

## Information and resources: black-legged kittiwakes

This document provides information and resources on black-legged kittiwakes (*Rissa tridactyla*) to support OPRED Wild Birds Licence applications, (but is not limited to these application types). This type of information and context is the minimum JNCC would expect to see in support of a Wild Birds Licence application from such sources, but the inclusion of more recent evidence is also encouraged.

### Kittiwake ID and basic ecology

The following sources provide some basic information on kittiwake identification and ecology:

- [RSPB](#)
- [BTO](#)
- [Scottish Wildlife Trust](#)
- [The Cornell Lab](#)

### Conservation and legal status

The conservation, protection and legal status of kittiwake are currently identified in the following:

- The [Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981](#)
- The [Conservation of Offshore Marine Species and Habitats Regulations 2017](#)

Kittiwakes are currently identified as a conservation priority in the following:

- [EU Birds Directive](#) - migratory species
- OSPAR [List of Threatened and/or Declining Species and Habitats](#)
- Red listed in [Birds of Conservation Concern 5 Breeding Seabird Update](#) (2024 update)
- Red listed in [Birds of Conservation Concern in Ireland 2020-2026](#)
- Classified as globally vulnerable on the [IUCN Red List](#)

Further information on [Conservation Designations for UK Taxa](#).

### Population trends and estimates

A sample of kittiwake colonies in Britain and Ireland are monitored annually for abundance and productivity (no. of chicks fledged per pair) by the [Seabird Monitoring Programme](#) (SMP) and these are supplemented by periodic censuses, every 15-20 years, which aim to provide counts for all colonies. Together, these data allow the identification of [trends](#) in both population size and productivity for [kittiwakes](#) in Britain and Ireland.

The population and productivity data are publicly available from the SMP database (<https://app.bto.org/seabirds/public/>).

### Behaviour

An overview of kittiwake behaviour during both the breeding and non-breeding seasons can be found in Coulson (2011). This book covers returning to the colony in spring, fledging, roosting, nesting, growth of chicks and departing the colony at the end of the breeding season, as well as survival, feeding, wintering areas, pressures, and population trends. An

understanding of kittiwake behaviour permits a better understanding of bird presence at a colony and the potential impacts of works during various stages of the breeding season.

## Phenology

Although kittiwakes have a defined breeding season (April – August), timings of arrival at the colony, nest building and egg laying will vary both within and between colonies due to latitude (northern colonies tend to breed later) and individual age and experience of the birds. Timings also vary between years due to variables including, but not limited to, weather (before and during the breeding season), disturbance and food supply (Goutte *et al.*, 2014).

Information and data on kittiwake phenology can be found in Coulson (2011), Keogan *et al.* (2018), and BTO (2025). Annual reports are also produced for the islands of [Fair Isle](#) and [Skomer](#), which include data on kittiwake phenology for these colonies.

Bird surveys have been undertaken by the oil and gas industry over the last few years which suggest that depending on the location of the installation e.g. SNS, EIS etc., the breeding season can start earlier than April and fledging can extend beyond September. Therefore, the assumption that the breeding season onshore is the same as the breeding season offshore may not be entirely correct in all cases.

## Factors affecting kittiwake demography

As with other seabird species, kittiwake population trends are strongly influenced by small changes in adult survival (e.g. Jenouvrier *et al.* 2005). Whilst juvenile and immature survival tends to be lower and more variable year to year, enough young kittiwakes need to survive to recruit into the breeding population and replace older individuals lost from the population. Changes to productivity will also influence colony size and persistence, as will immigration and emigration (e.g. Jenouvrier *et al.* 2005).

Seabirds are vulnerable to a large number of pressures (Dias *et al.*, 2019). Kittiwake demography is influenced by many factors including, but not limited to:

- food availability (e.g. Christensen-Dalsgaard *et al.*, 2018; Reiertsen *et al.*, 2014)
- climate change (e.g. Alvestad, 2015; Cook *et al.*, 2014; Frederiksen *et al.*, 2004; Frederikson *et al.*, 2007; Mitchell *et al.*, 2010)
- commercial fishing (e.g. Carroll *et al.*, 2017; Frederikson *et al.*, 2004; Frederiksen *et al.*, 2008; Wanless *et al.*, 2007)
- weather (e.g. Christensen-Dalsgaard *et al.*, 2018; Newell *et al.*, 2015; Sandvik *et al.*, 2005)
- pollutants (e.g. Goutte *et al.*, 2015; Seys *et al.*, 2002; [Seabird Oil Sensitivity Index](#))
- direct mortality such as predation (e.g. Heubeck, 2002; Massaro *et al.*, 2000; Oro & Furness, 2002)
- collision with offshore wind farms (Furness *et al.*, 2013; Cook *et al.*, 2018), and
- bycatch (e.g. Bærum *et al.*, 2019; Miles *et al.*, 2020; Northridge *et al.*, 2020).

