Implementation Guide for the AI Cyber Security Code of Practice

The Department for Science, Innovation and Technology (DSIT) commissioned John Sotiropoulos, Senior Security Architect at Kainos, to create this implementation guide. Each iteration was reviewed by DSIT and National Cyber Security Centre officials.

Introduction

The growing deployment and technological advancements of Artificial Intelligence ("AI") has further reiterated the need for tailored security requirements for AI systems. The UK Government's voluntary Code of Practice provides baseline cyber security provisions for various types of AI systems. It will be used as the basis for the development of a global standard in ETSI (Technical Specification ("TS") 104 223). This document will guide stakeholders across the AI supply chain on the Code's implementation by providing non-exhaustive scenarios as well as examples of practical solutions to meet these provisions. It is possible to meet the provisions in the UK Government's Code of Practice by using other solutions not set out in this document. This document will also be submitted and used in ETSI to develop the Technical Specification's supporting implementation guide.

1. Scope

This document serves as guidance to help stakeholders across the supply chain for AI systems, particularly Developers and System Operators, to meet the cyber security provisions outlined for AI systems in the UK Government's Code of Practice (and subsequently ETSI TS 104 223). These stakeholders could include a diverse range of entities, including large enterprises and government departments, independent developers, small and medium enterprises (SMEs), charities, local authorities and other non-profit organisations. The document will also be useful for stakeholders planning to purchase AI services. Additionally, this guide has been designed to support the future development of AI cyber security standards, including specifications that could inform future assurance and certification programmes. Where relevant, this document signposts supporting specifications and international frameworks.

References

The following referenced documents can further support the application of subject areas covered in this document. This is not an exhaustive list; other documents have also been referenced in this document to assist stakeholders.

- ETSI TR 104 222 Securing Artificial Intelligence; Mitigation Strategy Report: Available at https://www.etsi.org/deliver/etsi_tr/104200_104299/104222/01.02.01_60/tr_104222v010201p.pdf
- ETSI GR SAI 002 Securing Artificial Intelligence (SAI); Data Supply Chain Security. Available at: https://www.etsi.org/deliver/etsi_gr/SAI/001_099/002/01.01_60/gr_SAI002v010101p.pdf

- ETSI TR SAI-004 Securing Artificial Intelligence (SAI); Traceability of AI Models: Available at https://www.etsi.org/deliver/etsi_tr/104000_104099/104032/01.01.01_60/tr_104032v010101p.pdf
- EU AI Act Regulation (EU) 2024/1689: Available at: <u>https://eur-lex.europa.eu/eli/reg/2024/1689/oj</u> ISO/IEC 22989 Artificial intelligence concepts and terminology: Available at <u>https://www.iso.org/standard/74296.html</u>
- ICO Artificial Intelligence: Available At https://ico.org.uk/for-organisations/advice-and-services/audits/data-protection-audit-framework/toolkits/artificial-intelligence/
- NCSC Machine Learning Principles: Available at https://www.ncsc.gov.uk/collection/machine-learning-principles
- NCSC Guidelines for Secure AI System Development: Available at https://www.ncsc.gov.uk/collection/guidelines-secure-ai-system-development
- CISA Joint Cybersecurity Information Deploying AI Systems Securely: Available at <u>https://media.defense.gov/2024/Apr/15/2003439257/-</u> 1/-1/0/CSI-DEPLOYING-AI-SYSTEMS-SECURELY.PDF
- Cyber Security Agency of Singapore Guidelines on Securing AI Systems: Available at <u>https://www.csa.gov.sg/docs/default-source/publications/2024/guidelines-on-securing-ai-systems_2024-10-15.pdf</u>
- Cyber Security Agency of Singapore Companion Guide on Securing AI Systems: Available at <u>https://www.csa.gov.sg/docs/default-source/publications/2024/companion-guide-on-securing-ai-systems_2024-10-15.pdf</u>
- MITRE ATLAS Framework: Available at https://atlas.mitre.org/
- NIST Adversarial Machine Learning Taxonomy: Available at https://csrc.nist.gov/pubs/ai/100/2/e2023/final
- OWASP AI Exchange: Available at: <u>https://owaspai.org/</u>
- OWASP Top 10 for LLM Applications: Available at: <u>https://genai.owasp.org/</u>
- OWASP Machine Learning Security Top Ten: Available at <u>https://owasp.org/www-project-machine-learning-security-top-10/</u>

When developing the voluntary Code of Practice, we also consulted with the ICO to provide consistency with ICO guidance relevant to compliance with data protection law, where applicable. Various ICO guidance can also be found in the Code of Practice.

Definition of terms, symbols and abbreviations

For the purposes of this document, the terms given in the UK Government's Code of Practice apply. There are no symbols used in this document. A list of abbreviations is provided below.

Abbreviations ADR: Architecture Decision Records AI: Artificial Intelligence API: Application Programming Interface BOM: Bill of Materials CEN/CLC: European Committee for Standardization and European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization CI/CD: Continuous Integration/Continuous Deployment. CISA: Cyber Security and Infrastructure Agency **DPIA:** Data Protection Impact Assessment DPT: Data Protection Toolkit ETSI: European Telecommunications Standards Institute **GDPR:** General Data Protection Regulation GRC: Governance Risk and Compliance ICO: Information Commissioner's Office ISO/IEC: International Organization for Standardization / International Electrotechnical Commission LLM: Large Language Models MFA: Multi-Factor Authentication ML: Machine Learning ML BOM: Machine Learning Bill of Materials MLOps: Machine Learning Operations **MITRE: MITRE Corporation** NCSC: National Cyber Security Centre NIST: National Institute of Standards and Technology NLP: Natural Language Processing **OWASP: Open Web Application Security Project RAG:** Retrieval-Augmented Generation **RBAC:** Role-Based Access Control **RL:** Reinforcement Learning RLFAI: Reinforcement Learning from AI RLHF: Reinforcement Learning from Human Feedback **RSS:** Really Simple Syndication SaaS: Software as a Service SBOM: Software Bill of Materials SHA-256: Secure Hash Algorithm 256-bit SLAs: Service Level Agreements T&Cs: Terms and Conditions WCAG: Web Content Accessibility Guidelines WORM: Write Once, Read Many

Terms Used

Adversarial AI: Describes techniques and methods that exploit vulnerabilities in the way AI systems work, for example, by introducing malicious inputs to exploit their machine learning aspect and deceive the system into producing incorrect or unintended results. These techniques are commonly used in adversarial attacks but are not a distinct type of AI system.

Adversarial Attack: An attempt to manipulate an AI model by introducing specially crafted inputs to cause the model to produce errors or unintended outcomes.

Agentic Systems: AI systems capable of initiating and executing actions autonomously, often interacting with other systems or environments to achieve their goals.

Application Programming Interface (API): A set of tools and protocols that allow different software systems to communicate and interact.

Artificial Intelligence (AI): Systems designed to perform tasks typically requiring human intelligence, such as decision-making, language understanding and pattern recognition. These systems can operate with varying levels of autonomy and adapt to their environment or data to improve performance.

Bill of Materials (BOM): A comprehensive inventory of all components used in a system, such as software dependencies, configurations, and hardware. **Data Custodian**: See definition in the Code of Practice

Data Poisoning: A type of adversarial attack where malicious data is introduced into training datasets to compromise the AI system's performance or behaviour. **Data Protection Impact Assessment (DPIA)**: A tool used in UK GDPR to assess and mitigate privacy risks associated with processing personal data in AI systems.

Embeddings: Vector representations of data (e.g., text, images) that capture their semantic meaning in a mathematical space, commonly used to improve the efficiency of search, clustering and similarity comparisons.

Evasion Attack: A type of adversarial attack where an adversary manipulates input data to cause the AI system to produce incorrect or unexpected outputs without altering the underlying model.

Excessive Agency: A situation where an AI system has the capability to make decisions or take actions beyond its intended scope, potentially leading to unintended consequences or misuse.

Explainability: The ability of an AI system to provide human-understandable insights into its decision-making process.

Feature Selection: The process of selecting a subset of relevant features (variables) for use in model training to improve performance, reduce complexity and prevent overfitting.

Generative AI: AI models that generate new content, such as text, images or audio, based on training data. Examples include image synthesis models and large language models like chatbots.

Governance Framework: Policies and procedures established to oversee the ethical, secure and compliant use of AI systems.

Guardrails: Predefined constraints or rules implemented to control and limit an AI system's outputs and behaviours, ensuring safety, reliability, and alignment with ethical or operational guidelines.

Hallucination (in AI): AI-generated content that appears factual but is incorrect or misleading. This is prevalent in LLMs, which may produce plausible sounding but inaccurate responses.

Inference Attack: A privacy attack where an adversary retrieves sensitive information about the training data, or users, by analysing the outputs of an AI model. **Large Language Model (LLM)**: A type of AI model trained on vast amounts of text data to understand and generate human-like language. Examples include chatbots and content generation tools.

Machine Learning (ML): A subset of AI where systems improve their performance on a task over time by learning from data rather than following explicit instructions.

Machine Learning Bill of Materials (ML BOM): A specialised BOM for AI systems that catalogues models, datasets, parameters and training configurations used in the development and deployment of machine learning solutions.

ML Ops (Machine Learning Operations): A set of practices and tools that streamline and standardise the deployment, monitoring and maintenance of machine learning models in production environments.

Model Extraction: An attack where an adversary recreates or approximates a proprietary AI model by querying it and analysing its outputs, potentially exposing trade secrets or intellectual property.

Model Inversion: A privacy attack where an adversary infers sensitive information about the training data by analysing the AI model's outputs.

Multimodal Models: AI models that process and integrate multiple types of data (e.g., text, images, audio) to perform tasks.

Natural Language Processing (NLP): A type of machine learning that understands, interprets, and generates human language in a way that is meaningful and useful.

Predictive (or Discriminative) AI: A type of machine learning designed to classify inputs or make predictions based on existing data. These models focus on identifying patterns and drawing distinctions, such as fraud detection or customer segmentation.

Prompt: An input provided to an AI model, often in the form of text, that directs or guides its response. Prompts can include questions, instructions, or context for the desired output.

Prompt Injection: An attacker exploits a vulnerability in AI models by using prompts that produce unintended or harmful outputs.

Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG): An AI approach that combines external knowledge retrieval (e.g., documents or databases) with prompts to language model generation to provide accurate and up-to-date responses.

Reinforcement Learning (RL): A machine learning approach where an agent learns by interacting with its environment and receiving feedback in the form of rewards or penalties.

Risk Assessment: The process of identifying, analysing and mitigating potential threats to the security or functionality of an AI system.

Sanitisation: The process of cleaning and validating data or inputs to remove errors, inconsistencies and malicious content, ensuring data integrity and security. Software Bill of Materials (SBOM): A detailed list of all software components in a system, including open-source libraries, versions and licences to ensure transparency and security.

System Prompt: A predefined input or set of instructions provided to guide the behaviour of an AI model, often used to define its tone, rules, or operational context.

Threat Modelling: A process to identify and address potential security threats to a system during its design and development phases.

Training: The process of teaching an AI model to recognise patterns, make decisions, or generate outputs by exposing it to labelled data and adjusting its parameters to minimise errors.

Web Content Accessibility Guidelines. Guidelines, as part of, internationally recognised standards for making web content more accessible to people with impairments. They are developed and maintained by the World Wide Web Consortium (W3C) under its Web Accessibility Initiative (WAI)

2. How to use this document

Purpose

The intent of this document is to help implementers of the Code (and proposed TS 104 223) understand how each provision can be met. The Code also includes some examples to contextualise certain provisions; these have been incorporated into relevant sections of this document. Recommendations in the Code are expected to be followed by AI supply chain stakeholders unless they are not applicable because of the type of model(s) used for the AI system. Specifically, it may depend on whether a stakeholder has decided to develop their own model, use, or finetune a third-party model (either directly or remotely via an API). This document specifies where a particular provision has scope restrictions.

The image below highlights that the principles have been mapped to various phases of the AI lifecycle. Importantly, some of the principles and provisions are also relevant to other phases, which has been clarified in the relevant sections. An example is principle 9, which is included under "development", but it is also very important for the "deployment" of an AI system. The examples provided to address the below scenarios are not exhaustive or limitative; it is possible to meet the provisions in the Code by using other solutions, or variants of the examples provided.



Relationship to the UK Government's Code of Practice (and future TS 104 223)

The Code sets out a detailed list of provisions based on the above thirteen principles. This document can be used (when implemented) to inform the definition of test scenarios and the development of a test plan based on the Code.

3. Guidance on implementation

Section 4 provides examples for implementing the Code's provisions based on various scenarios (outlined below) of how a stakeholder might create and use an AI system.

• Chatbot App: An organisation using a publicly available LLM via the APIs offered by the external provider to develop a chatbot for internal and customer use. This may include: 1) A large enterprise uses a publicly available LLM through an API to create chatbots for internal and customer interactions, such as answering FAQs or automating routine customer service tasks. 2) A small retail business developing and using an AI-powered chatbot to handle online shopping queries, assisting customers with product recommendations and order tracking. 3) A hospital developing and using a chatbot to provide general health advice and appointment scheduling, ensuring compliance with data privacy requirements. 4) A local council develops and uses a chatbot to provide guidance on local planning applications and handle the applications.

- ML Fraud Detection: A mid-size software company selects an open-access classification model, which they train further with additional datasets to develop and host a fraud detection system. The system is designed to identify patterns of fraudulent financial transactions based solely on transactional data. It explicitly avoids linking decisions to inferred personal characteristics, behaviours, or any factors unrelated to the context of the financial transaction. The model's primary focus is on identifying fraud patterns and does not evaluate or classify individuals' social behaviour or implement social scoring. The scenario does not encompass situations that are detrimental or unfavourable treatment of certain natural persons or groups of persons that is unjustified or disproportionate to their social behaviour or its gravity, as stipulated in Article 5(1)(c) Prohibited Practices of the <u>EU AI Act</u> (Regulation (EU) 2024/1689).
- LLM Provider: A tech company develops a new multimodal LLM capable of understanding and generating text, audio and images, providing commercial API access to developers for diverse applications, such as virtual assistants and media generation.
- Open-Access LLM. A small organisation is developing an LLM for specific use cases. This may include 1) developing an LLM for legal and contract negotiation use cases planning to release it as open-access and monetise via support agreements. 2) A law firm using the open-access LLM to combine it with their confidential casework for legal research, enabling quick identification of relevant legal precedents and statutes. to 3) A rural development organisation developing and using an open access LLM to offer farmers localised advice on crop management and pest control strategies.

The information in this document will help stakeholders to protect end-users and affected entities from vulnerabilities that could result in confidentially, integrity, or availability attacks. This includes the various threat-related examples linked to AI systems below:

- Data Poisoning, Backdoors, Model Tampering, Evasion and Supply-Chain Attacks
- Privacy Attacks, such as Model Theft, Model Extraction, Model Inversion and Inference Attacks
- Information Disclosure of Personal and Special Category Data, Confidential Business Information or System Configuration details.
- Prompt Injections, Excessive Agency and Training Data Extraction and Model Denial of Service

These are the most common examples of threats, but threats will continue to evolve and new ones will emerge. For complete taxonomies, refer to OWASP AI Exchange, MITRE ATLAS and the NIST Adversarial Attacks Taxonomy.

AI models and systems can also be misused. Although this area is out of scope of this document, there is some crossover as AI Security underpins all aspects of AI Safety and safeguards Responsible AI. As a result, this guide will help reduce the risk of AI models and systems being misused by third parties. The following measures/controls set out in this document could help to mitigate the misuse of AI systems, for example to help produce misinformation or conduct cyber attacks:

- Human Oversight Mechanisms
- Access Control and Rate-Based Permissions

- Threat Modelling
- Risk Assessment
- Documentation and Monitoring of Prohibited Cases.
- Monitoring and Logging
- Rate Limiting

While the primary focus of this guide is AI security, certain aspects of Responsible AI such as copyright violations, bias, unethical or harmful use and legal or reputational risks are included in specific sections of this guide. In the context of AI, these areas often stem from or are exacerbated by poor AI security practices; safeguarding them not only mitigates the misuse of AI but also strengthens trust and compliance in AI systems.

Privacy and data protection related legislation cover a very small part of the Code because the provisions are set across all phases of the AI lifecycle. Therefore, personal data, (although a consistent theme throughout the principles), is specified only in particular circumstances. Organisations also need to consult official regulatory guidance for regulatory compliance where appropriate, including data protection guidance issued by the ICO (and/or other relevant data regulatory bodies). Additionally, stakeholders that adhere to the Code will still need to ensure their compliance with other regulatory compliance requirements.

For the purposes of this guide, 'regularly' denotes a frequency determined by the associated risks and operational requirements of the system. This can range from continuous, daily, or weekly actions for high-risk scenarios to quarterly or annual actions for lower risk scenarios.

This document has not included content on how stakeholders can verify conformity to each provision, as we recognise that standards bodies have a distinct process for creating a conformity assessment specification that sits alongside a standard and implementation guide. We also recognise that the assurance and certification sector has a key role to play in this area.

Other Related Standards

This guide aligns with international standardisation including: *i*) *Approved standards and reports:*

- ETSI GR SAI 002 Securing Artificial Intelligence (SAI); Data Supply Chain Security.
- ETSI GR SAI 007- Securing Artificial Intelligence (SAI); Explicability and transparency of AI processing
- ETSI TR 104 222 Securing Artificial Intelligence (SAI); Mitigation Strategy Report
- ETSI TR 104 032 Securing Artificial Intelligence (SAI); Traceability of AI Models
- ETSI TR 104 225 Securing Artificial Intelligence TC (SAI); Privacy aspects of AI/ML systems
- ETSI TR 104 066 Securing Artificial Intelligence; Security Testing of AI
- ISO/IEC 22989:2022, Information technology Artificial intelligence Artificial intelligence concepts and terminology
- ISO/IEC 42001:2023, Information technology Artificial intelligence Management system
- ISO/IEC 25059:2023, Software engineering Systems and software Quality Requirements and Evaluation (SQuaRE) Quality model for AI system)

ii) Standardisation work in progress:

- ETSI TS 104 050 Securing Artificial Intelligence (SAI); AI Threat Ontology and definitions
- ISO/IEC DIS 12792, Transparency taxonomy of AI systems
- ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 42/WG 4, AI system life cycle processes
- ISO/IEC DIS 27090, Guidance for addressing security threats to artificial intelligence systems
- CEN/CLC/JTC prENXXX (WI=JT021024), AI Risk Management
- CEN/CLC/JTC prEN XXX (WI=JT021008), AI trustworthiness framework

4. Examples for implementing the Code of Practice

Principle 1: Raise awareness of AI security threats and risks			
Provisions	Related threats / risks	Example Measures/Controls	Reference/Resource
1.1 Organisations' cyber security training programme shall include AI security content which shall be regularly reviewed and updated where necessary, such as if new substantial AI- related security threats emerge.	Staff may be unaware of unique AI vulnerabilities like data poisoning, adversarial attacks, or prompt injections, leaving the system exposed to sophisticated attacks. These attack types are still being understood and evolve in areas such as generative AI and so training must keep up to date as knowledge evolves.	 Establish an AI Security Awareness Training Programme that covers basic AI concepts, threats, applicable regulations, etc. You should include guidance on how to monitor for threats and the escalation paths for reporting security concerns. 1. Chatbot App: Training on AI concepts, personal data and its regulatory implications, risks on confidential business information or system configuration, hallucinations, overreliance, and ethical use and safety; training should cover the at least the ICO and NCSC guidelines, and <u>OWASP Top 10 for LLM applications</u>. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Provide training on AI concepts and use ICO and NCSC guidelines and the <u>OWASP AI Exchange</u>, to cover ML threats such as poisoning, evasion, model extraction, model inversion, inference, and supply-chain attacks. 3. LLM Platform: provide training on AI concepts and threats including poisoning, prompt injections, safety and data protection and ICO, NCSC, guidelines; Cover <u>OWASP AI Exchange</u>, the OWASP Top 10 for LLM applications and recent reports on the risks of general-purpose systems. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Self-training on ICO Guidelines, <u>OWASP AI Exchange The OWASP Top 10 for LLM applications</u> and recent reports on the risks of general-purpose systems. 	 ISO/IEC 22989 – Artificial intelligence concepts and terminology ICO Data Protection Audit Framework ICO: Generative AI- eight questions that developers and users need to ask NCSC Machine Learning Principles, Part 1, 1.1 Raise awareness of ML threats and risks. OWASP Top 10 for LLM applications OWASP AI Exchange
1.1.1 AI security training shall be tailored to the specific roles and responsibilities of staff members.	Without tailored AI security training, staff may lack the knowledge to address role-specific risks, leading to ineffective implementation of security measures, increased vulnerability to threats, and potential misuse or mismanagement of AI systems.	 Role-Specific AI Security Training: Provide role-specific AI security training tailored to the responsibilities of each staff category. 1. Chatbot App: Train engineers on secure coding and AI-specific vulnerabilities (see 1.2.2); for CISOs. include governance frameworks, incident response strategies, and regulatory compliance, as found in ICO and NCSC guidance and the <u>OWASP LLM</u> <u>Applications Cybersecurity and Governance Checklist</u>. For Risk Officers cover relevant frameworks such as <u>NIST RMF</u>, AI threat modelling, and mitigation strategies; for IT Operations focus on implementing and maintaining security controls in production environments. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Similar approach to the Chatbot App example. 3. LLM Platform: Similar approach to the Chatbot App example. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Similar approach to the Chatbot App example. Incorporate Training on AI Threat Modelling and Red Teaming. Provide developers and other technical staff with training on threat modelling techniques and red teaming techniques tailored for AI. 	 ICO: How should we assess security and data minimisation in AI? CISA Joint Cybersecurity Information - Deploying AI Systems Securely NCSC Guidelines for Secure AI System Development NIST AI RMF OWASP LLM Applications Cybersecurity and Governance Checklist Threat Modeling Cheat Sheet - OWASP MITRE ATLAS

