



East Hertfordshire District Council

What does the rule relate to?	Rule / change	Live example (before and after)
<p>Supplementary information Character limit in relation to textual data.</p>	<p>Some charges exceeded the character limit for descriptions. Affected charges have their full text cropped and end with the message, 'End of text... For further information, contact the originating authority.</p>	<p>Before: Policy NE1 International, National and Locally Designated Nature Conservation Sites</p> <p>I. Development proposals, land use or activity (either individually or in combination with other developments) which are likely to have a detrimental impact which adversely affects the integrity of a designated site, will not be permitted unless it can be demonstrated that there are material considerations which clearly outweigh the need to safeguard the nature conservation value of the site, and any broader impacts on the international, national, or local network of nature conservation assets.</p> <p>II. Evidence will be required in the form of up-to-date ecological surveys undertaken by a competent ecologist prior to the submission of an application. The type of evidence required will be commensurate to the scale and location of the development and the likely impact on biodiversity, the legal protection or other status of a site. Where insufficient data is provided, permission will be refused.</p> <p>III. Where a site of International or National designation for nature conservation importance is adversely affected by the proposals, permission will only be permitted where the Council is satisfied that:</p> <p>(a) There are imperative reasons of overriding public interest, which could be of a social or economic nature, sufficient to override the harm to the site; or</p> <p>(b) There are imperative reasons of overriding public interest relating to human health, public safety or benefits of primary importance to</p>



		<p>the environment; and in either case</p> <p>(c) There are no satisfactory alternatives to the proposal.IV. Proposals should avoid impacts on sites of nature conservation value and wherever possible, alternative options which reduce or eliminate such impacts should be pursued. Where adverse impacts are unavoidable, measures to mitigate the impact will be sought, commensurate to the importance of the site in terms of its status in the hierarchy and the contribution it makes to the wider ecological networks.</p> <p>After: Policy NE1 International, National and Locally Designated Nature Conservation Sites</p> <p>I. Development proposals, land use or activity (either individually or in combination with other developments) which are likely to have a detrimental impact which adversely affects the integrity of a designated site, will not be permitted unless it can be demonstrated that there are material considerations which clearly outweigh the need to safeguard the nature conservation value of the site, and any broader impacts on the international, national, or local network of nature conservation assets.</p> <p>II. Evidence will be required in the form of up-to-date ecological surveys undertaken by a competent ecologist prior to the submission of an application. The type of evidence required will be commensurate to the scale and location of the development and the likely impact on biodiversity, the legal protection or other status of a site. Where insufficient</p> <p>data is provided, permission will be refused.</p>
--	--	--



		<p>III. Where a site of International or National designation for nature conservation importance is adversely affected by the proposals, permission will only be permitted where the Council is satisfied that:</p> <p>(a) There are imperative reasons of overriding public interest, which could be of a social or economic nature, sufficient to override the harm to the site; or</p> <p>(b) There are imperative reasons of overriding public interest...end of text... For further information contact the originating authority</p>
Textual data - Originating authority	Charges registered with inconsistencies in the originating authority names have now been standardised as well as Source information	<p>Before: Various inconsistencies</p> <p>After: Standardised format.</p>
Textual data-Further information location (FIL) field for Conditional planning consent, Listed building, Ancient Monuments, and Originating authority	The Source information for Conditional planning consent and Listed building will now guide customers directly to website to find out more about the charge. Both Originating authority and Further place of Inspection are now standardised.	<p>Before: East Hertfordshire District Council Wallfields, Pegs Lane, Hertford, SG13 8EQ</p> <p>After: https://publicaccess.eastherts.gov.uk/online-applications/ https://historicengland.org.uk/listing/the-list/</p>
Textual data – Missing/Invalid dates	The correct registration dates have been populated. for all charges.	<p>Before: Some charges would have a missing or invalid registration date.</p> <p>After: All charges have the correct registration date Populated and some Appeal conditional planning consent have 7days added to the creation date to make the correct registration date.</p>
Textual data – Personally Identifiable	Where personally identifiable data was found in the description, this has now been removed.	Before: Some charges would have a telephone number or email address for a customer.



Data		After: This data has been removed to adhere to General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) protocols.
Textual data – Part 6	Any expired part 6 charges are removed.	Before: 8 After: 0
Charge Categorisation	The correct charge-type and charge-sub-category has been assigned to all charges except for Financial charges and Land compensation	Before: Missing or invalid charge-type or charge sub-category After: Correct charge type or charge sub-category applied. Sub-category does not apply to financial charges and Land compensation