



Tackling Loneliness with Transport Evaluation Appendix G

Authors: The National Centre for Social Research (Alexander Martin, Frances Shipsey, Dr Kathryn Yates, Adriana D'Arcy, Florence Trégan, Robyn Bennetto, Rachael Owen, Dr Sokratis Dinos) & RSM UK Consulting LLP (Ali Nur, Inna Yordanova, Ella Cowin, Dave Innes, Liam Kearney-Fitzgerald, Kieran Jones, Jenny Irwin)

Prepared for: The Department for Transport (DfT)

Appendix G

Value for Money assessment findings

This Appendix supplements Appendix A by providing additional detail on how a 'Value Game exercise' was applied to collect qualitative data and use it to develop monetary valuations of outcomes for the four pilots. It lists the assumptions being made, as well as the estimated costs used to draw an average monetary valuation per service user and pilot. It further details the methodology used for conducting a sensitivity analysis of the findings.

Value for Money analysis

Findings from the qualitative data included monetary valuations of outcomes that can be attributed to each pilot. Combining this with administrative data on project costs enabled us to conduct a VfM assessment, which calculated metrics for assessing value for money that are recommended in the Green Book¹ (guidance issued by HM Treasury on how to appraise policies, programmes and projects). Data collected by pilots via the Common Minimum Dataset (CMD) was used to gather information about the overall number of pilot users, the number of infrequent users (those who engaged with pilots 1-4 times), and frequent users (those who engaged with the pilots over 5 times.

Having collected data on these three components (monetary valuations, administrative and CMD data), a VfM assessment for the four selected pilots, the findings of which are summarised in table G.1 below. Given the uncertainty about the size of aggregate benefits, switching values were calculated where possible. This is a value illustrating how large the monetised pilot benefit for beneficiaries would need to be for it to exceed the pilot costs.

¹ HM Treasury (2022), The Green Book. Available at: https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/the-green-book-appraisal-and-evaluation-in-central-government/the-green-book-2020

Table G.1: Summary of VfM assessment findings

Pilot	Capital spend	Revenue spend (£000s)	Overall spend (£000s)	N users	N infrequent users (1-4)	N frequent users (5+)	Value for infrequent users	Value for frequent users	Deadweight	Estimated benefits (central scenario) (£000s)	Switching value
Bikeworks in London	£99,784.29	£249	£349	871	757	114	£160	£1,600	0%	£304	£1,840
Community Transport Association Derbyshire (CTA)	N/A	£498	£498	2141	1969	172	£62.00	£620	50%	£114	£2,700
WTC (Walsall)	N/A	£460	£460	2331	1931	400	£62.00	£620	0%	£368	
Living Streets Wigan	N/A	£497	£497	350	302	48	130	650	0%	£70	

The findings are also based on a series of overall assumptions and individual assumptions that had to be made for each pilot. The overall assumptions include:

- Estimated costs from the Value Game exercise: 3-day holiday in the UK (£459), a car (£3,556), monthly travel card (£80), new smartphone/tablet (£150).
- Value for infrequent users: focus groups tended to be biased towards frequent beneficiaries, meaning that it was not possible to directly collect data on the pilot value from infrequent beneficiaries. However, since participating beneficiaries claimed that the value of the pilot increases with more frequent use, it was assumed that the value for infrequent beneficiaries is one tenth of the value for frequent beneficiaries. For Living Streets, only infrequent beneficiaries participated in the focus group, and they similarly felt that the value of the pilot would increase for frequent use, so it was assumed that the value from frequent use is five times the value for infrequent beneficiaries.
- Pilot activity and locality: based on data from the focus groups, it was assumed that the pilot value for the Bikeworks and CTA pilots do not vary largely across the country. Therefore, it was possible to derive switching values for these pilots. However, the value of the Walsall Community Chat (WCT) pilot was associated with one specific activity (visiting the local community hub), while the value of the Living Streets pilot was associated with the activity in Wigan. Since the administrative data for these two pilots did not include activity or regional breakdowns, it was not possible to not derive a switching value for these pilots. While Living Streets also conducted similar activities in different areas, there were large differences in the average frequency of use of participants across areas. Therefore it was felt that there would be too much uncertainty about the size of benefits which would be constant across areas to estimate aggregate national benefits. Assumptions made for each individual pilot can be found table G.2 below, and table G.3 provides values of items drawn on in the valuation.