			Generative AI: Red Teaming
		1. Chatbot App : Training is provided to developers and Risk owners on Threat Modelling	Challenge Transparency
		incorporating threats and mitigations from OWASP Top 10 for LLM applications.	Report AI Village Defcon
		2. ML Fraud Detection: Follow the same approach as in the Chatbot App example but using	2024
		MITRE ATLAS and OWASP AI Exchange	2024
		3. LLM Platform : provide training on AI concepts and threats including poisoning, prompt	OWASP AI Exchange
		injections, and data protection MITRE ATLAS and OWASP AI Exchange; and Generative	OWASP Top 10 for LLM
		Read-teaming (GRT) approaches including the AI Village Defcon 2024 report	applications.
		4. Open-Access LLM Model: The team should use the material in the previous example.	
1.2 As part of an	AI systems face	Maintain training awareness: update training material regularly with new examples of AI	• MITRE ATLAS
Organisation's wider	evolving threats, and	threats (e.g., prompt injections, adversarial attacks) and mitigation techniques.	• OWASP Top 10 for LLM
staff training	staff who are not		applications
programme, they shall	updated regularly on	1. Chatbot App: Large organisations should conduct regular (at least annually) reviews of	applications
require all staff to	these vulnerabilities	training material and the facility to register for changes to be updated. Smaller organisations	• <u>OWASP AI Exchange</u>
maintain awareness of	may unknowingly	can rely on logging new significant developments, e.g. a new version of the <u>OWASP Top 10</u>	NIST Adversarial ML
the latest security	expose systems to	for LLM Applications and include them in knowledge sharing sessions.	Taxonomy
threats and	risks, such as	2. ML Fraud Detection: Track and train staff on new adversarial attack patterns and data	<u></u>
vulnerabilities that are	adversarial attacks or	validation techniques or ICO guidelines as they emerge from updates in <u>NIST</u> , <u>OWASP</u> , and	
AI-related. Where	personal data leaks	MITRE ATLAS taxonomies.	
available, this	which will be in	LLM Platform: As in the ML Fraud Detection example. Additionally, update training with	
awareness shall	breach of data	new research papers on AI vulnerabilities and share case studies on generative AI misuse and	
include proposed	protection	related mitigations.	
mitigations.	regulations.	Open-Access LLM Model: Update training log with risks like data memorisation and	
_	-	unauthorised data use, using curated updates from ICO, OWASP, and others, including	
		research, and utilising workshop sessions.	
1.2.1 These updates	Failure to	Disseminate Regular Security Updates and Bulletins.	• <u>ICO Newsletter</u>
should be	communicate new	1. Chatbot App: This includes AI security bulletins, newsletters (ICO, NCSC, etc.), or	NCSC News on AI
communicated through	developments	messages on knowledge-sharing platforms and communication (messaging channels) to keep	• OWASP Top 10 for LLM
multiple channels, such	through diverse	staff informed of the latest AI threats, vulnerabilities, and mitigations. Subscribe to the ICO,	A N 1 W
as security bulletins,	channels may result	OWASP, and other curated newsletters and AI Feeds with a team member responsible in	<u>Apps Newsletter</u>
newsletters, or internal	in uneven	tracking changes. Everyone should contribute to team updates via team messaging channels.	 <u>MITRE Slack Channel</u>
knowledge-sharing	dissemination of	Attend conferences and events when possible and use free or low-cost resources such as RSS	OWASP Slack Invite
platforms. This will	critical security	feeds or community forums to gain updates including new academic papers on emerging AI	
ensure broad	information, leaving	security vulnerabilities. Both the MITRE and OWSP slack channels are open to public and	
dissemination and	some staff unaware	provide excellent information on AI Security news.	
understanding among	of vulnerabilities,	2. ML Fraud Detection: As before but with an automation of curated data feeds of AI	
the staff.	mitigations, or best	Security news and content being shared and knowledge sharing sessions	
	practices, increasing	3. LLM Platform: As before, participation in events and conferences disseminating	
	the risk of oversight	learnings using a knowledge sharing process and platform	
	and security lapses.	4. Open-Access LLM Model: As with the chatbot app with the inclusion of automated feeds	
		with new LLM-related research	

1.2.2 Organisations	Without specialized	Provide secure coding training for engineers related to AI threats and incorporating	• <u>ETSI TR 104 222 –</u>
shall provide	training in secure	guidelines from OWASP, NCSC, the ETSI Mitigation Strategy report.	Securing Artificial
developers with	coding and AI system		Intelligence: Mitigation
training in secure	design, developers	1. Chatbot App: Train engineers on secure coding, including implementing input validation	Strategy Report
coding and system	may inadvertently	to mitigate prompt injections. Smaller organisations can implement this control with coding	NICT Comments for the second
design techniques	introduce	standards pointing to guidelines and using code reviews and developer mentoring as training.	• <u>NIST Secure Software</u>
specific to AI	vulnerabilities into AI	2. ML Fraud Detection: Train developers on adversarial risks and <u>OWASP AI Exchange</u> .	Development Framework
development, with a	algorithms, models,	3. LLM Platform: Similar to the Fraud Detection example, but with additional system	for Generative AI and for
focus on preventing	or supporting	design techniques to address LLM specific safety risks (e.g., model jailbreaking).	Dual Use Foundation
and mitigating security	software, increasing	4. Open-Access LLM Model: Focus on secure coding techniques compliance with LLM	Models Virtual Workshop
vulnerabilities in Al	the risk of exploits,	specific threats and ICO guidelines for handling sensitive training data. Use the small	• OWASP Top 10 for LLM
algorithms, models,	data breaches, or	organisation approach which was described in the Chatbot App section to address resource	applications
and associated	system failures.	constraints.	• OWASD ALE water as
software.			• <u>OwasP AI Exchange</u>
			<u>OWASP Secure Coding</u>
			Practices-Quick Reference
			Guide
			• <u>NCSC Secure</u>
			Development and
			Deployment Guidance.
			• NCSC Guidelines for
			Secure AI System
			<u>Development</u>

Principle 2: Design Your AI System for Security as well as Functionality and Performance				
Provisions	Related	Example Measures/Controls	Reference/Resource	
	threats/risks			
2.1 As part of deciding	Without assessing	Conduct Business Alignment Review: Review and document business requirements for the AI	• ICO: Do we need to	
whether to create an AI	whether an AI system	system to ensure that design choices align with the organisation's needs and objectives	consult the ICO?	
system, a System	is required to meet		ICO Data Protection	
Operator and/or	the business	1. Chatbot App: If the chatbot is for simple summarisation or sentiment analysis, evaluate		
Developer shall	requirements,	whether a task specific algorithm may be more appropriate than an LLM, which carries	Audit Framework	
conduct a thorough	systems may be	additional complexity and risk including regulatory risks.	• ICO: What is the impact	
assessment that	unnecessary or poorly	2. ML Fraud Detection: When decision-making transparency is a requirement, use a simpler	of Article 22 of the UK	
includes determining	suited for their	model (e.g. Gradient Boosting Model) with better explainability instead of a more complex	GDPR on fairness?	
and documenting the	environment, leading	black-box Deep Learning model.	• OWASP Top 10 for LLM	
business requirements	to lack of	3. LLM Platform: Review the business assessment to ensure the advanced multi-modal		
and/or problem they	compliance,	capabilities and complexity are required and potential risks have been considered in the	applications	
are seeking to address,	unnecessary	business assessment.		

along with potential AI security risks and mitigation strategies	complexity, increased attack surface, unexpected behaviour, and security vulnerabilities.	 4. Open-Access LLM Model: When creating a specialized LLM, evaluate complexity, data protection and security risks before deciding the route to follow. Fine-tuning a pre-built LLM is faster and simpler but may involve sharing sensitive data with the provider, raising privacy concerns and risks like unauthorized access or compliance issues (e.g., UK GDPR). Building a model from scratch offers greater control but can be complex and it requires robust internal controls, such as encrypted storage and access management, to safeguard training data. Both approaches demand careful evaluation to prevent data breaches and ensure regulatory compliance. <i>Perform Risk Assessment: Conduct and document an AI-specific risk assessment covering data classifications, logging risks of personal data and their mitigations in DPIAs. Cover expected data volume, types of integration, the model's complexity, architecture, and number of parameters. For more information on these risk factors see the NCSC Principles for Machine Learning and NCSC Guidelines for Secure AI system development.</i> 1. Chatbot App: Focus the assessment on use of internal data and their classification, safety and abuse, reputational and legal risks if the chatbot provides misleading or inappropriate content. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Use a standardised assessment template, such as the ICO's AI Data Protection Risk Toolkit, to record AI risk variables and risk scores. Include in other relevant factors such as interpretability and regulatory compliance experts to understand sector-specific compliance requirements including e.g. PCI DSS, and FCA standards to ensure guidance. Ensure solution is compliant with the provisions of EU AI Act, 5(1)(c) in particular. 3. LLM Platform: In addition to using ICO's AI Data Protection Risk Toolkit, factor in regulations, legislations and guidelines in targeted markets and cover copyright violation risks as well as emerging new risks identified in recent reports on the risks of general-purpos	 EU AI Act Explorer ICO Data Protection Audit Framework ICO AI Data Protection Risk Toolkit MITRE ATLAS NCSC Risk management International Scientific Report on the Safety of Advanced AI: Interim Report NCSC Principles for Machine Learning OWASP Top 10 for LLM applications
		 <u>KISK TOOKIL</u>, OWASP AT Security Guidennes, and <u>MITRE ATLAS</u> to structure assessments. your responsibilities Integrate Risk Management and Governance Frameworks: Embed AI system assessments within both a formal Risk Management Framework (RMF) and the AI governance structure to ensure thorough risk evaluation, consistent mitigation, and monitoring before key organisational decisions. 1. Chatbot App: Implement <u>NIST AI RMF</u> and use it to assess the application as part of the framework's structured approach which includes defining purpose and risk objectives, risk 	 <u>NIST AI RMF</u> <u>NIST AI RMF Playbook</u> <u>NIST AI RMF Crosswalk</u> <u>Documents</u>

		 categorisation, risk assessment, control selection, implementation, monitoring, and review. Extend existing organisational governance with check lists and guidelines on how to review proposed AI solutions and criteria to escalate reviews to a full risk assessment for high-impact systems or models involving security, legal, compliance, and business units. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Review <u>NIST AI RMF</u> to see whether it can be helpful in standardising your risk AI assessments and how to interface it with other GRC processes you have in your organisation. 3. LLM Platform: See Chatbot App example. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: This is not applicable to this example due to the size of the team. Instead, the team documents in their Wiki page how they perform risk assessments. 	 ICO Accountability and Governance Implications for AI European AI Alliance: Implementing AI Governance: from Framework to Practice OWASP AI Security Centre of Excellence Guide
2.1.1 Where the Data Custodian is part of a Developers organisation, they shall be included in internal discussions when determining the requirements and data needs of an AI system.	Failure to include the Data Custodian in discussions about AI system requirements and data needs may result in non- compliance with data governance policies, inappropriate data usage, or insufficient safeguards for sensitive data, increasing the risk of data breaches or regulatory violations.	 Ensure collaboration with the Data Custodian: during the design and development phases to define data requirements to identify regulatory compliance requirements. Ensure that Data Custodians are able to balance additional risks to data that come from the AI system with intended mitigations and the business need. 1. Chatbot App: Include data governance checklists as part of design discussions. Schedule workshops with Data Custodians, developers, and security staff to ensure ongoing alignment on data needs, compliance requirements, and data access implications. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Include Data Custodian reviews and feature signoffs when using personal data; provide explanations of how data will be used, risks such as memorisation, extraction and inference, and options to safeguard use. 3. LLM Platform: Align with internal governance processes to involve Data Custodians in defining data usage and ensuring, compliance. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Define who is the data custodian in your team and have them work with the rest of the team to perform and document DPIAs that are reviewed as part of the design process. Review the ICO guidelines to ensure the acting Data Custodian is performing their role in compliant manner. 	 <u>ICO's AI Data Protection</u> <u>Toolkit</u> <u>NIST AI RMF</u> <u>NIST AI RMF Playbook</u>
2.2 Developers and System Operators shall ensure that AI systems are designed and implemented to withstand adversarial AI attacks, unexpected inputs and AI system failure.	Organisations may not always be successful in preventing breaches and so defence in depth requires assuming and handling some level of compromise which if undocumented will be in breach of data privacy regulation.	 Apply Secure by Design Principles: Integrate security into the AI system's design phase by conducting threat modelling. Threat modelling covers both traditional cyber threats and AI-specific ones that might be introduced by the design choices. Incorporate standardized security controls in the system design controls to mitigate risks. Document each standardized control used in the design phase, and ensure it is integrated with specific test cases to verify its effectiveness during system testing. Ensure monitoring controls as well as incident response and recovery from failures are addressed in threat mitigation and they are documented in the system's design. 1. Chatbot App: Use threat modelling to identify risks specific to the chatbot app; apply controls for general application security and ones relevant to <u>OWASP Top 10 for LLM</u> applications, such as implementing input validation to prevent prompt injection attacks to the LLM it uses as well as preventing sensitive data exposure. 	 <u>CISA Joint Cybersecurity</u> <u>Information - Deploying</u> <u>AI Systems Securely</u> <u>CSA Companion Guide</u> <u>on Securing AI Systems</u>, Section 2.2.1 Planning and Design. <u>MITRE ATLAS</u> <u>MITRE ATLAS</u> <u>MITRE ATT&CK</u> <u>NCSC Secure Design</u> <u>Principles</u>

		 2. ML Fraud Detection: In addition to application security controls, incorporate controls in your design for relevant predictive adversarial AI attacks such as poisoning, evasion, model extraction, and other privacy attacks. Use OWASP AI exchange as your threats and controls reference. 3. LLM Platform: Use both <u>MITRE ATT&CK</u> and <u>ATLAS</u> to perform threat modelling of both model development and operation, addressing threats from adversarial AI attacks, especially ones related to LLMs such as poisoning and safety measures to prevent jailbreaking, data extraction, and unsafe use. Review customer-facing APIs and include them in threat modelling with usage scenarios. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Use a similar approach in the LLM Provider example, focusing on training but also how others might use the model and the safeguards it needs to have in place to protect them. Follow a lightweight approach to Threat Modelling as part of your design and use either <u>MITRE ATLAS</u> or <u>OWASP AI Exchange</u> as your threats and controls library. 	 <u>NCSC Principles for</u> <u>Machine Learning</u> <u>NCSC Guidelines for</u> <u>Secure AI system</u> <u>development</u>. <u>OWASP AI Exchange</u>
2.3 To support the process of preparing data, security auditing and incident response for an AI system, Developers shall document and create an audit trail in relation to the AI system. This shall include the operation, and life cycle management of models, datasets and prompts incorporated into the system.	A lack of audit trails can lead to untraceable changes or unauthorised adjustments, complicating incident response, forensic investigations, and regulatory compliance.	 Automated Audit Trails for ML Operations (MLOPs) and System changes: Implement automated logging for all critical operations related to model training, dataset changes, prompts and parameter adjustments. For critical systems with compliance requirements, use WORM (write-once, read-many) storage to store logs, ensuring they remain tamper-proof and accessible for audits. 1. Chatbot App: Use a version control system to system prompt and prompt all changes with related documentation. If the model provider's API is used for fine-tuning, ensure the training and testing datasets are logged. For RAG workflows, track the embeddings generated, log metadata about retrieved data (e.g., query terms, document IDs), and maintain versioned snapshots of smaller reference datasets used in retrieval to ensure traceability and reproducibility. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Use an ML Ops platform or MLOps functionality in cloud platforms to enforce versioning by tracking of all changes for models, prompts, and other experimentation. Compliment MLOps with data life cycle management tools to implement similar versioning for datasets, storing details as to what data was used to train or test the system. 3. LLM Platform: Use the same approach described in the ML Fraud Detection example. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Use open-source tools for tracking and automating workflows, such as versioning datasets, model configurations, and changes through APIs as part of your regular workflows. 	 CSA Companion Guide on Securing AI Systems, Section 2.2.1 Planning and Design. ml-ops.org : MLOps Principles NCSC Principles for Machine Learning, Part 1, Secure Design. NCSC Guidelines for Secure AI System Development, Secure Design. OWASP AI Exchange
2.4 If a Developer or System Operator uses an external component they shall conduct an AI security risk assessment and due diligence process in line with their existing	Third-party components introduce risks through possible vulnerabilities in the external vendor's security practices which may not be to	Security Due-Diligence for External Components: Mandate a risk assessment process before a component (including external models) can be used, covering provenance, known risks, and when personal data is used a DPIA. Safeguard provenance by mandating in internal standards that components can only be sourced by trusted and approved sources, documenting source, version, licencing, history, and other related artifacts (e.g. Model Card for models); use checksums to verify integrity	 ETSI GR SAI 002 - Data Supply Chain Security NIST - Cybersecurity Supply Chain Risk Management

software development processes, that assesses AI specific risks.	the same standard as your own. This includes operating systems and libraries, container images, programming packages as well as models and datasets.	 Chatbot App: Review documentation including known vulnerabilities and run automated vulnerability scans against application and platform packages; consult published documentation and benchmarks or run your own against the LLM model used by the chatbot. Include embedding models in your diligence if you are using them to generate embeddings as part of RAG, instead of APIs. ML Fraud Detection: As in the Chatbot app example, but with additional diligence for the third-party base model. Consult model documentation and use tools to scan for vulnerabilities such as serialization attacks. Store approved models and components in an internal repository, 	 <u>NCSC Supply Chain</u> <u>Security Guidance</u> <u>OWASP AI Exchange</u> <u>OWASP Top 10 for LLM</u> <u>applications - LLM03</u> <u>Supply-Chain</u> Vulnerabilities
	Large models contain general purpose functionality, you will need to work to ensure that specific risks you care about are mitigated or otherwise managed.	 ensuring they are the only ones used in production. Set up alerts for changes or security notifications for the external components. 3. LLM Platform: Similar to Fraud Detection example but include auxiliar models that you may be using. For instance, smaller models to provide embeddings API for RAG use cases and RL models for RLHF and RLFAI in your finetuning. External datasets are of critical importance and need to be evaluated for copyright, privacy, bias, and ethical risks. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Automate scans for components and models you use (foundation for fine tuning, auxiliary for testing RAG, RL for RLHF and RLFAI scenarios) and ensure they are from trusted sources. Review external datasets sourced only from reputable sources and use automated tools to detect bias, personal data, and copyright issues. 	
2.5 Data Custodians shall ensure that the intended usage of the system is appropriate to the sensitivity of the data it was trained on as well as the controls intended to ensure the security of the data.	Misalignment between the intended usage of the AI system and the sensitivity of the data it was trained on can result in inappropriate data exposure, inadequate security controls, and regulatory non- compliance, leading to potential data breaches and misuse of personal data or other confidential information.	 Ensure Data Custodian Assurance: Require Data Custodians to review system's intended usage and the data security controls to ensure compliance and balancing these risks with business needs. 1. Chatbot App: Review chatbot use cases with Data Custodian, access and usage policies and ensure they are aligned with the DPIA. 2. ML Fraud Detection: As in the Chatbot app, but including data used for training, data memorisation, inversion and inference risks, and the implications of UK GDPR Article 22 for automated fraud detection. 3. LLM Platform: Similar to the Fraud Detection example without the need for Article 22 but integrating Data Custodian review to governance with a multi-disciplinary board including legal and data protection experts to sign off. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Ensure the acting Data Custodian reviews with the rest of the team the design and plan and ensures it's compliant and aligned with the DPIA and that you have sufficient controls to mitigate personal data leakage through training data extraction and prompt injection attacks. 	 ICO: UK GDPR Guidance and Resources ICO: What is the impact of Article 22 of the UK GDPR on fairness? NCSC: Protecting bulk personal data GDPR Compliance Guidelines by EU Commission ISO/IEC 27001: Information Security Management Systems
2.5.1 Organisations should ensure that employees are encouraged to proactively report and identify any potential security risks in AI systems and ensure	A lack of proactive reporting and identification of security risks in AI systems can lead to undetected vulnerabilities, increasing the	 Support proactive reporting of security risks. Establish a clear, accessible process for employees to report potential security risks in AI systems, encourage a culture of proactive risk identification by providing training, communication channels, transparent handling, and recognition for reporting issues. 1. Chatbot App: Develop an incident reporting template specifically for chatbot-related risks (e.g., sensitive data leakage or inappropriate responses). Use collaborative tools (e.g., messaging channels) to establish a dedicated risk-reporting channel. 	 <u>ICO: Reporting Processes</u> <u>ICO: Breach</u> identification, assessment and logging

