This guidance is under review

While the guidance is being updated, see NHS England's <u>Clinical commissioning</u> policy for the use of therapeutic immunoglobulin (Ig) England (2025).



SECOND EDITION

Clinical Guidelines for Immunoglobulin Use

May 2008

DH INFORMATION READER BOX

Policy	Estates
HR / Workforce	Commissioning
Management	IM & T
Planning / Performance	
Clinical	Social Care / Partnership Working
	Soona care i randonip iionning
Document Purpose	Best Practice Guidance
ROCR Ref:	Gateway Ref: 10012
Title	Clinical Guidelines for Immunoglobulin Use
Author	DH
Publication Date	30 May 2008
Target Audience	PCT CEs, NHS Trust CEs, SHA CEs, Foundation Trust CEs, Medical Directors, Directors of Finance, GPs, Communications Leads, Emergency Care Leads, Chief Pharmacists
Circulation List	
Description	The goal of this clinical guideline is to ensure best practice in the use of IVIg across all indications, based on available evidence and expert opinion. This supports the DH initiated 'National Demand Management Programme for Immunoglobulin' to provide guidance in appropriate use, to manage demand and to ensure supply for patients whom immunoglobulin is life-saving.
Cross Ref	n/a
Superseded Docs	Demand Management of Immunoglobulin'
Action Required	n/a
Timing	n/a
Contact Details	Denise O'Shaughnessy
	Blood Policy Team
	Wellington House
	Waterloo Road
	SE1 8UG
	Tel 02079724691
For Recipient's Use	
For Kecipient's Use	

Clinical guidelines for IMMUNOGLOBULIN USE

SECOND EDITION

IVIg Guideline Development Group of the IVIg Expert Working Group

Dr Drew Provan (Haematology, Chair) Barts and the London NHS Trust

Dr Tim JC Nokes (Haematology) Plymouth Hospitals NHS Trust

Dr Samir Agrawal (Haemato-oncology) Barts and the London NHS Trust

Dr John Winer (Neurology) University Hospital Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust

Dr Phil Wood (Immunology) Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust

Contents

CONTENTS	. 2
INTRODUCTION	7
Department of Health demand management initiative for IVIg	. 8
Objectives of IVIg National Clinical Guidelines	. 8
Demand management of IVIg	. 9
National Immunoglobulin Database	. 9
Methods	. 9
Search strategy	10
Evidence levels and grades of recommendations	10
Guideline update in 2008	12
Prioritisation of treatment recommendations	12
Patients addressed by this guideline; patient communications,	
support and views	12
Procedure for updating the guideline	13
Future research	13
Immunoglobulin preparations and licensed indications	13
Recommendations for pharmacists: individual patient doses	15
Long-term users	15
SUMMARY TABLES	17
Summary of recommendations	17
Summary of grey indications	23
Indications for which IVIg is not recommended	27
IMMUNOLOGY	28
Primary immunodeficiencies	28
Kawasaki disease	30
Grey indications	30
Secondary antibody deficiencies following drug therapies	30
HAEMATOLOGY	31
Acquired red cell aplasia	31
Adult HIV-associated thrombocytopenia	31

	Alloimmune thrombocytopenia	32
	Acquired haemophilia	32
	Autoimmune haemolytic anaemia	33
	Autoimmune thrombocytopenia	33
	Evans' syndrome	33
	Haemolytic disease of the fetus and newborn (isoimmune haemolytic	
	jaundice in neonates)	34
	Haemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis/haemophagocytic syndrome	34
	Idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura	34
	Post transfusion purpura	36
Gr	ey indications	36
	Acquired red cell aplasia	36
	Acquired von Willebrand disease	36
	Aplastic anaemia/pancytopenia	36
	Autoimmune neutropenia	37
	Haemolytic uraemic syndrome	37
	Post-exposure prophylaxis for viral infection where intramuscular	
	injection is contraindicated, or treatment when hyperimmune	
	immunoglobulins are unavailable	37
	Post-transfusion hyperhaemolysis (usually in patients with sickle cell disease)	37
	Systemic lupus erythematosus with secondary immunocytopenias	37
H	AEMATO-ONCOLOGY	38
	Low serum IgG levels following HSCT	38
	Chronic lymphocytic leukaemia	38
	Haemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis/haemophagocytic syndrome	39
	Multiple myeloma	39
Gr	ey indications	40
	Graft versus host disease following allogeneic BMT or HSCT	40
	Infection following allogeneic BMT or HSCT	40
	POEMS (polyneuropathy, organomegaly, endocrinopathy, monoclonal	
	gammopathy, skin changes)	40

NEUROLOGY	41
Chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy	41
Dermatomyositis	42
Guillain-Barré syndrome	42
Lambert Eaton myasthenic syndrome	43
Multifocal motor neuropathy	43
Myasthenia gravis	44
Paraprotein-associated demyelinating neuropathy	45
Rasmussen syndrome	46
Stiff person syndrome	46
Grey indications	46
Acute disseminated encephalomyelitis	46
Acute idiopathic dysautonomia	46
Autoimmune diabetic proximal neuropathy	46
Bickerstaff's brainstem encephalitis	47
Cerebral infarction with antiphospholipid antibodies	47
CNS vasculitis	47
Intractable childhood epilepsy	47
Neuromyotonia	47
PANDAS (paediatric autoimmune neuropsychiatric disorders associated with	
streptococcal infection)	48
Paraneoplastic disorders	48
POEMS	48
Polymyositis	48
Potassium channel antibody-associated, non-neoplastic limbic encephalitis	48
Vasculitic neuropathy	48
DERMATOLOGY	49
Dermatomyositis	49
Immunobullous diseases	49
Toxic epidermal necrolysis and Stevens-Johnson syndrome	50
Grey indications	50
Atopic dermatitis/Eczema	50

Pyoderma gangrenosum 5 ⁻	1
Urticaria	1
PAEDIATRICS 52	2
Alloimmune thrombocytopenia 52	2
Fetal hydrops	2
Haemolytic disease of the fetus and newborn (isoimmune haemolytic	
jaundice in neonates) 53	3
Idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura (<16 years) 53	3
Kawasaki disease 54	4
Toxin-related infection in paediatric intensive care 54	4
Paediatric rheumatology	5
Kawasaki disease 55	5
Juvenile dermatomyositis 55	5
Grey indications 50	6
Intractable childhood epilepsy 56	6
Juvenile systemic lupus erythematosus	6
Other systemic vasculitides 50	6
PANDAS	7
Systemic juvenile idiopathic arthritis 5	7
RHEUMATOLOGY	8
Adult rheumatology	8
Dermatomyositis	8
Paediatric rheumatology 59	9
Kawasaki disease 59	9
Juvenile dermatomyositis 59	9
Grey indications 60	0
Catastrophic antiphospholipid syndrome 60	0
Juvenile systemic lupus erythematosus	0
Polymyositis	0
Systemic juvenile idiopathic arthritis 60	0
Systemic lupus erythematosus 6 ⁻	1
Systemic lupus erythematosus with secondary immunocytopenias 6	1
Systemic vasculitides and ANCA disorders 6	1

INFECTIOUS DISEASES	62
Severe invasive group A streptococcal disease	62
Staphylococcal toxic shock syndrome	62
Necrotising (PVL-associated) staphylococcal sepsis	63
Severe or recurrent Clostridium difficile colitis	63
Grey indications	64
Post-exposure prophylaxis for viral infection where intramuscular injection is	
contraindicated, or treatment when hyperimmune immunoglobulins	
are unavailable	64
TRANSPLANTATION	65
CMV-induced pneumonitis following transplantation	65
Grey indications	65
Antibody incompatible transplantation	65
Treatment of acute antibody-mediated rejection and steroid-resistant rejection	
following solid organ transplantation	65
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	66
DISCLAIMER	66
EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT	66
REFERENCES	67
APPENDIX 1	84
APPENDIX 2	86
APPENDIX 3	87
APPENDIX 4	88
APPENDIX 5	89

INTRODUCTION

Immunoglobulin preparations were first used therapeutically in the 1950s as immunoglobulin replacement therapy for primary immunodeficiency disorders. It was not until technological advances in the fractionation of plasma about 30 years ago that monomeric suspensions of IgG suitable for intravenous use (IVIg) were developed. With the ability to administer large quantities of immunoglobulin intravenously, IVIg has now become an important treatment option in a number of clinical indications beyond primary immunodeficiency, including autoimmune and acute inflammatory conditions, and off-label prescribing has crossed over into almost every medical specialty.

For some time, there has been concern over availability of IVIg to the NHS, due to a global supply shortage and issues specific to the UK. It is important to note that IVIg is now the most widely used plasma component, and as usage continues to grow, is considered the primary driving force for plasma procurement. In the UK, the major supplier of immunoglobulin, Bio Products Laboratories (BPL), is required to buy plasma from the USA due to the risk of vCJD in the UK. This has significantly increased production costs. Plasma was previously sourced within the UK as a byproduct of voluntary blood donations. The IVIg supply shortage is compounded by an ever increasing demand for immunoglobulin because of a number of factors, including the emergence of new therapeutic indications, widespread off-label use and an indefinite duration of use in some indications, particularly for the treatment of some neurological illnesses in addition to immune deficiencies.

IVIg can be an expensive therapeutic choice in disease states where other interventions may be indicated. Even if there are data that support the potential efficacy of IVIg, its use should still be carefully considered, not only because of supply issues, but because of potential and often individual risks. For example, anaphylactoid reactions to IVIg given to pregnant women can lead to acute fetal compromise. In the 1980s and 1990s, cases of hepatitis C transmission were reported with IVIg. Since the standardization of viral inactivation steps and the introduction of second- and third-generation screening of donors, there have been no transmissions, but there is no place for complacency because of the possibility of unknown as well as novel viruses and other infectious agents; vigilance is required.

In this document, the term IVIg is used to describe mean pooled normal human immunoglobulin. Depending on volume required, it can be administered intravenously or subcutaneously. In this document, IVIg does not cover hyperimmune immunoglobulins. However, in certain cases, IVIg may be used where the appropriate hyperimmune immunoglobulin is not available.

Department of Health demand management initiative for IVIg

In 2006, the Department of Health (DH) initiated a review to assess the opportunities available to secure the supply of immunoglobulin in the UK and to develop a more evidence-based approach to IVIg use. The review recommended two complementary work streams, which were agreed by the DH and initiated in late 2006. These were:

- 1. New procurement arrangements for immunoglobulin products, with improved levels of commitment from purchasers and suppliers to ensure adequate supply.
- 2. A National Demand Management Programme to provide guidance on the appropriate use of immunoglobulin products.

The Demand Management Programme is a three-part initiative that consists of:

- **A.** National Clinical Guidelines for the appropriate use of IVIg
- B. Demand Management Plan
- **C.** National Immunoglobulin Database (Reference No. ROCR/OR/0221)

Objectives of IVIg National Clinical Guidelines

There was a clear need to provide guidance on appropriate use of IVIg and a framework for the promotion of evidence-based clinical practice to help improve consistency in patient care.

The overall objective of this guideline is distinct from other disease-specific guidelines, which seek to provide recommendations on how best to manage a single disease. The goal of this guideline is to ensure best practice in the use of IVIg across all indications, based on available evidence and expert opinion.

Although some of the new indications for IVIg are based on strong clinical evidence, a number of uses are based on relatively sparse data or anecdotal reports. This may be due to lack of trial data or the low prevalence of a particular disease preventing appropriate randomised controlled trials (RCTs). In other indications, immunoglobulin is used despite evidence that it is not efficacious. This guideline provides recommendations on immunoglobulin use which reflects the evidence base. Part of the remit was to provide suggestions for alternative treatments to IVIg and, where possible, alternatives are included. Graded recommendations are not provided for alternatives; to avoid any sense of a hierarchy of alternative treatments, these are listed in alphabetical order.

Demand management of IVIg

In addition, because the supply of IVIg is limited, and demand is expected to continue to exceed supply in the medium term, there is a pressing need to introduce a process to rationalize demand, as well as increasing supply, to ensure that an appropriate supply-demand balance is attained. In particular, immunoglobulin remains the only treatment option for patients with primary immunodeficiencies and, in certain cases, is life saving. Shortages must never jeopardize supply for these patients and this factor must be given primary consideration. Children should also be a therapy priority in shortage situations. As a consequence, to deliver best use of IVIg requires a second factor to be considered: prioritisation of indications. These guidelines introduce a classification of immunoglobulin indications according to prioritisation. They have been created in synchrony with the Demand Management Plan. These two documents should be reviewed and understood in conjunction with each other.

National Immunoglobulin Database (Reference No. ROCR/OR/0221)

To complement demand management, support long-term planning and provide data on the use of immunoglobulin in rare disorders, an immunoglobulin treatment database has been initiated, which will be activated in June 2008. The database will monitor immunoglobulin use, help Trusts to predict future use and improve consistency in prescribing in keeping with Good Clinical Practice (see <u>www.intravenousimmunoglob-</u> <u>ulin.org</u> for further information).

The Demand Management Programme was launched in November 2007. The DH issued a 'Dear Colleague' letter highlighting the launch of the Demand Management Plan, the National Clinical Guidelines and confirming that the National Immunoglobulin Database would be launched in June 2008.

Methods

The aims and processes for this guideline were prospectively developed and agreed by the Guideline Development Group to provide consensus guidelines for IVIg prescribing (appendix 1). Briefly, given the enormous undertaking to consider the evidence for IVIg in every single treatment indication through a systematic review of the literature, this approach was not deemed feasible in the short time-frame available. Rather, given the availability of high-quality single-specialty and singledisease guidelines that provide recommendations based on systematic reviews of the literature, the decision was taken by the Guideline Development Group to base these guidelines on published evidence-based guidelines for IVIg supplemented, where necessary, by relevant Cochrane reviews.

Search strategy

An electronic database (PubMed) was searched using the terms '(guidelin* OR statement OR recommendatio*) AND (intravenous ORIV) AND (immunoglobuli* OR gammaglobuli* OR gamma-globuli*)'for papers published between January 1999 and November 2006. Bibliographies of certain journal articles were hand searched to locate additional inclusions, and websites of societies mentioned in abstracts were used to find other relevant or more recent guidelines. An electronic search of the internet (using Google) was also performed. Relevance of articles identified was assessed using a hierarchical approach based on title, abstract and then review of the published paper. Only papers that included formal recommendations for the use of IVIg were included. Irrelevant manuscripts were discarded.

Formal guideline recommendations for IVIg were extracted, including any descriptions of the level and grade of evidence, and the system used to determine the evidence level and grade. A summary document identifying the indications assessed and comparing the recommendations contained in the identified guidelines was drafted. A series of telephone conferences were used to review areas of disagreement between guidelines and achieve consensus. Four members of the Guidelines Development Group were designated as the lead for their pre-defined groups of indications and their decision was final on areas of uncertainty.

Evidence levels and grades of recommendations

A summary of the evidence presented for each indication was prepared. This document was reviewed by each member of the Guidelines Development Group independently and a telephone conference was used to review areas of disagreement between guidelines and to achieve consensus. A summary document was formulated and presented to the main Expert Working Group and to a small number of external experts for review and comment. The members of the Expert Working Group are given in appendix 2. Evidence presented in the summary document was assessed and graded according to the strength of supporting evidence based on the US Department of Health and Human Services Agency for Healthcare Policy and Research (AHPCR) system (documented in appendix 3).

Table 1: C	linical guideline recommendations
Yes	Immunoglobulin use is recommended in all cases
No	Immunoglobulin use is not recommended
Selected	Immunoglobulin use is recommended for this indication in some cases. Selection may relate to disease severity or likelihood of response.

Table 2: Sh	nort- and long-term treatment
Short-term	Short-term treatment in serious or potentially life-threatening conditions and/or consisting of a single course of treatment, which may comprise a number of doses up to a maximum of three. A single dose is defined as the appropriate dosage for the disease indication, usually in g/kg, which may be fractionated and delivered over 1-5 days
Long-term	Medium- to long-term treatment, which is one or more courses of IVIg where further courses may be anticipated from the diagnosis before the initiation of treatment or decided upon following response to a single trial course

Generally, for off-label indications, there were few randomised trials and many of the recommendations made in guidelines were based on expert opinion. Where there is insufficient evidence for a recommendation, these have been listed as grey indications (see page 12). If alternative treatments are appropriate, suggestions are made that reflect clinical practice, although the evidence in favour of these has not been assessed. The definitions of the recommendations within the clinical guidelines are shown in table 1 and definitions of short- and long-term treatment are given in table 2.

Guideline update in 2008

To ensure widespread, effective and transparent consultation on the content of these guidelines, the DH decided to formalise the review process in 2008. Interested bodies registered as Stakeholders (see appendix 4 for list) and provided comments on the document. All comments were then reviewed by the IVIg Expert Group and appropriate changes made to the guidelines. Stakeholder comments and the Expert Group response were published on the website (www.intravenousimmunoglobulin.org) in mid-May 2008. This second version of the IVIg guidelines was published by DH on May 30th 2008.

Prioritisation of treatment recommendations

As part of IVIg demand management, a classification of immunoglobulin indications accordingto prioritisation has been introduced. Colour coding is now superimposed on the guideline recommendations. The details of how the colours relate to the use of IVIg are described in the Demand Management Plan. In brief, red signifies a disease for which treatment is considered the highest priority because of a risk to life without treatment. Blue indicates a disease for which there is a reasonable evidence base, but where other treatment options are available. The use of IVIg in these indications should be modified in times of shortage. Grey indications are those for which the evidence base is weak, in many cases because the disease is rare; IVIg treatment should be considered on a case-by-case basis, prioritised against other competing demands.

Patients addressed by this guideline; patient communications, support and views

This guideline is aimed at all those who treat, or who consider treating, patients using IVIg. IVIg is life saving for certain patients, particularly those with primary immunodeficiencies, and these guidelines provide the basis for prioritisation of usage. Prioritisation of indications for IVIg is described in detail in the Demand Management Plan for Immunoglobulin Use. A patient communication programme was seen as vital to ensure that patients understand that their IVIg supply is not threatened and for others to appreciate the need for more evidencebased use. A patient leaflet highlighting the need to control IVIg usage, the value of considering alternative therapies to IVIg because of some of the risks associated with IVIg and the value of the Demand Management Plan in securing provision to those most in need is available. This can be accessed at: www.intravenousimmunoglobulin.org. Patient organisations have formed an important part of the consultation process for these guidelines.

Procedure for updating the guideline

These clinical guidelines will be reviewed and updated on a regular and defined basis. The central goal of the Demand Management Programme is to ensure appropriate provision of IVIg, and not to deny IVIg to patients who would experience clinical benefit. Given the uniquely broad nature of this guideline, it was expected that the first iteration would be controversial, particularly when reviewed in conjunction with the Demand Management Plan for Immunoglobulin Use. Therefore, in the first 2 years, the intention was to review and update this document on an annual basis, followed by biennial review from 2009.

Future research

A feature of this guideline is the predominance of low-grade recommendations and low-level evidence in many indications for which IVIg is prescribed. Clearly, there is a need for further research. Potential research questions are listed in appendix 5.

