where an individual has never come into contact with state authorities, perhaps because acquisition of nationality was automatic at birth and a person has lived in a region without public services or has never applied for identity documents or a passport. In such cases, it is important to assess the state's general attitude in terms of nationality status of those in a similar situation.

If the state has a good record in terms of recognising, in a non-discriminatory way, the nationality status of all those who appear to come within the scope of the relevant law, for example in the manner in which identity card applications are handled, this may indicate that the person who appears to fulfil the criteria in the nationality law is considered as a national by the state. Written enquiries of the state's representatives may be required to resolve any doubts. If evidence exists that the individual belongs to a group whose members are routinely denied identification documents issued only to nationals, this may indicate that they are not considered as a national by the state. You must not assume or accept this without further inquiry.

Response to enquiries with overseas governments

Enquiries may be met either with silence or a refusal to respond to the specific request whether this is made by the individual applicant or by you. It is a matter for judgement in the individual case as to how long it is reasonable to wait for any response. Wherever possible, you must progress the case to conclusion within a reasonable timeframe and where a response is not received for a protracted period you may decide the case without waiting for a response, particularly if the state's representatives have a general policy or practice of never replying to such requests. However, you must chase up outstanding requests on a regular basis and liaise with Returns Logistics Operations, who may be able to assist in pressing relevant national authorities for a response. You must not make any automatic assumptions as the result of another state's failure to respond.

In cases where a state has previously routinely responded to similar queries from the Home Office, a lack of response can usually be taken as evidence that the individual is not known to the state. Where a state representative responds to an enquiry and it is evident that the authority has not examined the particular circumstances of an individual's position, you should attach little weight to that response in considering the application.

Voluntary renunciation of nationality

Voluntary renunciation occurs when an individual gives up their nationality through choice, usually by oral or written declaration. The subsequent withdrawal of nationality by the state may be automatic or at the discretion of the authorities. Although many states have safeguards in their nationality laws to prevent this from leading to statelessness, it continues to occur.

Sometimes individuals have to renounce their nationality to naturalise in another state and may then remain stateless if they do not acquire the second nationality. In other cases, individuals voluntarily renounce their nationality because they do not wish to be nationals of a particular state, or in the belief that this will lead to the grant of a protection status or other permission to stay in another country. Those who have renounced their nationality voluntarily may be able to reacquire such nationality, unlike other stateless persons.

The question of an individual's free choice is not relevant when determining whether a person meets the statelessness definition under Article 1(1) of the 1954 Convention, even if it appears that the applicant may have been motivated by the prospect of securing permission to stay as a stateless person. The applicant must nevertheless be asked the reason for renunciation and the possibility of re-acquiring that nationality must be examined very carefully in such cases. Loss of nationality and consequential statelessness will not necessarily prevent re-admission to the country concerned. Where there is evidence to suggest that someone has deliberately renounced nationality to benefit from the statelessness provisions, and there remains an option for them to approach the relevant state to reacquire their former nationality, the statelessness application must be refused.

Nationality acquired in error or bad faith

If an individual acquires nationality based on an error by the overseas government in a non-automatic mechanism, for example, because of a misunderstanding of the law that applies or in bad faith, this does not in itself invalidate the individual's nationality status. This flows from the ordinary meaning of the terms in Article 1(1) of the 1954 Convention.

The same is true if the individual's nationality status changes as a result of a fraudulent application, or one which inadvertently contained mistakes regarding material facts. For the purposes of the definition, conferrals of nationality under a non-automatic mechanism are to be considered valid even if there is no legal basis for such conferral. However, in some cases the state, on discovering the error or bad faith involved in the nationality procedure in question, will subsequently have taken action to deprive the individual of nationality and this will need to be taken into account in determining the state's position on the individual's current status.

The impact of fraud or mistake in the acquisition of nationality is to be distinguished from the fraudulent acquisition of documents which are presented as evidence of nationality. These documents will not necessarily support a finding of nationality as in many cases they will be unconnected to any nationality mechanism, automatic or non-automatic, which was actually applied in respect of the individual.

Related content
[Contents](#)

Deciding applications

Granting permission to stay

S 4.1 of [Appendix Statelessness](#) sets out the requirements that must be met for a grant of permission to stay as a stateless person, namely that the application meets the relevant eligibility requirements. Permission to stay will be granted where the person meets the validity, suitability, and eligibility requirements of paragraph S 1.1 to 3.4.

Permission to stay as a stateless person is granted in accordance with paragraphs S 4.1 and S 5.1 of Appendix Statelessness Immigration Rules, which states that permission to stay will normally be granted to the applicant (and their family members where applicable) for an initial period of no more than 5 years. Those granted permission to stay have the right to work and access to public funds.