appropriate safeguards are in place	likelihood of security breaches, data leaks, or misuse of AI, with potentially significant operational, financial, and reputational consequences.	 2. ML Fraud Detection: Train employees to identify potential risks such as biases in fraud detection or false positives/negatives. Provide an anonymous reporting mechanism for concerns and include follow-ups on how identified risks are addressed. 3. LLM Platform: Create a centralised risk registry for employees to log concerns about API misuse, data exposure, or unexpected system outputs. Provide regular updates on how identified risks are managed and mitigated. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Provide a checklist for team members and external contributors to log risks as tickets in team's work management board. Review reported risks as part of the work and ensure resolution steps are documented and shared. 	 <u>NCSC - Developing a</u> <u>positive cyber security</u> <u>culture</u> <u>NCSC Responding to a</u> <u>cyber incident – a guide</u> <u>for CEOs</u>
2.6 Where the AI system will be interacting with other systems or data sources, (be they internal or external), Developers and System Operators shall ensure that the permissions granted to the AI system on other systems are only provided as required for functionality and are risk assessed.	There is huge potential and interest in "agentic systems", where an AI system can decide and conduct its own actions, typically through integrations with other systems. However, as the actions an AI system may take are not fully predictable, and may be coerced by an attacker, extreme care must be taken when provisioning accounts or other access that the AI system will use. Failure to do this robustly might introduce the potential for unauthorised access, data exfiltration, and privilege escalation.	 Least-privilege access to data and systems accessed by AI System. Mandate a risk assessment process before a component can be used covering provenance, known risks, and evaluations. Ensure that the assessment covers all possible model states, not just the designed or expected ones. 1. Chatbot App: If app uses external services to enrich LLM input or drive systems (for instance a booking system), implement data minimisation and granular least-privilege access policies for integration endpoints. Examine what would happen if the proposed integrations are used in the wrong order or for unintended purposes. Review against Excessive Agency as defined in OWASP Top 10 for LLM Applications and consider listing all the proposed integrations and their permissions and asking a security specialist what harm they could cause the organization if given those permissions and integrations. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Review and test the data inputs used (including data preprocessing and enrichment) and ensure only the required data is used. Evaluate side-effects if model outputs (predictions) are used to drive downstream services e.g. automated processes. 3. LLM Platform: If the system allows adding extra features, such as plugins or connections to other tools (e.g., a calendar app, an API, or an email service), test how these features work together and check for risks. For example, ensure that the system doesn't produce harmful or incorrect results when someone uses these extra features, like accessing confidential data, executing system commands, or sending misleading emails. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: The small organisation follows a similar approach to the LLM Provider but tailored to its workflows and level of resources. 	 ICO: Assessing security and data minimisation in AI. MITRE ATLAS: Privilege Escalation NCSC – Using a cloud platform securely - Apply access control NCSC Zero trust architecture design principles NIST AI RMF OWASP Top 10 for LLM Applications: Excessive Agency
2.7 If a Developer or System Operator chooses to work with an external provider, they shall undertake a due diligence	Collaborating with external providers without assessing their adherence to CoP can lead to increased	 Security Review of External Providers: Verify the external provider's implementation of the Code of Practice with the external provider and their overall regulatory compliance. 1. Chatbot App: Ask your cloud, LLM, and other providers to provide evidence of CoP compliance 	DSIT: AI Cybersecurity Code of Practice

assessment and should ensure that the provider is adhering to this Code of Practice.	vulnerabilities, such as lack of regulatory compliance, insecure systems, or inadequate response protocols, which could compromise the entire system's security.	 2. ML Fraud Detection: Ask your cloud or other service providers to provide evidence of CoP adherence. 3. LLM Platform: Follow the same approach as the ML Fraud Detection example. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Ask the cloud and other service providers for evidence of CoP adherence; In the absence of specific CoP adherence documentation, review provider documentation to ascertain adherence and document your findings in a wiki page. 	
Principie 5: Evaluate th	Deleted	Exemple Mecauree/Controls	Deference/Decourse
Provisions	threats/risks	Example Measures/Controls	Kelerence/Resource
3.1 Developers and System Operators shall analyse threats and manage security risks to their systems. Threat modelling should include regular reviews and updates and address AI-specific attacks, such as data poisoning, model inversion, and membership inference.	AI systems face unique threats, such as data poisoning, model inversion, and membership inference attacks, which traditional threat models may not account for. New threats will emerge that will need to be incorporated in threat modelling and risk management.	 Perform Threat Modelling including AI threats: Apply threat modelling that captures potential impacts on stakeholders including both AI and traditional cyberattacks. Document each identified threat in detail, outlining the likelihood and severity of potential impacts to the AI model and the broader system and list mitigations using standardised OWASP or MITRE controls.Both OWASP AI Exchange or MITRE ATLAS provide threat taxonomies and related mitigations which both types of attacks and you can use them in threat modelling. If your AI system processes or was built on personal data ICO's guidance on AI and security is useful to consult for regulatory compliance. 1. Chatbot App: Map threats and data flows for the app focusing on traditional and LLM threats. Include un-used functionality of the chosen models or components. For instance, if a multi-modal model is being used just for language, then model the risks if someone were to conduct attacks or abuse through giving it images. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Include both the inference system and the development environment to cover poisoning, model tampering, serialisation attacks in addition to run-time attacks such as evasion, extraction, inversion, and inference. 3. LLM Platform: Follow the approach described in Fraud Detection, but with focus on generative AI risks such as overreliance, jailbreaking the LLM, and their safety, ethical, social, and regulatory consequences. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: The small organisation performs the same type of modelling as in the previous LLM Platform example. 	 ICO: Assessing security and data minimisation in AI. NIST AI RMF – AI RMF Core - Map NCSC Risk Management – Threat Modelling OWASP: Threat Modelling Process MITRE ATLAS OWASP Top 10 for LLM applications OWASP AI Exchange
5.1.1 The threat modelling and risk management process shall be conducted to address any security risks that arise when a new setting or configuration option is	ranure to conduct threat modelling and risk management when implementing or updating settings or configurations during the AI lifecycle can lead to	 Conduct Inreat Modelling for Configuration Changes Description: Perform threat modelling whenever settings or configurations are implemented or updated to identify and mitigate security risks throughout the AI lifecycle. 1. Chatbot App: When enabling or modifying user feedback options, assess potential risks, such as injection attacks through input fields, and implement mitigations like input validation and sanitisation. 	 <u>NIST – Guide to Data-</u> <u>Centric System Threat</u> <u>Modelling</u> <u>NCSC – Introduction to</u> <u>Logging for Security</u> <u>Purposes</u>

implemented or	unmitigated security	2 MI Fraud Dataction: When feature selection or detection thresholds change or new	• OWASD Top 10 for ADIa
updated at any stage of	vulnerabilities such	applocation risk tables are deployed, avaluate risks like adversarial avasion attacks. Develop	
the AL lifecycle	as configuration	safeguards such as monitoring for unusual patterns and conducting stress tests on	- API4:2019 Lack of
the Al meeyere.	as configuration	configurations	Resources & Rate
	unenticipated attack	2 I I M Distform: When changing user authentication settings, evaluate threats for	<u>Limiting</u>
		5. LLIVI Flationin. When changing user authentication settings, evaluate threats for	OWASP Threat
	the risk of		Modelling in Practice
	the risk of	logging.	Modening in Flactice
	exploitation and	4. Open-Access LLM Model: When modifying the model's configuration to allow	
	system compromise.	community contributions or plugins, assess and mitigate risks such as the introduction of	
		malicious code or unintended functionalities.	
3.1.2 Developers shall	Allowing AI models	Restrict Superfluous Functionalities: Limit AI model functionalities to those essential for the	 <u>NCSC Secure Design</u>
manage the security	to retain superfluous	system's purpose to reduce the attack surface and minimise security risks associated with	Principles
risks associated with	functionalities that	unused features.	• OWASP AI Top 10 API
AI models that provide	are not required for		Socurity Dicks 2022
superfluous	the system's purpose	1. Chatbot App: If the chatbot is implemented for text-based customer support, use	Security KISKS - 2025
functionalities, where	can introduce	guardrails or API blocking to disable or restrict any access to unused multimodal capabilities,	• <u>OWASP Top 10 for LLM</u>
increased functionality	unnecessary security	such as speech-to-text or text-to-speech features to prevent unintended interactions or	Applications: Excessive
leads to increased risk.	risks, such as	vulnerabilities.	Agency
For example, where a	expanded attack	2. ML Fraud Detection: If the system only analyses transactional data, remove or disable	Building Guardrails for
multi-modal model is	surfaces, increased	unnecessary model features, such as image processing or location-based predictions to	Large Large Medele
being used but only	vulnerability to	minimise risks and complexity. Only enable advanced features, such as the use of RL	Large Language Models
single modality is used	exploitation, and	algorithm to explore a complex space, if the security implications are fully understood.	
for system function.	potential misuse of	3. LLM Platform : Provide different APIs for text from ones including advanced capabilities	
5	unused features.	like multimodal input (e.g., image processing) for application only uses text. Provide specific	
	compromising the	voices in text to voice scenarios instead of allowing voice cloning.	
	overall security of the	4. Open-Access LLM Model: Since the focus is for legal advice and contract negotiation.	
	system.	implement safety measures to disable general purpose or other use. Provide lightweight	
		documentation to users about the rationale and security benefits of these restrictions.	
		Integrate Threat Modelling with AI Governance: Require completed threat models for	OWASP Threat
		governance approval at critical stages of the AI lifecycle, ensuring documented risk	Modelling Playbook
		understanding and mitigation before deployment providing support and guidance and	<u>Wodening Playbook</u>
		ensuring cross-discipline input (ethics, privacy, legal, etc) to threat modelling.	• <u>NIST AI RMF</u> – AI RMF
			Core
		1. Chatbot App: Establish governance policies that mandate a formal review of the threat	• NCSC Risk Management
		model including stakeholder and impact assessments prior to each major system deployment	– Cybersecurity Risk
		Provide a standardised threat modelling template using standard notation e.g. STRIDE or	Managamant Framawork
		PASTA with AI threats found in MITRE ATLAS or OWASP AI Exchange	Management Flamework
		2. ML Fraud Detection: Introduce the need for a threat model as part of deployment	
		approval	
		3 LLM Platform . As in the Chat Bot App, with the addition of a formal multi-disciplinary	
		threat model review before approval	
		4 Open-Access I I M Model: This is not applicable to small organisations; instead, facilitate	
		threat model by using reusable standardised templates in free diagrammatic tools	
manage the security risks associated with AI models that provide superfluous functionalities, where increased functionality leads to increased risk. For example, where a multi-modal model is being used but only single modality is used for system function.	functionalities that are not required for the system's purpose can introduce unnecessary security risks, such as expanded attack surfaces, increased vulnerability to exploitation, and potential misuse of unused features, compromising the overall security of the system.	 system's purpose to reduce the attack surface and minimise security risks associated with unused features. 1. Chatbot App: If the chatbot is implemented for text-based customer support, use guardrails or API blocking to disable or restrict any access to unused multimodal capabilities, such as speech-to-text or text-to-speech features to prevent unintended interactions or vulnerabilities. 2. ML Fraud Detection: If the system only analyses transactional data, remove or disable unnecessary model features, such as image processing or location-based predictions to minimise risks and complexity. Only enable advanced features, such as the use of RL algorithm to explore a complex space, if the security implications are fully understood. 3. LLM Platform: Provide different APIs for text from ones including advanced capabilities like multimodal input (e.g., image processing) for application only uses text. Provide specific voices in text to voice scenarios instead of allowing voice cloning. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Since the focus is for legal advice and contract negotiation, implement safety measures to disable general purpose or other use. Provide lightweight documentation to users about the rationale and security benefits of these restrictions. Integrate Threat Modelling with AI Governance: Require completed threat models for governance approval at critical stages of the AI lifecycle, ensuring documented risk understanding and mitigation before deployment providing support and guidance and ensuring cross-discipline input (ethics, privacy, legal, etc) to threat modelling. 1. Chatbot App: Establish governance policies that mandate a formal review of the threat model, including stakeholder and impact assessments, prior to each major system deployment. Provide a standardised threat modelling template using standard notation e.g. STRIDE or PASTA with AI threats found in MITRE ATLAS or OWASP AI Exchange. 3. LLM Platform: As in the Chat Bot App, with the	 <u>Principles</u> <u>OWASP AI Top 10 API</u> Security Risks - 2023 <u>OWASP Top 10 for LLM</u> Applications: Excessive Agency <u>Building Guardrails for</u> Large Language Models <u>OWASP Threat</u> Modelling Playbook <u>NIST AI RMF</u> – AI RMF Core <u>NCSC Risk Management</u> – Cybersecurity Risk Management Framework

3.1.3 System Operators shall apply controls to risks identified through the analysis based on a range of considerations, including the cost of implementation in line with their corporate risk tolerance.	When risk tolerance is not clearly defined in the context of AI- specific risks such as data poisoning or model misuse, this could result into Inadequately prioritized controls leading to breaches, operational disruptions, or	 Develop a Prioritisation Framework for AI Risk Controls: Use an AI-specific risk-scoring system to prioritise mitigations and controls based on the impact of threats, likelihood of occurrence, and in alignment with organizational risk tolerance. This should account for regulatory risk, including data protection, and cover AI-specific vulnerabilities, such as adversarial manipulation, model drift, and bias. 1. Chatbot App: Indirect Prompt Injections and Bias are rated as High when the app is used for recruitment but Low when used for summarisation of internal documentation. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Poisoning Backdoor and Evasion attacks are prioritised as High to avoid costly fraudulent transactions. 3. LLM Platform: AI risks identified for general-purpose models are elevated as High including copyright violations which may result into legal liability 	 <u>NIST AI RMF Playbook</u> <u>NIST AI RMF, Use</u> <u>Cases, Autonomous</u> <u>Vehicle Risk</u> <u>Management Profile for</u> <u>Traffic Sign Recognition</u>
3.2 Where AI security threats are identified that cannot be resolved by Developers, this shall be communicated to System Operators so they can threat model their systems. System Operators shall communicate this information to End- users, so they are made aware of these threats. This communication should include detailed descriptions of the risks, potential impacts, and recommended	unethical decision- making. Without clear communication on unresolved risks, System Operators and End-users may lack awareness, limiting their ability to apply safeguards effectively.	 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Follow a similar approach to the LMM Platform example. <i>Document and Communicate Identified Unresolved Risks: Ensure clear documentation and timely communication of any unresolved threats to all relevant stakeholders.</i> 1. Chatbot App: If the app performs document summarisation, the application maybe vulnerable to indirect prompt injection. Ensure system operators are aware so that they can introduce mitigations such PDF checks and reviews. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Notify system operators to develop real-time monitoring of inputs for suspicious patterns to cover residual evasion attack risks 3. LLM Platform: Document public API documentation with known risks and how to mitigate them. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Inform model users about potential risks like model misuse for generating biased or harmful outputs, and document recommended safeguards such as usage guidelines or implementing content moderation mechanisms. 	 <u>NIST AI RMF Playbook</u> <u>NIST AI RMF, Use</u> <u>Cases, Autonomous</u> <u>Vehicle Risk</u> <u>Management Profile for</u> <u>Traffic Sign Recognition</u>
3.3 Where an external entity has responsibility for AI security risks identified within an organisations infrastructure, System Operators should attain assurance that these	Reliance on third parties without adequate verification could expose the AI system to unmanaged vulnerabilities.	 Conduct AI-Specific Security Assessments for Third Parties: Ensure third-party components and vendors undergo security assessments that specifically address AI-related risks and adherence with the AI Code of Practice. 1. Chatbot App: Request from the model provider to provide assurances addressing specific AI risks, such as model safety and secure data handling. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Require similar assurances similar to the Chatbot App example but from external data providers to ensure data used for training is handled responsibly and securely 	 ISO 9001 - What does it mean in the supply chain? NCSC Supply chain security guidance NCSC Cloud Security Guidance - Choosing a cloud provider

parties are able to address such risks.		 3. LLM Platform: See the ML Fraud Detection Example. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Implement a lightweight approach by focusing on key external dependencies. For example, request a simple self-assessment checklist from any external providers (e.g., cloud hosting, pre-trained models, or APIs) to ensure they address basic AI risks such as data privacy, model integrity, and adherence to security standards. Limit reliance on complex external integrations to reduce potential vulnerabilities. 	World Economic Forum: Adopting AI Responsibly: <u>Guidelines for</u> Procurement of AI Solutions by the Private <u>Sector: Insight Report</u>
S.4 Developers and System Operators should continuously monitor and review their system infrastructure according to risk appetite. It is important to recognise that a higher level of risk will remain in AI systems despite the application of controls to mitigate against them.	Residual risk can be exploited by malicious actors, especially as evolving threats introduce new vulnerabilities or amplify existing ones, leading to potential breaches, disruptions, or compromised AI integrity.	 <i>Listabush Commuous AI Kisk Monitoring Controls: Implement a regular review processes of AI developments to determine whether emerging vulnerabilities, improved mitigation techniques, or advancements in AI models necessitates updates to the risk assessment controls.</i> 1. Chatbot App: Threat intelligence feeds report that prompt injection attacks, including advanced techniques like using emoticons to bypass safety measures, have suddenly gained popularity in the hacking community. As websites and applications face widespread probing for these vulnerabilities, the organization mitigates the risk by developing and deploying inhouse guardrails to detect and block such attacks. 2. ML Fraud Detection: An updated version of the third-party model used for fraud-detection includes additional adversarial training to withstand evasions, leading to its selection, fine tuning and deploying the new version. 3. LLM Platform: A new government report highlights risks associated with audio generation, such as the potential for voice cloning to bypass voice authentication systems. In response, the platform develops new safeguards to prevent misuse of its audio generation capabilities. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Review of a new research paper demonstrates a novel attack vector to jailbreak model, necessitating the development of additional safety features. 	 <u>MITRE AI Risk Database</u> <u>NIST SP 800-137</u> <u>Information Security</u> <u>Continuous Monitoring</u> (ISCM) for Federal <u>Information Systems and</u> <u>Organizations</u> <u>NCSC Early Warning</u> <u>NCSC – Threat</u> <u>Intelligence</u>
Principle 4: Enable hun	nan responsibility for A	I systems	Defenerac/Decourse
FTOVISIONS	threats/risks	Example Measures/Controls	Kelerence/Kesource
4.1 When designing an AI system, Developers and/or System Operators should incorporate and	Without built-in human oversight, AI systems will generate incorrect outputs or decisions that are	<i>Implement Mechanisms for Human Oversight:</i> Control: Implement features that allow human operators to easily interpret, verify, and act on AI outputs, including manual release and overrides. Ensure that the design meet obligations around automated decisions in the UK GDPR Article 22 and encourages meaningful human decision-making rather than passive acceptance of AI recommendations.	 <u>ICO Audit Framework</u> toolkit on AI - Human review <u>ICO Guidance on AI and</u>

Operators snould	incorrect outputs or	UK GDPK Article 22 and encourages meaningful numan decision-making rather than	
incorporate and	decisions that are	passive acceptance of AI recommendations.	• ICO Guidance on AI and
maintain capabilities to	difficult to interpret,		Data Protection - What is
enable human	verify, or override,	1. Chatbot App: For new features that allow the chatbot to take autonomous actions, such	the impact of Article 22 of
oversight.	increasing risks of	as scheduling appointments or order office supplies, an override control has been applied to	the UK GDPR on fairness?
	data protection	cancel appointments or orders, because of its low to moderate impact. By contrast a feature	• CSA Companion Guide on
	compliance,	to provide personalised packages to customers, has high reputational and legal risks, as a	Securing AI Systems
	unintended	result manual release control has been implemented with an operator review-and-approve	Section 2.2.4 Operations
	consequences,	interface.	Section 2.2.4 Operations
	1 /		and Maintenance.

	misuse, or harmful impacts	 2. ML Fraud Detection: Explanation techniques such as SHAP (SHapley Additive exPlanations) or LIME (Local Interpretable Model-agnostic Explanations) are implemented, to enable operators to understand the key factors behind each decision and intervene, if necessary, in compliance with UK GDPR Article 22, which grants individuals the right not to be subject to decisions based solely on automated processing that produce legal effects concerning them or that significantly affect them. 3. LLM Platform: Incorporate a feature that allows human moderators to review and approve AI-generated content before publication, ensuring outputs align with ethical guidelines and community standards. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Provide users with tools to flag and report inappropriate or harmful AI-generated content, facilitating human oversight and continuous improvement of the model's outputs. 	 <u>NISTIR 8312: Four</u> <u>Principles of Explainable</u> <u>Artificial Intelligence</u> <u>NCSC Machine Learning</u> <u>Principles.</u> <u>NCSC Guidelines for Secure</u> <u>AI system development</u>, Secure Operation and Maintenance.
		<i>Measure and Validate Accuracy of Human Oversight Decisions:</i> Regularly test and measure the accuracy of human oversight decisions, validating that operators can correctly interpret and act on AI outputs and identifying areas for improvement. Assess not just individual performance but how the system supports human understanding and engagement to foster effective sociotechnical communication between the operator and AI.	 ICO Audit Framework toolkit on AI - Human review ICO: Explaining Decisions made with AI NISTIP 8312: Four
		 candidates who were automatically flagged as unsuitable by the AI. Assess whether human operators correctly validated or overrode these decisions. Identify patterns of misinterpretation or bias in operator actions, and use these insights to refine training, decision guidelines, or the AI's recommendation criteria. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Test whether human reviewers accurately validate flagged transactions, ensuring they can effectively distinguish between true fraud cases and false 	Principles of Explainable Artificial Intelligence
		 positives. 3. LLM Platform: Evaluate how well operators identify and correct biased or inappropriate content generated by the platform, using flagged examples to improve content moderation guidelines and model outputs. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: This is a nice to have for a small organisation and in this case the company might conduct experiments to evaluate model responses and feedback from 	
		operators on how to improve as in the above.	
4.2 Developers should design systems to make it easy for humans to assess outputs that they are responsible for in	Without clarity and ease of use, users may not perform oversight effectively leading to failures	Develop User-Friendly Human Responsibility UI: Implement UIs that display outputs, decision-making rationales, and logs clearly to make it easy for human operators to assess outputs and understand their accountability. Ensure systems are designed to encourage rigorous assessment by humans and not condition them to simply click an approve button.	ICO: Explaining Decisions made with AI <u>NISTIR 8312: Four</u> <u>Principles of Explainable</u> ArtificialIntalliganca
said system (such as by ensuring that models outputs are explainable or interpretable).	and harm.	 Chatbot App: As the chatbot is extended to cover new cases, studies of the existing usage are analysed and consolidated in an UX library for consistent implementation of oversight and human responsibility features ML Fraud Detection: Include a dashboard that shows flagged transactions with explanations of the model's decision factors, providing a clear interface for review. 	<u>Multicalibration for</u> <u>Confidence Scoring in</u> <u>LLMs</u>