Immunoglobulin preparations and licensed indications

Immunoglobulin is a sterile preparation of concentrated antibodies (immune globulins) recovered from pooled human plasma of healthy donors. Immunoglobulin preparations currently licensed in the UK are shown in table 3.

characteristics (SPC) [http://emc.medicines.org.uk]					
Product	Form	Vial sizes	Company, date of last revision of SPC text	Source of plasma	
Flebogamma 5%	50 g/L solution (5%) for IV administration	0.5 g, 2.5 g, 5 g, 10 g	Grifols UK, August 2000	USA	
Gammagard SD I-V	Powder for reconstitution to 5% or 10% solution for IV administration	0.5 g, 2.5 g, 5 g, 10 g	Baxter, June 1999	Europe and North America	
		•		continued 🗭	

Table 3 Summary of licensed LIK immunoalebuling from

Г

Summary of licensed UK immunoglobulins from summary of product characteristics (SPC) continued				
Product	Form	Vial sizes	Company, date of last revision of SPC text	Source of plasma
Octagam	50 mg/mL (5%) solution for IV infusion	2.5 g, 5 g, 10 g	Octapharma, April 2004	Germany, USA, Sweden, Austria and Switzerland
Sandoglobulin powder*/ Sandoglobulin NF liquid	Powder for solution for IV infusion/120 mg/mL solution for IV infusion	1 g, 3 g, 6 g, 12 g/6 g, 12 g)	CSL Behring, January 2004/ April 2008	USA and Europe
Vigam	5 g/100 mL (5%) freeze- dried powder for reconstitution (Vigam-S) or liquid (Vigam Liquid) for IV administration	2.5 g, 5 g (both forms), 10 g (Vigam Liquid)	Bio Products Laboratory, February 2003	USA
Intratect	50 g/L solution for infusion	1 g, 2.5 g, 5 g, 10 g	Biotest Pharma	USA, Germany, Austria, Belgium
Kiovig	100 mg/mL solution for infusion	1 g, 2.5 g, 5 g, 10 g, 20 g	Baxter, January 2006	Europe and North America
Subgam	140–180 mg/mL solution for subcutaneous or intramuscular injection	0.25 g, 0.75 g, 1.5 g	Bio Products Laboratory	USA
Subcuvia	160 mg/mL (16%) solution for subcutaneous or intramuscular administration	5 mL, 10 mL	Baxter, June 2003	Europe and North America
Vivaglobulin	160mg/mL solution for injection for subcutaneous injection	0.48 g, 1.6 g	CSL Behring, April 2008	USA and Europe

I

* To be discontinued

Recommendations for pharmacists: individual patient doses

To minimize the amount of IVIg used in individual treatments, rounding down IVIg dose **to the nearest whole vial** (adults) is recommended. Where the dose would be less than one vial in children, IVIg dose should be rounded up to a whole vial of the most appropriate size.

Recommendation

Pharmacists and prescribers are recommended to "round down" the dose to the nearest whole vial in an effort to conserve drug volumes.

Although the standard immunomodulatory dose for adults is 2 g/kg (set empirically), it is administered in various regimens to address issues of complications and patient convenience, and may be reduced for some conditions and in paediatrics. The 2-g/kg dose is frequently divided into five daily infusions of 0.4 g/kg, although some clinicians prefer to divide it into two daily doses of 1 g/kg each. In general, the 2-day infusion is not associated with more adverse reactions than the 5-day infusion. The 2-day dosage schedule may prevent rapid deterioration in patients with acute conditions and minimise disruption in those with chronic conditions. The replacement dose for patients with immunodeficiencies is different from the immunomodulatory dose and is tailored to an individual patient. Generally, these guidelines do not provide specific dosing recommendations.

Long-term users

Although the active ingredient in IVIg purified immunoglobulin—is the same from brand to brand, there are considerable differences in the manufacturing processes used. This results in individual products that cannot be used interchangeably. Furthermore, there are differences in buffers, stabilisers and diluents employed, which can have diverse effects in individual patients. The policy of maintaining the same brand of IVIg for long-term therapy is recommended for safety reasons.

As part of a review of disease management and treatment options, all patients receiving long-term IVIg should be reviewed annually to assess their disease activity and determine the best therapeutic option. This review should be seen as part of normal clinical practice.

Recommendation

Pharmacists and prescribers will continue the policy of brand consistency for patients on long-term IVIg.

All patients must undergo an annual efficacy review in line with Good Clinical Practice.

The outcome of an annual review must be entered into the National Immunoglobulin Database.

SUMMARY TABLES

Summary of recommendations					
Condition	Recommend?		Recommendation/ Evidence grade	Alternatives	
	Short-term	Long-term	Ludence grade		
Immunology					
Primary immunodeficiencies	SELECTED	YES	B, IIb	None	
Impaired specific antibody production	NO	SELECTED	C, III	Antibiotics (therapeutic & prophylactic), meticulous hygiene	
Kawasaki disease	YES	NO	A, la	None	
Haematology					
Acquired red cell aplasia due to parvovirus B19	SELECTED	NO	C, NI	Corticosteroids, other immunosuppressive agent	
Adult HIV-associated thrombocytopenia	SELECTED	NO	A, Ib	Anti-D(Rh ₀), tailored antiretroviral therapy	
Alloimmune thrombocytopenia – fetal therapy (treatment to the mother)	YES	NO	C, III	Corticosteroids	
Alloimmune thrombocytopenia – neonatal therapy	SELECTED	NO	C, III	HPA-1a-negative and HPA-5b-negative or specific HPA-compatible platelets	
				continued 🗭	

Condition	Recommend?		Recommendation/	Alternatives	
	Short-term Long-term		Evidence grade		
Autoimmune (acquired) haemophilia	SELECTED	NO	C, III	Corticosteroids,FEIBA, other immunosuppressive agents, recombinant factor VIIa, rituximab	
Autoimmune haemolytic anaemia	SELECTED	NO	C, III	Corticosteroids, other immunosuppressive agent, rituximab, splenectomy	
Autoimmune thrombocytopenia (see ITP)	SELECTED	NO	A, la		
Evans' syndrome	SELECTED	NO	C, III	Corticosteroids, other immunosuppressive agent	
Haemolytic disease of the fetus and newborn (isoimmune haemolytic jaundice in neonates)	SELECTED	NO	C, III	Phototherapy	
Haemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis/ haemophagocytic syndrome	SELECTED	NO	C, III	Corticosteroids + immunomodulation + antimicrobial agents	
Idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura – paediatric (<16 years)	SELECTED	NO	A, Ib	Anti-D(Rh ₀), corticosteroids, other immunosuppressive agent, rituximab	
Idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura – adult	SELECTED	NO	A, la	Anti-D(Rh ₀), corticosteroids, other immunosuppressive agent, rituximab, splenectomy	

Haematology continued				
Condition	Recommend?		Recommendation/	Alternatives
	Short-term	Long-term	Evidence grade	
Post transfusion purpura	SELECTED	NO	C, III	Corticosteroids, plasma exchange
Haemato-oncology				
Low serum IgG levels following HSCT for malignancy	YES	SELECTED	B, Ilb	None
Chronic lymphocytic leukaemia	NO	SELECTED	A, Ib	Prophylactic antibiotic
Haemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis/ haemophagocytic syndrome	SELECTED	NO	C, III	Corticosteroids + immunomodulation + antimicrobial agents
Multiple myeloma	NO	SELECTED	A, Ib	Antibiotics (therapeutic/ prophylactic), immunisation
Neurology				
Chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculo- neuropathy	SELECTED	SELECTED	A, la	Corticosteroids, other immunosuppressive agent, plasma exchange
Dermatomyositis	SELECTED	SELECTED	B, Ila	Corticosteroids, other immunosuppressive agent, plasma exchange
Guillain-Barré syndrome	SELECTED	NO	A, la	Plasma exchange
Lambert Eaton myasthenic syndrome	SELECTED	SELECTED	A, Ib	3,4-DAP ± pyridostigmine, other immunosuppressive agent, plasma exchange
				continued 🛤

Condition	Recommend?		Recommendation/	Alternatives
	Short-term	Long-term	Evidence grade	
Multifocal motor neuropathy	SELECTED	SELECTED	A, la	No proven alternative
Myasthenia gravis	SELECTED	SELECTED	B, la	Corticosteroids, other immunosuppressive agent, plasma exchange
Paraprotein- associated demyelinating neuropathy (IgG or IgA)	SELECTED	SELECTED	A, la	Corticosteroids, plasma exchange
Paraprotein- associated demyelinating neuropathy (IgM)	NO	SELECTED	A, Ib	Corticosteroids, plasma exchange
Rasmussen syndrome	NO	SELECTER	B, IID	Combination antiepileptic therapy
Stiff person syndrome	NO	SELECTED	Аль	Corticosteroids, plasma exchange, symptomatic therapy
Dermatology				
Dermatomyositis	SELECTED	SELECTED	B, Ila	Corticosteroids, other immunosuppressive agent plasma exchange
Immunobullous diseases	SELECTED	SELECTED	C, III	Corticosteroids, other immunosuppressive agents, plasma exchange
Toxic epidermal necrolysis, Steven's Johnson syndrome	YES	SELECTED	B, Ila	Corticosteroids, other immunosuppressive agent

➡ continued				
Condition	Recommend?		Recommendation/	Alternatives
	Short-term	Long-term	Evidence grade	
Paediatrics				
Alloimmune thrombocytopenia – neonatal therapy	SELECTED	NO	C, III	HPA-1a-negative and HPA-5b-negative or specific HPA-compatible platelets
Fetal hydrops	SELECTED	NO	D, IV	None
Haemolytic disease of the fetus and newborn (isoimmune haemolytic jaundice in neonates)	SELECTED	NO	C, III	Phototherapy
Idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura (< 16 years)	SELECTED	NO	A, ta	Anti-D(Rh ₀), corticosteroids, other immunosuppressive agent, rituximab
Toxin-related infection in paediatric intensive care	SELECTED	NO	C, III	Antibiotics
Paediatric rheumatol	ogy			
Kawasaki disease	YES	NO	A, la	None
Juvenile dermatomyositis	SELECTED	SELECTED	B, Ila	Corticosteroids, other immunosuppressive agent, plasma exchange
Adult rheumatology				
Dermatomyositis	SELECTED	SELECTED	B, Ila	Corticosteroids, other immunosuppressive agent, plasma exchange
				continued 🗭

➡ continued				
Condition	Recommend?		Recommendation/	Alternatives
	Short-term	Long-term	Evidence grade	
Infectious diseases				
Severe invasive group A streptococcal disease	SELECTED	NO	B, Ib	Activated protein C, antibiotics
Staphylococcal toxic shock syndrome	SELECTED	NO	C, III	Antibiotics
Necrotising (PVL-associated) staphylococcal sepsis	SELECTED	NO	C, III	Activated protein C, antibiotics
Severe or recurrent <i>Clostridium difficile</i> colitis	SELECTED	NO	C, III	Antibiotics, colectomy
Transplantation				
CMV-induced pneumonitis following transplantation	YES	NO	A, Îb	Ganciclovir

FEIBA=Factor VIII inhibitor-bypassing activity; ITP=idiopathic thrombocytopenia; HSCT=haematopoietic stem cell transplant; DAP=diaminopyridine.

Red signifies a disease for which treatment is considered the highest priority because of a risk to life without treatment. Blue indicates a disease for which there is a reasonable evidence base but where other treatment options are available; the use of immunoglobulin in these indications should be modified in times of shortage.

Summary of grey indications		
Condition	Evidence grade	Alternatives
Immunology		
Secondary antibody deficiencies		Prophylactic antibiotics
Haematology		
Acquired red cell aplasia NOT due to parvovirus B19	111	Corticosteroids, other immunosuppressive agent
Acquired von Willebrand disease	111	Corticosteroids, desmopressin, factor VIII/vWF, other immunosuppressive agent, plasma exchange
Aplastic anaemia/pancytopenia		Antithymocyte globulin/ antilymphocyte globulin, ciclosporin A
Autoimmune neutropenia		Corticosteroids, other immunosuppressive agent
Haemolytic uraemic syndrome		Supportive care, plasma exchange
Post-exposure prophylaxis for viral infection if intramuscular injection is contraindicated, or treatment when hyperintmune immunoglobulins are unavailable	IV	None
Post-transfusion hyperhaemolysis (usually in patients with sickle cell disease)	IV	Corticosteroids
Systemic lupus erythematosus with secondary immunocytopenias	III	As relevant cytopenia
Haemato-oncology		
Graft versus host disease following allogeneic BMT or HSCT	lb	Immunosuppressive agent(s)
Infection following allogeneic BMT or HSCT	la	Antibiotics, ganciclovir
		continued 🗭

Haemato-oncology continued			
Condition	Evidence grade	Alternatives	
POEMS (polyneuropathy, organomegaly, endocrinopathy, monoclonal gammopathy, skin changes)	III	Autologous BMT, local radiation, melphalan ± corticosteroids, surgery	
Neurology			
Acute disseminated encephalomyelitis	Ш	Corticosteroids, plasma exchange	
Acute idiopathic dysautonomia	Ш	Plasma exchange, symptomatic treatment	
Autoimmune diabetic proximal neuropathy		No proven treatment but could include corticosteroids, other immunosuppressive agent, plasma exchange	
Bickerstaff's brain stem encephalitis		Corticosteroids, plasma exchange	
Cerebral infarction with antiphospholipid antibodies	11	Anticoagulants, antiplatelet therapy	
CNS vasculitis	Nb	Corticosteroids, other immunosuppressive agent	
Intractable childhood epileps	III	Combination antiepileptic therapy	
Neuromyotonia	111	Corticosteroids with other immunosuppressive agent, plasma exchange, symptomatic treatment	
PANDAS (paediatric autoimmune neuropsychiatric disorders associated with streptococcal infection)	111	None established	
Paraneoplastic disorders	Ш	Corticosteroids, other immunosuppressive agent	
POEMS (polyneuropathy, organomegaly, endocrinopathy, monoclonal gammopathy, skin changes)	111	Autologous BMT, local radiation, melphalan ± corticosteroids, surgery	
		continued 🗭	

Condition	Evidence grade	Alternatives
Polymyositis	111	Corticosteroids, other immunosuppressive agent, plasma exchange
Potassium channel antibody-associated, non-limbic encephalitis	Ш	Corticosteroids, plasma exchange
Vasculitic neuropathy	Ш	Corticosteroids, other immunosuppressive agent
Dermatology		
Atopic dermatitis/eczema	lla	Topical conticosteroids, other immunosuppressive agent
Pyoderma gangrenosum		Immunosuppressive agent(s)
Urticaria		Antihistamines, corticosteroids, ciclosporin, H_2 - antagonists, tricyclic antidepressants
Paediatrics		
Intractable childhood epilepsy		Combination antiepileptic therapy
PANDAS (paediatric autoimmune neuropsychiatric disorders associated with streptococcal infection)	111	None established
Paediatric rheumatology		
Juvenile systemic lupus erythematosus	Ш	Anti-malarials, corticosteroids, othe immunosuppressive agent
Other systemic vasculitides	Ш	Immunosuppressive agent(s)
Systemic juvenile idiopathic arthritis	Ш	Immunosuppressive agent(s)
Adult rheumatology		
Catastrophic antiphospholipid syndrome supportive therapy	Ш	Anticoagulant, plasma exchange
		continued

Adult rheumatology continued			
Condition	Evidence grade	Alternatives	
Polymyositis	111	Corticosteroids, other immunosuppressive agent, plasma exchange	
Systemic lupus erythematosus	Ш	Immunosuppressive agent(s)	
Systemic lupus erythematosus with secondary immunocytopenias	III	As relevant cytopenia	
Systemic vasculitides and ANCA disorders	Ш	Immunosuppressive agent(s)	
Infectious diseases			
Post-exposure prophylaxis for viral infection if intramuscular injection is contraindicated, or treatment when hyperimmune immunoglobulins are unavailable	IV	None	
Transplantation			
Antibody incompatible transplantation	lb	None	
Treatment of acute antibody-mediated rejection following solid organ transplantation	lb	Corticosteroids, plasma exchange, rituximab, cytocidal anti-T-cell antibodies, polyclonal antithymocyte globulin	

BMT=bone marrow transplant; HSCT=haematopoietic stem cell transplant; ANCA=antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody.

Grey indications are those for which the evidence base is weak, in many cases because the disease is rare. IVIg treatment should be considered on a case by case basis, prioritised against other competing demands.

Indications for which IVIg is not recommended

The prescription of IVIg is not appropriate for the following conditions. These are described as 'Black' indications in the *Demand Management Plan for Immunoglobulin Use*.

Specialty	Indication
Immunology	Immunodeficiency secondary to paediatric HIV infection
Haemato-oncology	Autologous BMT
Neurology	Adrenoleukodystrophy Alzheimer's disease Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis Chronic fatigue syndrome Critical illness neuropathy Inclusion body myositis Multiple sclerosis
Rheumatology	Inclusion body myositis Rheumatoid arthritis
Infectious diseases	Neonatal sepsis (prevention or treatment) Sepsis in the intensive care unit not related to specific toxins or <i>Clostridium difficile</i>
Other	Asthma Autoimmune uveitis Graves' ophthalmology IVF failure Recurrent spontaneous pregnancy loss

IMMUNOLOGY

Primary immunodeficiencies

Not requiring bone marrow transplant

Primary immunodeficiencies include antibody and combined T- and B-cell defects resulting in antibody failure. Patients in whom significant failure of antibody responses are demonstrated and who suffer from recurrent/severe/persistent infections are treated with immunoglobulin replacement therapy.

Severe humoral immune deficiency requires IVIg for patient survival. Controlled studies and retrospective surveys show that IVIg reduces acute and chronic infections [1–3]. In children, retrospective analyses suggest the number and severity of infectious complications is inversely correlated with IVIg dose [3,4].

In hyper-IgM syndromes, two large series have shown that patients receiving intramuscular immunoglobulin, therapy experience reduced risk of meningitis and pneumonia [5,6]. In patients with common variable immunodeficiency disorders (CVIDs), IVIg substantially reduced acute illnesses and antibiotic use compared with before IVIg treatment [7]. Other studies have shown a reduction in pneumonia [8] and progression of lung disease [9].

Small open-label and case-report studies suggest that IVIg may have benefit in hyper IgE syndrome [10,11].

In Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome, a small study suggests that IVIg may reduce the risk of infection and increase platelet counts [12].

There is no alternative therapy to immunoglobulin as the central component of management for severe antibody deficiency. IVIg is appropriate in patients with reduced levels of serum IgG with low levels of IgA and/or IgM and a clinical history of bacterial infections. Where appropriate, a demonstrated inability to produce antibody normally following test immunisation is required to justify the use of IVIg. Dosage should start at 0.4–0.6 g/kg/month with titration to maintain trough IgG in the normal range. Higher trough levels may improve pulmonary outcome [13]. Individual patients may require higher doses.

Subcutaneous immunoglobulin is therapeutically equivalent to IV therapy [14,15] and may be considered as first line. It can be given if the patient has poor veins, adverse reactions to IV products or for patient preference.

Patients with partial antibody deficiency and mild to moderate symptoms may not require regular IVIg therapy. In particular, patients with IgA or IgG subclass deficiency can often be managed without IVIg. Patients with impaired specific antibody production and severe infections may benefit from IVIg, although preventative measures, combined with the appropriate antibiotic treatment, can give satisfactory control.

Haemopoetic stem cell transplant for primary immunodeficiencies

Pre-existing infection in patients with primary immunodeficiencies reduces the chances of a successful outcome of a haemopoetic stem cell transplant (HSCT). Measures to protect against infection in this high-risk group should be implemented immediately once a diagnosis of severe combined immune deficiency (SCID) is made. This treatment should include IVIg. Treatment with IVIg continues after the transplant until reconstitution of B cells and antibody production has been achieved.