See Ruffino *et al.* (2020) for a comprehensive review of factors influencing demographic rates in kittiwake populations.

General information on pressures that kittiwakes are sensitive to can be found in the following:

- [IUCN Red List](#)
- [International Black-legged Kittiwake Conservation Strategy and Action Plan](#)

Humans present a perceived predation risk to seabirds and so presence of humans around their colonies can result in disturbance (Frid & Dill, 2002). Disturbance may result in either visible behavioural or invisible physiological responses, or both.

Not all responses to disturbance are visible or behavioural. Physiological responses, or stress, have been recorded in a number of bird species (e.g. Fowler, 1999; Nimon *et al.*, 1995; Culik & Wilson, 1995), and have been shown to reduce breeding success in some birds (e.g. Silverin, 1986). Beale and Monaghan (2004) modelled levels of human disturbance and its impact on the breeding success of kittiwakes and guillemots at St Abbs Head National Nature Reserve.

### Evidence of birds breeding on offshore installations

There is currently limited published evidence of kittiwakes breeding on offshore installations in the Atlantic, although their presence at platforms has been documented (e.g. Tasker *et al.*, 1986; Burke *et al.*, 2012; Ronconi *et al.*, 2015).

Kittiwakes were first observed breeding on platforms in Morecambe Bay by the [North Sea Bird Club](#) in 1998. Their annual reports detail any nesting attempts observed each year until 2017, after which records were no longer collected.

Christensen-Dalsgaard *et al.* (2019) carried out a study to assess the extent of kittiwakes nesting on offshore platforms in Norway, and to compare the productivity of these colonies with those nesting onshore.

Recent bird surveys at oil and gas platforms in the UKCS have been undertaken by operators as they approach the decommissioning phases for their installations. These surveys show that Kittiwakes are successfully breeding and nesting in large numbers around the coastline of the UK.

### References

Alvestad, R.J. (2015) Effects of Climate on Chick Growth in the Black-legged Kittiwake (*Rissa tridactyla*), MSc Thesis submitted to the Norwegian University of Science and Technology December 2015. Available at [https://ntnuopen.ntnu.no/ntnu-xmlui/bitstream/handle/11250/2373840/12063\\_FULLTEXT.pdf?sequence=1](https://ntnuopen.ntnu.no/ntnu-xmlui/bitstream/handle/11250/2373840/12063_FULLTEXT.pdf?sequence=1)

Bærum, K.M., Anker-Nilssen, T., Christensen-Dalsgaard, S., Fangel, K., Williams, T. & Vølstad, J.H. (2019) Spatial and temporal variations in seabird bycatch: Incidental bycatch in the Norwegian coastal gillnet-fishery, *PLOS One*, Vol. 14, No. 3, e0212786 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0212786>

Beale, C.M. & Monaghan, P. (2004) Human disturbance: people as predation-free predators? *Journal of Applied Ecology*, Vol. 41, No. 2, pp. 335-343 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0021-8901.2004.00900.x>

BTO (2025) Kittiwake, [online] Available at <https://www.bto.org/understanding-birds/birdfacts/kittiwake>, Accessed 31/01/2025

Carroll, M.J., Bolton, M., Owen, E., Anderson, G.Q.A., Mackley, E.K., Dunn, E.K. & Furness, R.W. (2017) Kittiwake breeding success in the southern North Sea correlates with prior sandeel fishing mortality, *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems*, Vol. 27, No. 6, pp. 1164-1175 <https://doi.org/10.1002/aqc.2780>

Christensen-Dalsgaard, S., Langset, M. & Anker-Nilssen, T. (2019) Offshore oil rigs – a breeding refuge for Norwegian Black-legged Kittiwakes *Rissa tridactyla*? *Seabird*, Vol. 32, pp. 20-32 <https://doi.org/10.61350/sbj.32.20>

Christensen-Dalsgaard, S., May, R.F., Barrett, R.T., Langset, M., Sandercock, B.K. & Lorentsen, S. (2018) Prevailing weather conditions and diet composition affect chick growth and survival in the black-legged kittiwake, *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, Vol. 604, pp. 237-249 <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps12744>