		3. LLM Platform: Review explainability review and provide a web interface for API	From Understanding to
		requests to include confidence scores and reasoning summaries.	Utilization: A Survey on
		4. Open-Access LLM Model: Offer an API with an option to receive back responses to	Explainability for Large
		legal queries with references referencing specific legal principles or past cases that	Explainability for Large
		influenced the output.	Language Models
4.3 Where human	Ineffective oversight	Implement Validation and Enforcement of Oversight controls: Design and implement	• ICO Audit Framework
oversight is a risk	technical measures	technical measures that provide guardrails to assist human reviewers in understanding,	toolkit on AI - Human
control, Developers	may compromise the	interpreting, and acting on AI outputs.	review
and/or System	risk reduction effort		• Devilding Countrails for
Operators shall design,	by overburdening or	1. Chatbot App: For a chatbot that can make automated triaging decisions, provide human	• Building Guardralis for
develop, verify, and	failing to adequately	reviewers with a summary of the chatbot's reasoning for triage decisions (e.g., key user	Large Language Models
maintain technical	support human	inputs) and allow them to adjust or override decisions before escalation.	
measures to reduce the	reviewers.	2. ML Fraud Detection: Provide human reviewers with flagged transactions prioritised by	
risk through such		risk level and accompanied by explainable insights (e.g., key features influencing the fraud	
oversight.		score), to ensure informed and efficient decision-making.	
		3. LLM Platform: Integrate API-based guardrails that automatically flag AI-generated	
		content containing sensitive information or potential biases, providing a score as an API	
		field for human reviewers to identify outputs requiring attention.	
		4. Open-Access LLM Model: Train the model with additional safety features to apply AI	
		security measures such as adversarial robustness checks, and warning mechanisms for	
		potentially misleading or harmful outputs. These measures may include applying confidence	
		thresholds, logging flagged outputs, and ensuring model responses align with compliance	
		policies.	
4.4 Developers should	Without validation of	Conduct Validation of Custodian: Verify that all controls specified by the Data Custodian	• ICO: Audits
verify that the security	Data Custodian	have been implemented correctly, with testing to validate effectiveness and alignment with	• ICO: Audits, Artificial
controls specified by	controls, the system	data protection requirements and guidance.	Intelligence Audits
the Data Custodian	may lack necessary		<u>intelligence Audits</u>
have been built into the	data protection and	1. Chatbot App: Ensure that data retention policies adhere to the Data Custodian's	
system.	governance measures,	specifications by implementing automated data purging mechanisms and conducting regular	
	potentially leading to	audits to confirm compliance.	
	security	2. ML Fraud Detection: Validate that data anonymisation controls specified by the Data	
	vulnerabilities or	Custodian are active and effective in protecting customer privacy.	
	regulatory non-	3. LLM Platform: Confirm that access controls and encryption protocols for the LLM	
	compliance.	platform's API endpoints meet the Data Custodian's requirements by performing penetration	
		testing and security assessments.	
		4. Open-Access LLM Model: Verify that the model's training data complies with the Data	
		Custodian's guidelines on data sourcing and consent by reviewing data collection processes	
		and conducting compliance checks.	
4.5 Developers and	Without clear	Document and Train Users on Prohibited Use Cases: Clearly define and document	 ICO Accountability and
System Operators	communication on	prohibited use cases for the AI system, ensuring end-users understand limitations and	Governance Implications
should make End-users	prohibited uses, end-	restrictions. Use threat modelling to identify and inform users of all known harmful states	for AI
aware of prohibited use	users may	and unmitigated risks.	
cases of the AI system.	unintentionally		

misuse the AI system, leading to legal, ethical, or operational risks.1. Chatbot App: In document summarisation use of the app, guide the user at the beginning of each conversation and online documentation not to upload classified internal documents, explaining the risks of data memorisation and leaks. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Threat modelling has identified that AI could be abused to monitor a percon's spending habits without consent. Document and communicate to operators that using the system for non-compliance-audits to ensure proper usage. 3. LLM Phatform: Document prohibited use cases in use policies and T&CS for APIs. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Threat modelling highlighted that the open-access LLM could be fine-tuned or deployed to generate misinformation or manipulate public opinion. Clearly communicate in the licensing terms and documentation that using the model for misinformation campaigns or malicious automation (e.g., phishing scams) is strictly prohibited.• ETSI TR 104 032- Securing Artificial Intelligence (SAD): Traceability of AI Models _5.2. Models _5.2. Models _5.2. Model: Tinetum od alling to dater and prevent prohibited use cases.• ETSI TR 104 032- Securing Artificial Intelligence (SAD): Traceability of AI Models _5.2. Models _5.2. Models _5.2. Mutermarking to detect and prevent misuse, activity monitoring, and output watermarking to detect misuse in prohibited cases.• ETSI TR 104 032- Securing Artificial Intelligence (SAD): Traceability of AI Models _5.2. Models _5.2. Models _5.2. Mutermarking to detect and provent misuse, activity monitoring, and output watermarking to detect misuse in prohibited cases. • Open-Access LLM Model: Finetune to implement safety measures to detect and block prohibited use cases. • Open-Access LLM Model: Finetune to implement safety measures to detect and block <b< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th></b<>			
leading to legal, ethical, or operational risks. of each conversation and online documentation not to upload classified internal documents, explaining the risks of data memorisation and leaks. of each conversation and online documentation not to upload classified internal documents, explaining the risks of data memorisation and leaks. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Threat modelling has identified that AI could be abused to monitor a person's spending habits without consent. Document and communicate to operators that using the system for non-compliance-related surveillance is prohibited. Provide training on ethical boundaries and enforce compliance audits to ensure proper usage. ILLM Platform: Document prohibited use cases in use policies and T&Cs for APIs. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Threat modelling highlighted that the open-access LLM could be fine-tuned or deployed to generate misinformation or manipulate public opnion. Clearly communicate in the licensing terms and documentation that using the model for misinformation campaigns or malicious automation (e.g., phishing scams) is strictly prohibited. • ETSI TR 104 032- Securing Artificial Intelligence (SAD: Traceability of AI Models = 5.3 Watermarking vith additional automated tests and periodic audits of log. • MIST AI RMF Playbook 3. ILM Platform: Use finetuning to add safety measures blocking prohibited use cases. API guardrails to detect and revent misuse, activity monitoring, and output watermarking to detect misuse in prohibited cases. • OWASP Top 10 for LLM applications 0WASP AI Exchange • Building Guardraits for Large Language Models • OWASP -LLM and Generative AI Security Solutions Landscape	misuse the AI system,	1. Chatbot App: In document summarisation use of the app, guide the user at the beginning	
ethical, or operational risks.explaining the risks of data memorisation and leaks. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Threat modelling has identified that AI could be abused to monitor a person's spending habits without consent. Document and communicate to operators that using the system for non-compliance-related surveillance is prohibited. Provide training on ethical boundaries and enforce compliance audits to ensure proper usage. 3. LLM Platform: Document prohibited use cases in use policies and T&Cs for APIs. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Threat modelling highlighted that the open-access LLM could be fine-tuned or deployed to generate misinformation or manipulate public opinion. Clearly communicate in the licensing terms and documentation that using the model for misinformation campaigns or malicious automation (e.g., phishing scams) is strictly prohibited.ETSI TR 104 032- Securing Artificial Intelligence (SAD: Traceability of AI Models - 5.3 Watermarking of analysis triggering escalation alerts 3. LLM Platform: Use finetuning to add safety measures blocking prohibited use cases, API guardrails to detect and prevent misuse, activity monitoring, and output watermarking to detect misuse in prohibited cases.ETSI TR 104 032- Securing Artificial Intelligence (SAD: Traceability of AI Models - 5.3 Watermarking oWASP To 10 for LLM applications0WASP AI Exchange to detect misuse in prohibited uses, and watermarking model output to identify misuses in phishing attacks.OWASP AI Exchange Building Guardrails for Large Language Models OWASP AI Exchange	leading to legal,	of each conversation and online documentation not to upload classified internal documents,	
risks. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Threat modelling has identified that AI could be abused to monitor a person's spending habits without consent. Document and communicate to operators that using the system for non-compliance-related surveillance is prohibited. Provide training on ethical boundaries and enforce compliance audits to ensure proper usage. 3. LLM Platform: Document prohibited use cases in use policies and T&CS for APIs. ethical boundaries and enforce compliance modeling highlighted that the open-access LLM could be fine-tuned or deployed to generate misinformation or manipulate public opinion. Clearly communicate in the licensing terms and documentation that using the model for misinformation campaigns or malicious automation (e.g., phishing scams) is strictly prohibited. Monitor for Prohibited Use Cases: Implement controls to actively monitor, detect, and prevent prohibited use cases. etTSI TR 104 032- Securing Artificial Intelligence Molitorial automated tests and periodic audits of log. I. Chatbot App: Implement guardrails to detect and block use of personal data supported with additional automated tests and periodic audits of log. NIST AI RMF Playbook OWASP AI Exchange OWASP AI Exchange Multiple finetune to implement safety measures to detect and block uses in phishing attacks. OWASP AI Exchange	ethical, or operational	explaining the risks of data memorisation and leaks.	
 a person's spending habits without consent. Document and communicate to operators that using the system for on-compliance-related surveillance is prohibited. Provide training on ethical boundaries and enforce compliance audits to ensure proper usage. 3. LLM Platform: Document prohibited use cases in use policies and T&Cs for APIs. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Threat modelling highlighted that the open-access LLM could be fine-tuned or deployed to generate misinformation or manipulate public opinion. Clearly communicate in the licensing terms and documentation that using the model for misinformation campaigns or malicious automation (e.g., phishing scams) is strictly prohibited. Monitor for Prohibited Use Cases: Implement controls to actively monitor, detect, and prevent prohibited use cases. 1. Chatbot App: Implement guardrails to detect and block use of personal data supported with additional automated tests and periodic audits of log. M. Fraud Detection: Implement monitoring of patterns of unauthorised access or analysis triggering escalation alerts 3. LLM Platform: Use finetuning to add safety measures blocking prohibited use cases, API guardrails to detect and prevent misuse, activity monitoring, and output watermarking to detect misuse in prohibited cases. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Finetune to implement safety measures to detect and block use of watermarking model output to identify misuses in phishing attacks. 	risks.	2. ML Fraud Detection: Threat modelling has identified that AI could be abused to monitor	
 using the system for non-compliance-related surveillance is prohibited. Provide training on ethical boundaries and enforce compliance audits to ensure proper usage. 3. LLM Platform: Document prohibited use cases in use policies and T&CS for APIs. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Threat modelling highlighted that the open-access LLM could be fine-tuned or deployed to generate misinformation or manipulate public opinion. Clearly communicate in the licensing terms and documentation that using the model for misinformation campaigns or malicious automation (e.g., phishing scams) is strictly prohibited. Monitor for Prohibited Use Cases: Implement controls to actively monitor, detect, and prevent prohibited use cases. 1. Chatbot App: Implement guardrails to detect and block use of personal data supported with additional automated tests and periodic audits of log. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Implement monitoring of patterns of unauthorised access or analysis triggring escalation alerts 3. LLM Platform: Use finetuning to add safety measures blocking prohibited use cases, API guardrails to detect and prevent misuse, activity monitoring, and output watermarking to detect may model output to identify misuses in phishing attacks. OWASP AI Exchange Building Guardrails for Large Language Models OWASP - LLM and Generative AI Security Solutions Landscape 		a person's spending habits without consent. Document and communicate to operators that	
ethical boundaries and enforce compliance audits to ensure proper usage. 3. LLM Platform: Document prohibited use cases in use policies and T&CS for APIs. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Threat modelling highlighted that the open-access LLM Clearly communicate in the licensing terms and documentation that using the model for misinformation campaigns or malicious automation (e.g., phishing scams) is strictly prohibited. • ETSI TR 104 032- Securing Artificial Intelligence (SAD): Traceability of AI Models - 5.3 Watermarking Monitor for Prohibited Use Cases: I. Chatbot App: Implement guardrails to detect and block use of personal data supported with additional automated tests and periodic audits of log. • ETSI TR 104 032- Securing Artificial Intelligence (SAD): Traceability of AI Models - 5.3 Watermarking of patterns of unauthorised access or analysis triggering escalation alerts • NIST AI RMF Playbook • WASP Top 10 for LLM agplications • Oyen-Access LLM Model: Finetune to implement safety measures to detect and block prohibited uses, and watermarking model output to identify misuses in phishing attacks. • OWASP AI Exchange		using the system for non-compliance-related surveillance is prohibited. Provide training on	
3. LLM Platform: Document prohibited use cases in use policies and T&Cs for APIs. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Threat modelling highlighted that the open-access LLM could be fine-tuned or deployed to generate misinformation or manipulate public opinion. Clearly communicate in the licensing terms and documentation that using the model for misinformation campaigns or malicious automation (e.g., phishing scams) is strictly prohibited. • ETSLTR 104 032- Securing Artificial Intelligence (SAD; Traceability of AI Models – 5.3 Watermarking vith additional automated tests and periodic audits of log. 1. Chatbot App: Implement guardrails to detect and block use of personal data supported with additional automated tests and periodic audits of log. • ETSLTR 104 032- Securing Artificial Intelligence (SAD; Traceability of AI Models – 5.3 Watermarking to detect misuse in prohibited cases. 3. LLM Platform: Use finetuning to add safety measures blocking prohibited use cases, API guardrails to detect and prevent misuse, activity monitoring, and output watermarking to detect misuse in prohibited cases. • OWASP Top 10 for LLM applications 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Finctune to implement safety measures to detect and block prohibited uses, and watermarking model output to identify misuses in phishing attacks. • OWASP - LLM and Generative AI Security Solutions Landscape		ethical boundaries and enforce compliance audits to ensure proper usage.	
 in use policies and T&Cs for APIs. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Threat modelling highlighted that the open-access LLM could be fine-tuned or deployed to generate misinformation or manipulate public opinion. Clearly communicate in the licensing terms and documentation that using the model for misinformation campaigns or malicious automation (e.g., phishing scams) is strictly prohibited. Monitor for Prohibited Use Cases: Implement controls to actively monitor, detect, and prevent prohibited use cases. 1. Chatbot App: Implement guardrails to detect and block use of personal data supported with additional automated tests and periodic audits of log. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Implement monitoring of patterns of unauthorised access or analysis triggering escalation alerts 3. LLM Platform: Use finetuning to add safety measures blocking prohibited use cases, API guardrails to detect and prevent misuse, activity monitoring, and output watermarking to detect misuse in prohibited uses. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Finetune to implement safety measures to detect and block prohibited uses, and watermarking model output to identify misuses in phishing attacks. 		3. LLM Platform: Document prohibited use cases	
 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Threat modelling highlighted that the open-access LLM could be fine-tuned or deployed to generate misinformation or manipulate public opinion. Clearly communicate in the licensing terms and documentation that using the model for misinformation campaigns or malicious automation (e.g., phishing scams) is strictly prohibited. Monitor for Prohibited Use Cases: Implement controls to actively monitor, detect, and prevent prohibited use cases. 1. Chatbot App: Implement guardrails to detect and block use of personal data supported with additional automated tests and periodic audits of log. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Implement monitoring of patterns of unauthorised access or analysis triggering escalation alerts 3. LLM Platform: Use finetuning to add safety measures blocking prohibited use cases, API guardrails to detect and prevent misuse, activity monitoring, and output watermarking to detect misuse in prohibited cases. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Finetune to implement safety measures to detect and block prohibited uses, and watermarking model output to identify misuses in phishing attacks. 		in use policies and T&Cs for APIs.	
could be fine-tuned or deployed to generate misinformation or manipulate public opinion. Clearly communicate in the licensing terms and documentation that using the model for misinformation campaigns or malicious automation (e.g., phishing scams) is strictly prohibited.ETSI TR 104 032- Securing Artificial Intelligence (SAI): Traceability of AI Models = 5.3 WatermarkingMonitor for Prohibited use cases.I. Chatbot App: Implement guardrails to detect and block use of personal data supported with additional automated tests and periodic audits of log.ETSI TR 104 032- Securing Artificial Intelligence (SAI): Traceability of AI Models = 5.3 WatermarkingNIST AI RMF Playbook o detect misuse in prohibited cases.NIST AI RMF Playbook o WASP Top 10 for LLM applicationsAPI guardrails to detect and prevent misuse, activity monitoring, and output watermarking to detect misuse in prohibited cases.OWASP AI Exchange Building Guardrails for Large Language ModelsOWASP - LLM and Generative AI Security Solutions LandscapeOWASP - LLM and Generative AI Security Solutions Landscape		4. Open-Access LLM Model: Threat modelling highlighted that the open-access LLM	
Clearly communicate in the licensing terms and documentation that using the model for misinformation campaigns or malicious automation (e.g., phishing scams) is strictly prohibited. Monitor for Prohibited Use Cases: Implement controls to actively monitor, detect, and prevent prohibited use cases. Chatbot App: Implement guardrails to detect and block use of personal data supported with additional automated tests and periodic audits of log. MIL Fraud Detection: Implement monitoring of patterns of unauthorised access or analysis triggering escalation alerts LLM Platform: Use finetuning to add safety measures blocking prohibited use cases, API guardrails to detect and prevent misuse, activity monitoring, and output watermarking to detect misuse in prohibited cases. Open-Access LLM Model: Finetune to implement safety measures to detect and block prohibited uses, and watermarking model output to identify misuses in phishing attacks. OWASP - LLM and Generative AI Security Solutions Landscape 		could be fine-tuned or deployed to generate misinformation or manipulate public opinion.	
misinformation campaigns or malicious automation (e.g., phishing scams) is strictly prohibited.ETSI TR 104 032- Securing Artificial Intelligence (SAD: Traceability of AI Models = 5.3 Watermarking NIST AI RMF Playbook1. Chatbot App: Implement guardrails to detect and block use of personal data supported with additional automated tests and periodic audits of log. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Implement monitoring of patterns of unauthorised access or analysis triggering escalation alerts 3. LLM Platform: Use finetuning to add safety measures blocking prohibited use cases, API guardrails to detect and prevent misuse, activity monitoring, and output watermarking to detect misuse in prohibited cases. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Finetune to implement safety measures to detect and block prohibited uses, and watermarking model output to identify misuses in phishing attacks.ETSI TR 104 032- Securing Artificial Intelligence (SAD): Traceability of AI Models = 5.3 Watermarking • OWASP Top 10 for LLM applications • OWASP Top 10 for LLM applications • OWASP AI Exchange • Building Guardrails for Large Language Models • OWASP - LLM and Generative AI Security Solutions Landscape		Clearly communicate in the licensing terms and documentation that using the model for	
prohibited. Monitor for Prohibited Use Cases: Implement controls to actively monitor, detect, and prevent prohibited use cases. • ETSI TR 104 032- Securing Artificial Intelligence (SAI); Traceability of AI Models – 5.3 Watermarking with additional automated tests and periodic audits of log. • MIL Fraud Detection: Implement monitoring of patterns of unauthorised access or analysis triggering escalation alerts • NIST AI RMF Playbook 3. LLM Platform: Use finetuning to add safety measures blocking prohibited use cases, API guardrails to detect and prevent misuse, activity monitoring, and output watermarking to detect misuse in prohibited cases. • OwaSP AI Exchange 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Finetune to implement safety measures to detect and block prohibited uses, and watermarking model output to identify misuses in phishing attacks. • OWASP - LLM and Generative AI Security Solutions Landscape		misinformation campaigns or malicious automation (e.g., phishing scams) is strictly	
 Monitor for Prohibited Use Cases: Implement controls to actively monitor, detect, and prevent prohibited use cases. 1. Chatbot App: Implement guardrails to detect and block use of personal data supported with additional automated tests and periodic audits of log. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Implement monitoring of patterns of unauthorised access or analysis triggering escalation alerts 3. LLM Platform: Use finetuning to add safety measures blocking prohibited use cases, API guardrails to detect and prevent misuse, activity monitoring, and output watermarking to detect misuse in prohibited cases. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Finetune to implement safety measures to detect and block prohibited uses, and watermarking model output to identify misuses in phishing attacks. OWASP - LLM and Generative AI Security Solutions Landscape 		prohibited.	
 <i>prevent prohibited use cases.</i> 1. Chatbot App: Implement guardrails to detect and block use of personal data supported with additional automated tests and periodic audits of log. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Implement monitoring of patterns of unauthorised access or analysis triggering escalation alerts 3. LLM Platform: Use finetuning to add safety measures blocking prohibited use cases, API guardrails to detect and prevent misuse, activity monitoring, and output watermarking to detect misuse in prohibited cases. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Finetune to implement safety measures to detect and block prohibited uses, and watermarking model output to identify misuses in phishing attacks. OWASP - LLM and Generative AI Security Solutions Landscape 		Monitor for Prohibited Use Cases: Implement controls to actively monitor, detect, and	• ETSI TR 104 032- Securing
 1. Chatbot App: Implement guardrails to detect and block use of personal data supported with additional automated tests and periodic audits of log. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Implement monitoring of patterns of unauthorised access or analysis triggering escalation alerts 3. LLM Platform: Use finetuning to add safety measures blocking prohibited use cases, API guardrails to detect and prevent misuse, activity monitoring, and output watermarking to detect misuse in prohibited cases. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Finetune to implement safety measures to detect and block prohibited uses, and watermarking model output to identify misuses in phishing attacks. GWASP AI Exchange Building Guardrails for Large Language Models OWASP - LLM and Generative AI Security Solutions Landscape 		prevent prohibited use cases.	Artificial Intelligence
 I. Chatbot App: Implement guararis to detect and block use of personal data supported with additional automated tests and periodic audits of log. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Implement monitoring of patterns of unauthorised access or analysis triggering escalation alerts 3. LLM Platform: Use finetuning to add safety measures blocking prohibited use cases, API guardrails to detect and prevent misuse, activity monitoring, and output watermarking to detect misuse in prohibited cases. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Finetune to implement safety measures to detect and block prohibited uses, and watermarking model output to identify misuses in phishing attacks. OWASP - LLM and Generative AI Security Solutions Landscape 			(SAI); Traceability of AI
 NIL Fraud Detection: Implement monitoring of patterns of unauthorised access or analysis triggering escalation alerts LLM Platform: Use finetuning to add safety measures blocking prohibited use cases, API guardrails to detect and prevent misuse, activity monitoring, and output watermarking to detect misuse in prohibited cases. Open-Access LLM Model: Finetune to implement safety measures to detect and block prohibited uses, and watermarking model output to identify misuses in phishing attacks. OWASP AI Exchange Building Guardrails for Large Language Models OWASP - LLM and Generative AI Security Solutions Landscape 		1. Charbon App: Implement guardrans to detect and block use of personal data supported with additional automated tests and periodic audits of log	Models – 5.3 Watermarking
 analysis triggering escalation alerts 3. LLM Platform: Use finetuning to add safety measures blocking prohibited use cases, API guardrails to detect and prevent misuse, activity monitoring, and output watermarking to detect misuse in prohibited cases. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Finetune to implement safety measures to detect and block prohibited uses, and watermarking model output to identify misuses in phishing attacks. OWASP AI Exchange Building Guardrails for Large Language Models OWASP - LLM and Generative AI Security Solutions Landscape 		2. ML Fraud Detection : Implement monitoring of patterns of unauthorised access or	 <u>NIST AI RMF Playbook</u>
 3. LLM Platform: Use finetuning to add safety measures blocking prohibited use cases, API guardrails to detect and prevent misuse, activity monitoring, and output watermarking to detect misuse in prohibited cases. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Finetune to implement safety measures to detect and block prohibited uses, and watermarking model output to identify misuses in phishing attacks. OWASP AI Exchange Building Guardrails for Large Language Models OWASP - LLM and Generative AI Security Solutions Landscape 		analysis triggering escalation alerts	• OWASP Top 10 for LLM
 API guardrails to detect and prevent misuse, activity monitoring, and output watermarking to detect misuse in prohibited cases. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Finetune to implement safety measures to detect and block prohibited uses, and watermarking model output to identify misuses in phishing attacks. OWASP AI Exchange Building Guardrails for Large Language Models OWASP - LLM and Generative AI Security Solutions Landscape 		3. LLM Platform : Use finetuning to add safety measures blocking prohibited use cases,	<u>applications</u>
 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Finetune to implement safety measures to detect and block prohibited uses, and watermarking model output to identify misuses in phishing attacks. • Building Guardrails for Large Language Models • OWASP - LLM and Generative AI Security Solutions Landscape 		API guardrails to detect and prevent misuse, activity monitoring, and output watermarking	OWASP AI Exchange
4. OptimAccess Link Model. The due to implement safety measures to detect and block prohibited uses, and watermarking model output to identify misuses in phishing attacks. Large Language Models • OWASP - LLM and Generative AI Security Solutions Landscape		to detect misuse in pronibiled cases. 4 Open-Access I I M Model: Finetune to implement safety measures to detect and block	• Building Guardrails for
OWASP - LLM and Generative AI Security Solutions Landscape		prohibited uses, and watermarking model output to identify misuses in phishing attacks.	Large Language Models
Generative AI Security Solutions Landscape			• OWASP - LLM and
Solutions Landscape			Generative AI Security
			Solutions Landscape