Recommendation

For patients with severe antibody deficiency, trough IgG levels should be maintained within the normal range using IVIg as prophylaxis against infections (grade B recommendation, level IIb evidence).

IVIg therapy is recommended in specific antibody deficiency in cases of failure of prophylactic antibiotic treatment and/ or where recurrent or severe bacterial infections are encountered (grade C recommendation, level III evidence).

Low serum IgG levels following HSCT

Patients with non-functioning B cells following HSCT for malignancy should be treated as if they were agammaglobulinaemic [16]. IVIg may be titrated to maintain trough IgG in the normal range.

Recommendation

IVIg is recommended for patients with low serum IgG levels following HSCT (grade B recommendation, level IIb evidence).

w serum IgG levels due to absent

Patients with absent circulating B cells in association with benign or malignant thymoma should be treated as if they were agammaglobulinaemic, as infections can be life-threatening. IVIg may be titrated to maintain trough IgG in the normal range.

Recommendation

IVIg is recommended for patients with absent circulating B cells in association with benign or malignant thymoma (grade B recommendation, level III evidence).

Kawasaki disease

Kawasaki disease is a systemic vasculitis of unknown cause, occurring primarily in young children; children of Japanese and Korean origin are at highest risk.

There is convincing evidence for the use of IVIg in Kawasaki disease from meta-analyses and prospective, multicentre trials. A metaanalysis of RCTs supported the use of a single 2-g/kg dose of IVIg; this regimen resulted in a significant decrease in new coronary artery abnormalities 30 days after diagnosis [17]. There were no distinctions among different IVIg products. Another meta-analysis (n > 3400 patients) demonstrated that a single high dose of IVIg was superior to other IVIg regimens in preventing coronary aneurysms [18].

Patients should receive a single 2-g/kg dose as soon as the diagnosis is established (5–10 days after start of fever), in conjunction with high-dose aspirin. Some patients require a second dose if there is no response to the first dose or a relapse within 48 hours. If a second dose fails to elicit a response, highdose pulsed corticosteroids are the next line of treatment.

Recommendation

IVIg in conjunction with aspirin is the treatment of choice for Kawasaki disease (grade A recommendation, level la evidence).

Grey indications

There is insufficient evidence on which to base recommendations regarding the use of IVIg in the following conditions, which are either rare or have a poor evidence base. Please refer to the Demand Management Plan for advice on how to request IVIg treatment in these disorders.

Secondary antibody deficiencies following drug therapies

Other causes of secondary antibody deficiencies, such as irreversible hypogammaglobulinaemia following drug therapies, may be treated with IVIg if recurrent or severe infections are a clinical problem that is unresponsive to prophylactic antibiotics.

HAEMATOLOGY

Acquired red cell aplasia

In acquired red cell aplasia due to parvovirus B19, an uncontrolled trial and case reports show that IVIg may be useful when corticosteroid therapy fails [19–25].

In patients with parvovirus B19 infection confirmed by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) with no other cause for persistent red cell aplasia, a bone marrow consistent with persistent red cell aplasia, a chronic immunodeficient state (e.g., HIV, haematological malignancy), clinically significant or transfusion-dependent anaemia and failure of corticosteroid therapy, IVIg is appropriate, repeated on relapse; maintenance therapy is appropriate for a second relapse.

Fetal hydrops may be caused by red cell aplasia. However, there may not be time to prove either red cell aplasia or a cause of parvovirus B19, although the mother may be known to have parvovirus B19. IVIg may be appropriate in these infants.

Adult HIV-associated thrombocytopenia

One randomised crossover study showed that all patients responded to IVIg therapy [26] and one non-randomised study demonstrated response to low-dose IVIg [27]. In thrombocytopenic patients with significant bleeding and failure of anti-D(Rh₀) in Rh(D)-positive patients, IVIg may be used. The use of corticosteroids is controversial; alternative therapies include anti-D(Rh₀) and tailored antiretroviral therapy.

Recommendation

IVIg is an option for HIV-positive patients with thrombocytopenia and significant bleeding in whom other treatments have failed or are inappropriate (grade A recommendation, level Ib evidence).

Recommendation

IVIg is recommended for patients with red cell aplasia due to parvovirus B19 (grade C recommendation, level III evidence).

Alloimmune thrombocytopenia

Fetal

Alloimmune thrombocytopenia is a serious fetal disorder resulting from platelet-antigen incompatibility between the mother and fetus and is identified in mothers who have already delivered a child with neonatal alloimmune thrombocytopenia (NAIT). There is a significant risk of intrauterine death as a result of intrauterine platelet transfusion [28]. A large case series on the antenatal use of IVIg [29] and a retrospective analysis of prospectively collected pregnancy data suggest that IVIg increases the live-birth rate [30]. Administration of IVIg with or without a corticosteroid has become routine first-line therapy in this setting.

Neonatal

Case series with a sound biological basis and supported by anecdotal experience demonstrate the efficacy of IVIg in newborns with severe thrombocytopenia due to NAIT [31– 33]. The rise in platelet count is, however, delayed and selected HPA-1a-negative, 5bnegative platelets will lead to an immediate increment in most cases. Unmatched platelets may also be immediately effective in a significant proportion of cases if HPA-1a negative, 5b-negative platelets are not available sufficiently rapidly [34]. IVIg, at an initial dose of 1 g/kg [35], might provide benefit in newborns when platelets are not available or not advisable.

Recommendation

IVIg is recommended as first-line therapy for fetal alloimmune thrombocytopenia (grade C recommendation, level III evidence).

IVIg is only recommended for NAIT if other treatments fail or are not available or appropriate (grade C recommendation, level III evidence).

Nired haemophilia

The series and case reports suggest that patients with antibodies to two clotting factors who do not respond to immunosuppression might benefit from high-dose IVIg [36–39]. In those with life- or limb-threatening haemorrhage, who have not responded to other treatments (corticosteroids or other immunosuppressive agents such as cyclophosphamide, factor VIII inhibitor-bypassing activity [FEIBA], recombinant factor VIIa, rituximab), IVIg may be used in conjunction with other immunosuppressive therapy and factor replacement.

Recommendation

IVIg is only recommended for patients with autoimmune haemophilia with lifeor limb-threatening haemorrhage who have not responded to other treatments (grade C recommendation, level III evidence).

Autoimmune haemolytic anaemia

Although there are many anecdotal reports of the benefit of IVIg in autoimmune haemolytic anaemia [40–42], its use should be considered only when corticosteroids have failed as first-line therapy [43]. In patients with clinically significant direct antiglobulin test-positive haemolysis, failure of or contraindication for conventional therapy, some indication of better response with pre-treatment haemoglobin in the 6–7 g/dL range and hepatosplenomegaly, IVIg may be used, always in combination with other therapies. Further therapeutic options include other immunosuppressive agents, rituximab and splenectomy.

Recommendation

IVIg is only recommended in patients with autoimmune haemolytic anaemia when corticosteroids have failed (grade C recommendation, level III evidence).

Autoimmune thrombocytopenia

See idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura (ITP).

Evans' syndrome

Case series and case reports show that IVIg is useful in Evans' syndrome, mainly as part of combination immunosuppressive therapy in conjunction with corticosteroids and cytotoxic drugs such as cyclophosphamide [43– 52]. Given the rarity of Evans' syndrome, IVIg may be used as part of combination immunosuppressive therapy. Alternative therapies include corticosteroids and other immunosuppressive agents.

Recommendation

Given the rarity of Evans' syndrome, IVIg may be used as part of combination immunosuppressive therapy in conjunction with corticosteroids and cytotoxic drugs (grade C recommendation, level III evidence).

Haemolytic disease of the fetus and newborn (isoimmune haemolytic jaundice in neonates)

The severity of haemolytic disease of the fetus and newborn (HDM) varies. The aim of therapy is to avoid bilirubin encephalopathy, which causes kernicterus and has devastating effects. Kernicterus is associated with 10% mortality and 70% long-term morbidity (choreo-athetoid, cerebral palsy, hearing impairment) [53].

Two systematic reviews demonstrated that IVIg significantly reduced the need for exchange transfusion in neonates with HDN [54,55]. As exchange transfusion is associated with morbidity and mortality [56], IVIg is an option for patients with HDN and worsening hyperbilirubinaemia (as defined in appropriate guidelines) despite intensive phototherapy.

Haemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis/ haemophagocytic syndrome

In case series and case reports, IVIg has been used successfully to treat virus-associated haemophagocytic syndrome (HPS), in combination with other therapy (high-dose corticosteroids, antivirals or immunomodulatory therapies) [57–60]. IVIg is recommended in patients with haemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis (HLH)/HPS as part of supportive therapy, which includes antibiotic and antimycotic prophylaxis, with the addition of an antiviral if there is persistent viral infection. Supportive therapy is provided with both initial and continuation therapy [61].

Recommendation

IVIg is recommended as part of supportive therapy for patients with acute HLH/HPS (grade C recommendation, level III evidence).

Recommendation

IVIg may be used in selected cases of HDN with worsening hyperbilirubinaemia (grade B recommendation, level III evidence).

Idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura

Paediatric (<16 years)

ITP in children is uncommon and is usually a benign disorder that requires no active management other than careful explanation and counselling. This is because serious bleeding is rare, and about 80% of children with ITP will recover spontaneously within 6–8 weeks [62]. Initially, children should receive no treatment, but only be observed; if treatment is required, this should be anti-D(Rh₀), high-dose oral or parenteral corticosteroids, or rituximab. IVIg should only be given for emergency treatment of serious bleeding or in children undergoing procedures likely to induce blood loss.

Recommendation

IVIg is only recommended in children with ITP for emergency treatment or prior to procedures likely to induce bleeding (grade A recommendation, level lb evidence).

Adult

The ability of IVIg to increase platelet counts in ITP in adults is well supported [63–66]. When high-dose IVIg was compared with systemic corticosteroids in randomised multicentre trials, it provided a clinically relevant advantage [63,66].

IVIg is recommended in patients with persistent or potentially life-threatening haemorrhage and a low platelet count, with a peripheral smear and a history not suggestive of any other cause of thrombocytopenia. It is also appropriate for bleeding unresponsive to corticosteroid treatment and/or anti-D(Rh₀), or if these therapies are inappropriate. Alternative therapies include anti-D(Rh₀), azathioprine, oral corticosteroids, ciclosporin and rituximab.

In emergencies, platelet transfusion provides most rapid elevation of platelet count, but the benefit of the transfusion is short lived. IVIg, IV corticosteroids or another IV immunosuppressive agent (cyclophosphamide or vincristine) can be used where there is less urgency. In second-line therapy (post-splenectomy) danazol, immunosuppressive agent(s) and vinca alkaloids are worth considering.

There are no published comparative trials of corticosteroids and IVIg in pregnancy [62] and few data to distinguish the management of pregnant women from that of non-pregnant patients [67]. Corticosteroids are the standard treatment in pregnant women, although if therapy is likely to be prolonged, or if there is no response to corticosteroids or their use is inappropriate, IVIg may be considered.

Recommendation

IVIg is an important and useful treatment modality in adults with severe ITP and low platelet count (grade A recommendation, level la evidence).

Post transfusion purpura

A few case reports show that combination therapy with corticosteroids and IVIg provides benefit in post transfusion purpura [68–73], but no controlled studies have been conducted. However, given the potential life-threatening nature of the disease, its rarity and the lack of evidence of any other effective treatment, IVIg is recommended therapy in patients with decreased platelets 2-14 days posttransfusion and bleeding (almost always in Caucasian HPA-1a-negative females previously exposed to HPA-1a antigen in pregnancy or transfusion). Alternative therapies include corticosteroids and plasma exchange.

Recommendation

IVIg is recommended therapy in patients with post transfusion purpura with decreased platelets 2–14 days posttransfusion and bleeding (grade C recommendation, level III evidence).

Grey indications

There is insufficient evidence on which to base recommendations regarding the use of

IVIg in the following conditions, which are either rare or have a poor evidence base. Please refer to the Demand Management Plan for advice on how to request IVIg treatment in these disorders.

Acquired red cell aplasia

The available case reports using IVIg in acquired red cell aplasia due to causes other than parvovirus B19 do not support its use in this setting [74–79]. Treatment should involve corticosteroids or other immunosuppressive agents.

Acquired von Willebrand disease

Despite the reporting of 200 cases of acquired von Willebrand disease since 1968, no retrospective or randomised prospective studies of treatment are available and no approach to treatment is reliably effective in most patients [80].

Aplastic anaemia/pancytopenia

The evidence for the use of IVIg in aplastic anaemia, from case reports, is conflicting [81,82]. Antithymocyte globulin/antilymphocyte globulin and ciclosporin A are the treatment of choice.

Autoimmune neutropenia

Several small series of patients with autoimmune neutropenia treated with IVIg have described clinical responses [40,83– 85]. Anecdotal reports also suggest utility in post-bone marrow transplantation (BMT) neutropenia, which might be autoimmune in nature [45,46,86]. It is unclear whether IVIg offers any advantage over corticosteroid therapy or other immunosuppressive agents.

Haemolytic uraemic syndrome

Case reports and case series provide conflicting evidence on IVIg in haemolytic uraemic syndrome [87–91]. Supportive care is the treatment of choice for the majority (usually diarrhoea-associated disease); plasma exchange is preferred to IVIg.

Post-exposure prophylaxis for viral infection where intramuscular injection is contraindicated, or treatment when hyperimmune immunoglobulins are unavailable

Rarely, IVIg may be used instead of intramuscular immunoglobulin when postexposure prophylaxis against specified viruses (e.g., measles, varicella zoster, tetanus) is recommended but where intramuscular injection of hyperimmune globulin is contraindicated (e.g., severe thrombocytopenia or bleeding disorder). In addition, it may be used to treat viral infections if the appropriate hyperimmune immunoglobulin is not available.

Post-transfusion hyperhaemolysis (usually in patients with sickle cell disease)

Post-transfusion hyperhaemolysis, an atypical and severe form of delayed haemolytic transfusion reaction in which there is destruction of both donor and autologous red cells, has been described mainly, though not exclusively, in sickle cell disease. IVIg has been used successfully in combination with corticosteroids [92,93].

Systemic lupus erythematosus with secondary immunocytopenias

For treatment recommendations for secondary immunocytopenias, see the recommendations for the relevant cytopenia in this section (e.g., for autoimmune haemolytic anaemia, see page 33; for autoimmune thrombocytopenia, see page 33; for Evans' syndrome, see page 33) or other sections (for catastrophic antiphospholipid syndrome (CAPS), see page 60).

HAEMATO-ONCOLOGY

These guidelines assume the standard use of prophylactic anti-bacterial, -viral and -fungal medications according to the chemo-immunotherapy a patient is undergoing. There is no good evidence for the efficacy of IVIg in reducing viral or fungal infections in the clinical settings discussed in this section.

Low serum IgG levels following HSCT

Patients with non-functioning B cells following HSCT for malignancy should be treated as if they were agammaglobulinaemic [18]. IVIg may be titrated to maintain trough IgG in the normal range.

Recommendatio

IVIg is recommended for patients with low serum IgG levels following HSCT (grade B recommendation, level IIb evidence).

Chronic lymphocytic leukaemia

IVIg is probably beneficial in reducing the number of bacterial infections in patients with chronic lymphocytic leukaemia (CLL), with most reported cases using IVIg in those with serum IgG levels <5 g/L who had experienced significant infections [94–96].

IVIg may be used in patients with reduced IgG levels and failure to respond to immunisation and where antibiotic prophylaxis is ineffective. All patients should be reviewed after 1 year and, if the numbers of infectious episodes and days in hospital have not decreased, IVIg should be discontinued.

Recommendation

IVIg is probably beneficial for reducing infections in CLL patients with associated low serum IgG levels despite antibiotic prophylaxis with an antibiotic appropriate to the type of infection and previous organism(s) isolated (grade A recommendation, level Ib evidence).

Haemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis/ haemophagocytic syndrome

In case series and case reports, IVIg has been used successfully to treat virus-associated HPS, in combination with other therapy (high-dose corticosteroids, antivirals or immunomodulatory therapies) [57–60]. IVIg is recommended in patients with HLH/HPS as part of supportive therapy, which includes antibiotic and antimycotic prophylaxis, and an antiviral if there is persistent viral infection. Supportive therapy is provided with both initial and continuation therapy [61].

Multiple myeloma



For multiple myeloma patients in plateau phase with suppressed IgG and recurrent infections, a multicentre RCT showed that IVIg reduces serious bacterial infections [97]. Such patients may receive IVIg for 6– 12 months. Where IVIg is not indicated or not available, alternative therapies include antibiotics (prophylaxis or therapy) and immunisation.

Recommendatio

IVIg is probably beneficial for multiple myeloma patients in plateau phase only with recurrent infections (grade A recommendation, level lb evidence).

Recommendation

IVIg is recommended as part of supportive therapy for patients with acute HLH/HPS (grade C recommendation, level III evidence).

Grey indications

There is insufficient evidence on which to base recommendations regarding the use of IVIg in the following conditions, which are either rare or have a poor evidence base. Please refer to the Demand Management Plan for advice on how to request IVIg treatment in these disorders.

Graft versus host disease following allogeneic BMT or HSCT

In a randomised placebo-controlled trial in patients receiving HLA-identical sibling marrow, there was no benefit with IVIg in infection incidence, interstitial pneumonia, graft versus host disease (GVHD), transplantation-related mortality or overall survival, but an increased risk of severe veno-occlusive disease [98]. Immunosuppressive therapy should be used to prevent GVHD.

Infection following allogeneic BMT or HSCT

Two large meta-analyses reach divergent conclusions on the efficacy of IVIg in preventing infection following allogeneic BMT [99,100]. None of the trials reviewed were placebo controlled and most were carried out before effective treatment for cytomegalovirus (CMV) was available. Treatments to prevent or treat infections include antibiotics and ganciclovir.

POEMS (polyneuropathy, organomegaly, endocrinopathy, monoclonal gammopathy, skin changes)

There are no controlled trials on the treatment of neuropathy in POEMS. There is no evidence that IVIg, plasma exchange or other immunosuppressive agents are effective when used alone [101]. Possible treatments include local radiation or surgery, and melphalan with or without corticosteroids and autologous bone marrow transplantation may be considered.

NEUROLOGY

The efficacy of IVIg in the management of patients with specific autoimmune-mediated neuromuscular diseases has been established in controlled clinical trials. However, clinicians need to consider the expected benefit of IVIg compared with that of alternative therapies as well as issues of safety and cost.

IVIg is often prescribed where plasma exchange may have similar efficacy. IVIg is more readily available in most medical centres and placement of an indwelling venous catheter is not necessary, while plasma exchange is not universally available and requires specially trained personnel and may have greater side effects in certain situations, such as in Guillain-Barré syndrome (GBS) with autonomic involvement. In the past, IVIg cost was roughly equivalent to that of plasma exchange, but it is now significantly higher.

Chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy

In rigorously controlled randomised trials in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy (CIDP), IVIg improved disability within 2–6 weeks compared with placebo. The efficacy of IVIg was similar to that of plasma exchange and prednisolone [102–106]. A Cochrane systematic review found no significant difference in efficacy between IVIg and plasma exchange or IVIg and corticosteroids [107]. A recent large study has shown that chromatography-purified IVIg is effective in both short- and longterm treatment of CIDP [108].

