From: Peter Clough

**Sent:** 14 February 2025 18:40

To: Section 62A Applications Non Major <section62anonmajor@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>

**Subject:** Application 24/04484/PINS - 8 Druid Stoke Avenue

## Planning Inspectorate Reference S62A/2024/0072

Site address: 8 Druid Stoke Avenue, Bristol BS9 1DD

## Objection

We live very close to (and within sight of) the proposed development, and object to it. It seems to us clear that the reasons for turning down the planning application made in respect of the same site and determined in 2024 still very much stand.

- 1. The proposed development would not be in keeping with the character and appearance of the area, and would fail to respect the local pattern and grain of development.
- 2. It would not appear subservient in scale, mass and form to the (beautiful) early 1900s frontage house.
- 3. The proposed house would be of an unsympathetic design and out of keeping with the immediate context and surrounding properties
- 4. The siting, scale, form, footprint, height and mass of the proposed building would be cramped, intensive and incongruous, as with the previous application.
- 5. It would be obtrusively close to the two beautiful adjacent early 1900s original houses fronting the road (and their gardens).
- 6. It would be obtrusively close to the house and garden immediately to the rear.
- 7. It would have an unacceptable overbearing impact on the neighbouring properties (including private external amenity spaces).
- 8. It would result in an unacceptable sense of enclosure, overshadowing, loss of light and outlook to the detriment of the amenity of occupiers of the neighbouring properties.
- 9. It would result in harmful levels of direct and perceived overlooking of habitable room windows and external amenity space of neighbouring properties, to the detriment of the amenity and privacy of occupiers of those properties.
- 10. The proposed house would be on a small plot, out of keeping with the surrounding properties. It would also use up almost the whole of the current garden of the beautiful frontage house, ruining its amenity and context, and would be over development of the site.

Best regards,

Peter and Anne Clough