Principle 5: Identify, track, and protect your assets					
Provisions	Related	Example Measures/Controls	Reference/Resource		
	threats/risks				
5.1 Developers, Data	Without a clear	Establish an AI Asset Inventory: Create and maintain a centralised inventory that	<u>NCSC Machine Learning</u>		
Custodians and	understanding of AI	records all AI assets, including datasets, models, software dependencies, hardware	Principles, 2.3 Manage the		
System Operators	assets and their	resources, and system configurations.	full life cycle of models		
shall maintain a	dependencies,		and detegate		
comprehensive	organisations may	1. Chatbot App: Document all chatbot versions customised for different use cases,	and datasets		
inventory of their	not be able to	training datasets, software libraries, and APIs used. Include components used for RAG	<u>NCSC Guidelines for</u>		
assets (including their	provide protection	and/or embeddings generation.	Secure AI System		
	and risk exposing				

interdependencies /	their AI systems to	2. ML Fraud Detection: Use a detailed register of all AI models in use, listing model	Development, Secure
connectivity).	unauthorised access,	version, source, datasets used for training, and any updates or retraining conducted. This	Development.
	data leakage, and	includes the software libraries used in the development or running of the models. As an	CSA Companion Guide on
	vulnerability to	optional safeguard use scripts and scanning tools to generate an MLBOM as machine	Securing AI Systems
	external attacks.	readable inventory list	Section 2.2.2 Development
		3. LLM Platform : Use the same approach as in the Fraud Detection example.	Section 2.2.2 Development,
		4. Open-Access LLM Model: Use the same approach as in the Fraud Detection	Item 2.3
		example.	
5.2 As part of broader	Without secure	Implement AI Asset Tracking	See References in "Establish
software security	tracking, version	Use version control and ML Ops systems to manage and track changes to AI assets	an AI Asset Inventory"
practices, Developers,	control, and	including models, datasets, and related software components ensuring transparency and	
Data Custodians and	authentication, AI	rollback capability.	
System Operators	systems may be		
shall have processes	vulnerable to	1. Chatbot App: Use Git to track changes to conversation flows and training datasets,	
and tools to track,	unauthorised	ensuring traceability when new features or intents are added. Use an internal package	
authenticate, manage	changes, data	repository mirror is used for components.	
version control, and	integrity issues, and	2. ML Fraud Detection : Version control can track training data updates, capturing	
secure their assets due	version conflicts,	modifications to ensure traceability and data integrity whereas a model registry is used	
to the increased	leading to	similarly for models. An internal package repository mirror is used for components.	
complexities of AI	compromised	3. LLM Platform : Implement the same tracking described in the Fraud Detection	
specific assets.	reliability and	example, with the addition of the vendor saving model weights in a protected and	
	security. This is	isolated storage using a separate dedicated enclave as recommended by the CISA Joint	
	exacerbated by the	Cybersecurity Information - Deploying AI Systems Securely	
	rapid changes in	4. Open-Access LLM Model: Implement a model registry and scripts to log versioned	
	Gen.AI tool and	training datasets, model weights, and configuration files. Use hash-based verification to	
	models.	detect unauthorized modifications to publicly shared assets. Protect package dependency	
		files (e.g. requirements.txt) and keep a copy of packages for each release.	
		Authenticate, Authorize and Log Access to Assets: Enforce strict access controls and	
		authentication protocols to limit asset modifications to authorised personnel only	
		logging any access.	
		1. Chatbot App: Access to system prompt, prompt templates, datasets and embeddings	
		used in RAG are restricted to data scientists using RBAC and MFA with updates only	
		allowed via CI pipelines.	
		2. ML Fraud Detection: Restrict access to training datasets and models using RBAC	
		and via APIs and CI pipelines with appropriate approvals for critical assets. All access	
		events to sensitive datasets are logged, and alerts are triggered for access attempts from	
		unauthorized users or unusual access patterns. Trigger alerts when models or data are	
		updated outside the process.	
		3. LLM Platform: See Fraud Detection Example for this control.	
		4. Open-Access LLM Model: See Fraud Detection Example for this control.	

5.3 System Operators	Without tailored	Incorporate AI-Specific Threat Scenarios into Recovery Plans: Update disaster	• CISA, JCDC, Government
shall develop and	disaster recovery, AI	recovery plans to address AI-specific risks, including adversarial attacks, data	and Industry Partners
tailor their disaster	systems may be	poisoning, and model drift and ensure readiness for prompt recovery.	Conduct AI Tableton
recovery plans to	unprepared for		Evercise
account for specific	incidents such as	1. Chatbot App: Include a recovery plan to address adversarial attacks, such as prompt	Exercise
attacks aimed at AI	data poisoning, or	injections to compromise chatbot responses, by maintaining fallback mechanisms to	
systems.	large language	disable automated responses temporarily while restoring integrity.	
	model (LLM)	2. ML Fraud Detection: Develop recovery plans for data poisoning incidents, including	
	weaponisation,	manual backup processes to maintain operations until a tested model checkpoint with	
	leaving the	reliable detection and adversarial robustness is restored.	
	organisation	3. LLM Platform : Similar approach to Fraud Detection; consider ensuring the system	
	vulnerable to	remains operational during attacks by using backup infrastructure ('high availability ')	
	prolonged disruption	or placeholders to quickly deploy tested model versions.	
	leading to data	4. Open-Access LLM Model: Decide on how to roll back to a previous healthy version	
	leakage, DoS or	of the model or data if poisoning or other exploitable AI vulnerabilities are identified.	
	compromised model	Automate the process of restoring backups to speed up recovery.	
	performance.		
	Maintaining a		
	reliable known good		
	state can be		
	challenging,		
	particularly with		
	continuous learning		
	models or systems		
	with frequent		
	updates, increasing		
	the risk of losing		
	data integrity		
5.3.1 System	Inability to restore a	Establish and Maintain a Known Good State: Regularly backup AI models, data, and	
Operators should	known good state	system configurations, maintaining a known good state that can be restored after a	
ensure that a known	can lead to	disruption. Good state may contain additional internal state to help a model reach	
good state can be	prolonged system	optimal performance after model warm-up. This may not be always possible, and a new	
restored.	downtime, data loss,	model will have to be trained addressing the attack. Nevertheless, backups will help	
	or operational	accelerate developing a mitigation.	
	disruptions after		
	failures or attacks	1. Chatbot App: Maintain backups of system prompts, RAG data and embeddings,	
		prompt templates, LLM API access details, and other configuration details.	
		2. ML Fraud Detection: Maintain backups of the latest clean dataset and model	
		versions, allowing quick restoration if the current version is compromised with a	
		backdoor attack or is discovered to have been trained on poisoned data.	
		3. LLM Platform: Retain secure versions of models, weight files, fine-tuning	
		checkpoints, and system configurations to facilitate recovery and training datasets to	

5.4 Developers, System Operators, Data Custodians and End-users shall protect sensitive data, such as training or test data, against unauthorised access.	Unauthorised access to sensitive data, such as training datasets, training algorithms, hyper parameters and model parameters can lead to privacy violations, data breaches, or compromised model integrity, increasing regulatory and reputational risks.	 quickly roll back if vulnerabilities such as poisoning attacks or unauthorised modifications are detected 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Retain secure copies of model versions and training datasets to quickly roll back and release a new version if vulnerabilities such as poisoning attacks or unauthorised modifications are reported. Develop Recovery plans for advanced scenarios: Some incidents will include abuse and weaponisation of AI systems, especially Generative AI, to stage misinformation or other attacks abusing an organisation's system. Recovering from such as attacks will require multi-disciplinary expertise and response strategies to minimise impact and harm. 1. Chatbot App: Design a process that addresses adversarial take-over of the chatbot to spread misinformation or exploit unused multi-modal capabilities to create deep fakes. This is used after authorities notify you, the service has been used for the last six months for misinformation campaigns. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Not applicable in predictive ML. 3. LLM Platform: As in the Chatbot App scenario. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Consider using watermark verification to help authorities and customers using the model to deal with advanced attacks. Implement Data Encryption at Rest and in Transit: Encrypt sensitive data at rest and in transit to protect against unauthorized access, ensuring data confidentiality and security. 1. Chatbot App: Encrypt all user chat logs stored in cloud storage using server-side encryption with customer-managed keys. Use HTTPS with TLS 1.3 to secure communications between the chatbot and the end user, preventing data interception. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Encrypt transaction data stored in the database (at rest) using AES-256 encryption. Use TLS 1.3 to encrypt model at transmitted between the fraud detection model and retailer APIs, ensuring compliance with PCI DSS standards. Regularly r	 OWASP Guide for Preparing and Responding to Deepfake Events ETSI TR 104 032- Securing Artificial Intelligence (SAI); Traceability of AI Models – 5.3 Watermarking ICO: A guide to data security ISO/IEC 27001:2022 Information security, cybersecurity and privacy protection — Information security management systems — Requirements NCSC – Cloud Security Guidance – Using a cloud platform securely OWASP Application Security Verification Standard (ASVS) OWASP AI Exchange
		<i>Implement Strong Data Access Controls:</i> Restrict access to sensitive data to authorised personnel only, using multi-factor authentication and role-based access controls. Programmatic updates via pipelines have strict RBAC and approvals in place to prevent abuse.	See References in "Implement Data Encryption at Rest and in Transit"

		 Chatbot App: Apply RBAC with strict least-privilege access to datasets used in RAG including vectors and embeddings. ML Fraud Detection: Enforce access controls at multiple levels by restricting access to training datasets containing sensitive customer information at storage level and limiting access to the training environments. Ensure only essential team members and approved pipeline roles have permissions, with strict enforcement through RBAC and strong authentication across all layers. LLM Platform: Same as in the ML Fraud Detection example. Open-Access LLM Model: Same as in the ML Fraud Detection example. 	
		 Data Access and Usage Monitoring: Implement automated monitoring tools to monitor access and usage of sensitive data including proprietary model weights, generating alerts for any unusual patterns or unauthorized attempts. 1. Chatbot App: Set up automated alerts for access to protected RAG data, ensuring that unauthorised access is immediately flagged. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Set up automated alerts for access to training /testing datasets and model weights and configuration files. 3. LLM Platform: Similar implementation as in the Fraud Detection. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Alert for unusually high failed attempts to access and utilise cloud or platform controls to alert for high volume downloads indicating potential data exfiltration. 	See References in "Implement Data Encryption at Rest and in Transit"
5.4.1 Developers, Data Custodians and System Operators shall apply checks and sanitisation to data and inputs when designing the model based on their access to said data and inputs and where those data and inputs are stored. This shall be repeated when model revisions are made in response to user feedback or continuous learning.	Lack of data and input sanitization can introduce biases, errors, or malicious data, leading to compromised outputs, security risks, as well as potential legal and reputational harm.	 Apply Data Sanitisation and Validation: Ensure that all data—both training datasets and runtime inputs—are sanitised and validated to prevent data poisoning, malicious inputs, and biases. During training, verify that datasets are clean, unbiased, and aligned with model objectives. 1. Chatbot App: Apply data sanitisation in incoming user prompts to reduce the risk of prompt injections. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Sanitise training data to remove any incorrect or malicious entries that could skew model outputs. 3. LLM Platform: Apply input sanitisation as part of guardrails; implement automated scripts to scan and sanitize large-scale text corpora for biases, offensive language, or duplicate entries during data preprocessing. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Consider use of content filtering and other relevant data preprocessing mechanisms when training the model to check inputs and ensure data quality. 	OWASP AI Exchange Training Dataset Validation to Protect Machine Learning Models from Data Poisoning

5.4.2 Where training data or model weights could be confidential, Developers shall put proportionate protections in place.	Failure to adequately protect sensitive training data or model weights can lead to unauthorized access, intellectual property theft, or exposure of confidential information, increasing the risk of data breaches and regulatory non- compliance.	See controls and examples in 5.4	See References in "Data Access and Usage Monitoring"
Provisions	Related	Example Measures/Controls	Reference/Resource
11041510115	threats/risks	Example Measures/Controls	MILICIULT/MESUULLE
6.1 Developers and System Operators shall evaluate their organisation's access control frameworks and identify appropriate measures to secure APIs, models, data, and training and processing pipelines.	Inadequate access control can expose sensitive data, models, and pipelines to unauthorized access, increasing the risk of data leaks, model tampering, or unauthorized modifications.	 Establish Role-Based Access Controls (RBAC): Implement role-based access controls to limit access to AI models, data, and pipelines based on user roles and responsibilities, enforcing the principle of least privilege. This includes research environments. Protect API endpoints and data pipelines by implementing access controls, encryption, and authentication mechanisms to prevent unauthorised access. 1. Chatbot App: Restrict access to system prompts, configuration data, access to the underlying LLM API and any data used for RAG. Restrict access to embeddings generation and via pipelines to relevant group of data engineers. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Restrict access to training data to data scientists and model tuning permissions to ML engineers, ensuring minimal access. 3. LLM Platform: Follow the same implementation approach as in the Fraud Detection example of this control. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Restrict access to training data to and model tuning permissions to developers, ensuring minimal access rights across roles. 	 <u>NCSC Machine Learning</u> <u>Principles</u>, Part 3: Secure deployment <u>NCSC Guidelines for</u> <u>Secure AI System</u> <u>Development</u>, Secure your Infrastructure. <u>CSA Companion Guide on</u> <u>Securing AI System</u>s, Section 2.2.3 Deployment, Item 3.1 <u>OWASP AI Top 10 API</u> <u>Security Risks - 2023</u>
6.2 If a Developer offers an API to external customers or collaborators, they shall apply appropriate controls that mitigate attacks on the AI system via the API. For example,	Externally exposed APIs increase the risk of model extraction attacks, rapid data poisoning, and abuse, potentially compromising the	 <i>Implement API Rate Limiting:</i> Enforce rate limits on API requests to prevent attackers from overwhelming the system, reverse engineering the model, or rapidly injecting malicious inputs. 1. Chatbot App: Apply rate limit to web endpoints or own APIs to prevent using the app's API to indirectly attack the supporting model. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Apply rate limit to web endpoints and APIs. 3. LLM Platform: Apply a rate limit of X requests per minute per user to prevent bulk extraction of model responses. 	• <u>OWASP Top 10 for APIs -</u> <u>API4:2019 Lack of</u> <u>Resources & Rate Limiting</u>

placing limits on	integrity of the AI	4. Open-Access LLM Model: Not applicable	
model access rate to limit an attacker's ability to reverse engineer or overwhelm defences to rapidly poison a model.	system.	 Use Behavioural Analysis for API Security: Implement behavioural analysis tools to detect abnormal API usage that could indicate malicious intent, such as model extraction or poisoning attempts. 1. Chatbot App: Use behavioural analysis to flag repeated API calls with unusual input patterns that could indicate an attempt to exploit or overload the chatbot's system. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Monitor API usage for anomalies such as an unusual volume of high-risk transaction queries that may suggest adversarial testing or system probing. 3. LLM Platform: Use behavioural analysis with a dual LLM, moderation APIs, or anomaly detection code, to identify sudden spikes in repetitive queries that may signal reverse engineering attempts. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Provide guidelines to customers on implementing behavioural monitoring of model use. 	 <u>OWASP AI Top 10 API</u> <u>Security Risks - 2023</u> <u>NCSC – Logging and</u> <u>Protective Monitoring</u> <u>LLM Monitoring and</u> <u>Observability — A</u> <u>Summary of Techniques</u> <u>and Approaches for</u> <u>Responsible AI</u>
		 Deploy API Gateway with Security Features: Use an API gateway with security features like throttling, dynamic rate limiting, and any other attack detection features, authentication, and logging to manage and monitor access to external-facing APIs. 1. Chatbot App: Use an API Gateway to manage access if the apps API is publicly accessible. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Use an API gateway to manage access to inference API, logging each request, applying rate limiting, and requiring OAuth for user authentication. 3. LLM Platform: Similar implementation to the Fraud Detection example but adding all APIs offered to customers. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Not applicable. 	 <u>OWASP AI Top 10 API</u> <u>Security Risks - 2023</u> <u>Wikipedia: API</u> <u>Management Overview and</u> <u>links</u>
6.3 Developers shall also create dedicated environments for development and model tuning activities. The dedicated environments shall be backed by technical controls to ensure separation and principle of least privilege. In the context of AI, this is particularly necessary because training data shall only be present in the training and	Without separation and environment- specific controls, production-grade sensitive data and models may be exposed to unauthorised access via development or research workflows, leading to data leaks, tampering, unauthorised deployments, or compliance violations.	 Set Up Dedicated Development and Production Environments: Establish separate environments for development, testing, and production, ensuring data and models are only accessible where necessary. Restrict sensitive training data to the development environment, isolating it from production 1. Chatbot App: maintain separated environments for the app with restricted access to system prompts, and API config using different LLM API endpoints for each environment. Use synthetic RAG data for development and testing. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Use a model registry to track and manage versions, ensuring only authorized personnel access and modify them. Leverage anonymized transaction data or synthetic datasets in development to protect sensitive customer information. Implement ML pipelines to automate the promotion of tested models to production with approvals, ensuring compliance, security, and quality standards. 3. LLM Platform: Similar approach as in the Fraud Detection example of this control. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Use lightweight containerization to isolate development and production environments. Restrict sensitive training data to local development only and deploy approved models via automated scripts to maintain separation and minimize manual intervention risks. 	 <u>Alan Turing Institute: What</u> is synthetic data and how can it advance research and development <u>CISA Joint Cybersecurity</u> <u>Information - Deploying AI</u> <u>Systems Securely</u> <u>DSTL: Machine learning</u> with limited data <u>NCSC Secure your</u> development Guide <u>NIST SP 800-218</u> <u>Secure Software</u> <u>Development Framework</u> (<u>SSDF</u>)