Patients with a probable or definite diagnosis of CIDP according to the International Peripheral Nerve Society Guidelines [109] may receive IVIg. Repeated courses should be titrated to individual needs. Alternative therapies include corticosteroids and plasma exchange.

Recommendation

IVIg is recommended for CIDP (grade A recommendation, level la evidence); the choice of corticosteroids, plasma exchange or IVIg should be individualised.

Dermatomyositis

Controlled and open-label studies show that IVIg is effective in dermatomyositis [110–112]. A Cochrane systematic review [113] identified one RCT using IVIg in adult-onset disease showing a significant improvement in strength over 3 months [110] and a case series showing that it leads to improvement of refractory juvenile dermatomyositis as add-on therapy [112]. The use of IVIg in long-term treatment (>3 months) has not been studied.

IVIg may be used where other treatment options have failed or are inappropriate, or in aggressive disease requiring hospitalisation with involvement of the respiratory and bulbar musculature. Alternative therapies include corticosteroids, other immunosuppressive agents and plasma exchange.

Guillain-Barré syndrome

A Cochrane systematic review of RCTs found six that compared IVIg with plasma exchange in GBS [114]. A meta-analysis of five trials involving 536, mostly adult, participants who were unable to walk unaided and had been ill for less than 2 weeks, showed that IVIg had an equivalent effect to plasma exchange with better tolerability. Limited evidence indicates that IVIg is also beneficial in children.

Recommendation

IVIg is recommended for GBS with significant disability (grade A recommendation, level la evidence); plasma exchange is an alternative. Treatment should be started as soon as possible, preferably in the first 2 weeks of illness.

Recommendation

IVIg is appropriate in patients with resistant dermatomyositis or aggressive disease (grade B recommendation, level Ila evidence).

Lambert Eaton myasthenic syndrome

A Cochrane systematic review identified limited evidence from RCTs showing that either 3,4-diaminopyridine or IVIg improved muscle strength scores and compound muscle action potential amplitudes in patients with Lambert Eaton myasthenic syndrome (LEMS) [115]. IVIg led to initial clinical improvement in one randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled crossover trial [116]. Case reports and uncontrolled trials report similar response and lack of serious adverse effects [117–119]. Initial therapies include 3,4-diaminopyridine with or without pyridostigmine, immunosuppressive agent(s) and plasma exchange.

Candidates for IVIg treatment are those with severe weakness not responsive to anticholinesterases and 3,4-diaminopyridine.

Multifocal motor neuropathy

Several randomised, double-blind, placebocontrolled, crossover clinical trials show that IVIg effectively treats multifocal motor neuropathy (MMN) [120-124]. A follow-up study demonstrated that IVIg has long-term benefit for muscle strength and upper limb disability [125]. MMN is unresponsive to plasma exchange and might be exacerbated by both corticosteroids and plasma exchange. IVIg is currently the safest treatment, and can be combined with other immunosuppressive agents, although the efficacy of all other immunosuppressive agents is unproven [126,127]. If initial treatment is effective, a downward titration of the dosage should be considered for repeated courses, tailored to individual needs.

Recommendation

IVIg is recommended for MMN patients who require treatment (grade A recommendation, level la evidence).

Recommendation

IVIg should be considered for LEMS if other treatments have failed or are inappropriate (grade A recommendation, level Ib evidence).

Myasthenia gravis

A recent randomised, placebo-controlled, masked study conducted in patients with worsening weakness showed that 2 g/kg of IVIg resulted in a clinically meaningful improvement in QMG Score for Disease Severity at day 14 that persisted at day 28 [128]. In exacerbations of myasthenia gravis, a systematic review [129] of two available trials concluded that IVIg gave comparable benefit to plasma exchange in myasthenia gravis, with better tolerability [130,131], although a third randomised placebo-controlled study failed to demonstrate a significant effect after 6 weeks [132]. In observational studies, IVIg appeared beneficial in myasthenic crises [133], juvenile myasthenia [134] and in preparing myasthenic patients for surgery [135,136]. In one randomised trial, the effect of 1 g/kg was not significantly different from 2 g/kg

[137]. The Cochrane systematic review concluded that there is insufficient evidence to determine whether IVIg is efficacious in chronic myasthenia [129].

IVIg is recommended for patients with autoimmune myasthenia gravis with myasthenic crisis, where corticosteroid therapy with other immunosuppressive agent has failed or is inappropriate, or there is weakness requiring hospital admission. Plasma exchange is an alternative.

Recommendation

IVIg is recommended only for myasthenia gravis sufficiently severe to require hospitalisation. Plasma exchange is an alternative (grade B recommendation, level la evidence).

Paraprotein-associated demyelinating neuropathy

IgG- or IgA-associated paraproteinaemic demyelinating neuropathy

Patients with CIDP-like neuropathy should be treated as for CIDP [138].

In rigorously controlled randomised trials of CIDP, IVIg improved CIDP disability within 2–6 weeks compared with placebo. The efficacy of IVIg was similar to that of plasma exchange and prednisolone [102–106]. A Cochrane systematic review found no significant difference in efficacy between IVIg and plasma exchange or IVIg and corticosteroids [107].

Patients with CIDP-like neuropathy may receive IVIg. Repeated courses should be titrated to individual needs. Alternative therapies include corticosteroids and plasma exchange.

Recommendation

IVIg is recommended for CIDP-like neuropathy (grade A recommendation, level Ia evidence); the choice of corticosteroids, plasma exchange or IVIg should be individualised.

IgM-associated paraproteinaemic demyelinating neuropathy

There have been two randomised trials of IVIg in IgM paraprotein-associated demyelinating neuropathy [139]. Both were crossover trials in which IVIg was compared with placebo. In the first, two of 11 patients showed significant increases in strength and one other showed improvement in sensation [140]. The second trial included 22 patients. After 4 weeks, 10 of these had improved after IVIg and four after placebo and the mean improvement in disability after IVIg was greater than after placebo [141]. This condition is often mild and does not routinely require treatment.

IVIg may be considered in patients with significant disability due to IgM-associated paraproteinaemic demyelinating neuropathy. Alternative treatments include corticosteroids and plasma exchange.

Recommendation

IVIg may only be considered in patients with significant disability due to IgM-associated paraproteinaemic demyelinating neuropathy (grade A recommendation, level Ib evidence).

Rasmussen syndrome

There are encouraging reports of IVIg for the treatment of Rasmussen syndrome [142–144].

Recommendation

IVIg may be considered for Rasmussen syndrome when all other treatment options have failed (grade B recommendation, level IIb evidence).

Stiff person syndrome

One randomised crossover trial suggests that IVIg is probably beneficial in stiff person syndrome [145]. If corticosteroids, plasma exchange and symptomatic treatments do not work, IVIg may be considered.

Recommendation

IVIg is recommended for stiff person syndrome where other therapies have failed (grade A recommendation, level Ib evidence).

Grey indications

There is insufficient evidence on which to base recommendations regarding the use of IVIg in the following conditions, which are either rare or have a poor evidence base. Please refer to the Demand Management Plan for advice on how to request IVIg treatment in these disorders.

Acute disseminated encephalomyelitis

Anecdotal evidence suggests that IVIg might provide benefit in acute disseminated encephalomyelitis [146], particularly in patients who have failed to respond to high-dose corticosteroids [147].

Acute idiopathic dysautonomia

Although case reports and series suggest that IVIg might provide benefit in acute idiopathic dysautonomia [148–151], there is insufficient evidence for a recommendation. Symptomatic treatment is important, which may include plasma exchange.

Autoimmune diabetic proximal neuropathy

This condition usually improves spontaneously so it is difficult to judge reports of improvement in strength and functioning with IVIg [152,153]. There is no proven treatment for this condition, but alternative treatments tried have included corticosteroids, other immunosuppressive agents and plasma exchange.

Bickerstaff's brainstem encephalitis

A variant of GBS, Bickerstaff's brainstem encephalitis is associated with upper motor neuron signs and disturbance of consciousness. A Cochrane review identified no randomised or non-randomised prospective controlled trials of immunotherapy [154], but there have been published cases reports using IVIg [155]. Corticosteroids or plasma exchange may be considered.

Cerebral infarction with antiphospholipid antibodies

There are anecdotal reports of improvement following IVIg [18], but the data are insufficient for a recommendation. Anticoagulant agents or antiplatelet therapy may be considered.

CNS vasculitis

Single-blind RCTs support using IVIg in non-neurological aspects of small-vessel vasculitis and in renal lupus, and there is an unsubstantiated recommendation to use IVIg in antiphospholipid syndrome, but IVIg cannot be advocated for routine use in isolated neurological manifestations of such conditions without reliable data [156]. Disorders such as Hashimoto's encephalopathy and giant cell arteritis usually respond to conventional treatments [157]. Appropriate therapy includes corticosteroids and other immunosuppressive agents.

Intractable childhood epilepsy

Most available evidence for a benefit for IVIg in intractable childhood epilepsy (Lennox-Gastaut syndrome, West syndrome, early myoclonic encephalopathy, Landau-Kleffner syndrome) comes from uncontrolled open series or case reports [158–161]. Two randomised placebo-controlled trials in Lennox-Gastaut syndrome provide conflicting results [162,163]. There is a paucity of reliable studies demonstrating substantial benefit in these syndromes. Combination antiepileptic therapy is appropriate.

Neuromyotonia

A single case study suggests that IVIg can be beneficial in neuromyotonia [164]. Recommended treatments include carbamazepine, lamotrigine, phenytoin or sodium valproate, alone or in combination; corticosteroids with other immunosuppressive agents; and plasma exchange. PANDAS (paediatric autoimmune neuropsychiatric disorders associated with streptococcal infection)

Only one case–control study shows benefit from plasma exchange and IVIg (single dose) in PANDAS [165]. There are no established treatments for this condition.

Paraneoplastic disorders

Therearenorandomisedtrialsinparaneoplastic encephalomyelitis, limbic encephalitis, cerebellar degeneration, peripheral neuropathy or opsoclonus myoclonus because of the rarity of these syndromes. Case reports and small series provide conflicting results. Such anecdotal reports are impossible to interpret since paraneoplastic disorders may stabilize or improve spontaneously. IVIg has been of little benefit, except possibly in opsoclonus myoclonus [18].

POEMS

There are no controlled trials on the treatment of neuropathy in POEMS. There is no evidence that IVIg, plasma exchange or other immunosuppressive agents are effective when used alone [101]. Possible treatments include local radiation or surgery, and melphalan with or without corticosteroids, and autologous bone marrow transplantation may be considered.

Polymyositis

There are no controlled trials in polymyositis [157]. Appropriate treatments include corticosteroids, other immunosuppressive agents and plasma exchange.

Potassium channel antibodyassociated, non-neoplastic limbic encephalitis

There are no RCTs in potassium channel antibody-associated, non-neoplastic limbic encephalitis, but case series suggest that a variety of immunomodulatory interventions, including IVIg, plasma exchange and corticosteroids, give encouraging results [166,167].

Vasculitic neuropathy

Individual case reports provide insufficient information on which to recommend IVIg [168–170]. Alternative therapy is corticosteroids and other immunosuppressive agent.

DERMATOLOGY

Dermatomyositis

Controlled and open-label studies show that IVIg is effective in dermatomyositis [110– 112]. A Cochrane systematic review [113] identified one RCT using IVIg in adult-onset disease showing a significant improvement in strength over 3 months [110] and a case series showing that it leads to improvement of refractory juvenile dermatomyositis as add-on therapy [112]. The use of IVIg in long-term treatment (>3 months) has not been studied.

IVIg may be used where other treatment options have failed or are inappropriate, or in aggressive disease requiring hospitalisation with involvement of the respiratory and bulbar musculature. Alternative therapies include corticosteroids, other immunosuppressive agents and plasma exchange.

Recommendation

IVIg is appropriate in patients with resistant dermatomyositis or aggressive disease (grade B recommendation, level Ila evidence).

Immunobullous diseases

Immunobullous diseases vary in clinical presentation and have different histopathological and immunological features. They are often associated with significant morbidity and some can even cause mortality, if left untreated.

In open uncontrolled trials, IVIg as a last resort for the treatment of bullous pemphigoid showed some benefit [171–174]. IVIg therapy was also found to provide therapeutic benefit for both pemphigus foliaceus [175] and pemphigus vulgaris [176,177]. Other autoimmune blistering diseases reported to benefit from IVIg therapy are epidermolysis bullosa acquisita and linear IgA disease [178]. All the publications related to the subject are prospective open-label studies or case reports. Controlled studies in these rare conditions are unlikely. If corticosteroids, plasma exchange and other immunosuppressive agents (mycophenolate, ciclosporin and azathioprine) fail or are inappropriate in patients with severe disease in this category of disorders, IVIg therapy may be considered.

Recommendation

IVIg is an effective treatment in severely affected patients when combined conventional corticosteroid treatment with adjuvant agents has failed or is inappropriate (grade C, level III evidence).

Toxic epidermal necrolysis and Stevens-Johnson syndrome

Toxic epidermal necrolysis and Stevens-Johnson syndrome are potentially fatal disorders. Early administration of high-dose IVIg helps to resolve the disease and reduce fatality, as shown by sporadic case reports and prospective and retrospective multicentre studies [179]. Although there are conflicting reports [180], most evidence supports the use of high-dose IVIg as an early therapeutic intervention given the risk of mortality [181]. IVIg is appropriate in toxic epidermal necrolysis or Stevens-Johnson syndrome in patients with contraindications to corticosteroid or immunosuppressive therapy, or those in whom the condition is life-threatening.

Recommendation

IVIg is recommended in toxic epidermal necrolysis and Stevens-Johnson syndrome when other treatments are contraindicated, or when the condition is life-threatening (grade B recommendation, level IIa evidence).

Grey indications

There is insufficient evidence on which to base recommendations regarding the use of IVIg in the following conditions, which are either rare or have a poor evidence base. Please refer to the Demand Management Plan for advice on how to request IVIg treatment in these disorders.

Atopic dermatitis/Eczema

IVIg treatment has been tried in patients with atopic dermatitis who fail standard therapeutic regimens in small, open, uncontrolled trials [182–184]. A single small, randomised, evaluator-blinded trial (n=10) did not support the routine use of IVIg in patients with atopic dermatitis [185]. Topical corticosteroids are appropriate therapy.

Three small studies using IVIg in eczema did not show pronounced effectiveness [186– 188]. However, some patients were resistant not only to topical treatments, but also to systemic corticosteroids and/or azathioprine [186,187]. IVIg was associated with hypertension, haematuria, transient increase in serum creatinine and serum sickness-like reaction [186].

Ciclosporin is recommended as first choice for patients with atopic eczema refractory to conventional treatment [189], followed by azathioprine. Although frequently used in clinical practice, systemic corticosteroids have not been assessed adequately in studies. Published data do not support the use of IVIg.

Pyoderma gangrenosum

Most of the six cases reported received adjunctive high-dose IVIg and responded over several weeks where other therapies had failed [190–195]. Improvement in the setting of hypogammaglobulinaemia has also been described with replacement IVIg [196,197]. Treatment with IVIg may be considered in selected cases of severe pyoderma gangrenosum that has failed to respond to all other therapies, particularly where a vital organ or structure is threatened, and in patients for whom immunosuppressants are inappropriate.

Urticaria

Urticaria, commonly known as hives, affects about a fifth of people at some stage of life. Both acute and chronic urticaria are caused by the release of histamine from mast cells. One-third of patients with chronic urticaria (lasting more than 6 weeks) appear to have an autoimmune disease [198–200]. In a report of five patients presenting with chronic urticaria as the first sign of CVID, there was amelioration of the urticaria with IVIg [201]. Ten patients with severe, autoimmune chronic urticaria, poorly responsive to conventional treatment, were treated with IVIg 0.4 g/kg per day for 5 days. Clinical benefit was noted in 9 patients, with 3 in prolonged complete remissions (3 years followup), 2 with temporary complete remissions, and improved symptoms in 4 patients [202]. However, similar benefit was not found in a case report of 3 patients with severe chronic urticaria [203]. In a single case report of an autologous serum test-negative patient treated with low-dose IVIg, the urticaria improved [204]. In an open trial of delayedpressure urticaria, one-third of enrolled patients achieved remission, another third experienced some benefit and the last third did not respond [205]. Current data are insufficient to recommend the routine administration of IVIg in patients with urticaria. Recommended treatments include antihistamines, H₂- antagonists, tricyclic antidepressants, corticosteroid and ciclosporin.

PAEDIATRICS

Alloimmune thrombocytopenia

Case series with a sound biological basis and supported by anecdotal experience demonstrate the efficacy of IVIg in newborns with severe thrombocytopenia due to NAIT [31– 33]. The rise in platelet count is, however, delayed and selected HPA-1a-negative, 5bnegative platelets will lead to an immediate increment in most cases. Unmatched platelets may also be immediately effective in a significant proportion of cases if HPA-1a negative, 5b-negative platelets are not available sufficiently rapidly [34]. IVIg, at an initial dose of 1 g/kg [35], might provide benefit in newborns when platelets are not available or not advisable.

Fetal hydrops

Fetal hydrops may be caused by red cell aplasia. Studies in adults show that IVIg may be useful in acquired red cell aplasia due to parvovirus B19 [19–25]. However, there may not be time to prove either red cell aplasia or a cause of parvovirus B19, although the mother may be known to have parvovirus B19. Given the need for urgent treatment in fetal hydrops, IVIg may be used in patients with fetal hydrops that may be related to parvovirus B19 infection.

Recommendation

IVIg is recommended for patients with fetal hydrops that may be related to parvovirus B19 infection (grade D recommendation, level IV evidence).

Recommendation

IVIg is only recommended for NAIT if other treatments fail or are not available or appropriate (grade C recommendation, level III evidence).

Haemolytic disease of the fetus and newborn (isoimmune haemolytic jaundice in neonates)

The severity of HDN varies. The aim of therapy is to avoid bilirubin encephalopathy, which causes kernicterus and has devastating effects. Kernicterus is associated with 10% mortality and 70% long-term morbidity (choreo-athetoid, cerebral palsy, hearing impairment) [53].

Two systematic reviews demonstrated that IVIg significantly reduced the need for exchange transfusion in neonates with HDN [54,55]. As exchange transfusion is associated with morbidity and mortality [56], IVIg is an option for patients with HDN and worsening hyperbilirubinaemia (defined in appropriate guidelines) despite intensive phototherapy.

Idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura (<16 years)

ITP in children is uncommon and is usually a benign disorder that requires no active management other than careful explanation and counselling. This is because serious bleeding is rare, and about 80% of children with ITP will recover spontaneously within 6–8 weeks [62]. Initially, children should receive no treatment, but be observed only; if treatment is required, this should be anti-D(Rh₀), high-dose oral or parenteral corticosteroids, or rituximab. IVIg should only be given for emergency treatment of serious bleeding or in children undergoing procedures likely to induce blood loss.

Recommendation

IVIg is only recommended in children with ITP for emergency treatment or prior to procedures likely to induce bleeding (grade A recommendation, level Ib evidence).

Recommendation

IVIg may be used in selected cases of HDN with worsening hyperbilirubinaemia (grade B recommendation, level III evidence).

Kawasaki disease

Kawasaki disease is a systemic vasculitis of unknown cause, occurring primarily in young children; children of Japanese and Korean origin are at highest risk.

