



# The Planning Inspectorate

## Official Statistics

24<sup>th</sup> April 2025

### Introduction

This statistical release provides summary information on appeals, which represent the highest volume (in terms of number of cases) of the Planning Inspectorate's work.

These statistics are produced each quarter, and the focus is on timeliness of decision-making, an area of particular interest for stakeholders. Information on the decisions that have been made is also included; and on the number of Inspectors available to make those decisions.

We have released two Excel files with tables at the same time as this report. The tables show the number of cases received, decided and allowed since 2010, broken down by appeal type.

[Planning Inspectorate Quarterly and Annual Volume Statistics - GOV.UK \(www.gov.uk\)](https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/planning-inspectorate-quarterly-and-annual-volume-statistics)

These statistics have been published to ensure everyone has equal access to the information and to support the Planning Inspectorate's commitment to release information where possible.

This statistical bulletin provides:

- Appeals decisions and events held from April 2024 to March 2025
- The time taken to reach decisions
- Provisional estimates of the number of open cases
- Number of Inspectors
- Number of appeals received compared to decided in the last 5 years
- Percentage of allowed appeals

### The Planning Inspectorate

The Planning Inspectorate makes decisions and provides recommendations and advice on a range of land use and planning-related issues across England.

The Planning Inspectorate deals with planning appeals, national infrastructure planning applications, examinations of local plans and other planning-related and specialist casework in England. The Planning Inspectorate is an executive agency, sponsored by the Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government.

## Summary

### Time to decide cases

The median decision time for cases decided in March was 26 weeks.

Median timeliness by procedure type is shown in the summary table below.

Procedure type	Last 12 months	March 2025
Written Representations	27 weeks	25 weeks
Hearings	30 weeks	27 weeks
Inquiries	34 weeks	27 weeks
All Cases	27 weeks	26 weeks

The median time for planning cases was 24 weeks in March 2025. The 12-month median was 26 weeks.

Enforcement decisions made in March 2025 had a median decision time of 56 weeks, with the 12-month median being 54 weeks.

The median time for planning appeals decided by inquiry under the Rosewell Process in March was 27 weeks and over the 12 months to March 2025 was 27 weeks.

### Decisions

The Planning Inspectorate made 18,346 appeal decisions<sup>1</sup> in the last 12 months, an average of 1,529 per month. The number of decisions in March 2025 was 1,736

There were 1,587 written representations decisions in March 2025 and 16,911 in the last 12 months.

There were 962 decisions made on hearings during the last 12 months, and during March 2025, 112 decisions were issued.

There were 473 decisions made on inquiries during the last 12 months, with 37 in March 2025.

### Planning Inspectors

There were 457 Planning Inspectors employed by the Inspectorate at the end of March 2025.

---

<sup>1</sup> The appeal types include Planning & related appeals, Enforcement and Specialist casework (covering a range of casework types). Please note that some previous releases covered only Rights of Way orders within Specialist casework. Annex D explains the scope of this release and Background Notes has further information.

## Decisions, Events & Open Cases


The number of decisions issued in March 2025 was 1,736, with a monthly average of 1,529 over the past 12 months.

The number of events recorded for March 2025 was 1,736, with a monthly average of 1,547 over the past 12 months.

The median valid to decision time was 26 weeks in March 2025, as shown in Figure 1 and Table 1 below.

There are no clear trends for the number of events and decisions per month. However, the Christmas and Easter breaks do typically impact on the number of events arranged for December and April.

**Figure 1: Number of events held<sup>2</sup>, decisions issued and median time between valid date & decision date; April 2024 to March 2025.**



Source: Horizon

**Table 1: Number of events held, decisions issued and median time between valid date & decision date; April 2024 to March 2025.**

Note: This table includes revisions to previously published data. Please see Annex D for further information

Month	Apr-24	May-24	Jun-24	Jul-24	Aug-24	Sep-24	Oct-24	Nov-24	Dec-24	Jan-25	Feb-25	Mar-25	Total
Events held	1,555	1,362	1,524	1,681	1,253	1,437	1,699	1,540	1,283	1,776	1,723	1,736	18,569
Decisions	1,444	1,558	1,359	1,676	1,516	1,335	1,539	1,578	1,413	1,580	1,612	1,736	18,346
Median	27.4	26.0	26.4	25.7	25.7	27.0	28.9	28.6	28.0	27.7	27.9	25.6	27.0


Source: Horizon

<sup>2</sup> A site visit, hearing, or inquiry.

Figure 2 below shows the number of cases received, closed and open for each of the last 12 months. The number of cases closed has exceeded the number of cases received for most of the past 12 months, with the exceptions being June and September 2024.

Note – The number of cases closed is higher than the number of decisions, as it includes cases where an appeal is withdrawn, notice is withdrawn, or the appeal is turned away.

**Figure 2: Number of cases received, closed and open; April 2024 to March 2025.**



Source: Horizon

Note: there is a known anomaly that means that the number of open cases does not exactly follow the volumes of cases closed or received (for example the number of open cases can increase between months even though the number closed exceeded the number received). The main reasons for this have been identified and are detailed in the Background Quality Report.

**Table 2: Number of cases received, closed and open; April 2024 to March 2025.**

Note 1: This table includes revisions to previously published data. Please see Annex D for further information

Month	Apr-24	May-24	Jun-24	Jul-24	Aug-24	Sep-24	Oct-24	Nov-24	Dec-24	Jan-25	Feb-25	Mar-25	Total
Received	1,583	1,679	1,616	1,754	1,579	1,622	1,705	1,543	1,533	1,525	1,473	1,449	19,061
Closed	1,728	1,753	1,533	1,857	1,666	1,507	1,729	1,758	1,576	1,752	1,787	1,923	20,569
Open	13,397	13,321	13,422	13,425	13,274	13,318	13,323	13,112	13,052	12,835	12,599	12,086	

Source: Horizon

## Number of Decisions

The Planning Inspectorate has made 18,346 appeal decisions<sup>3</sup> in the last 12 months. There were 1,736 cases decided in March 2025.


Table 3 below shows the monthly breakdown with the highest number of decisions in March and lowest in September.

