

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 20 March 2025 08:18
To: Section 62A Applications <section62a@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>
Subject: UTT/25/0151/PINS

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am not along in being highly dismayed by the resurrection of the plans for Land West of High Street Stebbing Essex.

The village has accepted much in-fill and expansionist development in recent years and this location is not it- it is highly inappropriate.

I registered my objections previously and they remain:

The site is situated at a tight bend on the high street directly opposite the village primary school. There is neither parking enough for existing villagers, staff at the school nor parents dropping and collecting their children such that the area becomes a dangerous pinch point for traffic. Whilst parking is an issue the length of the high street with cars parking on both sides, mount the pavements and obstruct access to side roads like Mill Lane, this bend becomes completely blind in both directions and cars use the existing driveways and cul de sac entrances to pull in and turn around. To invite more households, more cars and more openings on to the high street opposite the school is to exacerbate not alleviate this problem. I do not allow my 9 year old to walk herself to and from the village school we live so close to by herself because of the absurdly dangerous traffic conditions in our densely packed village.

The land is one of the few wild green spaces remaining in the village that anchor it to its historical pattern of ribbon development and its links to the surrounding countryside. I was astonished to learn that the developers in their last submission had concluded that there was no wildlife at risk of displacement by having claimed to have surveyed my nearby land. They either did this without seeking my consent or they didn't do it at all. It is regrettably poor behaviour either way and I thus have no confidence in their lofty plans or their promises on delivery whatsoever.

It is a scheme that is not motivated by the good it can do the village, the school and local biodiversity but will infect have a negative impact on all three.

I urge you to reject this poorly conceived plan.

Yours

Victoria Roberts

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]