

**Case number** 6011048-2024

**EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS** 

London South Employment Tribunal 7th February 2025 (video)

Claimant: Bernadett Bak

**Respondent:** Language Bank Ltd

## **Full merits hearing**

**Before:** Judge M Aspinall (sitting alone as an Employment Judge)

Appearances:Mrs B Bak in personNo appearance for the respondent

# JUDGMENT

The claims for unpaid wages/unlawful deductions from wages are dismissed for lack of jurisdiction and because they were brought out of time in any event.

### Reasons

- 1. At the outset of these proceedings, evidence emerged that the claimant, Mrs Bernadett Bak, was not an employee of the respondent, Language Bank Ltd. Rather, she provided services to them as a self-employed contractor between 2012-2016 and again from 2020.
- 2. As a self-employed individual who was effectively billing (via completed timesheets) for services rendered, Mrs Bak did not have an employment relationship with Language Bank Ltd that could give rise to claims for unpaid wages under the jurisdiction of the Employment Tribunal.
- 3. Her claim concerns an alleged failure to pay invoiced amounts for contract work carried out. This is a commercial dispute between two business entities over payments due, not an employment matter.
- 4. Accordingly, Mrs Bak's unlawful deduction claim is dismissed for lack of jurisdiction, as it does not relate to an employment dispute that can be adjudicated by this tribunal. This is a matter properly to be pursued through the small claims/county court process.
- 5. Even if the tribunal did have jurisdiction (which it does not), Mrs Bak's claim was presented over 255 days out of statutory time limits. She provided no reasonable justification or evidence that it was not reasonably practicable to present the claim within the 3-month time limit expiring on 29 December 2023. On this basis too, the extremely delayed claim would be dismissed.

APPROVED JUDGMENT

Judge M Aspinall 7 February 2025

12 March 2025

Sent to Parties.

#### Written reasons

Reasons for the judgment having been given orally at the hearing, written reasons will not be provided unless a request was made by either party at the hearing, or a written request is presented by either party within 14 days of the sending of this written record of the decision.

#### Public access to Employment Tribunal decisions and judgments

Judgments and reasons for judgments (except those given under Rule 52) of the Employment Tribunal are published in full. These can be found online at **www.gov.uk/employment-tribunal-decisions** shortly after a copy has been sent to the parties in a case.

#### **Recording and transcription**

Where a Tribunal hearing has been recorded you may request a transcript of the recording, for which a charge may be payable. If a transcript is produced it will **not** include any oral judgment or reasons given at the hearing. The transcript will **not** be checked, approved, or verified by a judge. More information is available online at:

https://www.judiciary.uk/guidance-and-resources/employment-rules-and-legislation-practice-directions in the joint Presidential Practice Direction on the *Recording and Transcription of Hearings* and accompanying guidance.