**Table 3: Appeal Decisions; April 2024 to March 2025.**

Month	Apr-24	May-24	Jun-24	Jul-24	Aug-24	Sep-24	Oct-24	Nov-24	Dec-24	Jan-25	Feb-25	Mar-25	Total
Decisions	1,444	1,558	1,359	1,676	1,516	1,335	1,539	1,578	1,413	1,580	1,612	1,736	18,346

Source: Horizon

**Figure 3 – Appeal Decisions; April 2024 to March 2025.**



Source: Horizon

### Decisions by procedure and case type

Planning Inspectors work on a broader range of work than the appeals featured in this release. For example, they also work on examining Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project applications, Local Plans<sup>4</sup>, Compulsory Purchase Order applications and many other specialist licencing/ application types.

<sup>3</sup> The appeal types include planning & related appeals, Enforcement and Specialist casework (covering a range of casework types). Please note that some previous releases covered only Rights of Way orders within Specialist casework. Annex D shows the scope of this release and Background Notes has further information.

<sup>4</sup> Data on volumes for Nationally Significant Infrastructure projects and Local Plans can be seen here; <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/planning-inspectorate-statistics> (Tables 1.1 and 1.2)

Table 4 below gives the numbers of appeal decisions made broken down by whether the case was dealt with by written representations, hearings, or inquiries.

The large majority of decisions over the past 12 months (16,911) were made on written representations. This is 92% of all appeal decisions made. Table 4 shows that written representation decisions have varied from around 1,246 to around 1,587 per month over the past 12 months.

There were 962 decisions made on hearings during the last 12 months, the monthly average being 80. During March 2025, 112 decisions were issued. In March 2025, 37 decisions were made for inquiries. Decisions for inquiries per month over the last 12 months have ranged between 22 and 59.

**Table 4: Appeal Decisions by Procedure and Casework Category; April 2024 to March 2025**

Month	Apr-24	May-24	Jun-24	Jul-24	Aug-24	Sep-24	Oct-24	Nov-24	Dec-24	Jan-25	Feb-25	Mar-25	Total
Written Representations	1,340	1,434	1,293	1,551	1,394	1,246	1,422	1,455	1,299	1,435	1,455	1,587	16,911
Hearings	52	76	41	66	94	67	85	77	84	117	91	112	962
Inquiries	52	48	25	59	28	22	32	46	30	28	66	37	473
Total	1,444	1,558	1,359	1,676	1,516	1,335	1,539	1,578	1,413	1,580	1,612	1,736	18,346
Month	Apr-24	May-24	Jun-24	Jul-24	Aug-24	Sep-24	Oct-24	Nov-24	Dec-24	Jan-25	Feb-25	Mar-25	Total
Planning	1,204	1,312	1,173	1,447	1,278	1,144	1,251	1,331	1,172	1,254	1,317	1,446	15,329
Enforcement	177	185	137	173	173	138	213	190	196	231	226	242	2,281
Specialist	63	61	49	56	65	53	75	57	45	95	69	48	736
Total	1,444	1,558	1,359	1,676	1,516	1,335	1,539	1,578	1,413	1,580	1,612	1,736	18,346

Source: Horizon

**What are Planning cases?** The Planning category includes s78 planning appeals, householder appeals, commercial appeals, listed building consent appeals, advertisement appeals, s106 planning obligation appeals and Called In Planning Applications.


**What are Enforcement cases?** Enforcement covers enforcement appeals (i.e., appeals against the issue of an enforcement notice served by a local planning authority for alleged breaches of planning control), enforcement listed building notice appeals and lawful development certificate appeals.

**What are Specialist cases?** Specialist casework includes Common Land, Rights of Way orders (including Schedule 14 cases), Purchase orders, Tree Preservation Orders, High Hedges appeals, Hedgerow appeals, Wayleave, Compulsory Purchase Orders, Secretary of State, Transport, Environmental Permitting Appeals and Coastal Access. Additional casework types have been added to this category over time

The large majority of cases decided over the past 12 months were planning (15,329). This is about 84% of all appeal decisions made. There were 2,281 enforcement decisions and 736 specialist decisions. These totals are also shown in Table 4 above and Figure 4 below.

Trends for planning decisions show similar patterns to written representations. The average number of enforcement decisions over the past 12 months was 190. Specialist casework figures continue to vary each month, from a high of 95 in January 2025 to a low of 45 in December 2024.

**Figure 4 – Appeal Decisions by Procedure and Casework Category; April 2024 to March 2025**



Source: Horizon

Decision timeliness

It is important for people to know how long an appeal is going to take, so that they can make informed plans and decisions. This section covers the timeliness of decisions (i.e., how long it takes to make a decision) across appeal casework. In addition to an overall measure, timeliness is analysed by procedure type and casework category, as timeliness varies a great deal depending on these characteristics.

How is timeliness measured?

The time to make a decision is measured from the time the Inspectorate have enough information for the case to proceed (it is deemed ‘valid’) to the time a decision letter is issued. This means that any delay in ‘validating’ the appeal is included in the time to make a decision.

The decisions made in a given month will include those that started many months before, and thus do not give an accurate indication of how long appeals submitted, or deemed ‘valid’ in that month, will take.

Table 5 below shows that the median time to make a decision, across all cases in the last 12 months, was 27 weeks; and 26 weeks for March 2025. Figure 5 shows the median has ranged from 25 to 29 weeks for each of the last 12 months.

Table 5 also shows the mean decision time for the last 12 months is 32 weeks. Each month the median is less than the mean, due to the impact of very long cases. Also included in the table is the *standard deviation* of decision timeliness, which is a measure of variation.

**Table 5: Median, mean and Standard Deviation of Time to Decision; April 2024 to March 2025**




Month	Apr-24	May-24	Jun-24	Jul-24	Aug-24	Sep-24	Oct-24	Nov-24	Dec-24	Jan-25	Feb-25	Mar-25	Total
Valid to Decision (median weeks)	27.4	26.0	26.4	25.7	25.7	27.0	28.9	28.6	28.0	27.7	27.9	25.6	27.0
Valid to Decision (mean weeks)	32.1	30.5	29.3	30.1	29.2	30.2	32.7	33.6	35.4	33.0	32.1	30.6	31.6
Standard Deviation (weeks)	19.8	19.3	16.5	18.3	17.3	17.2	18.9	21.2	35.5	21.2	19.3	21.2	21.0

Source: Horizon

What are mean, median, and standard deviation?	
Measure	Definition
Mean	The total time taken divided by the number of cases. Also referred to as the 'average'. A measure of how long each case would take, if the total time taken was spread evenly across all cases.
Median	This is the time taken by the 'middle' case if all cases were sorted from quickest to longest
Standard deviation	This is a measure of variability or spread. It is calculated by examining how much each value differs from the mean. A higher standard deviation means the individual decision times vary more widely around the mean. A lower standard deviation would demonstrate greater consistency in the Planning Inspectorate's decision timeliness.

