

EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS

Claimant

Respondent

Ms A Ayorinde

V

Midshire Care Limited

On: 3 December 2024

Heard at: Birmingham by audio

Before: Employment Judge Robin Broughton

Appearances:

For Claimant: in person Respondent: Miss N Malik, solicitor

JUDGMENT

The claimant's claim of unfair dismissal fails for lack of qualifying service and is dismissed.

ORDERS

- 1. The claimant describes her race as African. She was initially employed by the respondent as a carer on a graduate temporary leave to remain visa. In October 2023, she asked the respondent if they would sponsor her for a skilled or health and care work visa on the expiry of her leave to remain.
- 2. She says she was initially encouraged by the respondent's response but there was a significant delay before she received a definitive answer which was that the respondent did not sponsor workers in her circumstances.
- 3. She had brought claims of race discrimination relating to the following circumstances:
 - a. The delay in informing her that the respondent would not sponsor her, including, potentially, that this was deliberate to ensure her continued service and
 - b. Refusing to sponsor her for a work visa
- 4. Before me today, the claimant was unable to identify how the above circumstances could amount to race discrimination.

- 5. In relation to a possible direct discrimination claim, the only comparator she referenced was also African.
- 6. It appeared that the claimant's treatment may have been unfortunate, or even unfair, but it related to her visa status rather than her race.
- 7. The claimant was unable to identify anyone of a different race treated more favourably, nor any circumstances in which someone of a different race might have been.
- 8. The respondent says that they were not in a position to meet the sponsorship requirements in any event.
- 9. In circumstances where the respondent is neither obliged, nor potentially able, to sponsor an employee into work, we were unable to identify a potential claim for indirect discrimination either.
- 10. Nonetheless, I felt it only fair to give the claimant an opportunity to reflect on our discussion, research and / or seek further advice. Accordingly, she has until 10 January 2025, to show cause why her discrimination complaints should not be struck out, including particularising
 - a. In the case of direct discrimination, why she says she was less treated less favourably than someone of a different race and why she believes the reason was her race
 - b. In the case of indirect discrimination, the policy or practice of the respondent (as opposed to that of the UK Government) that put her and other Africans at a disadvantage, compared to those of a different race.
- 11. Such particularisation should only relate to the matters already pleaded as detailed at paragraph 3 above.
- 12. I have asked the clerk to provide the claimant with our list of potential sources of advice.

Signed by :Employment Judge Broughton

Signed on : 3 December 2024

Note:

Reasons for the judgment having been given orally at the hearing, written reasons will not be provided unless a request was made by either party at the hearing or a written request is presented by either party within 14 days of the sending of this written record of the decision.