There is convincing evidence for the use of IVIg in Kawasaki disease from meta-analyses and prospective, multicentre trials. A metaanalysis of RCTs supported the use of a single 2-g/kg dose of IVIg; this regimen resulted in a significant decrease in new coronary artery abnormalities 30 days after diagnosis [1]. There were no distinctions among different IVIg products. Another meta-analysis (n > 3400 patients) demonstrated that a single high dose of IVIg was superior to other IVIg regimens in preventing coronary aneurysms [2].

Patients should receive a single 2-g/kg dose as soon as the diagnosis is established (5–10 days after start of fever), in conjunction with highdose aspirin. Some patients require a second dose if there is no response to the first dose or a relapse within 48 hours. If a second dose fails to elicit a response, high-dose pulsed corticosteroids are the next line of treatment.

Toxin-related infection in paediatric intensive care

Although toxin-related infections are relatively uncommon in children, they are associated with mortality. There is little data specific to children [206], but experimental studies show that it can neutralise superantigen toxins and opsonise bacteria not otherwise adequately cleared by antibiotics or surgery alone [207-209]. Studies in adults suggest that IVIg may be useful in patients with toxin-related infection when other treatment options have been explored [18,210–215]. IVIg may be considered for children with severe toxin-related infection and failure to improve despite best standard care. Activated protein C is not an appropriate alternative in children.

Recommendation

IVIg is recommended in children with severe toxin-related infections that fail to improve despite best standard care (grade C recommendation, level III evidence).

Recommendation

IVIg in conjunction with aspirin is the treatment of choice for Kawasaki disease (grade A recommendation, level la evidence).

Paediatric rheumatology

Kawasaki disease

Kawasaki disease is a systemic vasculitis of unknown cause, occurring primarily in young children; children of Japanese and Korean origin are at highest risk.

There is convincing evidence for the use of IVIg in Kawasaki disease from meta-analyses and prospective, multicentre trials. A meta-analysis of RCTs supported the use of a single 2-g/kg dose of IVIg; this regimen resulted in a significant decrease in new coronary artery abnormalities 30 days after diagnosis [1]. There were no distinctions among different IVIg products. Another meta-analysis (n>3400 patients) demonstrated that a single high dose of IVIg was superior to other IVIg regimens in preventing coronary aneurysms [2].

Patients should receive a single 2-g/kg dose as soon as the diagnosis is established (5–10 days after start of fever), in conjunction with high-dose aspirin. Some patients require a second dose if there is no response to the first dose or a relapse within 48 hours. If a second dose fails to elicit a response, high-dose pulsed corticosteroids are the next line of treatment.

Recommendation

IVIg in conjunction with aspirin is the treatment of choice for Kawasaki disease (grade A recommendation, level la evidence).

Juvenile dermatomyositis

A number of case studies that provide some evidence for the effectiveness of IVIg in paediatric practice [111,216–220] have been reviewed in detail [221]. In all cases, patients reported improved muscle strength and skin changes if IVIg was used early in the course. Alternative therapies include corticosteroids, other immunosuppressive agents and plasma exchange.

Recommendation

IVIg is appropriate in patients with resistant dermatomyositis or aggressive disease (grade B recommendation, level IIa evidence).

Grey indications

There is insufficient evidence on which to base recommendations regarding the use of IVIg in the following conditions, which are either rare or have a poor evidence base. Please refer to the Demand Management Plan for advice on how to request IVIg treatment in these disorders.

Intractable childhood epilepsy

Most available evidence for a benefit for IVIg in intractable childhood epilepsy (Lennox-Gastaut syndrome, West syndrome, early myoclonic encephalopathy, Landau-Kleffner syndrome) comes from uncontrolled open series or case reports [158–161]. Two randomised placebo-controlled trials in Lennox-Gastaut syndrome provide conflicting results [162,163]. There is a paucity of reliable studies demonstrating substantial benefit in these syndromes. Combination antiepileptic therapy is appropriate.

Juvenile systemic lupus erythematosus

There are no randomised studies to support the use of IVIg to treat juvenile systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE). Conventional therapy includes anti-malarials, corticosteroids and immunosuppressive agents. Rituximab and mycophenolate mofetil may have a role in treatment when conventional therapies have failed. In cases of SLE-associated life-threatening sepsis, SLE-associated severe cytopenias, SLE-associated immune deficiency and SLE-associated CAPS, IVIg may be used according to the recommendations for these conditions.

Other systemic vasculitides

In one open-label trial, IVIg induced remission in 15 of 16 systemic vasculitis patients, which was sustained in eight but only transient in seven [222]. In a randomised, placebo-controlled trial investigating the efficacy of a single course of IVIg (total dose 2 g/kg) in previously treated patients with ANCA-associated systemic vasculitis with persistent disease activity in whom there was an intention to escalate therapy, 17 patients received IVIg and 17 received placebo. A single course of IVIg reduced disease activity, but this effect was not maintained beyond 3 months [223]. The role of IVIg in systemic sclerosis-scleroderma [224] remains unclear. Immunosuppression is the preferred treatment.

PANDAS

Only one case–control study shows benefit from plasma exchange and IVIg (single dose) in PANDAS [165]. There are no established treatments for this condition.

Systemic juvenile idiopathic arthritis

The role of IVIg in systemic juvenile idiopathic arthritis (sJIA) is controversial [225]. In an open-label study of 27 patients with sJIA, IVIg was associated with a significant reduction in systemic symptoms and a steroid-sparing effect. IVIg may have a role in management, but immunosuppression is the preferred treatment [226].

RHEUMATOLOGY

Adult rheumatology

Dermatomyositis

Controlled and open-label studies show that IVIg is effective in dermatomyositis [110–112]. A Cochrane systematic review [113] identified one RCT using IVIg in adult-onset disease showing a significant improvement in strength over 3 months [110] and a case series showing that it leads to improvement of refractory juvenile dermatomyositis as add-on therapy [112]. The use of IVIg in long-term treatment (>3 months) has not been studied.

IVIg may be used where other treatment options have failed or are inappropriate, or in aggressive disease requiring hospitalisation with involvement of the respiratory and bulbar musculature. Alternative therapies include corticosteroids, other immunosuppressive agents and plasma exchange.

Recommendation

IVIg is appropriate in patients with resistant dermatomyositis or aggressive disease (grade B recommendation, level IIa evidence).

Paediatric rheumatology

Kawasaki disease

Kawasaki disease is a systemic vasculitis of unknown cause, occurring primarily in young children; children of Japanese and Korean origin are at highest risk.

There is convincing evidence for the use of IVIg in Kawasaki disease from meta-analyses and prospective, multicentre trials. A meta-analysis of RCTs supported the use of a single 2-g/kg dose of IVIg; this regimen resulted in a significant decrease in new coronary artery abnormalities 30 days after diagnosis [1]. There were no distinctions among different IVIg products. Another meta-analysis (n > 3400 patients) demonstrated that a single high dose of IVIg was superior to other IVIg regimens in preventing coronary aneurysms [2].

Patients should receive a single 2-g/kg dose as soon as the diagnosis is established (5–10 days after start of fever), in conjunction with high-dose aspirin. Some patients require a second dose if there is no response to the first dose or a relapse within 48 hours. If a second dose fails to elicit a response, highdose pulsed corticosteroids are the next line of treatment.

Recommendation

IVIg in conjunction with aspirin is the treatment of choice for Kawasaki disease (grade A recommendation, level la evidence).

Juvenile dermatomyositis

A number of case studies that provide some evidence for the effectiveness of IVIg in paediatric practice [112,216–220] have been reviewed in detail [221]. In all cases, patients reported improved muscle strength and skin changes if IVIg was used early in the course. Alternative therapies include corticosteroids, other immunosuppressive agents and plasma exchange.

Recommendation

IVIg is appropriate in patients with resistant dermatomyositis or aggressive disease (grade B recommendation, level IIa evidence).

Grey indications

There is insufficient evidence on which to base recommendations regarding the use of IVIg in the following conditions, which are either rare or have a poor evidence base. Please refer to the Demand Management Plan for advice on how to request IVIg treatment in these disorders.

Catastrophic antiphospholipid syndrome

CAPS is an often fatal disorder characterised by multiple rapidly progressive arterial and venous thrombotic events. Immunosuppression, especially with cyclophosphamide, increases the risk of a fatal outcome. A large registry-based study suggests plasma exchange or IVIg together with intensive anticoagulation and supportive therapy may be beneficial [227].

Juvenile systemic lupus erythematosus

There are no randomised studies to support the use of IVIg to treat juvenile SLE.

Conventional therapy includes anti-malarials, corticosteroids and immunosuppressive agents. Rituximab and mycophenolate mofetil may have a role in treatment when conventional therapies have failed. In cases of SLE-associated life-threatening sepsis, SLE-associated severe cytopenias, SLE-associated immune deficiency and SLE-associated CAPS, IVIg may be used according to the recommendations for these conditions.

Polymyositis

There are no controlled trials in polymyositis [157]. Appropriate treatments include corticosteroids, other immunosuppressive agents and plasma exchange.

Systemic juvenile idiopathic arthritis

The role of IVIg in sJIA is controversial [225]. In an open-label study of 27 patients with sJIA, IVIg was associated with a significant reduction in systemic symptoms and a steroid-sparing effect. IVIg may have a role in management, but immunosuppression is the preferred treatment [226].

Systemic lupus erythematosus

In a small (n=59) retrospective study, IVIg resulted in a transient improvement in 65% of patients with SLE [228]. Various case reports have shown that high-dose IVIg is associated with disease resolution in patients with SLE affecting specific organs including the kidneys [229,230], myocardium [231], nerves [232], bone marrow [233] and multiple organs [234]. However, the potential thromboembolic effects of IVIg and reports of azotemia suggest caution in using IVIg in this setting. Immunosuppression is the preferred treatment.

Systemic lupus erythematosus with secondary immunocytopenias

For treatment recommendations for autoimmune cytopenias associated with SLE, see the relevant entry (e.g., for autoimmune haemolytic anaemia, see page 33; for thrombocytopenia, see page 33; for Evans' syndrome, see page 33; for CAPS see page 60). Systemic vasculitides and ANCA disorders

In one open-label trial, IVIg induced remission in 15 of 16 systemic vasculitis patients, which was sustained in eight but only transient in seven [222]. In a randomised, placebo-controlled trial investigating the efficacy of a single course of IVIg (total dose 2 g/kg) in previously treated patients with ANCA-associated systemic vasculitis with persistent disease activity in whom there was an intention to escalate therapy, 17 patients received IVIg and 17 received placebo. A single course of IVIg reduced disease activity, but this effect was not maintained beyond 3 months [223]. The role of IVIg in systemic sclerosis-scleroderma [224] remains unclear. Immunosuppression is the preferred treatment.

INFECTIOUS DISEASES

Severe invasive group A streptococcal disease

Numerous case reports, one retrospective case control study and one RCT have suggested that IVIg confers benefit in severe invasive group A streptococcal disease [210,211]. Experimental studies support its use due to its ability to neutralise superantigen toxins and opsonise bacteria not otherwise adequately cleared by antibiotics or surgery alone [207-209]. Although the results of the RCT did not reach significance due to shortfalls in recruitment, mortality was lower in the IVIg than placebo group (1/10 vs 4/11) and measures of organ failure improved in the IVIg group [211]. IVIg may be added to adequate toxin-neutralising antimicrobials, source control and sepsis management when these approaches have failed to elicit a response.

Staphylococcal toxic shock syndrome

Superantigen toxins produced by certain strains of Staphylococcus aureus pose a particular hazard to non-immune younger patients. Expert opinion supports the use of IVIg in staphylococcal toxic shock syndrome (TSS), provided all other therapies have been explored [18,212]. The use of IVIg was highlighted in children with TSS subsequent to a small burn in a recent practice guideline [206]. IVIg may be used for TSS resulting from an infection refractory to several hours of aggressive therapy, in the presence of an undrainable focus, or where there is persistent oliguria with pulmonary oedema. It should be used in addition to adequate toxin-neutralising antimicrobials, source control and sepsis management.

Recommendation

IVIg is recommended for staphylococcal TSS when other therapies have failed (grade C recommendation, level III evidence).

Recommendation

IVIg is only recommended for severe invasive group A streptococcal disease if other approaches have failed (grade C recommendation, level III evidence).

Necrotising (PVL-associated) staphylococcal sepsis

Panton Valentine leukocidin (PVL) is associated with severe necrotising staphylococcal lung infection, with attendant mortality of 75%. Case reports suggest that IVIg provides benefit in severe cases of necrotising PVLassociated staphylococcal pneumonia [213– 215]. IVIg may be considered for necrotising infections due to PVL-positive *S. aureus*, in addition to intensive care support, highdose antibiotic therapy and source control, when other therapeutic options have failed to elicit a response.

Severe or recurrent Clostridium difficile colitis

Limited clinical studies support the use of IVIg for patients with fulminant *C. difficile* colitis who are too ill to undergo surgery, as an adjuvant to standard antimicrobial agents [235,236]. In addition, a small case series has shown that IVIg may be useful in multiple recurrent *C. difficile*-associated diarrhoea [237]. IVIg may be considered for severe or multiple recurrent *C. difficile* when other treatment options have failed, and should be used in conjunction with appropriate antibiotic therapy.

Recommendation

IVIg is recommended for necrotising PVL-associated staphylococcal sepsis when all other treatments have failed (grade C recommendation, level III evidence).

Recommendation

IVIg is only recommended for severe or multiple recurrent *C. difficile* colitis when all other treatments have failed or are inappropriate (grade C recommendation, level III evidence).

Grey indications

There is insufficient evidence on which to base recommendations regarding the use of IVIg in the following condition, which is either rare or has a poor evidence base. Please refer to the Demand Management Plan for advice on how to request IVIg treatment in these disorders. Post-exposure prophylaxis for viral infection where intramuscular injection is contraindicated, or treatment when hyperimmune immunoglobulins are unavailable

Rarely, IVIg may be used instead of intramuscular immunoglobulin when post-exposure prophylaxis against specified viruses (e.g., measles, varicella zoster, tetanus) is recommended, but where intramuscular injection of hyperimmune globulin is contraindicated (e.g., severe thrombocytopenia or bleeding disorder). In addition, it may be used to treat viral infections where the appropriate hyperimmune immunoglobulin is not available.

TRANSPLANTATION

CMV-induced pneumonitis following transplantation

Treatment of CMV-pneumonitis with highdose IVIg [238,239] or high-titre anti-CMV polyclonal IVIg (CMV-IVIg) [240] has been reported in several small series of immunodeficient patients. The combination of highdose IVIg and ganciclovir improved survival, whereas either treatment alone did not [238]. Similarly, CMV-IVIg plus ganciclovir resulted in better survival than would be expected from other treatment regimens [240].

In confirmed CMV-pneumonitis, IVIg may be used in conjunction with ganciclovir.

Recommendation

IVIg in conjunction with ganciclovir is the treatment of choice for CMV-induced pneumonitis (grade A recommendation, level Ib evidence).

Grey indications

There is insufficient evidence on which to base recommendations regarding the use of IVIg in the following conditions, which are either rare or have a poor evidence base. Please refer to the Demand Management Plan for advice on how to request IVIg treatment in these disorders. Antibody incompatible transplantation

One randomised trial of more than 100 patients showed that IVIg was superior to placebo in reducing anti-HLA antibody levels and improving transplantation rates in highly sensitised patients [241].

Treatment of acute antibodymediated rejection and steroidresistant rejection following solid organ transplantation

Antibody-mediated rejection (AMR) of solid organ transplants leads to inevitable failure of the transplanted organ if it is not reversed, and there are no reports of spontaneous recovery from AMR.

Encouraging results, including those from RCTs, showed some benefit from plasma exchange followed by IVIg in patients with AMR kidney rejection and those with steroid-resistant rejection [242–245], although the number of patients randomised was not large. However, economic analyses suggest that IVIg might be financially advantageous [246].

AMR may sometimes be reversed with the use of steroids, plasma exchange, rituximab or cytocidal anti-T-cell antibodies (muromonab-CD3 [OKT3]) or polyclonal preparations such as antithymocyte globulin, but there are issues with all of these alternatives [247,248].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge the help received in preparing these guidelines, particularly from Dr Denise O'Shaughnessy, Special Advisor, Department of Health. The authors acknowledge the contribution of Dr Kam Nanuwa, Deloitte, in managing the development and revision process and the editorial assistance provided by Dr Lucy Hyatt and Dr Aidan McManus (MMRx Consulting).

DISCLAIMER

Although the advice and information contained in these guidelines is believed to be true and accurate at the time of going to press, neither the authors nor the publishers can accept any legal responsibility for any errors or omissions that may have been made.

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

An initial equality impact screening considered the possible impact of these new immunoglobulin guidelines on people according to their age, disability, race, religion and beliefs, gender and sexual orientation. The screening revealed there was no need for an Equality Impact Assessment (EIA) for these immunoglobulin guidelines. It was therefore decided that no EIA would be made in relation to the strategy now recommended.

REFERENCES

- Ammann AJ, Ashman RF, Buckley RH et al. Use of intravenous gamma-globulin in antibody immunodeficiency: results of a multicenter controlled trial. Clin Immunol Immunopathol 1982;22:60–7.
- Lederman HM, Winkelstein JA. X-linked agammaglobulinemia: an analysis of 96 patients. Medicine (Baltimore) 1985;64:145–56.
- Quartier P, Debre M, De Blic J et al. Early and prolonged intravenous immunoglobulin replacement therapy in childhood agammaglobulinemia: a retrospective survey of 31 patients. J Pediatr 1999;134:589–96.
- Liese JG, Wintergerst U, Tympner KD, Belohradsky BH. High- vs low-dose immunoglobulin therapy in the long-term treatment of X-linked agammaglobulinemia. Am J Dis Child 1992;146:335–9.
- Winkelstein JA, Marino MC, Ochs H et al. The X-linked hyper-IgM syndrome: clinical and immunologic features of 79 patients. Medicine (Baltimore) 2003;82:373–84.
- Quartier P, Bustamante J, Sanal O et al. Clinical, immunologic and genetic analysis of 29 patients with autosomal recessive hyper-IgM syndrome due to activation-induced cytidine deaminase deficiency. Clin Immunol 2004;110:22–9.
- Aghamohammadi A, Moin M, Farhoudi A et al. Efficacy of intravenous immunoglobulin on the prevention of pneumonia in patients with agammaglobulinemia. FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol 2004;40:113–8.

- Busse PJ, Razvi S, Cunningham-Rundles C. Efficacy of intravenous immunoglobulin in the prevention of pneumonia in patients with common variable immunodeficiency. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2002;109:1001–4.
- de Gracia J, Vendrell M, Alvarez A et al. Immunoglobulin therapy to control lung damage in patients with common variable immunodeficiency. Int Immunopharmacol 2004;4:745–53.
- Bilora F, Petrobelli F, Boccioletti V, Pomerri F. Moderate-dose intravenousimmunoglobulin treatment of Job's syndrome. Case report. Minerva Med 2000;91:113–6.
- Wakim M, Alazard M, Yajima A et al. High dose intravenous immunoglobulin in atopic dermatitis and hyper-IgE syndrome. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol 1998;81:153–8.
- Litzman J, Jones A, Hann I et al. Intravenous immunoglobulin, splenectomy, and antibiotic prophylaxis in Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome. Arch Dis Child 1996;75:436–9.
- Roifman CM, Schroeder H, Berger M et al. Comparison of the efficacy of IGIV-C, 10% (caprylate/chromatography) and IGIV-SD, 10% as replacement therapy in primary immune deficiency. A randomised double-blind trial. Int Immunopharmacol 2003;3:1325–33.
- Gardulf A, Andersen V, Bjorkander J et al. Subcutaneous immunoglobulin replacement in patients with primary antibody deficiencies: safety and costs. Lancet 1995; 345:365–9.