**Figure 5: Median and mean Time to Decision; April 2024 to March 2025.**

Note: Specialist casework timeliness measures exclude Tree Preservation Order cases





## Procedure Type

Table 6 below shows decision timeliness broken down by the procedure type. Hearings and inquiries have typically been more variable than written representations<sup>5</sup>. Because almost 19 of every 20 cases are by written representation, the timeliness measures for written representations are similar to the measure across all cases.

Where a small number of cases has been decided, the average timeliness (whether mean or median) is less meaningful as a measure than where there are many cases. Those noted in the table caption below should be treated with caution as there are fewer than 20 cases decided.

Median times are less affected by a small number of large values than mean times, so are the focus of this commentary. The median time for written representations over the 12 months to March 2025 is 27 weeks. The median time for hearings over the 12 months to March 2025 is 30 weeks. The median time to decide for inquiries over the 12 months to March 2025 was 34 weeks.

**Table 6: Mean and Median Time to Decision, with Standard Deviation, by Procedure; April 2024 to March 2025.**

Note 1: This table includes revisions to previously published data. Please see Annex D for further information  
Note 2: Tree Preservation Order cases are not included in timeliness measures

Key: WR= Written Representations; HRG= Hearings; INQ= Inquiries; All= All Cases

Measure	Procedure	Apr-24	May-24	Jun-24	Jul-24	Aug-24	Sep-24	Oct-24	Nov-24	Dec-24	Jan-25	Feb-25	Mar-25	Total
Valid to decision (median weeks)	WR	27.0	26.0	26.3	25.6	25.3	27.1	28.7	28.7	27.7	27.4	27.4	25.1	26.9
	HRG	37.9	24.3	30.5	26.4	38.4	23.9	30.1	34.4	35.0	35.4	26.4	26.9	30.0
	INQ	47.1	41.1	34.4	31.4	26.6	29.7	28.2	27.5	35.4	39.9	51.0	27.3	34.1
	All	27.4	26.0	26.4	25.7	25.7	27.0	28.9	28.6	28.0	27.7	27.9	25.6	27.0
Valid to decision (mean weeks)	WR	30.2	29.4	28.6	29.2	28.1	29.3	31.8	32.9	31.4	32.1	31.0	29.5	30.3
	HRG	52.0	34.1	45.1	39.3	41.8	43.3	45.2	49.1	91.8	35.7	40.1	43.5	46.3
	INQ	60.5	56.8	42.2	44.0	40.2	40.6	41.6	32.8	50.9	66.9	43.8	39.1	46.8
	All	32.1	30.5	29.3	30.1	29.2	30.2	32.7	33.6	35.4	33.0	32.1	30.6	31.6
Standard Deviation (weeks)	WR	16.8	17.5	15.5	17.0	15.1	14.1	17.4	20.1	18.6	19.6	18.4	19.5	17.7
	HRG	39.3	22.9	29.6	27.0	27.9	41.8	28.2	33.5	109.7	17.7	27.5	32.2	45.4
	INQ	27.1	36.9	19.2	27.6	36.7	25.7	32.8	18.4	32.4	55.9	17.4	30.9	31.7
	All	19.8	19.3	16.5	18.3	17.3	17.2	18.9	21.2	35.5	21.2	19.3	21.2	21.0
Decisions	WR	1,340	1,434	1,293	1,551	1,394	1,246	1,422	1,455	1,299	1,435	1,455	1,587	16,911
	HRG	52	76	41	66	94	67	85	77	84	117	91	112	962
	INQ	52	48	25	59	28	22	32	46	30	28	66	37	473
	Total	1,444	1,558	1,359	1,676	1,516	1,335	1,539	1,578	1,413	1,580	1,612	1,736	18,346

Source: Horizon

The standard deviation information indicates that for all three procedures, there is considerable variation, meaning times are widely spread about the mean.

## Casework Category

The nature of the cases the Planning Inspectorate deal with varies widely and several factors play a part in determining how long it takes to make a decision. One such factor is the type

<sup>5</sup> Written representations includes Rights of Way Schedule 14 appeals

of casework. Table 7 below shows the time taken to decide, in planning cases, in enforcement cases, and in specialist<sup>6</sup> cases, as does Figure 6.

The median time to decision for planning cases (there are many more of these decisions than in the other categories) is lower than for enforcement cases; and less variable than the times for specialist cases.

**Table 7: Decisions, Mean, Median and Standard Deviation of Time to Decision – Planning, Enforcement, Specialist Cases; April 2024 to March 2025.**

Note: Specialist casework timeliness measures exclude Tree Preservation Order cases

Casework Category	Measure	Apr-24	May-24	Jun-24	Jul-24	Aug-24	Sep-24	Oct-24	Nov-24	Dec-24	Jan-25	Feb-25	Mar-25	Total
Planning Cases	Valid to Decision (median weeks)	26.1	25.1	25.0	24.6	24.1	26.1	27.1	26.9	26.0	26.4	25.9	23.6	25.6
	Valid to Decision (mean weeks)	27.9	26.4	26.1	26.6	25.6	27.5	28.3	28.7	27.5	27.6	26.8	25.1	27.0
	St. dev. of decision (weeks)	14.0	12.4	11.4	12.6	11.7	12.5	11.4	13.3	12.7	11.9	11.0	12.1	12.3
Enforcement Cases	Valid to Decision (median weeks)	52.6	51.9	51.7	56.4	45.6	40.1	52.9	70.2	56.0	52.7	51.0	55.6	54.0
	Valid to Decision (mean weeks)	58.1	58.2	54.4	57.6	53.1	49.8	56.4	66.0	80.0	60.5	60.1	60.3	60.0
	St. dev. of decision (weeks)	26.4	30.4	25.3	29.4	26.3	30.5	28.4	30.1	73.2	33.3	28.0	31.8	36.1
Specialist Cases	Valid to Decision (median weeks)	27.6	27.3	30.7	33.6	42.0	41.0	31.1	25.6	51.0	31.2	68.3	59.0	35.0
	Valid to Decision (mean weeks)	41.5	35.0	39.8	40.0	44.1	43.4	44.9	48.6	61.5	41.8	56.4	59.2	45.0
	St. dev. of decision (weeks)	33.0	27.9	29.7	23.1	28.2	23.8	34.5	40.2	38.6	31.5	25.7	32.7	32.0

Source: Horizon

Annex A gives information on median and mean time to decision, with standard deviation, for the three procedure types, split by planning, enforcement, and specialist casework categories.