Cook, A.S.C.P., Humphreys, E.M., Bennet, F., Masden, E.A. & Burton, N.H.K. (2018) Quantifying avian avoidance of offshore wind turbines: Current evidence and key knowledge gaps, *Marine Environmental Research*, Vol. 140, pp. 278-288 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2018.06.017>

Cook, A.S.C.P., Dadam, D., Mitchell, I., Ross-Smith, V.H. & Robinson, R.A. (2014) Indicators of seabird reproductive performance demonstrate the impact of commercial fisheries on seabird populations in the North Sea, *Ecological Indicators*, Vol. 38, pp. 1-11 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2013.10.027>

Coulson, J.C. (2019) Gulls. (Collins New Naturalist Library), Harper Collins, London

Culik, B.M. & Wilson, R.P (1995) Penguins disturbed by tourists, *Nature*, Vol. 376, pp. 301-302 <https://doi.org/10.1038/376301b0>

Fowler, G.S. (1999) Behavioral and hormonal responses of Magellanic penguins (*Spheniscus magellanicus*) to tourism and nest site visitation, *Biological Conservation*, Vol. 90, No. 2, pp. 143-149 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3207\(99\)00026-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3207(99)00026-9)

Frederiksen, M., Edwards, M., Mavor, R.A. & Wanless, S. (2007) Regional and annual variation in black-legged kittiwake breeding productivity is related to sea surface temperature, *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, Vol. 350, pp. 137-143 <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps07126>

Frederiksen, M., Jensen, H., Daunt, F., Mavor, R.A. & Wanless, S. (2008) Differential effects of a local industrial sand lance fishery on seabird foraging performance, *Ecological Applications*, Vol. 18, No. 3, pp. 701-710 <https://doi.org/10.1890/07-0797.1>

Frederiksen, M., Wanless, S., Harris, M.P., Rothery, P. & Wilson, L. (2004) The role of industrial fisheries and oceanographic change in the decline of North Sea black-legged kittiwakes, *Journal of Applied Ecology*, Vol. 41, No. 6, pp. 1129-1139 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0021-8901.2004.00966.x>

Frid, A. & L. M. Dill. (2002) Human-caused disturbance stimuli as a form of predation risk, *Conservation Ecology*, Vol. 6, No. 1, p. 11 <http://www.consecol.org/vol6/iss1/art11/>

Furness, R.W., Wade, H.M & Masden, E.A. (2013) Assessing vulnerability of marine bird populations to offshore wind farms, *Journal of Environmental Management*, Vol. 119, pp. 56-66 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2013.01.025>

Goutte, A., Angelier, F., Bech, C., Clément-Chastel, C., Dell'Omo, G., Gabrielsen, G.W., Lendvai, A.Z., Moe, B., Noreen, E., Pinaud, D., Tartu, S. & Chastel, O. (2014) Annual variation in the timing of breeding, pre-breeding foraging areas and corticosterone levels in an Arctic population of black-legged kittiwakes, *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, Vol. 496, pp. 233-247 <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps10650>

Goutte, A., Barbraud, C., Herke, D., Bustamante, P., Angelier, F., Tartu, S., Clément-Chastel, C., Moe, B., Bech, C., Gabrielsen, G.W., Bustness, J.O. & Chastel, O. (2015) Survival rate and breeding outputs in a high Arctic seabird exposed to legacy persistent

organic pollutants and mercury, *Environmental Pollution*, Vol. 200, pp. 1-9  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2015.01.033>

Heubeck, M. (2002) The decline of Shetland' s Kittiwake population, *British Birds*, Vol. 95, pp. 118–122

Jenouvrier, A. Barbraud, C., Cazelles, B. & Weimerskirch, H. (2005) Modelling population dynamics of seabirds: importance of the effects of climate fluctuations on breeding proportions, *Oikos*, Vol. 108, No. 3, pp. 511-522 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0030-1299.2005.13351.x>

Keogan, K., Daunt, F., Wanless, S. *et al.* (2018) Global phenological insensitivity to shifting ocean temperatures among seabirds, *Nature Climate Change*, Vol. 8, pp. 313-318  
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-018-0115-z>

Massaro, M., Chardine, J.W. Jones, I.L. & Robertson, G.J. (2000) Delayed capelin (*Mallotus villosus*) availability influences predatory behaviour of large gulls on black-legged kittiwakes (*Rissa tridactyla*), causing a reduction in kittiwake breeding success, *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, Vol. 78, No. 9, pp. 1588-1596 <https://doi.org/10.1139/z00-085>

Miles, J., Parsons, M. & O'Brien, S. (2020) Preliminary assessment of seabird population response to potential bycatch mitigation in the UK-registered fishing fleet. Report prepared for the Department for Environment Food and Rural Affairs (Project Code ME6024).

