

# FIRST-TIER TRIBUNAL PROPERTY CHAMBER (RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY)

Case reference : LON/ooAN/LDC/2025/0647

Property Avonmore Mansions, Avonmore Rd,

Hammersmith W14 8RN

Applicant : Privatelease Property Management

Limited

**Representative**: Anthem Management (Managing Agent)

**Respondents**: Leaseholders listed in the application

**Representative : None Provided** 

**Application for dispensation from the** 

Type of application : consultation requirements of s20 under

section 20ZA of the Landlord and

Tenant Act 1985

Tribunal member : Mr A Harris LLM FRICS FCIArb

Venue : 10 Alfred Place, London WC1E 7LR

Date of decision : 27 March 2025

#### **DECISION**

## **Decision of the tribunal**

1. The tribunal exercises its discretion to grant dispensation from the consultation requirements of s2oZA in respect of providing a waking watch service and installation of temporary fire alarms.

## The application

- 2. The Applicant seeks dispensation from the consultation requirements under section 20ZA of the Landlord and Tenant Act 1985 ("the 1985 Act") in respect of the cost of providing a waking watch service and installation of a temporary fire alarm following an inspection by the London Fire Service who raised concerns about fire compartmentalisation in the building. A copy of the recommendations has not been provided. The building is a Victorian building arranged over ground to 6th floors with a number of elderly or limited mobility residents on the upper floors.
- 3. The provision of a waking watch cannot wait for a three-month consultation period due to the risk to life. An invoice has been provided covering the period 31 January 2025 to 10 February 2025 in the sum of £15,628.80 inclusive of VAT. No invoice or estimates have been provided in respect of a temporary fire alarm with provision of permanent works to follow a section 20 consultation. No formal notice was given under \$20\$ of the Landlord and Tenant Act 1985 but emails were sent to all leaseholders advising them of the works and the application to the tribunal. In view of the urgency of the it was not proposed to wait for the conclusion of the consultation period. No representations have been received from the Respondents. The cost of the works is above the threshold for consultation under section 20 of the 1985 Act.
- 4. Directions were made on 21 February 2025 for a paper determination in the week commencing 24 March 2025. The only issue for the tribunal is whether it is reasonable to dispense with the statutory consultation requirements.
- 5. This decision does <u>not</u> concern the issue of whether any service charge costs will be reasonable or payable.

## The hearing

- 6. A written application was made by the freeholder.
- 7. A copy lease has been provided. The case was decided on paper and no appearances were made. The tribunal considered the written

application form, copy letters to the leaseholders, and the specimen lease included in the bundle.

# The background

- 8. The property is a Victorian development of 21 units of which the 6<sup>th</sup> floor flats numbers 13 and 14 were added to the building in the 1990s.
- 9. Each flat is held on long lease which requires the landlord to provide services and the tenant to contribute towards their costs by way of a variable service charge.
- 10. An inspection was not requested and the tribunal did not consider that one was necessary, nor would it have been proportionate to the issues.
- 11. The lease shows the scope of the works is within the service charge provisions of the lease. The tribunal directed the applicant to provide copies of the application and directions to the lessees. Confirmation was sent to the tribunal that the application had been provided to the leaseholders. No representations have been received objecting to the application as to the scope of the works or appropriateness of the application. Reasonableness and payability of the service charge is not within the scope of this application.

### The Law

#### s20ZA of the Landlord and Tenant Act 1985

## **Service charges**

20ZA Consultation requirements: supplementary

(1)Where an application is made to the appropriate tribunal for a determination to dispense with all or any of the consultation requirements in relation to any qualifying works or qualifying long term agreement, the tribunal may make the determination if satisfied that it is reasonable to dispense with the requirements.

(2)In section 20 and this section—

"qualifying works" means works on a building or any other premises, and

"qualifying long term agreement" means (subject to subsection (3)) an agreement entered into, by or on behalf of the landlord or a superior landlord, for a term of more than twelve months.