Table G.2: Assumptions made

Pilot	Valuation provided through the Value Game by focus group participants, along with assumptions made to derive a switching value	Average valuation per focus group participant		
Bikeworks	Beneficiaries valued all outcomes together and, as all were frequent users,	£1,600 value for frequent users		
	considered the value of their participation in Bikeworks as a whole rather than using the pilot a single time. Three participants agreed that Bikeworks was more valuable than a three-day holiday in the UK, one participant agreed that it is less valuable than a car, and one participant agreed that it is more valuable than a TV. Given the estimated costs of a TV, a car, and a three-day	£160 value for infrequent users		
	holiday, it was assumed that the pilot value for a frequent beneficiary is £1,600. Based on that a value for infrequent Bikeworks users was derived - a tenth of the value for frequent users or £160. It was assumed that the value of			
	Bikeworks does not differ for users across the different localities in London where the pilot is based, and it was therefore possible to derive a switching value of £1,840. Based on this value, it is estimated that the benefits of the pilot (£303,520) are comparable to the pilot budget (£349,931).			

Pilot	Valuation provided through the Value Game by focus group participants, along with assumptions made to derive a switching value	Average valuation per focus group participant
CTA	Beneficiaries valued all outcomes together and as all were frequent users of	£620 value for frequent users
	the CTA pilot, they all agreed that one CTA trip was more valuable to them	£62.00 value for infrequent users
	than a 3-day holiday in the UK. Therefore, it is estimated that the pilot value	
	for frequent CTA users is £620, and the value for infrequent users is one tenth	
	of that (£62.00). A further 50% deadweight on the programme benefits was	
	estimated, as insight from both stakeholder interviews and the focus group	
	showed that a lot of the CTA trips would have taken place anyway in the	
	absence of the pilot. From the focus group with Derbyshire participants, it	
	appeared that CTA activities are similar across the country, and therefore it	
	was possible to calculate a switching value of £2,700 for the pilot. Based on	
	this value, the estimated benefits of the pilot (£114,359) are substantially	
	lower than the pilot budget (£498,000).	
WCT	Beneficiaries valued all outcomes together and as all were frequent users of	£620 value for frequent users
	the Let's Chat pilot, they all agreed that the pilot was more valuable to them	£62.00 value for infrequent users
	than a 3-day holiday in the UK. Therefore, it is assumed that the value of the	
	pilot for frequent users is £620, and the value for infrequent users is one tenth	
	of that (£62.00). All beneficiaries were engaging predominantly with the Let's	
	Chat community hub when describing the outcomes of the pilot and had	
	limited engagement with the organised trips or mobile hubs. Therefore, it was	
	not possible not derive a switching value for the Let's Chat pilot as the	
	financial data available did not include a breakdown for the community hub	
	activities.	
Living	Beneficiaries all used the activity infrequently. Three agreed that using the	£130 value for infrequent users
Streets	pilot one time was as valuable to them as a travel card for a month, and one	£650 value for frequent users
	felt that it was more valuable than a smartphone. Based on the costs of a	
	travel card and a smartphone, it is estimated that the value of the pilot for	
	infrequent beneficiaries is £130. This has been multiplied this by five to derive	
	an estimated value for frequent beneficiaries. Since all focus group	
	participants engaged with the Wigan Living Streets pilot and the administrative	
	data did not include a financial breakdown for the Wigan pilot, it was not	
	possible to estimate a switching value.	

Table G.3: Estimated costs of items

Item	Value	Source
3-day holiday in the UK	£459	https://www.budgetyourtrip.com/united-kingdom
		[accessed 15th November 2023]
A car	£3,556	https://www.nimblefins.co.uk/cheap-car-insurance
		/average-cost-run-car-uk [accessed 15th November
		2023]
Monthly travel card Wigan	£80	https://tfgm.com/tickets-and-passes/28-day-bee-
		anybus-adult [accessed 15th November 2023]
New smartphone/tablet	£150	https://www.which.co.uk/reviews/tablets/article/how-
		to-buy-the-best-tablet [accessed 15th November
		2023]

Sensitivity analysis

Given uncertainty around value per frequent and infrequent beneficiaries, sensitivity analysis was conducted in scenarios where the value per user is approximately a third lower or a third higher than the central estimate of value from the focus group (rounded to the nearest 10 for frequent users). Please see the sensitivity analysis results summarised in table G.4. The aggregate benefit was calculated based on the number of frequent/infrequent users per pilot following the same methodology as the main analysis summarised in table G.1 above.

Table G.4: Sensitivity analysis results

	Value per frequent user under alternative			Value per infrequent user under alternative				Aggregate benefit		
	scenarios			scenarios						
Pilot	Low	Central	High	Low	Central	High	Deadweight	Low	Central	High
Bikeworks in London	£1,070	£1,600	£2,130	£107	£160	£213	0	£202,979	£303,520	£404,061
CTA Derbyshire	£410	£620	£830	£41	£62	£83	0.5	£75,625	£114,359	£153,094
WCT (Walsall)	£410	£620	£830	£41	£62	£83	0	£243,171	£367,722	£492,273
Living Streets Wigan	£430	£650	£870	£86	£130	£174	0	£46,612	£70,460	£94,308