For applications that include a dependant partner or child, their application will be decided under Part 14 provisions.

Refusal of permission to stay

An applicant who does not meet the requirements of paragraph S 4.1 must be informed of the decision in a letter which sets out the reasons for refusal and informs the applicant how to seek an administrative review of the decision.

Where an applicant does not meet the requirements of paragraph S 4.1, because they do not meet the suitability and relevant eligibility requirements, the decision letter must clearly indicate whether they are nevertheless recognised as Stateless in accordance with paragraph S 3.1 of Appendix Statelessness.

This may occur when the applicant falls for refusal as a stateless person after considering the suitability and relevant eligibility requirements. In this instance, you must consider whether a more restrictive form of permission to stay is applicable. This is because individuals who are stateless and are not admissible to any other country will normally be granted a form of permission to stay. Consult the discretionary leave guidance where this is applicable.

Applications for permission to stay as a stateless person will normally be decided and the decision communicated to the applicant before removal arrangements are made. However, a statelessness application is not a barrier to removal where someone does not have any existing permission to stay in any other capacity and an Emergency Travel Document (ETD) is available. If an ETD has been secured or a passport used to arrange to remove the individual, then this can be accepted as evidence that they are re-admissible to the country of return. If this is the case, a decision letter should be drafted, to formally refuse the statelessness application, citing that the ETD is one piece of evidence which shows that the applicant is admissible.

The decision letter (and all other correspondence with the applicant) must be served in accordance with the guidance on drafting, serving and implementing decisions.

Administrative reviews

There is no statutory right of appeal against a decision to refuse to grant permission to stay as a stateless person but unsuccessful applicants can apply for an administrative review, in accordance with [paragraph AR3.2\(c\) of Appendix AR](#) of the Immigration Rules.

Applicants must be advised in the decision letter that they are entitled to apply for an administrative review which must be made online using the form at: [Apply for an administrative review](#). There is no fee payable for this review because there is no fee for the underlying Statelessness application. For further details see: Administrative review guidance.

An administrative review will consider whether an 'eligible decision' is wrong because of a case working error and correct that error if necessary.

If a case returns from administrative review for reconsideration, a different caseworker should reconsider the case within 3 months and any decision will be subject to a second pair of eyes check by a Higher Executive Officer or Senior Executive Officer.

Further submissions and repeat applications

There is no provision within Appendix Statelessness to accept further submissions following a decision on a statelessness application. Where an applicant has been refused and has been notified of the decision, any further information or evidence must be submitted as part of a new application using the appropriate FLR(S) application form available on the GOV.UK website.

Family members

When considering the application, you must consider any explanations provided by the applicant as to why they cannot seek entry for the purpose of residence to the state that their family member (for example, a partner) is from.

Where a dependent partner or child is applying with a stateless person and cannot seek entry for the purposes of residence, you must be satisfied the applicant meets the requirements under Part 14 of the Immigration Rules, see section on [family members](#).

Removal to safe third countries

Where a protection claim is made on or after 28 June 2022 may be considered to be to inadmissible under the decision framework set out in sections [80B and 80C of the Nationality, Immigration and Asylum Act 2002](#) and [paragraph 327F of the Immigration Rules](#). A statelessness application is not a barrier to removal, therefore

any removal to a safe country should proceed if applicable. Any outstanding statelessness application will lapse if the applicant is removed to a safe third country. For more information see the Inadmissibility guidance.

Outstanding asylum claims

If an asylum seeker whose asylum claim remains outstanding makes a statelessness application, you must first write to the applicant to explain why it may not be appropriate to consider their statelessness application before their asylum claim is decided and ask whether they wish to withdraw their asylum claim. You will need to make clear that if they approach relevant national authorities to support their statelessness application this may prejudice any future asylum claim based on fear of persecution from those authorities.

If no reply is received or the applicant confirms they wish to pursue both their asylum claim and statelessness application, you must consider if you can make a decision on whether they are eligible for permission to stay as a stateless person without making enquiries with the national authorities from which the claimant is seeking protection, as doing so would breach our duty of confidentiality in respect of asylum claims under [paragraph 339IA](#) of the Immigration Rules.

Where you can determine the statelessness application without contacting the national authorities, or the person's asylum claim is not based on a fear of those authorities such that any enquiries would not breach our duty of confidentiality, the asylum and statelessness procedures may proceed in parallel. However, you must consult relevant operational colleagues considering the asylum claim as findings of fact from one procedure may be relevant in the other.