Enforcement decisions made in the past 12 months had a median decision time of 54 weeks.

There are considerably fewer specialist cases which means results are more liable to be distorted by extreme values.

**Figure 6 – Median Time to Decision by Casework Category: April 2024 to March 2025**

Note: Specialist casework timeliness measures exclude Tree Preservation Order cases

<sup>6</sup> See the box in the section on Number of Decisions for what these categories of casework include.



Source: Horizon

Note that this release includes information on the mean and median times from valid to decision, for selected appeal types. The information published also breaks down the time for each stage of the process. See Annex B<sup>7</sup> for further details.

### Planning Inquiry Decisions

For planning appeals decided by the inquiry process, The Planning Inspectorate has been implementing recommendations from the Rosewell review.

The median time for inquiries under Rosewell process over the 12 months to March 2025 is 27 weeks and the median time to decision for March 2025 was 27 weeks.

**Table 8: Decisions, Mean and Median Time to Decision, Planning Inquiry Cases under Rosewell Process; April 2024 to March 2025.**

Note 1: where there are fewer than 20 decisions, the measures mean, median and standard deviation are less meaningful.

Measure	Apr-24	May-24	Jun-24	Jul-24	Aug-24	Sep-24	Oct-24	Nov-24	Dec-24	Jan-25	Feb-25	Mar-25	Total
Decisions	4	22	12	25	12	10	15	27	10	11	24	14	186
Median (weeks)	25.1	27.8	28.9	31.4	24.0	33.0	29.0	27.4	29.4	24.0	25.1	27.0	26.9
Mean (weeks)	25.7	35.5	31.5	34.4	31.3	38.2	30.9	27.0	28.1	28.4	27.4	29.0	30.8
St. Dev. (weeks)	1.9	16.2	10.3	12.6	21.2	21.9	11.1	5.0	4.1	12.0	8.2	6.8	12.7

<sup>7</sup> Data also published on gov.uk at <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/appeals-average-timescales-for-arranging-inquiries-and-hearings>

Most inquiry decisions now being issued are under the revised ‘Rosewell’<sup>8</sup> process but some inquiries, for example those that are linked together with associated enforcement cases, do not follow the Rosewell process.


**Table 9: Decisions, Planning Inquiry Cases under non-Rosewell Process; April 2024 to March 2025**

Month	Apr-24	May-24	Jun-24	Jul-24	Aug-24	Sep-24	Oct-24	Nov-24	Dec-24	Jan-25	Feb-25	Mar-25	Total
Decisions	2	2	1	3	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	12

Source: Horizon

Figure 7 below shows the mean and median time to decision for planning inquiry cases under the Rosewell process.

**Figure 7: Mean and Median Time to Decision, Rosewell Inquiry Process; April 2024 to March 2025.**



Source: Horizon

## Open Cases

At the end of March 2025, the Planning Inspectorate had 12,086 cases open. More information on how the number of open cases has changed over the past 12 months, is in Table 2 and Figure 2 above.

The open cases comprised of 11,001 cases being handled through written representations; 523 through hearings; and 367 through inquiries, as well as 195 not currently allocated a procedure type. This is not the number of ‘live’ hearings and inquiries since it includes cases

<sup>8</sup> The ‘Rosewell’ process introduced changes to how the inquiry event date was agreed and a firm timetable for submission of documentation. Further information on what the Rosewell Review concluded is at <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/independent-review-of-planning-appeal-inquiries-report>

where the event (hearing or inquiry) has yet to start, as well as those where the event has finished but the decision has yet to be issued.

**Table 10: Open cases by procedure and stage, as of end of March 2025**

Stage	WR	HRG	INQ	Total
Case received but yet to be deemed valid	159	10	1	170
Case deemed valid but yet to “start” [Note 1]	1,260	149	126	1,535
Case started but decision not yet issued	9,582	364	240	10,186
Total	11,001	523	367	12,086

Source: Horizon

Note 1 - there are 195 cases that have no procedure type recorded (see Background Quality Report for more detail) These are excluded from the table above.

Note 2 – there is a known anomaly that means that the number of open cases does not exactly follow the volumes of cases closed or received (for example the number of open cases can increase between months even though the number closed exceeded the number received). The main reasons for this have been identified and are detailed in the Background Quality Report.

## Inspectors

Table 11 below shows the number of inspectors in the Planning Inspectorate in each month from April 2024 to March 2025.<sup>9</sup> This includes headcount (i.e. the number of different individuals) and full-time equivalents (FTE) where those working part time are counted in proportion with their contracted hours. There were 457 Planning Inspectors employed by the Inspectorate in March 2025 – with a full-time equivalent of 415.

**Table 11: Planning Inspectors – Headcount and FTE; April 2024 to March 2025. (at end of month)**

Month	Apr-24	May-24	Jun-24	Jul-24	Aug-24	Sep-24	Oct-24	Nov-24	Dec-24	Jan-25	Feb-25	Mar-25
Headcount	444	444	443	438	436	433	433	431	431	457	457	457
FTE	401.2	400.6	400.3	396.5	394.8	392.8	392.7	390.9	391.1	414.7	415.1	414.8

Source: Employee Central

As above, Planning Inspectors work on a broader range of work than the appeals featured in this release. Please note that data on Planning Inspectors is only applicable to salaried employees (it does not include fixed term contract Inspectors or Planning Appeal Decision Suppliers, previously referred to as non-salaried Inspectors).