development			
environments where			
this training data is			
not based on publicly			
available data.			
6.4 Developers and	Without a defined	Develop and Publish a Vulnerability Disclosure Policy: Create a vulnerability	NCSC Vulnerability
System Operators	vulnerability	disclosure policy that details how vulnerabilities can be reported, including timelines	Disclosura Toolkit
shall implement and	disclosure policy.	for acknowledgment and resolution.	
publish a clear and	security		• <u>ISO/IEC 29147:2018</u>
accessible	vulnerabilities may	1. Chathot App: Develop a policy using the NCSC Vulnerability Disclosure Toolkit	Vulnerability disclosure
vulnerability	go unreported.	2. ML Fraud Detection: develop a disclosure policy to comply with the ISO/IEC	standard.
disclosure policy	exposing the	29147:2018 Vulnerability disclosure standard	
albeitobale policy.	organisation to	3 LLM Platform . Similar approach as in the Fraud Detection example of this control	
	delayed or missed	4 Open-Access LLM Model: publish a policy on the organisation's website including	
	opportunities to	a contact email for reporting AI vulnerabilities and a commitment to respond within 48	
	natch critical issues	hours	
6.5 Developers and	Without a dedicated	Develop an AI-Specific Incident Management Plan: Create an incident management	CSA Incident Response
System Operators	incident and	plan tailored to Al-specific threats such as discovery of data poisoning model drift and	Classification Response
shall create test and	recovery plan AI	adversarial attacks with recovery steps to a known good state including procedures for	• <u>Checklist</u>
maintain an AI system	systems may be	validating model integrity	<u>NCSC Incident</u>
incident management	slow to recover from		<u>Management</u>
plan and an AI system	disruptions resulting	1 Chathot Ann: Develop an incident plan to address adversarial inputs causing	 NCSC Vulnerability
recovery plan	in prolonged	inappropriate responses and jailbreaking including isolating malicious queries and	Disclosure Toolkit
recovery plan.	downtime or	implementing input validation undates if the third-narty LLM API becomes unavailable	Disclosure Toolkit
	compromised model	or produces unreliable responses (e.g. due to downtime or compromised functionality)	
	performance	the recovery plan includes switching to a pre-integrated backup LI M provider or a local	
	performance.	fallback model to maintain core functionalities. If no immediate replacement is	
		available the app transitions to a basic response mode using pre-scripted messages or	
		rule-based logic	
		2 MI Fraud Detection: For a fraud detection model a 20% spike in fraud signals	
		triggers an incident investigation. The team isolated recent training datasets identified	
		anomalies like skewed entries and rolled back to a prior model version. Containment	
		included notifying teams and deploying enhanced validation checks	
		3 LLM Platform : Create a comprehensive plan of handling jailbreaking misuse and	
		model overload including temporarily restricting access deploying a backup model and	
		conducting usage audits	
		4 Open-Access LLM Model: Implement a plan to handle community-reported data	
		noisoning incidents by halting any contributions validating datasets and rolling back to	
		a previous model checkpoint from its model registry validating its outputs through	
		automated tests before redenloyment	
6.6 Developers and	Without clear	Define and Validate Security Clauses in Cloud Contracts	• CSA - AI Organizational
System Operators	understanding of	Ensure cloud service agreements explicitly outline security responsibilities compliance	
should ensure that	cloud service	standards and support provisions including data protection access controls incident	Kesponsibilities -
should ensure that,		summarus, and support provisions, including dulu protection, access controls, incluent	

where they are using cloud service operators to help to deliver the capability, their contractual agreements support compliance with the above requirements.	agreements, organizations may not know what security measures they can expect or demand from the cloud provider, potentially leaving AI assets exposed to gaps in data protection or compliance failures.	 response, and audit capabilities. Provide detailed documentation to stakeholders to bridge knowledge gaps about the cloud provider's obligations. 1. Chatbot App: The developers of the chatbot app switch to a new LLM model provider hosted on the cloud, as it references encryption, data isolation and retention, limited customer data logging and a ban on model retraining with customer data in the T&Cs and cloud contract. This follows the refusal of the previous model provider to cover these requirements in the contract. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Review and validate cloud provider documentation. 3. LLM Platform: Similar approach as in the Fraud Detection example of this control. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Similar approach as in the Fraud Detection example of this control. 	 <u>Governance, Risk</u> <u>Management, Compliance</u> <u>and Cultural Aspects</u> <u>ICO guidance for cloud</u> <u>providers obligations under</u> <u>NIS Regulations 2018 -</u> <u>Security requirements</u> <u>NCSA Cloud security</u> <u>shared responsibility model</u> <u>NCSC Cloud Security</u> <u>Principles</u>
Principle 7: Secure yo	ur supply chain		
Provisions	Related	Example Measures/Controls	Reference/Resource
7.1 Developers and System Operators shall follow secure software supply chain processes for their AI model and system development.	Without secure software supply chain processes, AI systems are vulnerable to risks like supply chain attacks, insertion of malicious components, and dependency issues, including models and datasets, which can compromise AI integrity and security. Lack of accountability across the supply-chain for jurisdiction-specific regulations can lead to data breaches and lack of regulatory compliance.	 Safeguard Provenance and Transparency: Mandate in internal standards that components can only be sourced by trusted and approved sources, documenting source, version, licencing, history, and other related artifacts (e.g. Model Card for models); use checksums to verify integrity. SBOMS can help automated creation of signed attestations of all components and their metadata. This can help safeguard transparency and provenance with non-repudiation and component tampering checks. SBOMs cover libraries and system components but not models or datasets. AI and ML BOMs are an emerging field. Monitor progress in standards such as <u>Cyclone DX</u> <u>MLBOM</u> an introduce similar scans when tools emerge. In the meanwhile, rely on ML Ops to enforce model and dataset provenance and use file checksum to verify integrity and provenance. 1. Chatbot App: Publish guidelines and developer standards and use SBOMs to document the controls used to build the application applying periodic tests and audits to ensure compliance. Cover models used for embeddings in the process. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Same implementation to Chatbot App, but compliment SBOMs with an in-house created ML-BOM documenting the model package dependencies and model cards offering a machine-readable record which is validated with a script against approved standards. 3. LLM Platform: Similar implementation to the Fraud Detection example but for the multimodal model, but adding datasets and auxiliary (RL, embeddings and so on). Use 	 ETSI GR SAI 002 - Data Supply Chain Security CISA - SBOM MITRE – System of Trust Framework NIST - Cybersecurity Supply Chain Risk Management NCSC Supply Chain Security Guidance NCSC Machine Learning Principles, 2.1 Secure your supply chain OWASP CycloneDX – ML BOM OWASP Top 10 for LLM applications - LLM03 Supply-Chain Vulnerabilities Supply-chain Levels for Software Artifacts (SLSA)

		scanning tools and scripts to create signed SBOMs and ML BOMs for machine readable lists.	
		Apply Vulnerability Management: Implement a vulnerability management process that	• <u>NCSC – Vulnerability</u>
		includes regular (e.g. daily) scanning of third-party components for known	Management
		vulnerabilities and timely patching to mitigate risks.	• OWASP Vulnerability
			Management Guide
		1. Chatbot App: Use automated vulnerability scanning tools to identify vulnerabilities	• OWASP LLM and
		in third-party libraries or components. Introduce a patch management process where	Comparative AL Security
		critical vulnerabilities are patched within 48 nours, moderate vulnerabilities within 14	Generative Al Security
		2 MI Fraud Detection: Use the same approach as in the Chatbot App but with	Solutions Landscape
		additional model scanning tools and tests for model serialisation attacks for base third	
		narty models	
		3. LLM Platform: Similar approach as in the Fraud Detection example of this control.	
		4. Open-Access LLM Model: Similar implementation with the Fraud Detection	
		example but use open-source or commercial LLM scanners if you are finetune	
		foundational model; Agree your remediation timeframes for fixing defects with	
		patching focusing on Critical and Highs and triaging Medium and Lows.	
		Adopt Secure Supply Chain Frameworks: Follow your organisation's preferred secure	• <u>NCSC supply chain</u>
		supply chain guidance or standard for all stages of AI development, from sourcing and	security guidance
		integrating components to testing and deployment.	NIST C-SCRM
			• ISO 28000·2022
		1. Chatbot App: Follow NCSC supply chain security guidance to assess the provider's	100 20000.2022
		security policies and ensure compliance with encryption, access control, and other	
		2 MI Fraud Dataction: Follow the same advice as in the Chathot App example:	
		Additionally ensure training datasets sourced from external vendors comply with data	
		protection legislation provenance checks contractual obligations and avoiding	
		unlawfully collected training datasets.	
		3. LLM Platform: Compliment the implementation described in the Fraud Detection	
		example with the additional adoption of ISO 28000:2022.	
		4. Open-Access LLM Model: This is not applicable to small organisations, who can	
		consult the standards and NCSC guidance on supply-chain security.	
7.2 System Operators	Utilizing poorly	Document Justification for Untrusted Components: When using poorly documented or	• <u>NIST AI RMF</u>
that choose to use or	documented or	untrusted components, document the justification, including alternative evaluations,	• NCSC Vulnerability
adapt any models, or	untrusted AI	and the absence of better suppliers.	Management
components, which	components because	1 Checked America Studies ADI 14 and 1 1411 1 1 1414 1 14	
are not well-	of some of their	1. Chatbot App: Use a chatbot API with superior multilingual capabilities despite	
secured shall be able	introduces potential	2 MI Fraud Dataction: In the fraud detection scenario, the vendor identifies a fraud	
to justify their	vulnerabilities	detection model on a public model bub that outperforms all other models in false	
decision to use such	increasing risks of	nositives but lack any model cards or other documentation and the developer cannot	
models or components	data leaks or	provide more details. False positive reduction is a key market differentiator for the	

through	unexpected	vendor who document the decision to migrate to the new components detailing	
documentation (for	behaviour.	comparative evaluations with other models.	
example if there was		3. LLM Platform : When adopting an LLM fine-tuning library with undocumented	
no other supplier for		optimization techniques, document its unique benefits and conduct tests to evaluate	
said component).		risks against other alternatives.	
I I I		4. Open-Access LLM Model: When using a content filtering library with limited	
		documentation to remove harmful outputs, justify the decision with its accuracy	
		benchmarks and document it in the project's wiki.	
7.2.1 In this case	See 7.2	Evaluate and Mitigate Risks for Untrusted Components: Perform a risk assessment to	• NIST SP 800-161 Rev. 1
Developers and	500 7.2	identify notential risks associated with unsecured AI components, specifying and	
System Operators		implementing mitigating controls to minimize vulnerabilities	Cybersecurity Supply Chain
shall have mitigating		implementing mulgating controls to multimize vulnerabilities.	Risk Management Practices
sontrols and		1 Chathat Ann: Conduct input validation and advarsarial testing on a poorly	for Systems and
undertake a rick		1. Chatbot App: Conduct input validation and adversarial testing on a poorty documented shatbot ADI to identify uninershilities. Mitigation includes rate limiting	Organizations
		documented chatoot AFT to identify vulneraointies. Whitgation includes rate minung,	
assessment linked to		anomary detection for mancious input patterns, and monitoring for unexpected	
such models of		2 MI Enough Detection. Evolute the new model with exciplication attack scene and	
components.		2. ML Fraud Detection: Evaluate the new model with serialisation attack scans and	
		adversarial robustness testing; this forms part of its risk assessment that also includes	
		legal and regulatory compliance checks. Mitigation controls include real-time enhanced	
		monitoring with anomaly detection, staggered deployment on low-risk cases in	
		controlled environments for a period, contractual agreement with former members of	
		the model development team to help testing.	
		3. LLM Platform : Perform a risk assessment on the fine-tuning library, testing for	
		optimization errors, compatibility issues, and security vulnerabilities. Mitigations	
		include using sandboxed environments for testing and implementing logging to detect	
		anomalies during fine-tuning.	
		4. Open-Access LLM Model: Assess risks of the content filtering library, such as	
		failure to flag harmful content or biases in filtering. Mitigation measures include	
		integrating secondary filtering layers, testing for gaps, and monitoring flagged content	
		for refinement.	
7.2.2 System	See 7.2	Share Documentation with End-Users: Share documentation of non-compliant	• See References in "Evaluate
Operators shall share		components with end-users, detailing risks, and justifications for transparency.	and Mitigate Risks for
this documentation			Untrusted Components"
with End-users in an		1. Chatbot App: Provide end-users with a summary of the chatbot API's	University Components
accessible way.		undocumented features, potential risks, and implemented safeguards, along with	• <u>GOV.UK - Guidance and</u>
		instructions for reporting anomalies. Ensure accessibility by providing for example, a	tools for digital accessibility
		downloadable accessible PDF File.	
		2. ML Fraud Detection : Users included in the staggered deployment are informed with	
		a WCAG 2.1 compliant document on the model choice, reasons, and mitigations and	
		they are invited to report any suspicious system behaviour.	

