From: Mónica Pérez

Sent: 13 March 2025 11:16

To: Section 62A Applications Non Major <section62anonmajor@planninginspectorate.gov.uk> **Subject:** Appeal to ref. num. S62A/2025/0079

Good morning,

I hope this email finds you well.

I wish to object to this planning application and explain my reasons behind this.

1. I am The height of the proposed plan would completely overshadow our Neighbours space and minimize natural light that currently have. This would both be detrimental to our surroundings within the building and to their **mental health**. No one should have to live in such an environment, especially when the property was purchased on the basis of having big windows and a lot of light shining through.

2. The proposed plan does not give clear information on what parking will be supplied to the new properties to be built. The original application form within the documents suggests there is no current parking which is not taking into consideration the car park directly opposite the plans which wraps around orchard house. This then leads to a proposed 16 spaces for vehicle/cycles. If this is a proposed 16 vehicle spaces.... Where?? If this is a proposed 16 cycle spaces, great... but my concern with this is the residents of the proposed building that own a car will then need to park somewhere and aside from an already busy Hungerford Road, this would lead to our parking spaces being taken. The parking spaces that are currently on site have been paid for within the price of each flat (if included, some flats don't have parking spaces within their leasehold). This already seems to be an issue, with residents often coming home on an evening with nowhere to park. In summary, **there is not enough parking space for the current neighbours**, so not sure how they are going to achieve fitting more cars in the current car park space.

3. The entrance to proposed site is the current entrance for orchard house. I feel this entrance is already a tricky area to manoeuvre in terms of road safety. When leaving the site by car, the pavement view is already blocked by orchard house to the right and fencing to the left. Adding in another building to the left and an adjoining building above will reduce even more light and view, causing this to be dangerous to anyone using the pavement/cycle path. This pavement is heavily used throughout the day, including by hundreds of students leaving both a secondary school and college at either end of the road. This is the only access to the site of orchard house and proposed building, so also unsure how the works to build to the side and above of this is going to be safe and provide no disturbance to others.

4. Lastly, the owners of 'stockwood land LTD' who are proposing these plans, are also the owners of 'stockwood land LTD 2' who are the freeholders of orchard house. Orchard house leaseholders are currently tied to the building and unable to sell their flats because of cladding issues and the worst possible fire risk rating through an EWS1 survey. Despite opening many communication avenues with the free holders about the issues(as well as gaining a remediation order against them to fix the issues, contact from the management company, local MP's, Homes England, our own lawyer etc) freeholders are ignoring the leaseholders and the risks associated with the building. I cannot begin to express my anger at this and the issues this has caused me, alongside their constant applications to build and adjoin to an unsafe building. This should never be allowed! Bristol city council are also very aware of these issues so should also not be accommodating any planning proposals from this company until people can live freely and safely!

and their associated companies do not build with responsibility and safety in mind, and should not be allowed to continue building and selling properties like this. Maybe if the freeholders, namely **and Bristol** and Bristol city council between them sorted out fixing the current issues with the flats, helping the people that live there currently move on with their lives without the problems hanging over them, the leaseholders may then be more amiable to further improvements on the current site moving forward.

We have **service** and we are dead worry about having a fire on our building and the consequences of it due the lack of support from the freeholders with fixing the cladding, so attaching a new building to ours, won't add a fire risk to them as well? Are we going to be the next Green Fell? we hope not only the profitability is taking into consideration, as I repeat, they are the **same freeholders of our building who are not fixing our cladding**, and the Council has the power to call them out and stop this non-sense at once!

I hope it is taken into consideration all the information provided as appeal, as it won't be an isolated building, the new building will be attached to ours, creating an extra layer of fire risk and overpopulation in our already crowded space.

Thanks for listening.

Monica Perez Garcia