## Volume Statistics

The Inspectorate has published a series of tables of quarterly data alongside this bulletin. Some of the data published is on casework types that The Planning Inspectorate deals with that are larger in scale, but smaller in volume, than the appeals decisions that are the subject of the preceding sections of these statistics. Some examples of this are Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects and Local Plans, where volumes never go into the

<sup>9</sup> Data as at the last day of the month.

hundreds, and the time between submission to report issue can be over a year. Other data breaks appeals down into more detail than in the monthly totals.

### Appeals against refusal of Planning Permission (Section 78 appeals)

The largest volume of casework dealt with by the Planning Inspectorate are appeals against refusal of Planning Permission, which are made under section 78 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990. The analysis below deals with just this casework type although figures for other casework types can be found in the published tables.


#### *Number of appeals received compared to decisions issued.*

In the last twelve months, April 2024 to March 2025, there have been 9,154 Section 78 planning appeals (s78) received, 4.4% lower than for the period April 2023 to March 2024.

In the last five years (April 2020 to March 2025) the highest level of quarterly receipts (2,832) occurred in January to March 2020 and the highest number of decisions (2,879) was in October to December 2020. Over the past year the average number of receipts per quarter was 2,289 and the average number of decisions per quarter was 2,520.

The number of appeals received in January to March 2025 (2,117) was 6.3% lower than the same period in 2023.

**Figure 8: Number of s78 Planning appeal, receipts and decisions, 2019/20 to 2024/25, by quarter**



Source: Horizon & Picaso. Full published data in Tables 2.1 and Table 2.4. See Annex C, Table A, for full data table.


The number of decisions issued in January to March 2025, was 5.4% lower than the previous quarter and 3.6% lower than the corresponding quarter last year.

### Appeals Allowed

The percentage of Section 78 planning appeals that were allowed in the latest quarter was 33%, higher than in the previous quarter (see figure 9). There were 814 appeals allowed between January and March 2025, 76 more than in the previous quarter. (see Figure 11).

The consistency in the overall percentage allowed is heavily influenced by the number of written representations appeals allowed, as this procedure type contributes by far the greatest number of decisions each year. There has been slightly more variation in the percentage allowed for hearings and inquiries. See Figure 10 below for further details.


**Figure 9: S78 planning appeals, percentage allowed, 2019/20 to 2024/25, by quarter**



Source: Horizon & Picaso. Full published data in Table 2.4 s78 planning appeals decided.  
See Annex C Table B, for full data table


**Figure 10: S78 planning appeals, percentage allowed by procedure type, 2019/20 to 2024/25, by quarter**





Source: Horizon & Picasso. Full published data in Table 2.4 s78 planning appeals decided.  
See Annex C Table B, for full data table

**Figure 11: S78 planning appeals, number of appeals allowed, 2019/20 to 2024/25, by quarter**



Source: Horizon & Picasso. Full published data in Table 2.4 s78 planning appeals decided.  
See Annex C, Table C, for full data table

## Annex A – Mean and median time to decision, with standard deviation, for planning, enforcement, and specialist casework

### Planning

Note 1: where there are fewer than 20 decisions, the measures mean, median and standard deviation are less meaningful.

Note 2: This table includes revisions to previously published data. Please see Annex D for further information

Procedure	Measure	Apr-24	May-24	Jun-24	Jul-24	Aug-24	Sep-24	Oct-24	Nov-24	Dec-24	Jan-25	Feb-25	Mar-25	Total
Written Representations	Decisions	1,162	1,229	1,133	1,371	1,209	1,081	1,188	1,264	1,116	1,192	1,239	1,370	14,554
	Median Average Weeks	26.1	25.1	24.9	24.6	24.1	26.4	27.3	27.0	26.1	26.4	26.0	23.6	25.6
	Mean Average Weeks	27.5	26.0	26.0	26.3	25.3	27.2	28.2	28.5	27.4	27.4	26.7	25.0	26.8
	Standard Deviation	12.3	11.3	11.3	12.1	10.9	10.4	11.3	12.7	12.6	10.9	10.9	12.0	11.7
Hearings	Decisions	36	59	27	48	57	53	48	39	45	50	53	62	577
	Median Average Weeks	26.6	23.6	24.7	23.4	23.7	21.7	24.0	22.6	22.6	25.6	24.1	23.0	23.6
	Mean Average Weeks	36.5	29.5	30.2	30.2	30.7	32.1	28.5	35.3	27.9	30.6	27.5	26.4	30.1
	Standard Deviation	35.9	17.7	16.2	22.1	20.4	32.1	13.7	26.6	14.5	11.7	12.0	13.8	20.8
Inquiries	Decisions	6	24	13	28	12	10	15	28	11	12	25	14	198
	Median Average Weeks	27.4	29.6	27.9	30.4	24.0	33.0	29.0	27.4	30.9	24.4	25.1	27.0	27.4
	Mean Average Weeks	46.5	42.8	31.2	33.8	31.3	38.2	30.9	28.6	32.3	42.0	27.9	29.0	33.6
	Standard Deviation	37.5	29.6	10.0	12.9	21.2	21.9	11.1	9.6	13.8	46.5	8.5	6.8	20.9
All Planning Cases	Decisions	1,204	1,312	1,173	1,447	1,278	1,144	1,251	1,331	1,172	1,254	1,317	1,446	15,329
	Median Average Weeks	26.1	25.1	25.0	24.6	24.1	26.1	27.1	26.9	26.0	26.4	25.9	23.6	25.6
	Mean Average Weeks	27.9	26.4	26.1	26.6	25.6	27.5	28.3	28.7	27.5	27.6	26.8	25.1	27.0
	Standard Deviation	14.0	12.4	11.4	12.6	11.7	12.5	11.4	13.3	12.7	11.9	11.0	12.1	12.3

## Enforcement

Note: where there are fewer than 20 decisions, the measures mean, median and standard deviation are less meaningful

Note 2: This table includes revisions to previously published data. Please see Annex D for further information.

