



# EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS

**Claimant:** Ms V Tait

**Respondent:** James Rubython

## JUDGMENT

The claim is struck out.

## REASONS

Claimant's email of **7 April 2024** does not address Employment Judge Tynan's Letter to claimant dated **10 April 2024**. The tribunal cannot give advice, as requested by claimant in their **7 April 2024** email. Accordingly, in the absence of any substantial response the claim is struck out for not being actively pursued.

Approved by:

Employment Judge Hutchings

Date: 29 April 2025

JUDGMENT SENT TO THE PARTIES ON

14 May 2025

FOR THE TRIBUNAL OFFICE



# EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS

**Claimant:** Ms V Tait

**Respondent:** James Rubython

## JUDGMENT

The claim is struck out.

## REASONS

Claimant's email of **7 April 2024** does not address Employment Judge Tynan's Letter to claimant dated **10 April 2024**. The tribunal cannot give advice, as requested by claimant in their **7 April 2024** email. Accordingly, in the absence of any substantial response the claim is struck out for not being actively pursued.

Approved by:

Employment Judge Hutchings

Date: 29 April 2025

JUDGMENT SENT TO THE PARTIES ON

14 May 2025

FOR THE TRIBUNAL OFFICE



# EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS

**Claimant:** Ms V Tait

**Respondent:** James Rubython

## JUDGMENT

The claim is struck out.

## REASONS

Claimant's email of **7 April 2024** does not address Employment Judge Tynan's Letter to claimant dated **10 April 2024**. The tribunal cannot give advice, as requested by claimant in their **7 April 2024** email. Accordingly, in the absence of any substantial response the claim is struck out for not being actively pursued.

Approved by:

Employment Judge Hutchings

Date: 29 April 2025

JUDGMENT SENT TO THE PARTIES ON

14 May 2025

FOR THE TRIBUNAL OFFICE