

**Reference: 2025-049**

Thank you for your email in which you requested the following information under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA):

**I am requesting the number of department computers or laptops running on legacy IT systems. Please provide the following:**

1. The number of department computing devices, including laptops, desktops, and tablets, that are running on PC Windows versions: a) Windows 11 23H2, b) Windows 10 22H2, c) Windows 8.1, d) Windows 7, e) Windows XP/Vista.
2. The number of department computing devices, including laptops, desktops, and tablets, that are running on Windows Server versions: a) Windows Server 2022 , b) Windows Server 2016, c) Windows Server 2012/R2, d) Windows Server 2008/R2, e) Windows Server 2003/R2, f) Windows Server 2000, g) Windows NT 4.0 Server.
3. The number of department computer devices, including laptops, desktops, and tablets, that are running on Apple macOS Server versions: a) macOS Server 5.12.2, b) macOS Server 5.10-5.11, c) macOS Server 5.0-5.5, d) or earlier versions.
4. The number of department computer devices, including laptops, desktops, and tablets, that are running on Apple macOS versions for desktop and laptops: a) macOS 14, b) macOS 12, c) macOS 10.13, d) or earlier versions.

### **Response**

We confirm that we hold this information. However, this is exempt from release under section 31(1) of the FOIA.

Section 31(1)(a), (b), and (c) provides that:

*Information which is not exempt information by virtue of section 30 is exempt information if its disclosure under this Act would, or would be likely to, prejudice—*

- (a) the prevention or detection of crime,*
- (b) the apprehension or prosecution of offenders,*
- (c) the administration of justice:*

### **How the exemption is engaged**

As a law enforcement agency, the Serious Fraud Office (SFO) holds highly sensitive information which is of interest to others, including those we investigate. Releasing detailed information about the SFO's IT systems and tools could therefore compromise our ability to protect SFO investigative material and information systems, thereby prejudicing the interests at (a), (b), and (c) above.

### **Public interest test**

Sections 31(a), (b), and (c) are qualified exemptions and require consideration of whether, in all the circumstances of the case, the public interest in exempting this data outweighs the

public interest in disclosing it. More information about exemptions in general and the public interest test is available on the ICO's website at [www.ico.org.uk](http://www.ico.org.uk).

It is recognised that there is a general public interest in publicising information about the SFO, so that the public knows that serious fraud, bribery, and corruption are being investigated and prosecuted effectively, and so that the public can be reassured about the general conduct of our organisation and how public money is spent.

However, having considered the public interest in releasing this information, we consider that the stronger interest lies in maintaining these exemptions of the FOIA. Primarily, the risk that this information could pose to the SFO's security systems against which it is essential to safeguard given the highly sensitive nature of the information held as a law enforcement agency. Releasing sensitive information regarding IT systems risk compromising the SFO's ability to provide and maintain data security for the cases at pre-investigation, investigation, prosecution stages and beyond.

Having considered the opposing arguments, I believe that the stronger public interest lies in exempting the information from release.