Procedure	Measure	Apr-24	May-24	Jun-24	Jul-24	Aug-24	Sep-24	Oct-24	Nov-24	Dec-24	Jan-25	Feb-25	Mar-25	Total
Written Representations	Decisions	121	147	115	131	127	115	163	140	144	158	153	175	1,689
	Median Average Weeks	48.6	52.1	46.3	55.4	47.3	39.9	52.9	72.6	56.0	71.3	63.4	58.0	54.6
	Mean Average Weeks	52.6	57.7	51.3	57.9	52.2	46.8	54.8	70.1	59.8	67.0	62.2	62.2	58.4
	Standard Deviation	24.6	28.5	24.3	29.0	21.6	25.1	26.2	27.9	26.0	30.4	29.1	29.5	27.9
Hearings	Decisions	16	16	12	16	32	12	36	33	36	64	35	47	355
	Median Average Weeks	87.6	43.8	79.9	67.3	44.6	101.6	77.4	75.4	102.5	36.3	46.7	60.6	57.3
	Mean Average Weeks	87.0	46.8	80.0	67.7	58.5	90.7	67.5	63.5	171.2	39.8	57.6	62.9	71.4
	Standard Deviation	19.0	28.8	24.5	20.7	30.1	47.3	27.1	33.1	125.4	20.4	33.5	35.6	60.6
Inquiries	Decisions	40	22	10	26	14	11	14	17	16	9	38	20	237
	Median Average Weeks	47.1	77.9	63.0	30.9	33.3	21.9	26.0	28.0	43.4	62.1	51.0	27.3	47.1
	Mean Average Weeks	63.1	70.5	58.5	50.4	48.3	37.6	47.4	37.0	56.8	92.5	54.1	37.7	54.4
	Standard Deviation	25.5	38.6	18.1	34.1	46.5	24.0	44.3	24.4	36.0	66.0	13.3	32.3	35.1
All Enforcement Cases	Decisions	177	185	137	173	173	138	213	190	196	231	226	242	2,281
	Median Average Weeks	52.6	51.9	51.7	56.4	45.6	40.1	52.9	70.2	56.0	52.7	51.0	55.6	54.0
	Mean Average Weeks	58.1	58.2	54.4	57.6	53.1	49.8	56.4	66.0	80.0	60.5	60.1	60.3	60.0
	Standard Deviation	26.4	30.4	25.3	29.4	26.3	30.5	28.4	30.1	73.2	33.3	28.0	31.8	36.1

## Specialist

Note: where there are fewer than 20 decisions, the measures mean, median and standard deviation are less meaningful.

Note 2: This table includes revisions to previously published data. Please see Annex D for further information

Procedure	Measure	Apr-24	May-24	Jun-24	Jul-24	Aug-24	Sep-24	Oct-24	Nov-24	Dec-24	Jan-25	Feb-25	Mar-25	Total
Written Representations	Decisions	57	58	45	49	58	50	71	51	39	85	63	42	668
	Median Average Weeks	25.6	26.7	29.5	31.9	40.4	39.9	30.5	23.0	40.9	22.6	70.3	50.4	31.0
	Mean Average Weeks	39.4	31.6	40.8	35.8	41.0	40.5	43.1	45.3	53.8	35.4	56.9	50.4	41.4
	Standard Deviation	33.9	24.6	31.2	19.6	28.9	21.7	34.9	39.4	38.7	26.5	26.5	27.9	30.7
Hearings	Decisions	0	1	2	2	5	2	1	5	3	3	3	3	30
	Median Average Weeks	-	100.7	31.0	26.1	65.2	64.0	-	110.7	112.6	30.1	67.5	79.1	72.6
	Mean Average Weeks	-	100.7	31.0	26.1	67.0	64.0	-	110.7	112.6	30.1	67.5	92.8	72.3
	Standard Deviation	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.5	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.6	20.9	29.8
Inquiries	Decisions	6	2	2	5	2	1	3	1	3	7	3	3	38
	Median Average Weeks	52.6	74.5	32.3	82.6	36.1	97.4	66.0	77.9	87.3	81.0	56.4	113.9	63.9
	Mean Average Weeks	57.1	74.5	32.3	68.0	36.1	97.4	68.0	77.9	88.2	76.5	45.2	95.4	67.6
	Standard Deviation	19.4	30.1	10.4	24.8	8.9	0.0	16.5	0.0	4.3	34.6	19.6	30.8	29.3
All Specialist Cases	Decisions	63	61	49	56	65	53	75	57	45	95	69	48	736
	Median Average Weeks	27.6	27.3	30.7	33.6	42.0	41.0	31.1	25.6	51.0	31.2	68.3	59.0	35.0
	Mean Average Weeks	41.5	35.0	39.8	40.0	44.1	43.4	44.9	48.6	61.5	41.8	56.4	59.2	45.0
	Standard Deviation	33.0	27.9	29.7	23.1	28.2	23.8	34.5	40.2	38.6	31.5	25.7	32.7	32.0

Tree Preservation order decisions have been removed from the average time calculations in this table due to problems with recorded validation dates, which mean that we are currently unable to accurately calculate their decision times.

## Annex B – Detailed Information on timeliness (March 2025)

The information below shows the number and length of decisions made in March 2025<sup>10</sup>:

Note 1: when there are fewer than 20 decisions the measures mean, median and standard deviation are less meaningful.

Casework Type	Procedure Type	Median (weeks)	Mean (weeks)	Decisions
s78 planning appeals	Written Representations	27.3	28.8	818
	Hearings	23.0	26.6	61
	Inquiries	27.0	29.0	14
Householder appeals	Written Representations	17.1	18.4	436
Enforcement appeals	Written Representations	58.0	62.2	175
	Hearings	60.6	62.9	47
	Inquiries	27.3	37.7	20

The smaller the number of decisions, the less helpful the mean and median are as measures for summarising performance. Particular care should be taken when there are fewer than twenty decisions.

The information published below shows the time taken for different stages of the appeals process:

	s78 planning appeals			Householder appeals
	Written Representations	Hearings	Inquiries	
Weeks between valid date & start date				
Median (average)	2.0	3.6	2.7	1.9
Mean (average)	2.4	4.3	3.3	2.0
Cases that started in March 2025	766	27	11	354
Weeks between start date & event date				
Median (average)	19.1	14.4	18.7	8.7
Mean (average)	20.6	17.6	21.2	11.9
Cases where an event occurred during March 2025	819	58	19	445
Weeks between event date & decision date				
Median (average)	3.4	4.0	7.0	2.4
Mean (average)	4.6	5.3	8.2	3.5
Cases that have been decided in March 2025	816	61	14	433

Note: Only cases with both dates recorded appear in this table, meaning that numbers for cases decided and events recorded may be lower than those presented elsewhere.

