

List of LAA Audit and Assurance Activities

Version:	Issue date:	Last review date:	Owned by:
1.2	22/3/21	22/3/21	Jane Edwards

Version History

Version:	Date	Reason	
1	15/04/13	First Release	
1.1	28/10/13	Amendment of Operational Assurance name (replacing Provider Assurance) and updating Claims Analysis activities.	
1.2	22/3/21	Amendment of audits to reflect one Contract Management and Assurance team and the revised delivery of audit types	
1.3	22/01/25	Amendment to reflect current delivery of audit types	

Contents

1. Overview

2. Regular LAA Assurance Activities

3. Ad-hoc LAA Assurance Activities

1. Overview

The purpose of this document is to outline the different types of LAA Audit and Assurance activities undertaken with Legal Aid providers. This list will be updated periodically, and we will endeavour to cover all planned activities.

There may be times when exercises arise and must be scheduled in addition to those listed, we will notify providers as soon as we can in this event. The list is not meant to be exhaustive but does cover those activities which will impact the majority of providers. Activities also take place outside of those listed e.g. SQM certification provided by our external delivery partner and auditing activity related to the award of new contracts.

Additional information is provided via the following Webinars.

https://legalaidlearning.justice.gov.uk/civil-and-family-contract-visits/

https://legalaidlearning.justice.gov.uk/controlled-work/

2. Regular LAA Assurance Activities

The audit activities listed here are completed by the Contract Management and Assurance Team (CMA) on a routine basis with all providers as part of a rolling programme of assurance activity.

	LAA Assurance Activities				
Activity	Why	How	Potential Outcomes		
Contract Management Activities	Regular review by Contract Manager to ensure compliance against various contractual requirements.	Contract Management activity, including a meeting with a Contract Manager. Often these are conducted on provider premises but may be undertaken virtually, and the Contract Manager will discuss the most appropriate method. This comprises of a review of files, which may include an element of self-review and a review of processes undertaken to evidence compliance with the contract. Files may be requested electronically via Secure File Exchange. This activity can also include a review of payments against claims. Where a Contract Notice has previously been issued the Contract Manager will review specific files to ascertain whether the issues leading to the Contract Notice have now been addressed.	 Compliance established - no further action. Where this visit or data suggests a reason to investigate further the Contract Manager may commission further assurance activity such as further audit of files by the Provider (self-review) or the Legal Aid Agency or Peer Review. Recoveries of monies on erroneous claims and/or Contract Notices may be issued or sanctions imposed. Where an issue still in evidence – a repeat Contract Notice issued which may result in Sanction, including Termination. 		
Peer Review	Audit examines quality of the legal advice provided to client. The files will be	A sample of files is assessed by a qualified Peer Reviewer. Peer Reviewers are selected from a panel of independent solicitors who contract with	 Satisfactory rating of 1, 2 or 3 – no further action. 		

	requested by the Peer Review Team. Full details of the Peer Review process can be found on gov.uk.	the LAA. The focus of the review is an assessment of the quality of legal advice evidenced on file.	 A rating of 4 or 5 is below competence and further action will be taken in line with the Contract and Peer Review process
			• A rating of 4 would normally result in another review being scheduled for 6 months' time. After a second rating of 4 the LAA will consider terminating the contract.
			A rating of 5 can result in a second review being scheduled or, in some circumstances, the imposition of Contract Sanctions, including Termination.
Core Testing Programme	The LAA is required to assess the level of error in payments and applications for legal aid, and report this to the National Audit Office for independent validation. This is entirely independent from Contract Management assurance processes and is carried out by the Core Assurance and Risk Management Team. Testing occurs across all categories and	Cases are selected on a monthly basis from applications or claims that have been made in the previous six weeks. Selections are made randomly, and so can overlap with other assurance activity.	 No errors or missing evidence – no action or contact with providers. Errors or missing evidence – Providers contacted to provide extra information necessary. Right to appeal as per the contract to both the LAA and an Independent Cost Assessor if required. Errors identified are subject to claim amendment on LAA systems and

types of work (including	potential follow up by Contract
civil higher work and crown	Managers.
court work) and is	
mandatory. Claims and	
applications are reviewed	
to identify errors and how	
they have arisen, whether	
through LAA decisions or	
processes or the actions of	
a provider or applicant.	
Information from testing is	
also used to inform	
improvements to LAA	
processes, or to identify	
whether further assurance	
activity is required.	

3. Ad-hoc LAA Assurance Activities

The audit activities listed here are completed by the Contract Management and Assurance Team (CMA) on an ad-hoc basis with providers based on data or findings from previous audits.

Ad-Hoc Assurance Activities			
Activity	Why	How	Potential Outcomes
Cost Assessment Audit	Cost Assessment Audits are used to assess the general accuracy of claims across a significant time period and may be particularly relevant where there are indications that there may be multiple issues to explore. The sampling approach used means that the LAA can use these results to predict how the provider has claimed across the whole of their submissions and calculate changes to payments	A random sample of 10 to 50 files are selected. Results may be extrapolated across all claims made in the period under review.	 Right to appeal as per the contract to both the LAA and an Independent Cost Assessor if required. Compliance established – claims within sample subject to amendment on LAA systems. No further action. Compliance with issues identified - results may be extrapolated across total claims in the period and a recoupment made. Contract/Termination Notice(s) may be issued for significant or systematic breaches. Follow up audit may be carried out after 6 months to check whether any improvements highlighted have been made.

Targeted File	Targeted file reviews	The organisation's	٠	Compliance established - no further action.
Review (TFR)	are used where data suggests there is an issue and reviewing a selection of files would help to understand the cause or extent of a potential problem. The TFR focuses on the specific issues identified.	submissions will be reviewed to select appropriate files for review. The number of files selected will depend on the nature of the issue. The results are not extrapolated to other claims made by the organisation.		Further assurance activity such as further audit of files by the Provider (self-review) or the LAA or Peer Review Recoveries of monies on erroneous claims and/or Contract Notices or sanctions.

End of Document