- user guide detailing the fine-tuning library's benefits, risks, and mitigation strategies, and direct end-suces to support channels or reporting unexpected outcomes wee guide detailing the fine-tuning library's benefits, risks, and mitigation strategies, and direct end-suces to support channels or reporting unexpected outcomes wee guide detailing the fine-tuning library's benefits, risks, and mitigation strategies, and direct end-suces to support the subscience of the library in the readme file including the additional monitoring controls customers can user reference the wiki pages detailing risk assessments and evaluation and how to report issues. Test and performance of certain and Mathian Appropriate and Reusable Model Evaluation Sultes: Create appropriate model evaluation suites to assess performance, accuracy, security, and potential drift when required.• Decoding Trust: A Comprehensive Assessment of Trustvorthiness in GPT Modelsreleased models that they intend on using.Without reusable erformance degradation, bias, releases.• Mean Y-and performance definition of support proving unexpected outcomes (e.g. small) erformance definition of support is on strate serve summing them for major model previously normal platters (e.g. small) erformance definition of support is an excessible in the evaluation suite to assess a new model release and identity areas that need mitigations.• Decoding Trust: A Comprehensive Assessment of Trustvorting unexpected outcomes (e.g. small) erformance of model previously normal platters (e.g. small) erformance of intervortim areas that need mitigations.7.4 System Operation the induction to ubdel models to model areas provide valuations and evaluation suite to assess a new model release and identity areas to use an model with a samport erelease of tracting and porevid			3. LLM Platform : Include documentation in the - compliant with accessibility formats	
7.3 Developers and System Operators shall re-un evaluations on released models that they intend on using. Without reusable evaluations, periodic difficult, resulting into performance, accuracy, security, and operatid drift when required. • Decoding Trust: A Comprehensive Assessment appropriate model evaluation and how to report issues. Test and leverage repository's accessibility features or generate an accessible PDF. • Decoding Trust: A Comprehensive Assessment appropriate model evaluations musices to assess performance, accuracy, security, and potential drift when required. • Decoding Trust: A Comprehensive Assessment appropriate model evaluation subscription of subscription of subscription of subscription own, focusing on your use-cases running them for major model upgrades. • Decoding Trust: A Comprehensive Assessment optimate of the performance, accuracy, security, and potential drift when required. 7.4 System Operators shall communicate the intention to put in an accessible to End-users shall communicate the intention to updre scale and mitigations. • I.Chabbo App: Consult LLM external provider evaluation suite to assess a new model relases and changes to identify areas that need mitigations. • MIST: AI Test, Evaluation, Validation, and Verification (TEVV) 7.4 System Operators shall communicate the intention to updre scale sprior to models being updated. Lak of transparency around new model version, and provide a run supported languages or response behaviour, and provide accessible. • Official accessibility ook for digital accessibility in could updre scale model induces or previous two examples- hoot test changes, for at least a month. Ensure notices are and algorithm and how it may impact detection and provides a test stangene to valuation for evaluation before deployment.			- user guide detailing the fine-tuning library's benefits, risks, and mitigation strategies.	
7.3 Developers and System Operators shall re-nor released models that they intend on using. Without reusable cvaluations, prioritie and Reusable Model Evaluation Sutters: Create oppropriate and Reusable Model Evaluations Sutters: Create oppropriate and Reusable Model Evaluation Sutters: Create degradation, bias, increate Model Sutters: North Creates and Identity amounts). The company uses the model evaluation suite to assess a new model release and identity areas that need mitigations. Al Stafev Institute: Inspect- valuations Al Create Access LLM Model: Runs evaluation suites to major releases and identity areas that need mitigations. Sister Formance and ceversion model updates can model so target evaluation model updates can make it challenging for to models being updated. Chabto App: Notify users about updates to the chabtor's third party LLM, highlighting any changes in supported languages or response behaviour, and provide accessible. Chabto App: Notify users about updates to the chabtor's third party LLM, highlig			and direct end-users to support channels for reporting unexpected outcomes.	
7.3 Developers and System Operators shall cr-un evaluations on released models that they intend on using. Without reusable evaluations, periodic difficult, resulting into performance difficult, resulting into performance security Create and Maintain Appropriate and Reusable Model Feaduation Suites: Create appropriate model evaluations suites to assess performance, accuracy, security, and potential drift when required. DecodingTrust: A comprehensive Assessment of Trustworthiness in GPT Models Chatto App: Consult LLM external provider evaluations or create and run your own, focusing on your use-cases running them for major model upgrades. AIK Frand Detection: Changes in anti-money laundering legislation expand the definition of suspicious transactions to include previously normal patterns (e.g. smaller amounts). The company uses the model evaluation suite to assess a new model release and identify areas that need mitigations. Open-Access LLM Model: Runs evaluation suite to assess a new model release and identify areas that need mitigations. Open-Access LLM Model: Runs evaluation suites for major releases and changes to finationality and offer previews to test changes for at least a month. Ensure notices are functionality and offer previews to test changes for at least a month. Ensure notices are functionality and offer previews to test changes for at least a month. Ensure notices are functionality and operational protex models being in on accessible way protor to models being updated. Chattot App: Notify users about updates to the chatbot's third party LLM, highlighting any changes in supported languages or esponsory changes in the algorithm and how it may impact detection and provides a test instance to allow them run evaluations before switching offering an accessible doutous here sto in eccassible. Chattot App: Notify users a			4. Open-Access LLM Model: Document the use of the library in the readme file	
7.3 Developers and System Operators shall c-vin released models that they intend on using. Without reusable valuations on reveluations on released models that they intend on using. Without reusable valuations on reveluations on reveluations on reveluations on reveluations on reveluations on reveluations on reveluations on reveluations on released models that they intend on using. Without reusable valuations on reveluations on reveluations on reveluations on reveluations on released models that they intend on using. Create and Mainton Appreconsult LLM external provider valuations or create and run your own, focusing on your use-cases running them for major model upgrades. • Decoding Trust: A Comprehensive Assessments of Tustworthiness in GPT Models 7.4 System Operators shall communicate the intention to update models to End-users in an accessible being updated. Lack of transparency around make it challenging for users to use a new to the eavies on the chabot's third party LLM, highlighting any changes in supported languages on tersponse behaviour, and provide accessible. • NIST All rest. Evaluation, Validation, and Verification validation, and Verification validation and provides a test and mothe 't may inpart detection and provides a test and mothe 't may inpart detection and provides a test instance to allow them run evaluation before depresented features a notice explaining upcoming changes in the agorithm and how 't may impart detection and provides a test instance to allow them run evaluations before switching offering an accessible downloadable PDF. 3. LLM Platform:			including the additional monitoring controls customers can use: reference the wiki	
7.3 Developers and System Operators shall c-run evaluations on released models that they intend on using. Without reusable evaluations and difficult, resulting into performance, accuracies, or security vidential diffit when required. Charlos of the appropriate model evaluation suites to assess performance, accuracy, security, and proteinal diffit when required. • Decoding Trust: A Comprehensive Assessment of Trustworthiness in GPT Models 7.4 System Operators shall communicate the intention to update models to Find the intention to update models to Find the intention to update mades to Finde assess and protor to models being updated. Without reusable without required. • Decoding Trust: A Comprehensive Assessment of Trustworthiness in GPT Models 7.4 System Operators shall communicate the intention to update madels to Finde assess and profor to models being updated. • Alsk off ransparency around model updates can profor to models being or users to use a new model vealuation suite to assess a new model release and identify areas shal new model versions. • NIST: All Test, Evaluation intentify areas that need mitigations. • NIST: All Test, Evaluation of the Advance Notice of Model Updates: Notify end-users of model updates at least in an accessible way profor to models being updated. • NIST: All Test, Evaluation model updates can model updates can model to resting sandbox. Notices complaine updates to the charbot's third party LLM, highlighting any changes in supported language or response behaviour, and provide accessible. • GOV. UK - Guidance and tools for digital accessibility for users to use a new model version show to may impact detection and provides a stering sandbox. Notices complaine updowing changes in the algorithm and how it may impact detection: Scnd end-user			pages detailing risk assessments and evaluation and how to report issues. Test and	
7.3 Developers and System Operators shall c-run evaluations on released models that they intend on using. Without reusable evaluations, periodic evaluations and evaluations on released models that they intend on using. Create and Maintain Appropriate and Reusable Model Evaluation Suites: Create appropriate model evaluation suites to assess performance, accuracy, security, and potential diff: twhen required. DecodingTrust: A Comprehensive Assessment of Trustworthiness in GPT work, focusing on your use-cases running them for major model upgrades. DecodingTrust: A Comprehensive Assessment of Tustworthiness in GPT Models 7.4 System Operators shall communicate the intention to update models being updated. Lack of transparency statution for users to use a make it challenging for users to use a make it challenging for users to use a model protection correction correction correction correction solution security Provide Advance Notice of Model Updates: Notify end-users of model updates at eaccessible NIST: AI Test, Evaluation (TEVv) 7.4 System Operators shall communicate the intention to update models being updated. Lack of transparency around make it challenging for users to use a make it challenging for users to use a model to End-users in an accessible updated. Provide Advance Notice of Model Updates: Notify end-users of model updates at eaccessible. I. Chatbot App: Notify users about updates to the chatbot's third party LLM, highlighting any changes in supported languages on response behaviour, and provide accessible. SOV.LUK - Quidance and tools for digital accessibility 7.4 System Operators in an accessible updated. I. Chatbot App: Notify users about updates to the chatbot's third party LLM, highlighting an			leverage repository's accessibility features or generate an accessible PDF.	
System Operators shall c-run evaluations on released models that they intend on using.evaluations, periodic re-valuation can be incervations on released models that they intend on using.evaluations, periodic re-valuation can be incervations on released models that they intend on using.evaluations, periodic re-valuation can be incervations on released models that they intend on using.comprehensive Assessment of Trustworthiness in GPT Models2. ML Fraud Detections constructions to include previously normal patterns (e.g. smaller amounts). The company uses the model evaluation suite to assess a new model release and identify areas that need mitigations Al Safetv Institute: Inspect- An open-source framework for large language model evaluations in the ody subjections transactions to include previously normal patterns (e.g. smaller amounts). The company uses the model evaluation suite to assess a new model release and identify areas that need mitigations MIST: AI Test, Evaluation, Valuations.7.4 System Operators the intention to update in an accessible way prior to models being updated.Lack of truest to use a new model version, evaluating data protecinin a compliance. This evaluating data protecinin a compliance. This model feature or behaviour Comprehensive Assessment of Trustworthiness in GPT Models7.4 System Operators the intention to update the intention to update model sto End-users in an accessible way prior to models being updated Lock of truest to use a new model version evaluating data protection compliance. This highlighting any changes in supported languages or response behaviour, and provide accessible downloadable PDF. algorithin	7.3 Developers and	Without reusable	Create and Maintain Appropriate and Reusable Model Evaluation Suites: Create	• DecodingTrust: A
shall re-run evaluations on released models that they intend on using. re-evaluation can be difficult, resulting into performance degradation, bias, inco performance degradation, bias, inco performance degradation, bias, inco performance degradation, bias, inco performance degradation, bias, inco performance degradation, bias, inco performance degradation optication security verbareability in releases. i. Charbot App: Consult LLM external provider evaluations or retain an unnown own, focusing on your use-cases running them for major model upgrades. o. M Srafety Institute: Inspect- . An Open-source framework for large language model evaluations anounts). The company uses the model evaluation suite to detect any drift. Track performance metrics over time to help setting for triggering re-evaluation or re-training. 3. LLM Platform: Run the evaluation suites for major releases and changes identify areas that need mitigations. NIST: AI Test, Evaluation, Validation, and Verification (TEVV) 7.4 System Operators shall communicate the intention to update models being updated. Lack of transparency around make it challenging not cues to use a new model version, especially in evaluating data protection doperational issues for end-users relying on certain model feature of behaviour. Provide Advance Notice of Model Updates: Notify users about updates to the chatobt's third party LLM, highlighting any changes in supported languages or response behaviour, and provide accessible. • COV.UK - Gnidance and tools for digital accessibility 0. Der-Access LLM Model: Publish a public notice on the repository's changelog and mailing list detailing upcoming changes, potential ingex, and instructions for evaluation before switching offer a suging environment and API version for evaluation before switching offer a suging environment and AP	System Operators	evaluations, periodic	appropriate model evaluation suites to assess performance, accuracy, security, and	Comprehensive Assessment
evaluations on released models that they intend on using.difficult, resulting into performance degradation, bias, inaccuracies, or security vulnerabilities go unnoticed in new release.1. Chatbot App: Consult LLM external provider evaluations or create and run your own, focusing on your use-cases running them for major model upgrades. 2. ML Fraud Defection: Changes in anti-money laundering legislation expand the definition of suspicious transactions to include previously normal patterns (e.g. smalle amountics). The company uses the model evaluation suite to detect any drift. Track performance metrics over time to help setting for triggering re-evaluation or re-training. 3. LLM Platform: Run the evaluation suite to assess a new model release and identify areas that need mitigations. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Runs evaluation suite to assess a new model release and changes to identify areas that need mitigations.Models (NIST: AI Test, Evaluation, Validation, and Verification (TEVV)7.4 System Operators models being updated.Lack of transparency around model updates can meake it challenging for users to use a new model version, and operational and operational a	shall re-run	re-evaluation can be	potential drift when required.	of Trustworthings in CDT
released models that they intend on using.	evaluations on	difficult, resulting	1. Chatbot App: Consult LLM external provider evaluations or create and run your	<u>of flustworuliness in OF I</u>
they intend on using. degradation, bias, inaccuracies, or security vulnerabilities go unnoticed in new releases. 2. ML Frand Defection: Changes in anti-money laundering legislation expand the definition of suspicious transactions to include previously normal patterns (e.g. smaller amounts). The company uses the model evaluation suite to detect any drift. Track performance metrics over time to help setting for triggering re-evaluation or re-training. A. <u>A open-source framework</u> for large language model evaluations areas that need mitigations. NIST: AI Test, Evaluation, vulnerabilities of unnoticed in new releases. NIST: AI Test, Evaluation, vulnerabilities of vulnerabilities of	released models that	into performance	own, focusing on your use-cases running them for major model upgrades.	Models
inaccuracies, or security vulnerabilities go unnoticed in new releases.definition of suspicious transactions to include previously normal patterns (e.g. smaller amounts). The company uses the model evaluation suite to detect any drift. Track performance metrics over time to help setting for triggering re-evaluation or re-training. 3. LLM Platform: Run the evaluation suite to assess a new model release and identify areas that need mitigations.An open-source framework for large language model evaluation Validation, and Verification (TEVV)7.4 System Operators shall communicate the intention to update models to End-users i an accessible way updated.Lack of transparency around for users to use a new model version, respecially in evaluation and operational issues for end-users releases and how or walling data protor to models being updated.Provide Advance Notice of Model Updates: Notify end-users of model updates at least one month in advance, including any potential impacts on model performance or fine cinemitor or update make it challenging for users to use a nev model version, especially in evaluation data protor to models being updated.An open-source framework for large language model version, issues for a test or use a nev model version, especially in evaluation data protoction compliance. This resease availability and operational issues for end-users issues for end-users 	they intend on using.	degradation, bias,	2. ML Fraud Detection: Changes in anti-money laundering legislation expand the	• AI Safety Institute: Inspect -
security vulnerabilities go unoticed in new releases.amounts). The company uses the model evaluation suite to detect any drift. Track performance metrics over time to help setting for triggering re-evaluation or re-training. areas that need mitigations.for large language model evaluations.7.4 System Operators shall communicate the intention to update models to End-users in an accessible way prior to models being updated.Lack of transparency around model updates can model version, especially in especially in especially in creates availability and operational issues for end-users relying on certain model feature or behaviour.Provide Advance Notice of Model Updates: Notify end-users of model updates at least accessible.• GOV.UK - Guidance and tools for digital accessibility7.4 System Operators shall communicate the intention to update model so tend-users model so tend-users in an accessible way prior to models being updated.Lack of transparency around model version, especially in evaluating data protection compliance. This creates availability and operational issues for end-users relying on certain model feature or behaviour.I. Chatbot App: Notify users about updates to the chatbot's third party LLM, highlighting any changes in supported languages or response behaviour, and provide accessible downloadable PDF. 3. LLM Platform: Inform end-users – using accessible notices like the ones the previous two examples- about planed finetuning updates, detailing expected inprovements or deprecated features, and offer a staging environment and API version for evaluation before exployment. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Publish a public notice on the repository's changelog and mailing list detailing upcoming c		inaccuracies, or	definition of suspicious transactions to include previously normal patterns (e.g. smaller	An open-source framework
vulnerabilities go unnoticed in new releases.performance metrics over time to help setting for triggering re-evaluation or re-training. 3. LLM Platform: Run the evaluation suite to assess a new model release and identify areas that need mitigations.evaluations7.4 System Operators shall communicate the intention to update model pdates can make it challenging in an accessible way prior to models being updated.Lack of transparency around model updates can make it challenging in an accessible way prior to models being updated.Provide Advance Notice of Model Updates: Notify end-users of model updates at least one month in advance, including any potential impacts on model performance or functionality and offer previews to test changes for at least a month. Ensure notices are accessible.• GOV.UK - Guidance and tools for digital accessibility1. Chabot App: Notify users about updates to the chatbot's third party LLM, highlighting any changes in supported languages or response behaviour, and provide agorithm and how it may impact detection and provides a test instance to allow them revisuos two examples- about planed finetuning updates, detailing expected previous two examples- about planed finetuning updates, detailing expected improvements or depresate deployment.• Maidation, and Verification (TEVV) • NIST Diotra test plant • OgOV.UK - Guidance and provide • Submit to avers a notice explaining updates, detailing expected improvements or depresate deployment.Principle 8: Documet Your Data, Models, and Prompts		security	amounts). The company uses the model evaluation suite to detect any drift. Track	for large language model
unnoticed in new releases.3. LLM Platform: Run the evaluation suite to assess a new model release and identify areas that need mitigations.•NIST: AI Test, Evaluation, Validation, and Verification (TEVV)7.4 System Operators shall communicate the intention to update models to End-users in an accessible way prior to models being updated.Lack of transparency around model updates can model updates can for users to use a new model version, expectablin evaluating data protection compliance. This creates availability and operational issues for end-users relying on certain model feature or behaviour.Provide Advance Notice of Model Updates: Notify end-users of model updates at least one month in advance, including any potential impacts on model performance or functionality and offer previews to test changes for at least a month. Ensure notices are accessible.•NIST: AI Test, Evaluation, Validation, and Verification (TEVV) •NIST Dioptra test platform7.4 System Operators shall communicate the intention to updateLack of transparency around model updates can provide Advance Notice of Model Updates: Notify end-users of model updates at least accessible.Provide Advance Notice of Model Updates: Notify end-users of model updates at least accessible.•NIST: AI Test, Evaluation, Validation, and Verification (TEVV) •NIST Dioptra test platform7.4 System Operators sign an accessible way prior to models being updated.Lack of transparency avoid access to a testing sandbox. Notices complies with WCAG 2.1 guidelines. 3. LLM Platform: Inform end-users - using accessible downloadable PDF. 3. LLM Platform: Inform end-users - using accessible downloadable PDF. 3. LLM Platform: Inform end-users - using a		vulnerabilities go	performance metrics over time to help setting for triggering re-evaluation or re-training.	evaluations
releases.areas that need mitigations.Validation, and Verification (TEVV)7.4 System Operators shall communicate the intention to update models to End-users in an accessible way prior to models being updated.Lack of transparency around model updates can make it challenging for users to use a new model version, especially in evaluating data protection 1Provide Advance Notice of Model Updates: Notify end-users of model updates at least one month in advance, including any potential impacts on model performance or functionality and offer previews to test changes for at least a month. Ensure notices are accessible.• GOV.UK - Guidance and tools for digital accessibility0		unnoticed in new	3. LLM Platform : Run the evaluation suite to assess a new model release and identify	• NIST: AI Test, Evaluation.
7.4 System Operators shall communicate models to End-users in an accessible way prior to models being updated. Lack of transparency around make it challenging for users to use a new model version, especially in evaluating data protection compliance. This creates availability and operational sisues for end-users relying on certain model feature or behaviour. Provide Advance Notice of Model Updates: Notify end-users of model updates at least one model updates can make it challenging for users to use a new model version, especially in evaluating data protection compliance. This creates availability and operational issues for end-users relying on certain model feature or behaviour. I. Chatbot App: Notify users about updates to the chatbot's third party LLM, highlighting any changes in supported languages or response behaviour, and provide accessible downloadable PDF. 3. LLM Platform: Inform end-users – using accessible downloadable PDF. 3. LLM Platform: Inform end-users – using accessible downloadable PDF. 3. LLM Platform: Inform end-users – using accessible downloadable PDF. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Publish a public notice on the repository's changelog and mailing list detailing upcoming changes, potential impacts, and instructions for testing the new pre-release version. The notice takes advantage of the repositories built- in accessibility features including WCAG 2.1 compliance.		releases.	areas that need mitigations.	Validation and Verification
7.4 System Operators shall communicate the intention to update models to End-users in an accessible way prior to models being updated. Lack of transparency around model updates can make it challenging for users to use a new model version, especially in evaluating data protection compliance. This sisues for end-users relying on certain model feature or behaviour. Provide Advance Notice of Model Updates: Notify end-users of model updates at least one month in advance, including any potential impacts on model performance or functionality and offer previews to test changes for at least a month. Ensure notices are accessible way prior to models being updated. • GOV.UK - Guidance and tools for digital accessibility 1. Chatbot App: Notify users about updates to the chatbot's third party LLM, highlighting any changes in supported languages or response behaviour, and provides accessible due testing sandbox. Notices complies with WCAG 2.1 guidelines. • MIST Dioptra test platform tools for digital accessibility 2. ML Fraud Detection: Send end-users a notice explaining upcoming changes in the algorithm and how it may impact detection and provides a test instance to allow them run evaluations before switching offer a staging environment and API version for evaluation before deployment. • MIST Dioptra test platform tools for digital accessibile improvements or deprocated features, and offer a staging environment and API version for evaluation before deployment. • Den-Access LLM Model: Publish a public notice on the repositories built- in accessibility features including WCAG 2.1 compliance. • Principle 8: Document Your Data, Models, and Prompts			4. Open-Access LLM Model: Runs evaluation suites for major releases and changes to	(TEVV)
7.4 System Operators shall communicate the intention to update models to End-users in an accessible way prior to models being updated. Lack of transparency around make it challenging for users to use a new model version, especially in evaluating data protection compliance. This creates availability and operational issues for end-users relying on certain model feature or behaviour. Provide Advance Notice of Model Updates: Notify end-users of model updates at least one month in advance, including any potential impacts on model performance or functionality and offer previews to test changes for at least a month. Ensure notices are accessible. • GOV.UK - Guidance and tools for digital accessibility 1. Chatbot App: Notify users about updates to the chatbot's third party LLM, especially in evaluating data protection compliance. This creates availability and operational issues for end-users relying on certain model feature or behaviour. 1. Chatbot App: Notify users about updates to the chatbot's third party LLM, highlighting any changes in supported languages or response behaviour, and provide accessible downloadable PDF. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Send end-users – using accessible notices like the ones the previous two examples- about planned finetuning updates, detailing expected improvements or deprecated features, and offer a staging environment and API version for evaluation before deployment. • Open-Access LLM Model: Publish a public notice on the repository's changelog and mailing list detailing upcoming changes, potential impacts, and instructions for testing the new pre-release version. The notice takes advantage of the repositories built- in accessibility features including WCAG 2.1 compliance. Principle 8: Document Your Data, Models, and Prompts			identify areas that need mitigations.	
7.4 System Operators Lack of Provide Advance Noice of Model Updates: Noify end-users of model updates at least • GOV.UK - Guidance and shall communicate transparency around model updates can one month in advance, including any potential impacts on model performance or • GOV.UK - Guidance and models to End-users make it challenging for users to use a • mew model version, • GOV.UK - Guidance and prior to models being updated. especially in • especially in • especially in evaluating data protection ccess to a testing sandbox. Notices complies with WCAG 2.1 guidelines. 2. ML Fraud Detections and thow it may impact detection and provide access to a testing sandbox. Notices suplaining upcoming changes in the algorithm and how it may impact detection and provides a test instance to allow them une valuations before switching offering an accessible downloadable PDF. 3. LLM Platform: Inform end-users – using accessible notices like the ones the 9 revious two examples- about planned finetuning updates, detailing expected improvements or deprecated features, and offer a staging environment and API version for evaluation before deployment. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Publish a public notice on the repositoris shult envirous for vesting the new pre-release version. The notice takes advantage of the repositories built- in accessibility features including WCAG 2.1 compliance. <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>• <u>NIST Dioptra test platform</u></td></t<>				• <u>NIST Dioptra test platform</u>
shall communicate the intention to update model sto End-users in an accessible way prior to models being updated. one month in advance, including any potential impacts on model performance or functionality and offer previews to test changes for at least a month. Ensure notices are accessible. tools for digital accessibility updated. new model version, especially in evaluating data protection compliance. This creates availability and operational issues for end-users relying on certain model feature or behaviour. 1. Chatbot App: Notify users about updates to the chatbot's third party LLM, highlighting any changes in supported languages or response behaviour, and provide access to a testing sandbox. Notices complies with WCAG 2.1 guidelines. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Send end-users a notice explaining upcoming changes in the algorithm and how it may impact detection and provides a test instance to allow them run evaluations before switching offering an accessible downloadable PDF. 3. LLM Platform: Inform end-users – using accessible notices like the ones the previous two examples- about planned finetuning updates, detailing expected improvements or deprecated features, and offer a staging environment and API version for evaluation before deployment. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Publich a public notice on the repository's changelog and mailing list detailing upcoming changes, potential impacts, and instructions for testing the new pre-release version. The notice takes advantage of the repositories built- in accessibility features including WCAG 2.1 compliance. Principle 8: Document Your Data, Models, and Prompts	7.4 System Operators	Lack of	Provide Advance Notice of Model Updates: Notify end-users of model updates at least	• GOV.UK - Guidance and
the intention to update model updates can functionality and offer previews to test changes for at least a month. Ensure notices are models to End-users make it challenging accessible. in an accessible way for users to use a new model version, prior to models being new model version, 1. Chatbot App: Notify users about updates to the chatbot's third party LLM, updated. especially in highlighting any changes in supported languages or response behaviour, and provide evaluating data protection 2. ML Fraud Detection: Send end-users a notice explaining upcoming changes in the orgenitance. This creates availability adoperational issues for end-users rule valuations before switching offering an accessible notices like the ones the provout to defeature or behaviour. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Publish a public notice on the repository's changelog and milling list detailing upcoming changes, potential impacts, and instructions for testing the new pre-release version. The notice takes advantage of the repositories built- rescessibility features including WCAG 2.1 compliance. the may reposite states including WCAG 2.1 compliance.	shall communicate	transparency around	one month in advance, including any potential impacts on model performance or	tools for digital accessibility
models to End-usersmake it challenging for users to use aaccessible.in an accessible way prior to models being updated.for users to use ai. Chatbot App: Notify users about updates to the chatbot's third party LLM, highlighting any changes in supported languages or response behaviour, and provide access to a testing sandbox. Notices complies with WCAG 2.1 guidelines.valuating data protectioncompliance. This compliance. This and operational2. ML Fraud Detection: Send end-users a notice explaining upcoming changes in the algorithm and how it may impact detection and provides a test instance to allow them run evaluations before switching offering an accessible downloadable PDF.and operational issues for end-users relying on certain model feature or behaviour.J. LLM Platform: Inform end-users – using accessible notices like the ones the improvements or deprecated features, and offer a staging environment and API version for evaluation before deployment.4. Open-Access LLM Model: Publish a public notice on the repository's changelog and mailing list detailing upcoming changes, potential impacts, and instructions for testing the new pre-release version. The notice takes advantage of the repositories built- in accessibility features including WCAG 2.1 compliance.Principle 8: Document Your Data, Models, and PromptsPrompts	the intention to update	model updates can	functionality and offer previews to test changes for at least a month. Ensure notices are	
in an accessible way prior to models being updated.for users to use a new model version, especially in especially in access to a testing sandbox. Notices complies with WCAG 2.1 guidelines. access to a testing sandbox. Notices complies with WCAG 2.1 guidelines. access to a testing sandbox. Notices complies with WCAG 2.1 guidelines. access to a testing sandbox is updated tection and provides a test instance to allow them run evaluations before switching offering an accessible downloadable PDF. and operational issues for end-users relying on certain model feature or behaviour.J. LLM Platform: Inform end-users – using accessible notices like the ones the iprevious two examples- about planned finetuning updates, detailing expected improvements or deprecated features, and offer a staging environment and API version for evaluation before deployment. behaviour.4. Open-Access LLM Model: Publish a public notice on the repository's changelog and mailing list detailing upcoming changes, potential impacts, and instructions for testing the new pre-release version. The notice takes advantage of the repositories built- in accessibility features including WCAG 2.1 compliance.Principle 8: Document Your Data, Models.Hormstructures including WCAG 2.1 compliance.	models to End-users	make it challenging	accessible.	
prior to models being updated.new model version, especially in evaluating data protection1. Chatbot App: Notify users about updates to the chatbot's third party LLM, highlighting any changes in supported languages or response behaviour, and provide access to a testing sandbox. Notices complies with WCAG 2.1 guidelines.2. ML Fraud Detection: compliance. This creates availability and operational issues for end-users relying on certain model feature or behaviour.2. ML Fraud Detection: Send end-users a notice explaining upcoming changes in the algorithm and how it may impact detection and provides a test instance to allow them run evaluations before switching offering an accessible downloadable PDF.3. LLM Platform: relying on certain model feature or behaviour.3. LLM Platform: Inform end-users – using accessible notices like the ones the previous two examples- about planned finetuning updates, detailing expected improvements or deprecated features, and offer a staging environment and API version for evaluation before deployment.4. Open-Access LLM Model: Publish a public notice on the repository's changelog and mailing list detailing upcoming changes, potential impacts, and instructions for testing the new pre-release version. The notice takes advantage of the repositories built- in accessibility features including WCAG 2.1 compliance.Principle 8: Document Your Data, Models, amt Prompts	in an accessible way	for users to use a		
updated.especially in evaluating data protectionhighlighting any changes in supported languages or response behaviour, and provide access to a testing sandbox. Notices complies with WCAG 2.1 guidelines.updated.evaluating data protectionaccess to a testing sandbox. Notices complies with WCAG 2.1 guidelines.updated.compliance. This compliance. This creates availability and operational2. ML Fraud Detection: Send end-users a notice explaining upcoming changes in the algorithm and how it may impact detection and provides a test instance to allow them run evaluations before switching offering an accessible downloadable PDF.3. LLM Platform: Inform end-users – using accessible notices like the ones the previous two examples- about planned finetuning updates, detailing expected improvements or deprecated features, and offer a staging environment and API version for evaluation before deployment.4. Open-Access LLM Model: Publish a public notice on the repository's changelog and mailing list detailing upcoming changes, potential impacts, and instructions for testing the new pre-release version. The notice takes advantage of the repositories built- in accessibility features including WCAG 2.1 compliance.Principle 8: Document Your Data, Models, and Prompts	prior to models being	new model version,	1. Chatbot App: Notify users about updates to the chatbot's third party LLM,	
evaluating data protectionaccess to a testing sandbox. Notices complies with WCAG 2.1 guidelines.compliance. This compliance. This creates availability and operational issues for end-users relying on certain model feature or behaviour.2. ML Fraud Detection: Send end-users a notice explaining upcoming changes in the algorithm and how it may impact detection and provides a test instance to allow them run evaluations before switching offering an accessible downloadable PDF. 3. LLM Platform: Inform end-users – using accessible notices like the ones the previous two examples- about planned finetuning updates, detailing expected improvements or deprecated features, and offer a staging environment and API version for evaluation before deployment.4. Open-Access LLM Model: Publish a public notice on the repository's changelog and mailing list detailing upcoming changes, potential impacts, and instructions for testing the new pre-release version. The notice takes advantage of the repositories built- in accessibility features including WCAG 2.1 compliance.Principle 8: Document Your Data, Models, and Prompts	updated.	especially in	highlighting any changes in supported languages or response behaviour, and provide	
Protection 2. ML Fraud Detection: Send end-users a notice explaining upcoming changes in the compliance. This algorithm and how it may impact detection and provides a test instance to allow them creates availability run evaluations before switching offering an accessible downloadable PDF. and operational 3. LLM Platform: Inform end-users – using accessible notices like the ones the issues for end-users previous two examples- about planned finetuning updates, detailing expected relying on certain improvements or deprecated features, and offer a staging environment and API version model feature or for evaluation before deployment. behaviour. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Publish a public notice on the repository's changelog and mailing list detailing upcoming changes, potential impacts, and instructions for testing the new pre-release version. The notice takes advantage of the repositories built- in accessibility features including WCAG 2.1 compliance.		evaluating data	access to a testing sandbox. Notices complies with WCAG 2.1 guidelines.	
compliance. Thisalgorithm and how it may impact detection and provides a test instance to allow themcreates availability and operationalrun evaluations before switching offering an accessible downloadable PDF.a. LLM Platform: Inform end-users – using accessible notices like the ones theissues for end-usersprevious two examples- about planned finetuning updates, detailing expectedrelying on certain model feature or behaviour.for evaluation before deployment.4. Open-Access LLM Model: Publish a public notice on the repository's changelog and mailing list detailing upcoming changes, potential impacts, and instructions for testing the new pre-release version. The notice takes advantage of the repositories built- in accessibility features including WCAG 2.1 compliance.Principle 8: Document Your Data, Models, and Prompts		protection	2. ML Fraud Detection: Send end-users a notice explaining upcoming changes in the	
creates availability and operational issues for end-users relying on certain model feature or behaviour.run evaluations before switching offering an accessible downloadable PDF. 3. LLM Platform : Inform end-users – using accessible notices like the ones the previous two examples- about planned finetuning updates, detailing expectedimprovements or deprecated features, and offer a staging environment and API version for evaluation before deployment. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Publish a public notice on the repository's changelog and mailing list detailing upcoming changes, potential impacts, and instructions for testing the new pre-release version. The notice takes advantage of the repositories built- in accessibility features including WCAG 2.1 compliance. Principle 8: Document Your Data, Models, and Prompts		compliance. This	algorithm and how it may impact detection and provides a test instance to allow them	
and operational issues for end-users relying on certain model feature or behaviour.3. LLM Platform: Inform end-users – using accessible notices like the ones the previous two examples- about planned finetuning updates, detailing expectedModel feature or behaviour.improvements or deprecated features, and offer a staging environment and API version for evaluation before deployment.4. Open-Access LLM Model: Publish a public notice on the repository's changelog and mailing list detailing upcoming changes, potential impacts, and instructions for testing the new pre-release version. The notice takes advantage of the repositories built- in accessibility features including WCAG 2.1 compliance.Principle 8: Document Your Data, Models, and Prompts		creates availability	run evaluations before switching offering an accessible downloadable PDF.	
Issues for end-users previous two examples- about planned finetuning updates, detailing expected relying on certain improvements or deprecated features, and offer a staging environment and API version model feature or for evaluation before deployment. behaviour. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Publish a public notice on the repository's changelog and mailing list detailing upcoming changes, potential impacts, and instructions for testing the new pre-release version. The notice takes advantage of the repositories built- in accessibility features including WCAG 2.1 compliance. Principle 8: Document Your Data, Models, and Prompts		and operational	3. LLM Platform: Inform end-users – using accessible notices like the ones the	
relying on certain model feature or behaviour.improvements or deprecated features, and offer a staging environment and API version for evaluation before deployment.4. Open-Access LLM Model: Publish a public notice on the repository's changelog and mailing list detailing upcoming changes, potential impacts, and instructions for testing the new pre-release version. The notice takes advantage of the repositories built- in accessibility features including WCAG 2.1 compliance.Principle 8: Document Your Data, Models, and Prompts		issues for end-users	previous two examples- about planned finetuning updates, detailing expected	
model feature or behaviour. for evaluation before deployment. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Publish a public notice on the repository's changelog and mailing list detailing upcoming changes, potential impacts, and instructions for testing the new pre-release version. The notice takes advantage of the repositories built-in accessibility features including WCAG 2.1 compliance. Principle 8: Document Your Data, Models, and Prompts		reiging on certain	improvements or deprecated reatures, and otter a staging environment and API version	
4. Open-Access LEW Model: Public house on the repository's changelog and mailing list detailing upcoming changes, potential impacts, and instructions for testing the new pre-release version. The notice takes advantage of the repositories built- in accessibility features including WCAG 2.1 compliance. Principle 8: Document Your Data, Models, and Prompts		hobeviour	1 or evaluation before deployment.	
and maning itst detaining upcoming changes, potential impacts, and instructions for testing the new pre-release version. The notice takes advantage of the repositories built- in accessibility features including WCAG 2.1 compliance. Principle 8: Document Your Data, Models, and Prompts		benaviour.	4. Open-Access LLivi Model: Publish a public notice on the repository's changelog	
Principle 8: Document Your Data, Models, and Prompts			and maning list detailing upcoming changes, potential impacts, and instructions for	
Principle 8: Document Your Data, Models, and Prompts			in accessibility features including WCAG21 compliance	
rimciple of Document 1 our Data, Mouels, and Frompts	Dringinlo 9. Doguran	 	nd Dromote	
	Frinciple 8: Document	i i our Data, Models, a		