### Explanation of date terminology

Valid date	When a case is deemed to have been validly received. Note – this is not always the date the case was validated. If a case is validated after the date it was validly received, it is the date it was validly received that is the valid date.
------------	---

<sup>10</sup> Also published on gov.uk here <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/appeals-average-timescales-for-arranging-inquiries-and-hearings>

Start date	When a timetable, on how the appeal will progress, is issued to both the appellant and local authority. This timetable tells the appellant when to submit the information the Inspectors need to determine the appeal. It also tells the local authority when to notify interested parties about the appeal.
Event date	When the site visit, hearing, or inquiry occurred.
Decision date	When the decision was issued by The Planning Inspectorate.

Find out more about the process here - <https://www.gov.uk/appeal-planning-decision/after-you-appeal>

## Annex C – Volume Tables

ANNEX C, Table a: s78 planning appeals received and decided, by quarter since 2019/20			
Year	Quarter	Received	Decided
2019/20	Jul - Sep	2,849	3,705
	Oct - Dec	2,771	3,350
	Jan - Mar	2,895	2,759
2020/21	Apr - Jun	2,609	1,514
	Jul - Sep	2,613	2,252
	Oct - Dec	2,781	2,879
	Jan - Mar	2,832	2,467
	Apr - Jun	2,580	2,319
	Jul - Sep	2,692	2,124
	Oct - Dec	2,729	2,212
	Jan - Mar	2,671	2,294
2022/23	Apr - Jun	2,811	2,024
	Jul - Sep	2,582	2,056
	Oct - Dec	2,654	2,601
	Jan - Mar	2,578	2,481
	Apr - Jun	2,461	2,143
	Jul - Sep	2,411	2,488
	Oct - Dec	2,415	2,467
	Jan - Mar	2,406	2,579
2024/25	Apr - Jun	2,378	2,357
	Jul - Sep	2,392	2,606
	Oct - Dec	2,267	2,629
	Jan - Mar	2,117	2,487

ANNEX C, Table b: s78 planning appeals, percentage allowed by procedure type, 2019/20 to 2024/25					
Year	Quarter	Written Representations	Hearings	Inquiries	All
2019/20	Jul - Sep	23%	37%	42%	24%
	Oct - Dec	22%	42%	43%	23%
	Jan - Mar	22%	47%	48%	24%
2020/21	Apr - Jun	21%	37%	25%	21%
	Jul - Sep	24%	41%	55%	25%

	Oct - Dec	25%	37%	58%	26%
	Jan - Mar	25%	35%	53%	26%
2021/22	Apr - Jun	30%	34%	55%	31%
	Jul - Sep	27%	43%	58%	28%
	Oct - Dec	27%	41%	62%	28%
	Jan - Mar	28%	32%	54%	29%
2022/23	Apr - Jun	27%	34%	60%	29%
	Jul - Sep	26%	53%	65%	28%
	Oct - Dec	26%	51%	64%	29%
	Jan - Mar	28%	45%	66%	30%
2023/24	Apr - Jun	28%	41%	65%	30%
	Jul - Sep	27%	39%	38%	28%
	Oct - Dec	25%	48%	67%	27%
	Jan - Mar	27%	50%	53%	28%
2024/25	Apr - Jun	28%	40%	67%	29%
	Jul - Sep	28%	38%	53%	29%
	Oct - Dec	26%	50%	67%	28%
	Jan - Mar	30%	56%	65%	33%

ANNEX C, Table c: s78 planning appeals, number allowed by procedure type, 2019/20 to 2024/25

Year	Quarter	Written Representations	Hearings	Inquiries	All
2019/20	Jul - Sep	805	55	24	884
	Oct - Dec	684	67	27	778
	Jan - Mar	541	87	32	660
2020/21	Apr - Jun	304	19	2	325
	Jul - Sep	537	17	6	560
	Oct - Dec	695	38	22	755
	Jan - Mar	588	39	16	643
2021/22	Apr - Jun	632	40	39	711
	Jul - Sep	536	40	29	605
	Oct - Dec	553	41	24	618
	Jan - Mar	595	31	36	662
2022/23	Apr - Jun	509	37	34	580
	Jul - Sep	484	60	31	575
	Oct - Dec	602	89	51	742
	Jan - Mar	639	66	42	747
2023/24	Apr - Jun	555	61	30	646
	Jul - Sep	618	54	26	698
	Oct - Dec	558	63	40	661
	Jan - Mar	644	63	27	734
2024/25	Apr - Jun	605	47	29	681
	Jul - Sep	671	58	26	755
	Oct - Dec	639	63	36	738
	Jan - Mar	687	92	35	814



## Annex D – Revisions to the data tables

This Annex lists all revisions made to the data since the last statistical release.

Note: Classed as a revision are any values which have changed by more than five (when measuring number of decisions/ cases) or more than 0.5 weeks (for mean, median or standard deviation of weeks).

Table	Revisions
Table 1	Events held: August, September, November, and December 2024
Table 2	Received cases: October, November, and December 2024
Table 2	Closed cases: December 2024
Table 2	Open cases: April, May, June, July, August, September, October, November, and December 2024
Table 6	Hearing Valid to decision (Median weeks): June 2024
Table 6	Hearings Valid to decision (Mean weeks): June and December 2024
Table 6	Hearing Standard Deviation (weeks): June 2024
Table 7	Enforcement: Valid to decision (Median weeks): July 2024
Table 7	Enforcement: Valid to decision (Mean weeks): September 2024
Table 7	Specialist: Valid to decision (Median weeks): August, September, and October 2024
Table 7	Specialist: Valid to decision (Mean weeks): May, June, August, September, October, and December 2024
Table 7	Specialist: Standard deviation of decision (weeks): June, August, September, October, and December 2024
Annex A Enforcement	Written Representations Median weeks: April and August 2024
Annex A Enforcement	Written Representations Mean weeks: April and September 2024
Annex A Enforcement	Written Representations Standard Deviation: April 2024
Annex A Enforcement	Hearings Median weeks: December 2024
Annex A Enforcement	Hearings Mean weeks: December 2024
Annex A Enforcement	Hearings Standard Deviation: December 2024
Annex A Specialist	Written Representations Median weeks: August, September and October 2024
Annex A Specialist	Written Representations Mean weeks: May, August, September, October and December 2024

Annex A Specialist	Written Representations Standard Deviation: August, September October and December 2024
Annex A Specialist	Hearings Median weeks: June 2024
Annex A Specialist	Hearings Mean weeks: June 2024

## Background notes

### Data sources

Horizon / Picaso – The main casework management systems used for processing appeals casework (note that Picaso is no longer a live system).