- Chapel HM, Spickett GP, Ericson D et al. The comparison of the efficacy and safety of intravenous versus subcutaneous immunoglobulin replacement therapy. J Clin Immunol 2000; 20:94–100.
- 16. Orange JS, Hossny EM, Weiler CR et al. Use of intravenous immunoglobulin in human disease: a review of evidence by members of the Primary Immunodeficiency Committee of the American Academy of Allergy, Asthma and Immunology. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2006;117(4 Suppl):S525–53. Erratum (dosage error in text) in: J Allergy Clin Immunol 2006;117(6):1483.
- Oates-Whitehead R, Baumer J, Haines L et al. Intravenous immunoglobulin for the treatment of Kawasaki disease in children. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2003;(4): CD004000.
- Durongpisitkul K, Gururaj VJ, Park JM, Martin CF. The prevention of coronary artery aneurysm in Kawasaki disease: a meta-analysis on the efficacy of aspirin and immunoglobulin treatment. Pediatrics 1995;96:1057–61.
- Frickhofen N, Abkowitz JL, Safford M et al. Persistent B19 parvovirus infection in patients infected with HIV-1: a treatable cause of anemia in AIDS. Ann Intern Med 1990;113:926–33.
- Ramratnam B, Gollerkeri A, Schiffman FJ et al. Management of persistent B19 parvovirus infection in AIDS. Br J Haematol 1995;91:90–2.
- Koduri PR, Kumapley R, Khokha ND, Patel AR. Red cell aplasia caused by PV B19 in AIDS: use of IVIG. Ann Hematol 1997;75:67–8.

- 22. Sharma VR, Fleming DR, Slone SP et al. Pure red cell aplasia due to parvovirus B19 in a patient treated with rituximab. Blood 2000;96:1184–6.
- Koduri PR, Kumapley R, Valladares J, Teter
 C. Chronic pure red cell aplasia caused by parvovirus B19 in AIDS: use of IVIG – a report of eight patients. Am J Hematol 1999;61:16– 20.
- 24. Mylonakis E, Dickinson BP, Mileno MD et al. Persistent parvovirus B19 related anemia of seven years duration in an HIVinfected patient: CR associated with highly active anti retroviral therapy. Am J Hematol 1999;60:164–6.
- Baldus M, Möller M, Walter H et al. A case of pure red cell aplasia: follow up on different immunosuppressive regimens. Clin Investig 1994;72:1051–5.
- Jahnke L, Applebaum S, Sherman LA et al. An evaluation of IVIG in the treatment of HIVassociated TCP. Transfusion 1994;34:759–64.
- Majluf-Cruz A, Luna-Casta O, Huitron S, Nieto-Cisneros L. Usefulness of a low dose IVIG regimen for the treatment of TCP associated with AIDS. Am J Hematol 1998;59:127–32.
- Overton TG, Duncan KR, Jolly M et al. Serial aggressive platelet transfusion for fetal alloimmune thrombocytopenia: platelet dynamics and perinatal outcome. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2002;186:826–31.
- 29. Radder CM, Brand A, Kanhai HH. A less invasive treatment strategy to prevent intracranial hemorrhage in fetal and neonatal alloimmune thrombocytopenia. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2001;185:683–8.

- van den Akker ES, Oepkes D, Lopriore E, Brand A, Kanhai HH. Noninvasive antenatal management of fetal and neonatal alloimmune thrombocytopenia: safe and effective. Br J Obstet Gynaecol 2007;114:469–73.
- Sideropoulos D, Straume B. The treatment of neonatal issoimmune thrombocytopenia with intravenous immunoglobulin (IgG i.v.). Blut 1984;48:383–6.
- Derycke M, Dreyfus M, Ropert JC, Tchernia G.. Intravenous immunoglobulin for neonatal issoimmune thrombocytopenia. Arch Dis Child 1985;60:667–9.
- Suarez CR, Anderson C. High-dose intravenous gammaglobulin (IVIG) in neonatal immune thromboctyopenia. Am J Hematol 1987;26:247–53.
- Kiefel V, Bassler D, Kroll H et al. Antigenpositive platelet transfusion in neonatal alloimmune thrombocytopenia (NAIT). Blood 2006;107:3761–3.
- Bussell JB. Alloimmune thrombocytopenia in the fetus and newborn. Sem Thromb Hemost 2001;27:245–52.
- Bossi P, Cabane J, Ninet J et al. Acquired hemophilia due to factor VIII inhibitors in 34 patients. Am J Med 1998;105:400–8.
- Sultan Y. Acquired hemophilia and its treatment. Blood Coagul Fibrinolysis 1997;8(suppl 1):S15–8.
- Gianella-Borradori A, Hirt A, Luthy A et al. Haemophilia due to factor VIII inhibitors in a patient suffering from an autoimmune disease: treatment with intravenous immunoglobulin. A case report. Blut 1984;48:403–7.

- Sultan Y, Kazatchkine MD, Maisonneuve P, Nydegger UE. Anti-idiotypic suppression of autoantibodies to factor VIII (antihaemophilic factor) by high-dose intravenous gammaglobulin. Lancet 1984;2:765–8.
- 40. Kurtzberg J, Friedman HS, Chaffee S et al. Efficacy of intravenous gamma globulin in autoimmune-mediated pediatric blood dyscrasias. Am J Med 1987;83:4–9.
- 41. Besa EC. Rapid transient reversal of anemia and long-term effects of maintenance intravenous immunoglobulin for autoimmune hemolytic anemia in patients with lymphoproliferative disorders. Am J Med 1988;84:691–8.
- 42. Hilgartner MW, Bussel J. Use of intravenous gamma globulin for the treatment of autoimmune neutropenia of childhood and autoimmunehemolytic anemia. Am J Med 1987;83:25–9.
- 43. Flores G, Cunningham-Rundles C, Newland AC, Bussel JB. Efficacy of intravenous immunoglobulin in the treatment of autoimmune hemolytic anemia: results in 73 patients. Am J Hematol 1993;44:237–42.
- 44. Khouri IF, Ippoliti C, Gajewski J et al. Neutropenias following allogeneic bone marrow transplantation: response to therapy with high-dose intravenous immunoglobulin. Am J Hematol 1996;52:313–5.
- 45. Klumpp TR, Herman JH. Autoimmune neutropenia after bone marrow transplantation. Blood 1993;82:1035.
- Bolis S, Marozzi A, Rossini F et al. High dose intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG) in Evans' syndrome. Allergologia et Immunopathologia 1991;19:186.

- Scaradavou A, Bussel J. Evan's syndrome. Results of a pilot study utilizing a multiagent treatment protocol. J Ped Hem Onc 1995;17:290–5.
- Chang DK, Yoo DH, Kim TH et al. Induction of remission with intravenous immunoglobulin and cyclophosphamide in steroid-resistant Evan's syndrome associated with dermatomyositis. Clin Rheumatol 2001;20:63–6.
- 49. Gombakis N, Trahana M, Athanassiou M et al. Evans syndrome: successful management with multi-agent treatment including intermediate-dose intravenous cyclophosphamide. J Ped Hem Onc 1999;21:248–9.
- Nuss R, Wang W. Intravenous gamma globulin for thrombocytopenia in children with Evans syndrome. Am J Ped Hem Onc 1987;9:164–7.
- Petrides PE, Hiller E. Autoimmune hemolytic anemia combined with idiopathic thrombocytopenia (Evan's syndrome). Sustained remission in a patient following high-dose intravenous gamma-globulin therapy. Clinical Investigator 1992;70:38–9.
- Qureshi S, Zaman S Iqbal J. Intravenous immunoglobin G therapy in Evans syndrome. J Pakistan Med Assoc 2000;50:321–3.
- 53. Ip S, Chung M, Kulig J et al; American Academy of Pediatrics Subcommittee on Hyperbilirubinemia. An evidence-based review of important issues concerning neonatal hyperbilirubinaemia. Pediatrics 2004;114:e130–53.

- Alcock GS, Liley H. Immunoglobulin infusion for isoimmune haemolytic jaundice in neonates. Cochrane Database of Sys Rev 2002;(3):CD003313.
- Gottstein R, Cooke R. Intravenous immunoglobulin in haemolytic disease of the newborn: a systematic review. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed-2003;88: F6–10.
- Anderson D, Ali K, Blanchette V et al. Guidelines on the Use of intravenous immune globulin for hematologic conditions. Transfus Med Rev 2007;21:S9–59.
- Ningsanond V. Infection associated hemophagocytic syndrome: a report of 50 children. J Med Assoc Thai 2000;83:1141–9.
- 58. Kaneko M, Kuwabara S, Hatakeyama A et al. Guillian Barré and virus associated hemophagocytic syndromes contracted simultaneously following Epstein-Barr viral infection. Neurology 1997;49:870–1.
- 59. Su IJ, Wang CH, Cheng AL, Chen RL. Hemophagocytic syndrome in Epstein-Barr virus-associated T-lymphoproliferative disorders: disease spectrum, pathogenesis, and management. Leuk Lymphoma 1995;19:401–6.
- Takeoka Y, Hino M, Oiso N et al. Virus associated hemophagocytic syndrome due to rubella virus and varicella-zoster virus dual infection in patient with adult idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura. Ann Hematol 2001;8:361–4.
- Henter J-I, Horne AC, Arico M et al, for the Histiocyte Society. HLH-2004: Diagnostic and therapeutic guidelines for hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2007;48:124–31.

- Guidelines for the investigation and management of idiopathic thrombocytopenia purpura in adults, children and pregnancy. Br J Haematol 2003;120:574–96.
- Godeau B, Chevret S, Varet B et al. Intravenous immunoglobulin or high-dose methylprednisolone, with or without oral prednisone, for adults with untreated severe autoimmune thrombocytopenic purpura: a randomised, multicentre trial. Lancet 2002;359:23–9.
- Hedlund-Treutiger I, Henter JI, Elinder G. Randomised study of IVIg and high-dose dexamethasone therapy for children with chronic idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura. J Pediatr Hematol Oncol 2003;25:139–44.
- Tarantino MD. Treatment options for chronic immune (idiopathic) thrombocytopenia purpura in children. Semin Hematol 2000;37:35–41.
- 66. Fujisawa K, Iyori H, Ohkawa H et al. A prospective, randomized trial of conventional, dose-accelerated corticosteroids and intravenous immunoglobulin in children with newly diagnosed idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura. Int J Hematol 2000;72:376–83.
- 67. George JN, Woolf SH, Raskob GE et al. Idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura: a practice guideline developed by explicit methods for the American Society of Hematology. www.hematology.org/policy/ guidelines/ idiopathic.cfm
- Ziman A, Klapper E, Pepkowitz S et al. A second case of post-transfusion purpura caused by HPA-5a antibodies: successful treatment with intravenous immunoglobulin. Vox Sang 2002;83:165–6.

- Evenson DA, Stroncek DF, Pulkrabek S et al. Posttransfusion purpura following bone marrow transplantation. Transfusion 1995;35:688–93.
- Kroll H, Kiefel V, Mueller-Eckhardt C. Clinical and serologic studies in 34 patients with post-transfusion purpura. Beitr Infusionsther 1992;30:403–7.
- Song S, Crow AR, Freedman J, Lazarus AH. Monoclonal IgG can ameliorate immune thrombocytopenia in a murine model of ITP: an alternative to IVIG. Blood 2003;101:3708–13.
- 72. Kumar R, Ghali A, Ekaldious AW et al. Posttransfusion purpura: case report. Ann Hematol 2001;80:488–91.
- Mueller-Eckhardt C, Kiefel V. High-dose IgG for post-transfusion purpura-revisited. Blut 1988;57:163–7.
- Larroche C, Mouthon L, Casadevall N et al. Successful treatment of thymoma associated PRCS with IVIG. Eur J Hematol 2000;65:74–6.
- Linardaki GD, Boki KA, Fertakis A, Tzioufas AG. Pure red cell aplasia as presentation of SLE: antibodies to erythropoietin. Scand J Rheumatol 1999;28:189–91.
- 76. Huang JL, Hung IJ, Chen LC et al. Successfully treated sulphasalazine– induced fulminant hepatic failure, thrombocytopenia and erythroid hypoplasia with IV immunoglobulin. Clin Rheumatol 1998;17;349–52.
- Mant MJ. Chronic idiopathic PRCA: successful treatment during pregnancy and durable response to IV immunoglobulin. J Intern Med 1994;236:593–5.

- Ohashi K, Akiyama H, Takamoto S et al. Treatment of pure red cell aplasia after major ABO incompatible bone marrow transplantation resistant to EPO. Bone Marrow transplantation team. Bone Marrow Transplant 1994;13:335–6.
- Needleman SW. Durable remission of pure red cell aplasia after treatment with high dose IV gammaglobulin and prednisone. Am J Hematol 1989;32:236–7.
- Federici AB. Therapeutic approaches to acquired von Willebrand syndrome. Expert Opin Investig Drugs 2000;9:347–54.
- Kaptan K, Beyan C, Ural AU et al. Successful treatment of severe aplastic anemia associated with human parvovirus B19 and Epstein-Barr virus in a healthy subject with allo-BMT. Am J Hematol 2001;67:252–5.
- Bergen GA, Sakalosky PE, Sinnott JT. Transient aplastic anemia caused by parvovirus B19 infection in a heart transplant recipient. J Heart Lung Transplant 1996;15:843–5.
- Bux J, Behrens G, Jaeger G, Welte K. Diagnosis and clinical course of autoimmune neutropenia in infancy: analysis of 240 cases. Blood 1998;91:181–6.
- 84. Christensen RD, Brown MS, Hall DC et al. Effect on neutrophil kinetics and serum opsonic capacity of intravenous administration of immune globulin to neonates with clinical signs of early-onset sepsis. J Pediatr 1991;118:606–14.
- Bussel J, Lalezari P, Hilgartner M et al. Reversal of neutropenia with intravenous gammaglobulin in autoimmune neutropenia of infancy. Blood 1983;62:398–400.

- Mascarin M, Ventura A. Anti-Rh(D) immunoglobulin for autoimmune neutropenia of infancy. Acta Paediatr 1993;82:142–4.
- Finazzi G, Bellavita P, Fallanga A et al. Inefficacy of IV immunoglobulin in patients with low-risk TTP/HUS. Am J Hematol 1992;41:165–9.
- Robson WL et al. The use of intravenous gammaglobulin in the treatment of typical hemolytic uremic syndrome. Pediatr Nephrol 1991;5:289–92.
- Diamond JR. Hemolytic uremic syndrome/ thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura (HUS/TTP) complicating adult Still's disease: remission induced with intravenous immunoglobulin G. J Nephrol 1997;10:253–7.
- van der Lelie H, Baars JW, Rodenhuis S et al. Hemolytic uremic syndrome after high dose chemotherapy with autologous stem cell support. Cancer 1995;76:2338–42.
- Hochstetler LA, Flanigan MJ, Lager DJ. Transplant–associated thrombotic microangiopathy: the role of IgG administration as initial therapy. Am J Kidney Disease 1994;23:444–50.
- 92. Win N, Yeghen T, Needs M et al. Use of intravenous immunoglobulin and intravenous methylprednisolone in hyperhaemolysis syndrome in sickle cell disease. Hematology 2004;9:433–6.
- 93. Cullis JO, Win N, Dudley JM, Kaye T. Posttransfusion hyperhaemolysis in a patient with sickle cell disease: use of steroids and intravenous immunoglobulin to prevent further red cell destruction. Vox Sang 1995;69:355–7.

- 94. Cooperative Group for the Study of Immunoglobulin in Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia. Intravenous immunoglobulin for the prevention of infection in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. A randomized, controlled clinical trial. N Engl J Med 1988;319:902–7.
- Weeks JC, Tierney MR, Weinstein MC. Cost effectiveness of prophylactic intravenous immune globulin in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. N Engl J Med 1991;325:81–6.
- Chapel H, Dicato M, Gamm H et al. Immunoglobulin replacement in patients with chronic lymphocytic leukaemia: a comparison of two dose regimes. Br J Haematol 1994;88:209–12.
- 97. Chapel HM, Lee M, Hargreaves R et al. Randomised trial of intravenous immunoglobulin as prophylaxis against infection in plateau-phase multiple myeloma. The UK Group for Immunoglobulin Replacement Therapy in Multiple Myeloma. Lancet 1994;343:1059–63.
- 98. Cordonnier C, Chevret S, Legrand M et al. Should immunoglobulin therapy be used in allogeneic stem-cell transplantation? A randomized, double-blind, dose effect, placebo-controlled, multicenter trial. Ann Intern Med 2003;139:8–18.
- 99. Bass EB, Powe NR, Goodman SN et al. Efficacy of immune globulin in preventing complications of bone marrow transplantation: a meta-analysis. Bone Marrow Transplant 1993;12:273–82.
- 100. Guglielmo BJ, Wong-Beringer A, Linker CA. Immune globulin therapy in allogeneic bone marrow transplant: a critical review. Bone Marrow Transplant 1994;13:499–510.

- 101. Hadden RDM, Nobile-Orazio E, Sommer C et al. European Federation of Neurological Societies/Peripheral Nerve Society guideline on management of paraproteinaemic demyelinating neuropathies: report of a joing task force of the European Federation of Neurological Societies and the Peripheral Nerve Society. Eur J Neurology 2006;13:809–18.
- 102. van Doorn PA, Brand A, Strengers PF et al. High-dose intravenous immunoglobulin treatment in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy: a doubleblind, placebo-controlled, crossover study. Neurology 1990;40:209–12.
- 103. Dyck PJ, Litchy WJ, Kratz KM et al. A plasma exchange versus immune globulin infusion trial in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy. Ann Neurol 1994;36:838–45.
- 104. Hahn AF, Bolton CF, Zochodne D, Feasby TE. Intravenous immunoglobulin treatment in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy. A double-blind, placebocontrolled, cross-over study. Brain 1996;119(suppl):1067–77.
- 105. Mendell JR, Barohn RJ, Freimer ML et al. Randomized controlled trial of IVIg in untreated chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy. Neurology 2001;56:445–9.
- 106. van Schaik IN, Winer JB, de Haan R, Vermeulen M. Intravenous immunoglobulin for chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy: a systematic review. Lancet Neurol 2002;1:491–8.

- 107. van Schaik IN, Winer JB, De Haan R, Vermeulen M. Intravenous immunoglobulin for chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2002;(2):CD001797.
- 108. Hughes RA, Donofrio P, Bril V et al; ICE Study Group. Intravenous immune globulin (10% caprylate-chromatography purified) for the treatment of chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy (ICE study): a randomised placebo-controlled trial. Lancet Neurol 2008;7:136–44.
- 109. Joint Task Force of the EFNS and the PNS. European Federation of Neurological Societies/Peripheral Nerve Society Guideline on management of chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy. Report of a joint task force of the European Federation of Neurological Societies and the Peripheral Nerve Society. J Peripher Nerv Syst 2007;10:220–8.
- 110. Dalakas MC. Update on the use of intravenous immune globulin in the treatment of patients with inflammatory muscle disease. J Clin Immunol 1995;15:70S–5.
- 111. Cherin P, Piette JC, Wechsler B et al. Intravenous gamma globulin as first line therapy in polymyositis and dermatomyositis: an open study in 11 adult patients. J Rheumatol 1994;21:1092–7.
- 112. Sansome A, Dubowitz V. Intravenous immunoglobulin in juvenile dermatomyositis—four year review of nine cases. Arch Dis Child 1995;72:25–8.