Available at

<http://randd.defra.gov.uk/Default.aspx?Menu=Menu&Module=More&Location=None&ProjectID=20461&FromSearch=Y&Publisher=1&SearchText=ME6024&SortString=ProjectCode&SortOrder=Asc&Paging=10#Description>

Mitchell, P.I., Austin, G., and Parsons, M. (2010). Charting Progress 2 Healthy and Biological Diverse Seas Feeder report: Section 3.8: Marine birds. Published by Department for Environment Food and Rural Affairs on behalf of UKMMAS. p592-665. In: UKMMAS (2010) Charting Progress 2 Healthy and Biological Diverse Seas Feeder report (Eds. Frost, M & Hawkrigde, J). Available at  
[https://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/ukgwa/20141203185554/http://chartingprogress.defra.gov.uk/feeder/HBDSEG-FeederReport-sec3\\_8.pdf](https://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/ukgwa/20141203185554/http://chartingprogress.defra.gov.uk/feeder/HBDSEG-FeederReport-sec3_8.pdf)

Newell, M., Wanless, S., Harris, M.P. & Daunt, F. (2015) Effects of an extreme weather event on seabird breeding success at a North Sea colony, *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, Vol. 532, pp. 257-268 <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps11329>

Nimon, A.J., Schroter, R.C. & Stonehouse, B. (1995) Heart rate of disturbed penguins, *Nature*, Vol. 374, p. 415 <https://doi.org/10.1038/374415a0>

Northridge, S., Kingston, A. & Coram, A. (2020) Preliminary estimates of seabird bycatch by UK vessels in UK and adjacent waters. Report prepared for the Department for Environment Food and Rural Affairs (Project Code ME6024). Available at

<https://randd.defra.gov.uk/ProjectDetails?ProjectID=20461&FromSearch=Y&Publisher=1&SearchText=ME6024&SortString=ProjectCode&SortOrder=Asc&Paging=10#Description>

Oro, D. & Furness, R.W. (2002) Influences of food availability and predation on survival of kittiwakes, *Ecology*, Vol. 83, No. 9, pp. 2516-2528 [https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658\(2002\)083\[2516:IOFAAP\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(2002)083[2516:IOFAAP]2.0.CO;2)

Reiertsen, T.K., Erikstad, K.E., Anker-Nilssen, T., Barrett, R.T., Boulinier, T., Frederiksen, M., González-Solís, J., Gremillet, D., Johns, D., Moe, B., Ponchon, A., Skern-Mauritzen, M.,

Sandvik, H. & Yoccoz, N.G. (2014) Prey density in non-breeding areas affects adult survival of black-legged kittiwakes *Rissa tridactyla*, *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, Vol. 509, pp. 389-304 <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps10825>

Ronconi, R.A., Allard, K.A. & Taylor, P.D. (2015) Bird interactions with offshore oil and gas platforms: Review of impacts and monitoring techniques, *Journal of Environmental Management*, Vol. 147, pp. 34-45 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2014.07.031>

Ruffino L., Thompson, D. & O'Brien, S. (2020) Black-legged kittiwake population dynamics and wider drivers of population change in the context of offshore wind development, JNCC Report No. 651, JNCC, Peterborough, ISSN 0963-8091 <https://hub.jncc.gov.uk/assets/c563bfa5-8177-4dc0-bcb3-4aeafef24b59>

Sandvik, H., Erikstad, K.E., Barrett, R.T. & Yoccoz, N.G. (2005) The effect of climate on adult survival in five species of North Atlantic seabirds, *Journal of Animal Ecology*, Vol. 74, No. 5, pp. 817-831 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2656.2005.00981.x>

Seys, J., Offringa, H., Meire, P., Van Waeyenberge, J. & Kuijken, E. (2002) Long-term changes, in oil pollution off the Belgian coast: evidence from beached bird monitoring, *Belgian Journal of Zoology*, Vol. 132, No. 2, pp. 111-118

Silverin, B. (1986) Corticosterone-binding proteins and behavioral effects of high plasma levels of corticosterone during the breeding period in the pied flycatcher, *General and Comparative Endocrinology*, Vol. 64, No. 1, pp. 67-74 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-6480\(86\)90029-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-6480(86)90029-8)

Wanless, S., Frederiksen, M., Daunt, F., Scott, B.E. & Harris, M.P. (2007) Black-legged kittiwakes as indicators of environmental change in the North Sea: Evidence from long-term studies, *Progress in Oceanography*, Vol. 72, No. 1, pp. 30-38 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2006.07.007>