Employee central – The Human Resources system database used to store all information regarding members of staff.

### Compliance with the Code of Practice for Statistics

These statistics have been published in accordance with the Code of Practice for Statistics, which cover trustworthiness, quality, and value. They have been pre-announced, and publication is overseen by the Head of Profession.

### Technical Notes

A Background Quality Report is published alongside this Statistical Release. It provides more detail on the quality of statistics in this publication.

Data quality	<p>Data on cases is taken from a live casework system, and details of cases can change for a number of reasons even after a decision has been made. We are seeking to get a better understanding of the nature and volume of these changes and will provide further information as it is available.</p> <p>We carry out regular checks on the quality of our data and may undertake ad hoc data cleansing exercises. Therefore, all the data for the last 12 rolling months is published in provisional form. We have indicated in this publication any data where a number of cases has changed by more than five cases in a month; or where a measure (mean, median or standard deviation) has changed by more than 0.5 weeks.</p>
Measuring weeks	<p>Data are measured in days and then converted to weeks. Note that not all decimal values are possible where converting days to weeks. 1 day is 1/7 of a week, or 0.14 weeks (to two decimal places). 2 days = 0.29; 3 days = 0.43; 4 days = 0.57; 5 days = 0.71; 6 days = 0.86.</p> <p>When these are used to calculate averages, or displayed to one decimal place, the result will not equate to a full day which can be misleading: it may appear that we are measuring part days (e.g. 19.8 weeks) but we only measure in whole days.</p>

### Glossary

Term	Explanation
Appeals	The right to appeal a planning decision made by a local authority is a key feature of the planning system, as is appealing when an authority is taking too long.
Appeals decided	Number of appeals by the date the appeal was decided by The Planning Inspectorate.
Appeals received	Number of appeals by the date the appeal was received by The Planning Inspectorate.
Applications	Planning Inspectorate manage the application process for proposed Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects (NSIPs) within England and Wales in line with the 2008 Planning Act.
Closed	The total number of appeals decided, withdrawn, or turned away.
Decision	The outcome of the case e.g. appeal allowed or rejected. The date of the decision is taken as the date a decision letter is sent to the appellant.
Event	A site visit, hearing, or inquiry (may be virtual)
Event Type	The different options of how an Inspector visits a site for a written representations appeal.
Examinations	<p>The process of examining local plans is dealt with by the Planning Inspectorate. Every Local Planning Authority is required to have a local plan. This includes a vision for the future and plan to address housing needs in the area.</p> <p>When a Local Planning Authority has finished preparing and consulting on a local plan it must be submitted to the Secretary of State who appoints an Inspector to carry out an independent examination.</p>
FTE	Full Time Equivalent – a count of employees where those working part time are counted in proportion with their contracted hours.
Headcount	Total number of staff employed regardless of how many hours they work (i.e. the number of different individuals).
Hearings	<p>A hearing involves the submission of written evidence by the main parties and a hearing once all the written submissions have been received.</p> <p>This takes the form of a round-the-table discussion (in person or virtually) that will be led by the planning inspector. It allows for all parties to respond to any questions that the inspector might have, and to let everyone make their case known.</p> <p>Source: Planning Portal</p>
Inquiries	<p>An inquiry is usually used for complex cases where legal issues may need to be considered. The main parties will usually have legal representatives to present their case and to cross-examine any witnesses. Prior to the inquiry date, the Planning Inspectorate will expect to have received various documents from all parties that will be taking part in the appeal. These may include statements of case and proofs of evidence from expert witnesses. Third parties may also take part. The inquiry will be led by the inspector and will follow a formal procedure.</p> <p>At some point during or on conclusion of the inquiry the inspector and the main parties will undertake a site visit.</p> <p>Source: Planning Portal</p>

Term	Explanation
Live appeals	Number of live appeals in that have an appeal valid date but no end date (either decision date or a closed date, e.g. for appeals that have been withdrawn).
Mean	The total time taken divided by the number of cases. Also referred to as the 'average'. A measure of how long each case would take, if the total time taken was spread evenly across all cases.
Median	This is the time taken by the 'middle' case if all cases were sorted from quickest to longest
Open Cases	Number of cases that have been received but on which a decision has not yet been made/ issued. Will differ from Live Appeals as it includes those received but not yet verified.
Procedure Type	The method by which The Planning Inspectorate processes and decides appeals.
Standard deviation	This is a measure of variability or spread. It is calculated by examining how much each value differs from the mean. A higher standard deviation means the individual decision times vary more widely around the mean.
Written Representations  (includes Rights of Way Schedule 14)	Most planning appeals are decided by the written representations' procedure. With this procedure the Inspector considers written evidence from the appellant, the LPA and anyone else who has an interest in the appeal. The site is also likely to be visited.

## Contact Us

The Planning Inspectorate welcome feedback on our statistical products. If you have any comments or questions about this publication or about our statistics in general, you can contact us as follows:

**Media enquiries** 0303 444 5004  
email [press.office@planninginspectorate.gov.uk](mailto:press.office@planninginspectorate.gov.uk)

**Public enquiries** email [statistics@planninginspectorate.gov.uk](mailto:statistics@planninginspectorate.gov.uk)

**Please note** we are currently reviewing our statistics with a view to making them as clear and helpful as possible for users. We would be delighted if you could contact us via the address below with any views on this approach; particularly on what content would be most useful and why.

email [statistics@planninginspectorate.gov.uk](mailto:statistics@planninginspectorate.gov.uk)

If you require information which is not available within this or other available publications, you may wish to submit a Request for Information under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 to the Planning Inspectorate. For more information, see: <https://www.gov.uk/make-a-freedom-of-information-request/the-freedom-of-information-act>