- 113. Choy EHS, Hoogendijk JE, Lecky B, Winer JB. Immunosuppressant and immunomodulatory treatment for dermatomyositis and polymyositis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2005;(3):CD003643.
- 114. Hughes RA, Raphael JC, Swan AV, van Doorn PA. Intravenous immunoglobulin for Guillain-Barré syndrome. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2006;(1):CD002063.
- 115. Maddison P, Newsom-Davis J. Treatment for Lambert-Eaton myasthenic syndrome. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2005:(2): CD003279.
- 116. Bain PG, Motomura M, Newsom-Davis J et al. Effects of intravenous immunoglobulin on muscle weakness and calciumchannel autoantibodies in the Lambert-Eaton myasthenic syndrome. Neurology 1996;47:678–83.
- 117. Muchnik S, Losavio AS, Vidal A et al. Longterm followup of Lambert-Eaton syndrome treated with intravenous immunoglobulin. Muscle Nerve 1997;20:674–8.
- 118. Peterlin BL, Flood W, Kothari MJ. Use of intravenous immunoglobulin in Lambert-Eaton myasthenic syndrome. J Am Osteopath Assoc 2002;102:682–4.
- 119. Rich MM, Teener JW, Bird SJ. Treatment of Lambert-Eaton syndrome with intravenous immunoglobulin. Muscle Nerve 1997;20:614–5.
- 120. van Schaik IN, van den Berg LH, de Haan R, Vermeulen M. Intravenous immunoglobulin for multifocal motor neuropathy. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2005;(2):CD004429.

- 121. Azulay JP, Blin O, Pouget J et al. Intravenous immunoglobulin treatment in patients with motor neuron syndromes associated with anti-GM1 antibodies: a double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Neurology 1994;44:429–32.
- 122. van den Berg LH, Kerkhoff H, Oey PL et al. Treatment of multifocal motor neuropathy with high dose intravenous immunoglobulins: a double blind, placebo controlled study. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1995;59:248–52.
- 123. Federico P, Zochodne DW, Hahn AF et al. Multifocal motor neuropathy improved by IVIg: randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Neurology 2000;55:1256–62.
- 124. Leger JM, Chassande B, Musset L et al. Intravenous immunoglobulin therapy in multifocal motor neuropathy: a doubleblind, placebo-controlled study. Brain 2001;124:145–53.
- 125. van den Berg-Vos RM, Franssen H, Wokke JH, van den Berg LH. Multifocal motor neuropathy: long-term clinical and electrophysiological assessment of intravenous immunoglobulin maintenance treatment. Brain 2002;125:1875–86.
- 126. van Doorn PA, van der Meche FG. IVIg treatment improves multifocal motor neuropathy: easy to start but difficult to stop. Neurology 2000;55:1246–7.
- 127. Latov N, Chaudhry V, Koski CL et al. Use of intravenous gamma globulins in neuroimmunologic diseases. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2001;108(suppl):S126–32.

- 128. Zinman L, Ng E, Bril V. IV immunoglobulin in patients with myasthenia gravis: a randomized controlled trial. Neurology 2007;68:837–41.
- 129. Gajdos P, Chevret S, Toyka K. Intravenous immunoglobulin for myasthenia gravis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2003;(2): CD002277.
- 130. Gajdos P, Chevret S, Clair B et al. Clinical trial of plasma exchange and high-dose intravenous immunoglobulin in myasthenia gravis. Myasthenia Gravis Clinical Study Group. Ann Neurol 1997;41:789–96.
- 131. Ronager J, Ravnborg M, Hermansen I, Vorstrup S. Immunoglobulin treatment versus plasma exchange in patients with chronic moderate to severe myasthenia gravis. Artif Organs 2001;25:967–73.
- 132. Wolfe GI, Barohn RJ, Foster BM et al. Randomized, controlled trial of intravenous immunoglobulin in myasthenia gravis. Muscle Nerve 2002;26:549–52.
- 133. Qureshi Al, Choudhry MA, Akbar MS et al. Plasma exchange versus intravenous immunoglobulin treatment in myasthenic crisis. Neurology 1999;52:629–32.
- 134. Selcen D, Dabrowski ER, Michon AM, Nigro MA. High-dose intravenous immunoglobulin therapy in juvenile myasthenia gravis. Pediatr Neurol 2000;22:40–3.
- 135. Perez Nellar J, Dominguez AM, Llorens-Figueroa JA et al. A comparative study of intravenous immunoglobulin and plasmapheresis preoperatively in myasthenia. Rev Neurol 2001;33:413–6.

- 136. Huang CS, Hsu HS, Kao KP et al. Intravenous immunoglobulin in the preparation of thymectomy for myasthenia gravis. Acta Neurol Scand 2003;108:136–8.
- 137. Gajdos P, Tranchant C, Clair B et al; Myasthenia Gravis Clinical Study Group. Treatment of myasthenia gravis exacerbation with intravenous immunoglobulin: a randomized double-blind clinical trial. Arch Neurol 2005;62:1689–93.
- 138. Allen D, Lunn MP, Niermeijer J, Nobile-Orazio E. Treatment for IgG and IgA paraproteinaemic neuropathy. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2007;(1):CD005376.
- 139. Lunn MPT, Nobile-Orazio E. Immunotherapy for IgM anti-myelin-associated glycoprotein paraprotein-associated peripheral neuropathies. Cochrane Database of Syst Rev 2006;(2):CD002827.
- 140. Dalakas MC, Quarles RH, Farrer RG et al. A controlled study of intravenous immunoglobulin in demyelinating neuropathy with IgM gammopathy. Ann Neurol 1996;40:792–5.
- 141. Comi G, Roveri L, Swan A et al; Inflammatory Neuropathy Cause and Treatment Group. A randomised controlled trial of intravenous immunoglobulin in IgM paraprotein associated demyelinating neuropathy. J Neurol 2002;249:1370–7.
- 142. Villani F, Spreafico R, Farina L et al. Positive response to immunomodulatory therapy in an adult patient with Rasmussen's encephalitis. Neurology 2001;56:248–50.
- 143. Granata T. Rasmussen's syndrome. Neurol Sci 2003;24(suppl 4):S239–43.

- 144. Hart YM, Cortez M, Andermann F et al. Medical treatment of Rasmussen's syndrome (chronic encephalitis and epilepsy): effect of high-dose steroids or immunoglobulins in 19 patients. Neurology 1994;44:1030–6.
- 145. Dalakas MC, Fujii M, Li M et al. High-dose intravenous immune globulin for stiff-person syndrome. N Engl J Med 2001;345:1870–6.
- 146. Kleiman M, Brunquell P. Acute disseminated encephalomyelitis: response to intravenous immunoglobulin. J Child Neurol 1995;10:481–3.
- 147. Sahlas DJ, Miller SP, Guerin M et al. Treatment of acute disseminated encephalomyelitis with intravenous immunoglobulin. Neurology 2000;54:1370–2.
- 148. Yoshimaru K, Nakazato Y, Tamura N, Yamamoto T, Naitou S, Shimazu K. [A case of acute idiopathic autonomic neuropathy improved by intravenous immunoglobulin]. Rinsho Shinkeigaku 2006;46:332–4.
- 149. Quan D, Rich MM, Bird SJ. Acute idiopathic dysautonomia: electrophysiology and response to intravenous immunoglobulin. Neurology 2000;54:770–1.
- 150. Dupond JL, Gil H, Bouhaddi M et al. Acute dysautonomia secondary to autoimmune diseases: efficacy of intravenous immunoglobulin and correlation with a stimulation of plasma norepinephrine levels. Clin Exp Rheumatol 1999;17:733–6.
- 151. Smit AA, Vermeulen M, Koelman JH, Wieling W. Unusual recovery from acute panautonomic neuropathy after immunoglobulin therapy. Mayo Clin Proc 1997;72:333–5.

- 152. Krendel DA, Costigan DA, Hopkins LC. Successful treatment of neuropathies in patients with diabetes mellitus. Arch Neurol 1995;52:1053–61.
- 153. Jaradeh SS, Prieto TE, Lobeck LJ.
 Progressive polyradiculoneuropathy in diabetes: correlation with variables and clinical outcome after immunotherapy.
 J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1999;67:607–12.
- 154. Overell JR, Hsieh ST, Odaka M et al. Treatment for Fisher syndrome, Bickerstaff's brainstem encephalitis and related disorders. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2007;(1): CD004761.
- 155. Fox RJ, Kasner SE, Galetta SL, Chalela JA. Treatment of Bickerstaff's brainstem encephalitis with immune globulin. J Neurol Sci 2000;178:88–90.
- 156. Wiles CM, Brown P, Chapel H et al. Intravenous immunoglobulin in neurological disease: a specialist review. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2002;72:440–8.
- 157. Association of British Neurologists. Guidelines for the use of intravenous immunoglobulin in neurological diseases. Revised July 2005. http://www.theabn.org/ documents/IVIg-Guidelines-2005.pdf.
- 158. Gross-Tsur V, Shalev RS, Kazir E et al. Intravenous high-dose gammaglobulins for intractable childhood epilepsy. Acta Neurol Scand 1993;88:204–9.
- 159. van Engelen BG, Renier WO, Weemaes CM et al. High-dose intravenous immunoglobulin treatment in cryptogenic West and Lennox-Gastaut syndrome; an add-on study. Eur J Pediatr 1994;153:762–9.

- 160. Espinosa Zacarias J, Gutierrez Moctezuma J, Villegas Pena H, Olmos GDAG. Intravenous treatment with immunoglobulins in epileptic syndromes which are difficult to control. Rev Neurol 2002;34:816–9.
- 161. Deda G, Caksen H. Atypical benign partial epilepsy of childhood (pseudo-Lennox syndrome): report of two brothers. Neurol India 2002;50:337–9.
- 162. Illum N, Taudorf K, Heilmann C et al. Intravenous immunoglobulin: a singleblind trial in children with Lennox-Gastaut syndrome. Neuropediatrics 1990;21:87–90.
- 163. van Rijckevorsel-Harmant K, Delire M, Schmitz-Moorman W, Wieser HG. Treatment of refractory epilepsy with intravenous immunoglobulins. Results of the first doubleblind/dose finding clinical study. Int J Clin Lab Res 1994;24:162–6.
- 164. Alessi G, De Reuck J, De Bleecker J, Vancayzeele S. Successful immunoglobulin treatment in a patient with neuromyotonia. Clin Neurol Neurosurgery 2000;102:173–5.
- 165. Perlmutter SJ, Leitman SF, Garvey MA et al. Therapeutic plasma exchange and intravenous immunoglobulin for obsessivecompulsive disorder and tic disorders in childhood. Lancet 1999;354:1153–8.
- 166. Vincent A, Buckley C, Schott JM et al. Potassium channel antibody-associated encephalopathy: a potentially immunotherapy responsive form of limbic encephalitis. Brain 2004;127:701–12.
- 167. Jacob S, Irani SR, Rajabally YA et al. Hypothermia in VGKC antibody-associated limbic encephalitis. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2008;79:202–4.

- Dalakas MC. Intravenous immunoglobulin in autoimmune neuromuscular diseases. JAMA 2004;291:2367–75.
- 169. Dalakas MC. The use of intravenous immunoglobulin in the treatment of autoimmune neuromuscular disease: evidence-based indications and safety profile. Pharmacol Ther 2004;102:177–93.
- 170. Levy Y, Uziel Y, Zandman G et al. Response of vasculitic peripheral neuropathy to intravenous immunoglobulin. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2005;1051:779–86.
- 171. Fontaine J, Joly P, Roujeau JC. Treatment of bullous pemphigoid. J Dermatol 2003;30:83–90.
- 172. Ahmed AR. Intravenous immunoglobulin therapy for patients with bullous pemphigoid unresponsive to conventional immunosuppressive treatment. J Am Acad Dermatol 2001;45:825–35.
- 173. Ahmed AR. Intravenous immunoglobulin therapy in the treatment of patients with pemphigus vulgaris unresponsive to conventional immunosuppressive treatment. J Am Acad Dermatol 2001;45:679–90.
- 174. Sami N, Bhol KC, Ahmed AR. Treatment of oral pemphigoid with intravenous immunoglobulin as monotherapy. Long-term follow-up: influence of treatment on antibody titres to human alpha6 integrin. Clin Exp Immunol 2002;129:533–40.
- 175. Ahmed AR, Sami N. Intravenous immunoglobulin therapy for patients with pemphigus foliaceus unresponsive to conventional therapy. J Am Acad Dermatol 2002;46:42–9.

- 176. Sami N, Qureshi A, Ruocco E, Ahmed AR. Corticosteroid-sparing effect of intravenous immunoglobulin therapy in patients with pemphigus vulgaris. Arch Dermatol 2002;138:1158–62.
- 177. Bystryn JC, Jiao D, Natow S. Treatment of pemphigus with intravenous immunoglobulin. J Am Acad Dermatol 2002;47:358–63.
- 178. Jolles S. A review of high-dose intravenous immunoglobulin (hdIVIg) in the treatment of the autoimmune blistering disorders. Clin Exp Dermatol 2001;26:127–31.
- 179. Prins C, Vittorio C, Padilla RS et al. Effect of high-dose intravenous immunoglobulin therapy in Stevens-Johnson syndrome: a retrospective, multicenter study. Dermatology 2003;207:96–9.
- 180. Bachot N, Revuz J, Roujeau JC. Intravenous immunoglobulin treatment for Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis: a prospective noncomparative study showing no benefit on mortality or progression. Arch Dermatol 2003;139:33–6.
- 181. Trent JT, Kirsner RS, Romanelli P, Kerdel FA. Analysis of intravenous immunoglobulin for the treatment of toxic epidermal necrolysis using SCORTEN: the University of Miami Experience. Arch Dermatol 2003;139:39–43.
- 182. Jolles S, Sewell C, Webster D et al. Adjunctive high-dose intravenous immunoglobulin treatment for resistant atopic dermatitis: efficacy and effects on intracellular cytokine levels and CD4 counts. Acta Derm Venereol 2003;83:433–7.

- 183. Jolles S, Hughes J, Rustin M. The treatment of atopic dermatitis with adjunctive high-dose intravenous immunoglobulin: a report of three patients and review of the literature. Br J Dermatol 2000;142:551–4.
- Kimata H. High dose gammaglobulin treatment for atopic dermatitis. Arch Dis Child 1994;70:335–6.
- 185. Paul C, Lahfa M, Bachelez H et al. A randomized controlled evaluator-blinded trial of intravenous immunoglobulin in adults with severe atopic dermatitis. Br J Dermatol 2002;147:518–22.
- 186. Wakim M, Alazard M, Yajima A et al. High dose intravenous immunoglobulin in atopic dermatitis and hyper-IgE syndrome. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol 1998;81:153–8.
- 187. Jolles S, Sewell C, Webster D et al. Adjunctive high-dose intravenous immunoglobulin treatment for resistant atopic dermatitis: efficacy and effects on intracellular cytokine levels and CD4 counts. Acta Derm Venereol 2003;83:433–7.
- 188. Paul C, Lahfa M, Bachelez H et al. A randomized controlled evaluator-blinded trial of intravenous immunoglobulin in adults with severe atopic dermatitis. Br J Dermatol 2002;147:518–22.
- 189. Schmitt J, Schakel K, Schmitt N, Meurer M. Systemic treatment of severe atopic eczema: a systematic review. Acta Derm Venereol 2007;87:100-11.
- 190. Budde M, Gusek-Schneider GC, Mayer U, Seitz B. Annular crystalline keratopathy in association with immunoglobulin therapy for pyoderma gangrenosum. Cornea 2003;22:82–5.

- 191. Dobson CM, Parslew RA, Evans S. Superficial granulomatous pyoderma treated with intravenous immunoglobulin. J Am Acad Dermatol 2003;48:456–60.
- 192. Dirschka T, Kastner U, Behrens S, Altmeyer P. Successful treatment of pyoderma gangrenosum with intravenous human immunoglobulin. J Am Acad Dermatol 1998;39:789–90.
- 193. Hagman JH, Carrozzo AM, Campione E et al. The use of high-dose immunoglobulin in the treatment of pyoderma gangrenosum. J Dermatolog Treat 2001;12:19–22.
- 194. Gleichmann US, Otte HG, Korfer R, Stadler R. Post-traumatic pyoderma gangrenosum: combination therapy with intravenous immunoglobulins and systemic corticosteroids. Hautarzt 1999;50:879–83.
- 195. Gupta AK, Shear NH, Sauder DN. Efficacy of human intravenous immune globulin in pyoderma gangrenosum. J Am Acad Dermatol 1995;32:140–2.
- 196. Bloom D, Fisher D, Dannenberg M. Pyoderma gangrenosum associated with hypogammaglobulinemia. Arch Dermatol 1958;77:412–21.
- Marcussen PV. Hypogammaglobulinemia in pyoderma gangrenosum. J Invest Dermatol 1955;24:275–80.
- 198. Greaves MW. Pathophysiology of chronic urticaria. Int Arch Allergy Immunol 2002;127:3–9.

- 199. Fiebiger E, Maurer D, Holub H et al. Serum IgG autoantibodies directed against the alpha chain of Fc epsilon RI: a selective marker and pathogenetic factor for a distinct subset of chronic urticaria patients? J Clin Invest 1995;96:2606–12.
- 200. Kikuchi Y, Kaplan AP. Mechanisms of autoimmune activation of basophils in chronic urticaria. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2001;107:1056–62.
- 201. Altschul A, Cunningham-Rundles C. Chronic urticaria and angioedema as the first presentations of common variable immunodeficiency. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2002;110:664–5.
- 202. O'Donnell BF, Barr RM, Black AK et al. Intravenous immunoglobulin in autoimmune chronic urticaria. Br J Dermatol 1998;138:101–6.
- 203. Asero R. Are IVIG for chronic unremitting urticaria effective? Allergy 2000;55:1099–101.
- 204. Kroiss M, Vogt T, Landthaler M, Stolz W. The effectiveness of low-dose intravenous immunoglobulin in chronic urticaria. Acta Derm Venereol 2000;80:225.
- 205. Dawn G, Urcelay M, Ah-Weng A et al. Effect of high-dose intravenous immunoglobulin in delayed pressure urticaria. Br J Dermatol 2003;149:836–40.
- 206. Young AE, Thornton KL. Toxic shock syndrome in burns: diagnosis and management. Arch Dis Child Educ Pract Ed 2007;92:ep97–100.