- (3)The Secretary of State may by regulations provide that an agreement is not a qualifying long term agreement—
- (a)if it is an agreement of a description prescribed by the regulations, or
- (b)in any circumstances so prescribed.
- (4)In section 20 and this section "the consultation requirements" means requirements prescribed by regulations made by the Secretary of State.
- (5)Regulations under subsection (4) may in particular include provision requiring the landlord—
- (a)to provide details of proposed works or agreements to tenants or the recognised tenants' association representing them,
- (b)to obtain estimates for proposed works or agreements,
- (c)to invite tenants or the recognised tenants' association to propose the names of persons from whom the landlord should try to obtain other estimates,
- (d)to have regard to observations made by tenants or the recognised tenants' association in relation to proposed works or agreements and estimates, and
- (e)to give reasons in prescribed circumstances for carrying out works or entering into agreements.
- (5A)And in the case of works to which section 20D applies, regulations under subsection (4) may also include provision requiring the landlord—
- (a)to give details of the steps taken or to be taken under section 20D(2),
- (b)to give reasons about prescribed matters, and any other prescribed information, relating to the taking of such steps, and
- (c)to have regard to observations made by tenants or the recognised tenants' association in relation to the taking of such steps.
- (6) Regulations under section 20 or this section—
- (a)may make provision generally or only in relation to specific cases, and
- (b)may make different provision for different purposes.

- (7)Regulations under section 20 or this section shall be made by statutory instrument which shall be subject to annulment in pursuance of a resolution of either House of Parliament.
- 12. The applicable case law is Daejan Investments Ltd v Benson [2013] UKSC 14, 1 WLR 854 where the Supreme Court held that the relevant test is whether the leaseholders have suffered prejudice by the failure to consult. Where the extent, quality and cost of the works were unaffected by the landlord's failure to comply with the consultation requirements, an unconditional dispensation should normally be granted.

### The tribunal's decision

13. The tribunal exercises its discretion to grant dispensation from the consultation requirements of under s20 ZA of the Landlord and Tenant Act 1985 and the Service Charges (Consultation Requirements) (England) Regulations 2003

#### Reasons for the tribunal's decision

- 14. The works were necessary to ensure the safety of leaseholders in a multi-storey building with a number of residents of limited mobility.
- 15. The tribunal is satisfied that the leaseholders were aware of the proposal and they have not objected.
- 16. The Tribunal is being asked to exercise its discretion under s.20ZA of the Act. The wording of s.20ZA is significant. Subs. (1) provides:
  - "Where an application is made to a [leasehold valuation] tribunal for a determination to dispense with all or any of the consultation requirements in relation to any qualifying works or qualifying long term agreement, the tribunal may make the determination *if satisfied that it is reasonable to dispense with the requirements*" (emphasis added).
- 17. The Tribunal understands that the purpose of the consultation requirements is to ensure that leaseholders are given the fullest possible opportunity to make observations about expenditure of money for which they will in part be liable. The test laid down by the Supreme Court in Daejan v Benson is whether the leaseholders would suffer prejudice if the application were to be granted and a full consultation not carried out.
- 18. The tribunal considers that there is no prejudice to the leaseholders in granting dispensation as the works were urgently needed to ensure the safety of leaseholders following advice from the London Fire Service. The tribunal is satisfied that the risk of delay outweighs any possible

prejudice arising from a failure to carry out the full consultation process.

- 19. The tribunal is satisfied the works were urgent and that dispensation should be granted.
- 20. The granting of dispensation is not concerned with the cost and recoverability of service charges for the works which are dealt with under section 27A of the Act.

Name: A Harris Date: 27 March 2025

## Rights of appeal

By rule 36(2) of the Tribunal Procedure (First-tier Tribunal) (Property Chamber) Rules 2013, the tribunal is required to notify the parties about any right of appeal they may have.

If a party wishes to appeal this decision to the Upper Tribunal (Lands Chamber), then a written application for permission must be made to the First-tier Tribunal at the regional office which has been dealing with the case.

The application for permission to appeal must arrive at the regional office within 28 days after the tribunal sends written reasons for the decision to the person making the application.

If the application is not made within the 28-day time limit, such application must include a request for an extension of time and the reason for not complying with the 28-day time limit; the tribunal will then look at such reason(s) and decide whether to allow the application for permission to appeal to proceed, despite not being within the time limit.

The application for permission to appeal must identify the decision of the tribunal to which it relates (i.e. give the date, the property and the case number), state the grounds of appeal and state the result the party making the application is seeking.

If the tribunal refuses to grant permission to appeal, a further application for permission may be made to the Upper Tribunal (Lands Chamber).