In cases where enquiries with relevant national authorities are required to make an informed decision on statelessness matters, and the applicant's asylum claim is based on a fear of those authorities, this will mean that enquiries cannot be made unless and until the asylum claim has been refused (and appeal rights exhausted). This is because approaching the national authorities would breach our obligation to treat information provided in support of their asylum claim in confidence. Where an asylum claim has been refused, any necessary enquiries subsequently made to the relevant national authorities should not disclose the fact that an asylum claim was lodged. As such, you must place the statelessness application on hold until the asylum claim has been decided or withdrawn. You must write to the applicant to tell them their application has been placed on hold and the reasons why.

The same principles apply to any further submissions lodged on protection grounds if they are based on a fear of persecution from the national authorities of their country of origin or former habitual residence.

Further leave applications

Applications for further leave for applicants who have previously been granted 30 months discretionary leave as a stateless person, prior to the rule changes of April 2019, should be considered in line with the requirements for discretionary leave as a stateless person under Paragraph S 4.1 of Appendix Statelessness. Such

applications must be considered in line with the principles set out in the [considering statelessness applications](#) section of this guidance. Consult the discretionary leave guidance where this is applicable.

You should also consider whether the exclusion provisions set out in Paragraph S 2.1-S 2.3 and the General Grounds for Refusal in Paragraph 322 apply. If they do, but you still consider the individual to be Stateless and not admissible to any other country, you should look to grant leave outside the rules instead.

For those applicants who continue to meet the requirements under Paragraph S 4.1, you should grant a period of 5 years permission to stay. However, you should advise in your grant letter that they are eligible to apply for settlement as a stateless person after having accrued a continuous period of 5 years permission to stay on the statelessness route.

Related content

[Contents](#)

Settlement applications

Applications for settlement (also referred to as indefinite leave to remain) as a stateless person must be made in the UK on the applicant's last month of permission to stay on the [specified FLR\(S\) form](#). Where an applicant has previously provided evidence to support that they are stateless and not admissible to any other country, there is no need for them to repeat this evidence within their settlement application.

Establishing identity for settlement can be achieved through the previous submission of biometrics and issued identity documents from the Home Office following the grant of a previous period of permission to stay.

Applications must not be processed until one month before the applicant becomes eligible for settlement (based on the need to have a continuous period of 5 years' permission to stay as a stateless person). Any applications received more than a month, but less than 3 months, before the applicant is eligible, should be held until a month before the date they become eligible and then processed. The file must be noted accordingly. Applications received more than 3 months before the applicant becomes eligible for settlement should be refused.

Some individuals do not need to have spent a continuous period of 5 years in the UK with permission as a stateless person to qualify for settlement. If the applicant has permission as a stateless person for at least one year immediately before the date of a settlement application and did not enter the UK illegally; the 5-year qualifying period can be met by also counting time on any other route that allows a person to qualify for settlement.

If an individual does not qualify, you should advise the individual that they do not qualify for settlement at this time because they have not accrued a continuous period of 5 years permission to stay as a stateless person or by other applicable routes, and that they should apply again when their permission to stay is due to expire within a month or when they have accrued 5 years' permission to stay.

Settlement may be granted under S 10.1 of [Appendix Statelessness](#) after the applicant has spent a continuous period of 5 years in the UK with permission to stay granted under paragraph S 5.1. To qualify for settlement, an applicant must meet the requirements set out in paragraph S 6.1-S 8.2 of Appendix Statelessness and not fall for refusal under paragraph S 10.2-S 10.3. See the sections on [exclusion and Part 9](#) for further information.

If you are not satisfied that all the suitability and eligibility requirements for settlement as a stateless person are met but are satisfied that the applicant meets the requirements for permission to stay as a stateless person, you must grant the applicant a further period of permission to stay as a stateless person for 5 years.

Where clear evidence comes to light that the individual is not stateless and/or is admissible to another country for the purpose of permanent residence, you must refuse the settlement application under paragraph S 9.1. The individual will then need to make a valid application for permission to stay under other provisions of the

Immigration Rules or leave the UK. You must refer such cases to the relevant enforcement team to consider whether removal action is appropriate.

Applicants who apply for settlement as a stateless person but have never been granted permission to stay on the statelessness route must be refused settlement on this route as they do not meet the requirements of paragraph S 10.3 of the rules.

Where an application is refused because they do not meet the requirements of the Immigration Rules but permission to stay is granted outside the rules there is a right of administrative review.

Related content

[Contents](#)

Travel documents

People who are recognised as stateless are entitled to apply for a stateless person's document which will be issued in accordance with the UK's obligations under the 1954 UN Statelessness Convention.

This can include person's granted permission to stay in accordance with this policy and those who are recognised as being stateless, but do not qualify for permission to stay as a stateless person. For example, this may include those who are refused under general grounds for refusal but who are granted leave outside the Immigration Rules.

Applications for a stateless person's document must be made online. For further information see: [applying for a Home Office travel document](#).

Related content

[Contents](#)