- 207. Norrby-Teglund A, Kaul R, Low DE et al. Plasma from patients with severe invasive group A streptococcal infections treated with normal polyspecific IgG inhibits streptococcal superantigen-induced T cell proliferation and cytokine production. J Immunol 1996;156:3057–64.
- 208. Basma H, Norrby-Teglund A, McGeer A et al. Opsonic antibodies to the surface M protein of group A streptococci in pooled normal immunoglobulins (IVIG): potential impact on the clinical efficacy of IVIG therapy for severe invasive group A streptococcal infections. Infect Immun 1998;66:2279–83.
- 209. Sriskandan S, Ferguson M, Elliot V et al. Human intravenous immunoglobulin for experimental streptococcal toxic shock: bacterial clearance and modulation of inflammation. J Antimicrob Chemother 2006;58:117–24.
- 210. Kaul R, McGeer A, Norrby-Teglund A et al. Intravenous immunoglobulin therapy for streptococcal toxic shock syndrome–a comparative observational study. The Canadian Streptococcal Study Group. Clin Infect Dis 1999;28:800–7.
- 211. Darenberg J, Ihendyane N, Sjölin J et al; Streptlg Study Group. Intravenous immunoglobulin G therapy in streptococcal toxic shock syndrome: a European randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Clin Infect Dis 2003;37:333–40.
- 212. Toxic shock syndrome. In: Red Book Online: The Report of the Committee on Infectious Diseases. American Academy of Pediatrics
 2000. <u>http://aapredbook.aappublications.org/</u>

- 213. Morgan MS. Diagnosis and treatment of Panton-Valentine leukocidin (PVL)-associated staphylococcal pneumonia. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2007;30:289–96.
- 214. Hampson FG, Hancock SW, Primhak RA. Disseminated sepsis due to a Panton-Valentine leukocidin producing strain of community acquired meticillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and use of intravenous immunoglobulin therapy. Arch Dis Child 2006;91:201.
- 215. Salliot C, Zeller V, Puechal X et al. Panton-Valentine leukocidin-producing Staphylococcus aureus infections: report of 4 French cases. Scand J Infect Dis 2006;38:192–5.
- 216. Lang BA, Laxer RM, Murphy G, Silverman ED, Roifman CM. Treatment of dermatomyositis with intravenous gammaglobulin. Am J Med 1991;91:169–72.
- 217. Collet E, Dalac S, Maerens B, Courtois JM, Izac M, Lambert D. Juvenile dermatomyositis: treatment with intravenous gammaglobulin. Br J Dermatol 1994;130:231–4.
- 218. Roifman CM. Use of intravenous immune globulin in the therapy of children with rheumatological diseases. J Clin Immunol 1995;15(6 Suppl):42S–51S.
- 219. Tsai MJ, Lai CC, Lin SC, Chiang BL, Chou CC, Hsieh KH. Intravenous immunoglobulin therapy in juvenile dermatomyositis.
 Zhonghua Min Guo Xiao Er Ke Yi Xue Hui Za Zhi 1997;38:111–5.
- 220. Al-Mayouf SM, Laxer RM, Schneider R, Silverman E. Feldman BM. Intravenous immunoglobulin therapy for juvenile dermatomyositis: efficacy and safety. J Rheumatol. 2000;27:2498-503.

- 221. Zipitis CS, Baildam EM, Ramanan AV. Treatment approaches to juvenile dermatomyositis. Expert Opin Pharmacother 2004;5:1509-15.
- 222. Jayne DR, Esnault VL, Lockwood CM. ANCA anti-idiotype antibodies and the treatment of systemic vasculitis with intravenous immunoglobulin. J Autoimmun 1993;6:207–19.
- 223. Jayne DR, Chapel H, Adu D et al. Intravenous immunoglobulin for ANCA-associated systemic vasculitis with persistent disease activity. QJM 2000;93:433–9.
- 224. Rutter A, Luger TA. Intravenous immunoglobulin: an emerging treatment for immune-mediated skin diseases. Curr Opin Investig Drugs 2002;3:713–9.
- 225. Prieur AM. Intravenous immunoglobulins in Still's disease: still controversial, still unproven. J Rheumatol 1996;23:797–800.
- 226. Uziel Y, Laxer RM, Schneider R, Silverman ED. IVIG therapy in systemic onset JRA: a follow-up study. J Rheumatol 1996;23:910–8.
- 227. Bucciarelli S, Espinosa G, Cervera R, Erkan D, Gómez-Puerta JA, Ramos-Casals M, Font J, Asherson RA; European Forum on Antiphospholipid Antibodies. Mortality in the catastrophic antiphospholipid syndrome: causes of death and prognostic factors in a series of 250 patients. Arthritis Rheum 2006;54:2568–76.
- 228. Arnal C, Piette JC, Leone J et al. Treatment of severe immune thrombocytopenia associated with systemic lupus erythematosus: 59 cases. J Rheumatol 2002;29:75–83.

- 229. Meissner M, Sherer Y, Levy Y et al. Intravenous immunoglobulin therapy in a patient with lupus serositis and nephritis. Rheumatol Int 2000;19:199–201.
- 230. Silvestris F, D'Amore O, Cafforio P et al. Intravenous immune globulin therapy of lupus nephritis: use of pathogenic anti-DNA-reactive IgG. Clin Exp Immunol 1996;104(suppl 1):91–7.
- 231. Levy Y, Sherer Y, George J et al. Serologic and clinical response to treatment of systemic vasculitis and associated autoimmune disease with intravenous immunoglobulin. Int Arch Allergy Immunol 1999;119:231–8.
- 232. Lesprit P, Mouloud F, Bierling P et al. Prolonged remission of SLE-associated polyradiculoneuropathy after a single course of intravenous immunoglobulin. Scand J Rheumatol 1996;25:177–9.
- 233. Aharon A, Levy Y, Bar-Dayan Y et al. Successful treatment of early secondary myelofibrosis in SLE with IVIG. Lupus 1997;6:408–11.
- 234. Aharon A, Zandman-Goddard G, Shoenfeld Y. Autoimmune multiorgan involvement in elderly men is it SLE? Clin Rheumatol 1994;13:631–4.
- 235. Salcedo J, Keates S, Pothoulakis C et al. Intravenous immunoglobulin therapy for severe Clostridium difficile colitis. Gut 1997;41:366–70.
- 236. McPherson S, Rees CJ, Ellis R et al. Intravenous immunoglobulin for the treatment of severe, refractory, and recurrent *Clostridium difficile* diarrhea. Dis Colon Rectum 2006;49:640–5.

- 237. Wilcox MH. Descriptive study of intravenous immunoglobulin for the treatment of recurrent *Clostridium difficile* diarrhea. J Antimicrob Chemother 2004;53:882–4.
- 238. Emanuel D, Cunningham I, Jules-Elysee K et al. Cytomegalovirus pneumonia after bone marrow transplantation successfully treated with the combination of ganciclovir and high-dose intravenous immune globulin. Ann Intern Med 1988;109:777–82.
- 239. Ljungman P, Engelhard D, Link H et al. Treatment of interstitial pneumonitis due to cytomegalovirus with ganciclovir and intravenous immune globulin: experience of European Bone Marrow Transplant Group. Clin Infect Dis 1992;14:831–5.
- 240. Reed EC, Bowden RA, Dandliker PS et al. Treatment of cytomegalovirus pneumonia with ganciclovir and intravenous cytomegalovirus immunoglobulin in patients with bone marrow transplants. Ann Intern Med 1988;109:783–8.
- 241. Jordan SC, Tyan D, Stablein D et al. Evaluation of intravenous immunoglobulin as an agent to lower allosensitization and improve transplantation in highly sensitized adult patients with end-stage renal disease: report of the NIH IG02 trial. J Am Soc Nephrol 2004;15:3256–62.
- 242. Jordan SC, Vo A, Bunnapradist S et al. Intravenous immune globulin treatment inhibits crossmatch positivity and allows for successful transplantation of incompatible organs in living-donor and cadaver recipients. Transplantation 2003;76:631–6.

- 243. Casadei DH, del CRM, Opelz G et al. A randomized and prospective study comparing treatment with high-dose intravenous immunoglobulin with monoclonal antibodies for rescue of kidney grafts with steroid-resistant rejection. Transplantation 2001;71:53–8.
- 244. Montgomery RA, Zachary AA, Racusen LC et al. Plasmapheresis and intravenous immune globulin provides effective rescue therapy for refractory humoral rejection and allows kidneys to be successfully transplanted into cross-match-positive recipients. Transplantation 2000;70:887–95.
- 245. Schweitzer EJ, Wilson JS, Fernandez-Vina M et al. A high panel-reactive antibody rescue protocol for cross-match-positive live donor kidney transplants. Transplantation 2000;70:1531–6.

- 246. Jordan S, Cunningham-Rundles C, McEwan R. Utility of intravenous immune globulin in kidney transplantation: efficacy, safety, and cost implications. Am J Transplant 2003;3:653–64.
- 247. Kusne S, Shapiro R, Fung J. Prevention and treatment of cytomegalovirus infection in organ transplant recipients. Transpl Infect Dis 1999;1:187–203.
- 248. Caillard S, Dharnidharka V, Agodoa L et al. Posttransplant lymphoproliferative disorders after renal transplantation in the United States in era of modern immunosuppression. Transplantation 2005;80:1233–43.

Use of intravenous immunoglobulin in human disease

IVIg Expert Working Group

Guideline Development Group Objectives

Background

Over the past 20 years, administration of IVIg has become an important therapy in clinical medicine. Although IVIg was originally developed as an antibody replacement therapy, a number of other clinical benefits of IVIg treatment have been demonstrated. Some of these new indications are based on strong clinical evidence, but a number of proposed uses are based on relatively little data or anecdotal reports. Because the supply of currently available IVIg preparations is limited, and demand is expected to exceed supply in the near future, there is a pressing need to develop cross-specialty guidelines to ensure appropriate, evidencebased usage of IVIg.

Aims

• The remit for this Guideline subgroup of the Expert Working Group was prospectively defined at a meeting at the Department of Health on October 9th, 2006.

- The overall objective of the guideline is to provide recommendations for the rational prescribing of IVIg. This will not include an assessment of costeffectiveness, but will be based on clinical need.
- The guidelines will identify, where possible, alternative treatments to IVIg and describe their relative efficacy (if appropriate).
- The guidelines will be cross-specialty and will provide a clear description of the patients to whom the guideline is meant to apply.

Guideline development

This guideline will be derived from a consensus of expert opinion and will not be based on an independent assessment of the evidence base. Rather, this guideline will be based on an independent assessment of current, up-to-date guidelines on IVIg use.

There will be multidisciplinary participation in the guideline development.

The Guideline Development Group includes experts from the four principal medical specialties that commonly prescribe IVIg (immunology, neurology, haematology and haemato-oncology). The Guideline Development Group members are:

- Dr. Drew Provan (Haematology, Chair)
- Dr Phil Wood (Immunology)
- Dr J.B. Winer (Neurology)
- Dr Tim Nokes (Haematology)
- Dr Samir Agrawal (Haemato-oncology)

The guideline development will be based on:

- Systematic review of the literature to identify evidence-based IVIg guidelines
- Documentation and summary of areas of agreement / disagreement between guidelines
- Drafting of summary recommendations for IVIg usage
- Drafting of summary recommendations for alternatives to IVIg usage

It is acknowledged that this approach to guideline development is not as rigorous as undertaking an independent, systematic assessment of the clinical evidence base. However, given that high-quality guidelines, such as those of the Association of British Neurologists, are available that reflects the clinical evidence base, and given the urgency of the need for cross-specialty guidelines for IVIg, this approach of systematic guideline review has been suggested by the Department of Health as the best approach.

Process

Guidelines will be identified by a systematic review.

Irrelevant manuscripts will be discarded and the guideline recommendations extracted. A summary document will be drafted. A telephone conference will be used to achieve consensus and review areas of disagreement between guidelines. Action to be taken to resolve disagreement / discrepancy will be decided and following further communication / telephone conference a consensus statement from the Guidelines Development Group will be produced for presentation to the main Expert Working Group.

IVIg Expert Working Group

Catherine Howell*	Transfusion Liaison Nurse Manager	National Blood Service
Dr Alison Jones	Immunologist	Great Ormond Street Hospital NHS Trust
Dr Michael Lunn	Neurologist	University College London Hospitals NHS Trust
Dr Mary Reilly	Neurologist	University College London Hospitals NHS Trust
Dr Helen Chapel	Immunologist – PID	Oxford Radcliffe Hospitals NHS Trust
Dr Samir Agrawal	Haemato-oncologist	Barts and the London NHS Trust
Dr Tim Nokes	Haematologist	Plymouth Hospitals NHS Trust
Dr John Winer	Neurologist	University Hospital Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust
Dr Philip Wood	Immunologist	Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust
Dr Drew Provan	Haematologist	Barts and the London NHS Trust
Evelyn Frank	Pharmacist	University College London Hospitals NHS Trust
Prof Richard Hughes	Neurologist	Kings College Hospitals NHS Trust
Dr Denise O'Shaughnessy	Haematologist	Department of Health Blood Policy Unit
Prof Carrock Sewell	Immunologist	North Lincolnshire and Goole NHS Trust
Dr Khaled El-Ghariani	Plasmapheresis	National Blood Service
Peter Sharrott*	Pharmacist	PMSG
Kevan Wind*	Pharmacist	PMSG

*Only in year 1.

Classification of evidence levels

la	Evidence obtained from meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials.		
lb	Evidence obtained from at least one randomised controlled trial.		
lla	Evidence obtained from at least one well-designed controlled study without randomisation.		
llb	Evidence obtained from at least one other type of well-designed quasi- experimental study.		
III	Evidence obtained from well-designed non-experimental descriptive studies, such as comparative studies, correlation studies and case studies.		
IV	Evidence obtained from expert committee reports or opinions and/or clinical experiences of respected authorities.		

Classification of grades of recommendations

- A. Requires at least one randomised controlled trial as part of a body of literature of overall good quality and consistency addressing specific recommendation. (Evidence levels Ia, Ib).
- **B.** Requires the availability of well conducted clinical studies but no randomised clinical trials on the topic of recommendation. (Evidence levels IIa, IIb).
- C. Requires evidence obtained from expert committee reports or opinions and/or clinical experiences of respected authorities. Indicates an absence of directly applicable clinical studies of good quality. (Evidence levels III, IV).

Stakeholders in Guideline review process

- 1. Charles Hay, UK Haemophilia Centre Doctors' Organisation
- 2. Christopher Hughan, Primary Immunodeficiency Association
- 3. Christopher Watson, British Transplantation Society
- 4. Debra Anderson, GBS Support Group
- 5. Edward Freestone, Commissioner
- 6. Gavin Cleary, British Society for Paediatric and Adolescent Rheumatology
- 7. Greg Williams, British Burn Association
- 8. Hazel Bell, British Association of Dermatologists
- 9. Helen Booth, Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health
- 10. John Grainger, ITP Support Association
- 11. Karen Reeves, Association of British Neurologists
- 12. Katy Lewis, British Society of Rheumatology
- 13. Marina Morgan, Association of Clinical Pathologists
- 14. Marina Morgan, Association of Medical Microbiologists
- 15. Matthew Thalanany, Commissioner
- 16. Patrick Carrington, Royal College of Pathology
- 17. Patrick Carrington, British Society for Haematology
- 18. Paul East, Baxter
- 19. Paula Blackmore, Grifols
- 20. Peter Macnaughton, Intensive Care Society
- 21. Philip Wood, UK Primary Immunodeficiency Network
- 22. Richard Smith, Royal College of Ophthalmologists
- 23. Robert Fox, Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists
- 24. Ruth Gottstein, British Association of Perinatal Medicine
- 25. Shiranee Sriskandan, British Infection Society
- 26. Simon Land, Royal College of Physicians
- 27. Sue Davidson, Kawasaki Syndrome Support Group
- 28. Suresh Chandran, Commissioner
- 29. Tracey Guise, British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy

Suggested research areas

Many indications that use immunoglobulin have very little evidence for use and therapy is often prescribed based on anecdotal evidence. This list of suggested research projects has been provided during the review process. However, it should be noted that this list is not exhaustive.

Use of immunoglobulin as a last resort or in exceptional circumstances should be developed into case series where possible. Such sequential collections of rare cases would be valuable. All such cases will be included in the database.

Immunology

 Optimal dosing for primary immunodeficiency disorders and rational management of specific antibody deficiency. Need to agree outcome criteria to design a good study.

Haematology

- 2. Dosage and length of use study for **autoimmune haemolytic anaemia**.
- 3. Comparative head to head study with Rituximab in **autoimmune haemolytic anaemia**.
- 4. International Neonatal Immunotherapy Study (INIS): an ongoing, prospective, randomised, placebo-controlled trial in a target population of 5000 neonates, which is designed to provide definitive evidence on the role of IVIg in neonatal sepsis

Neurology

- 5. Effectiveness of additional doses of IVIg for **Guillain-Barré syndrome**, with stratification to show long-term cost-effectiveness in terms of reduced disability.
- 6. Efficacy of IVIg for mild (ambulant) Guillain-Barré syndrome.
- Efficacy of combination therapy of IVIg with immunosuppressants for Chronic Inflammatory Demyelinating Polyradiculoneuropathy, with stratification to try to identify the 20% who benefit from IVIg and to show cost-effectiveness in terms of reduced disability.
- 8. Efficacy of immunosuppressants to treat and reduce the need for IVIg for multifocal Motor Neuropathy.
- Comparative head to head study with Rituximab in MMN, with stratification to try to identify the 60% who benefit from IVIg and to show cost-effectiveness in terms of reduced disability.
- Effectiveness of subcutaneous immunoglobulin as a more convenient and less expensive replacement for IVIg in patients with Multifocal Motor Neuropathy and Chronic Inflammatory Demyelinating Polyradiculoneuropathy who are dependent on IVIg.

- Efficacy data collection in the new rare autoantibody mediated diseases e.g. stiff man syndrome, limbic encephalitis, etc; study to determine predictive factors for response to IVIg
- **12.** Efficacy of IVIg for **Miller Fisher syndrome**.
- 13. Efficacy of IVIg for autoimmune diabetic proximal neuropathy.
- 14. Efficacy of IVIg for Potassium channel antibody-associated, non-neoplastic limbic encephalitis.
- **15.** Efficacy of IVIg for **Rasmussen syndrome**.

Dermatology

- **16.** Use of IVIg as a steroid sparing agent in pemphigoid and epidermolysis bullosa acquisita.
- 17. Study to determine predictive factors for response to IVIg in pemphigoid.
- 18. Head to head study with Rituximab in bullous skin diseases.

Paediatrics

19. The International Neonatal Immunotherapy Study (INIS), a prospective, randomised, placebo controlled trial in a target population of 5000 neonates, is designed to provide definitive evidence on the role of IVIg in **neonatal sepsis** – this study is ongoing in Liverpool.

Rheumatology

- **20. Systemic lupus erythematosus**: Dr Maria Cuadrado recently submitted abstract to Am College of Rheumatology (will review next year).
- 21. Dermatomyositis study to determine predictive factors for response to IVIg.

Infectious diseases

- 22. There is a need for adequately powered high quality RCTs to assess the impact of IVIg in severe sepsis in the general ICU.
- **23.** Use of IVIg as in the management of severe *C. difficile* colitis.

Transplantation

- 24. The relative value of low dose and high dose IVIg in **antibody incompatible transplantation** should be better defined.
- 25. Previous studies of AiT in deceased donor transplantation have produced overall graft survival rates inferior to those in transplantation performed in the absence of DSA. Efforts should be made either the refine the current treatments available, or to introduce novel treatments that allow deceased donor transplantation to be performed with a success rate similar to that of otherwise uncomplicated transplantation. This may include randomised studies on the use of IVIg and Rituximab.

© Crown Copyright Produced by Department of Health

Further copies can be obtained from:-Department of Health PO Box 777 London SE1 6XH