Provisions	Related	Example Measures/Controls	Reference/Resource
	threats/risks		
8.1 Developers shall document and maintain a clear audit trail of their system design and post- deployment maintenance plans	Without thorough documentation and audit trails, AI system operations may lack transparency, limiting traceability	 Develop Comprehensive System Design and Maintenance Documentation: Document the system design and post-deployment maintenance plans with audit trail of design decisions, architectural diagrams, and maintenance schedules. Ensure the documentation is accessible to downstream System Operators and Data Custodians, highlighting responsibilities, version control, and any dependencies. 1 Chathot App: Document post-deployment monitoring approaches, detailing how 	 <u>ICO: Data Protection Audit</u> <u>Framework Toolkits, Artificial</u> <u>Intelligence</u> <u>NCSC Machine Learning</u> <u>Principles</u>, 2.3 Manage the full life cycle of models and datasets.
Developers should make the documentation available to the downstream System Operators and Data Custodians.	and increasing risks of security gaps, regulatory non- compliance, and operational inefficiencies.	 1. Chabot App. Document post-deployment monitoring approaches, detaining now user feedback is collected and incorporated into iterative updates. Share these protocols with Data Custodians to ensure they comply with data retention and usage policies. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Maintain an audit trail of ADRs (Architecture Decision Records) design choices, such as feature selection, model architecture, and testing results. Provide detailed maintenance schedules, including retraining cycles and updates to detection rules. 3. LLM Platform: Use the approach described in the Fraud Detection example of this control. Additionally, include an audit trail of all model fine-tuning activities and dependencies. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Automate log generation from your repository of all an audit trail of all model training/fine-tuning activities and their dependencies, releases, and associated release notes 	 <u>NCSC Guidelines for Secure AI</u> <u>System Development</u>, Document your data, models, and prompts. <u>CSA Companion Guide on</u> <u>Securing AI Systems</u>, Section 2.2.2 Development, Item 2.3
8.1.1 Developers should ensure that the document includes security-relevant information, such as the sources of training data (including fine- tuning data and	Lack of detailed security-relevant documentation can lead to unmitigated risks from unverified data sources, misuse of the AI system, and	Include Relevant Security- Information in System Documentation Document AI systems including intended scope, limitations, known failure modes, prompts, guardrails training data sources, data retention policies, review schedules. Use Model Cards to capture transparent summaries of a model's capabilities, ethical considerations, performance metrics, and limitations, consider using ML Bills of Materials (MLBOMs) to document in machine-readable way the model and its dependencies, with component versions, and licensing.	 <u>NCSC Machine Learning</u> <u>Principles</u>, 2.3 Manage the full life cycle of models and datasets. <u>OWASP CycloneDX – ML BOM</u>
human or other operational feedback), intended scope and limitations, guardrails, retention time, suggested review frequency and potential failure modes.	challenges in addressing failure modes.	 Chatbot App: Document prompts and data sources used for RAG, intended use cases, any safeguards to prevent misuse, data retention periods, and failure scenarios. ML Fraud Detection: Apply the implementation described in the Chatbot App to training/test data. In addition, create and maintain model cards to document the models trained. LLM Platform: Similar implementation as in the Fraud Detection example. Open-Access LLM Model: Creates and publish a model card. Use a standard such as <u>OWASP CycloneDX</u> to generate and publish a signed MLBOM documenting model dependencies. 	
8.1.2 Developers shall release cryptographic hashes for model components that are made available to	Absence of cryptographic hashes for model components increases the risk of	 Include Cryptographic Hashes for Models: Release cryptographic hashes for all models made available to stakeholders, enabling verification of component authenticity. 1. Chatbot App: Not applicable. 	 <u>NIST: Cryptographic Standards</u> and Guidelines <u>OWASP Cryptographic Storage</u> <u>Cheat Sheet</u>

other stakeholders to allow them to verify the authenticity of the components.	tampering, unauthorized modifications, and integrity issues, compromising trust and security.	 2. ML Fraud Detection: Provide cryptographic hashes for trained fraud detection models shared with clients, enabling them to confirm the integrity of the received models 3. LLM Platform: Maintain hashes for each version internally and provide them in direct integration scenarios, e.g. a hosting by a cloud platform acting as a reseller. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Generate and provide unique digital fingerprints (e.g. SHA-256 hashes) for each model version both on model hubs but also your website and Git repository, allowing developers to verify the model's integrity. 	
8.2 Where training data has been sourced from publicly available sources, there is a risk that this data might have been poisoned. As discovery of poisoned data is likely to occur after training (if at all), Developers shall document how they obtained the public training data, where it came from and how that data is used in the model.	Without comprehensive documentation of training data sources and collection timestamps, organizations may be unable to determine whether their AI models have been affected by data poisoning, fraud, or insider abuse and whether they are compliant to data protection legislation. If data poisoning attacks are revealed to have occurred on public websites, producers or users of models will only know if they have robust documentation of what data the model was trained on.	 Document the process of sourcing public training data, detailing how data was collected, processed, and used in the model (e.g., pretraining, fine-tuning). Include poisoning mitigation measures such as data validation and anomaly detection. Maintain an audit trail to trace datasets if poisoning is suspected. 1. Chatbot App: Document any public data used in RAG scenarios. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Track sources of training data for fraud detection models, logging the origin and preprocessing steps to ensure traceability in the event of anomalies. 3. LLM Platform: Maintain an audit trail for all training and fine-tuning datasets sourced from public repositories, including documentation, evaluations, and poisoning mitigation measures like validation checks 4. Open-Access LLM Model: For a community-contributed dataset, enforce logging metadata and manual filtering notes as part of the Pull Request template to enhance transparency and mitigate risks of poisoning. 	 ICO: Data Protection Audit Framework Toolkits, Artificial Intelligence ETSI GR SAI 002 - Data Supply Chain Security CSA Companion Guide on Securing AI Systems, Section 2.2.2 Development, Item 2.3 NCSC Machine Learning Principles, 2.3 Manage the full life cycle of models and datasets. NCSC Guidelines for Secure AI System Development, Document your data, models, and prompts.
8.2.1 The documentation of training data should include at a minimum the source of the data, such as the URL of	Failure to record detailed metadata, such as data sources and timestamps, can hinder efforts to trace and respond to	 Document metadata for all publicly sourced training data: Include the exact source (e.g., URLs) and date/time of collection. Ensure this metadata is stored in an accessible format to facilitate traceability in case of reported data poisoning. 1. Chatbot App: Maintain a log of URLs and timestamps for all data used in a RAG scenario. 	See References in "Document the process of sourcing public training data"

the scraped page, and the date/time the data was obtained. This will allow Developers to identify whether a reported data poisoning attack was in their data sota	data poisoning incidents.	 2. ML Fraud Detection: Record metadata for public datasets used in feature engineering and training, ensuring URLs and collection dates are stored securely. Include supplier contact details and documentation link for commercial datasets. 3. LLM Platform: Capture detailed metadata for publicly sourced datasets, including data scrapers used, timestamp logs, and annotations for identified poisoning risks. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Provide contributors with a template to submit dataset metadata, requiring URLs and timestamps to be included for every contribution, making required part of the Pull Paguent template. 	
in their data sets.		required part of the Pull Request template.	
8.3 Developers should ensure that they have an audit log of changes to system prompts or other model configuration (including prompts) that affect the underlying working of the systems. Developers may make this available to any System Operators and End-Users that have access to the model.	Without an audit log for configuration changes, tracking and understanding modifications to prompts or model configurations become difficult, increasing risks of unintended system behaviour or accountability issues.	 Maintain an Audit Log of Prompt and Model Configuration Changes: Implement an audit log that captures all changes to system prompts and configuration settings, recording details such as change date, user ID, and a description of modifications. Provide access to System Operators and Data Custodians. 1. Chatbot App: maintain logs of all prompt changes and LLM API endpoint configurations with the required metadata. Configure alerts to notify administrators when critical prompt templates implementing guardrails are modified. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Log changes to model parameters and weights and implement alerts when model parameters and weights are modified outside a pipeline deployment. 3. LLM Platform: Similar approach as in the Fraud Detection example of this control 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Maintain an open audit log that records changes to prompts, model weights, and configurations, specifying contributor IDs and timestamps. Provide stakeholders with read-only access for transparency and enable alerts for critical changes. 	See References in "Document the process of sourcing public training data"
Principle 9: Conduct a	ppropriate testing and	evaluation	
Provisions	Related threats/risks	Example Measures/Controls	Reference/Resource
9.1 Developers shall ensure that all models, applications, and systems that are released have been tested as part of a security assessment process.	Without rigorous security assessments by qualified experts understanding AI and classic risks, AI systems may be vulnerable to exploits, unauthorized access, or data breaches, leading to potential data loss, manipulation, or	 Implement Security Assessment Processes for All Releases: Establish a mandatory security assessment process for all models, applications, and systems prior to release, covering areas like access control, data integrity, and adversarial AI attacks. Scope testing based on the threats identified in threat models. 1. Chatbot App: Test against the OWASP Top 10 for LLM Apps, focusing on the indirect injection risks from PDF uploads identified in the threat model. Add tests to cover general application and platform vulnerabilities ensuring the application has good security posture. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Test against evasion attacks highlighted in the threat model as well as the security posture of the application, APIs, and platform using the <u>OWASP Top 10 for Apps</u> and <u>APIs</u>. 3. LLM Platform: Test adversarial robustness, extraction attacks, output sensitivity, ethical violations and bias, data memorisation, and jail breaking. 	 ETSI – Security Artificial Intelligence: Security Testing of AI NCSC Machine Learning Principles, 1.4 Analyse vulnerabilities against inherent ML threats NCSC Guidelines for Secure AI System Development, Secure Deployment CSA Companion Guide on Securing AI Systems, Part 2,2.3.

	misuse of the AI	4. Open-Access LLM Model: Follow the same approach as the LLM Provider. Publish	Deployment, Item 3 (Release AI
	model.	a summary of findings alongside the model to ensure transparency and improve	systems responsibly) and Annex A
		community trust.	– Technical Testing and System
			Validation
			• OWASP Top 10 for LLM
			Applications
			OWASD AL Exchange
			OWASP AT Exchange
			• <u>OWASP Top 10 2021</u>
			OWASP Top Ten for APIs
		Use Offensive Security Assessments with Penetration Testing and Red Teaming:	• Generative AI: Red Teaming
		Use periodic penetration testing to evaluate the AI system's resilience and red teaming	Challenge Transparency Report -
		for models.	AI Village Defcon 2024
		1. Chatbot App: Expand the annual penetration test to include LLM-specific threats	• NCSC: Penetration Testing
		adentified in the threat model, such as indirect prompt injections through PDF uploads.	Red-Teaming for Generative AI:
		2. ML Frau Detection. Include evasion attacks in penetration testing, locusing on adversarial examples designed to bypass detection algorithms	Silver Bullet or Security Theater?
		3 LLM Platform : Conduct red teaming exercises to evaluate the model's robustness	Silver Dunct of Security Theater:
		against jailbreaking attempts, bias exploitation, and data memorization risks, leveraging	
		multi-disciplinary teams for comprehensive assessments.	
		4. Open-Access LLM Model: For a small organisation with limited resources, combine	
		lightweight internal red teaming and invite experts from the open-source community to	
		test for vulnerabilities, such as toxic content generation or prompt injections.	
9.2 System Operators	Without pre-	Implement Comprehensive Pre-Deployment Testing:	• ETSI – Security Artificial
shall conduct testing	deployment testing,	Compliment development-time testing with running benchmarking emulation suites	Intelligence; Security Testing of
prior to the system	systems may fail to	covering functional, performance, and security tests to confirm that the system meets	AI
being deployed with	meet operational and	intended requirements before deployment. These can either be in-house or independent	NCSC Machine Learning
support from	security	third-party benchmarks. Integrate these tests into the development pipeline to ensure	Principles 14 Analyse
Developers.	requirements,	issues are identified and resolved before release.	vulnerabilities against inherent
	compromised	1 Chathot App: In the chathot scenario, unit integration, and acceptance tests are	ML threats
	performance	complimented with tests before deployment on performance under load functional	
	reduced reliability.	response accuracy, and resilience against common Top 10 for LLM attack patterns.	• <u>NCSC Guidennes for Secure AI</u>
	or security	Tests are automated at CI level.	System Development, Secure
	vulnerabilities once	2. ML Fraud Detection: In the fraud detection scenario, a similar approach is taken but	Deployment
	deployed.	with emphasis on predictive AI adversarial attacks (e.g. evasion) run against the model.	 <u>CSA Companion Guide on</u>
		3. LLM Platform : For LLM releases, evaluation suits and red team exercises help	Securing AI Systems, Part 2.2.3.
		ensure the model is of acceptable quality and security before deployed. Automate	Deployment, Item 3 (Release AI
		adversarial robustness checks and ethical evaluation frameworks in pipelines to detect	systems responsibly) and Annex A
		biases and regressions in output trustworthiness.	 Technical Testing and System
		4. Open-Access LLM Model: Apply the previous community-driven approach to early	Validation
		access models. Integrate tests into automated workflows.	

			OWASP Top 10 for LLM
			applications
			OWASP AI Exchange
9.2.1 For security	Without independent	Engage Independent Security Testers for Pre-Deployment Testing: Use independent	NCSC CHECK Penetration
testing, System	security testing,	security testers with AI expertise to validate security measures, providing an unbiased	Testing
Operators and	systems may	review of system security.	• OWASP Top 10 for LLM
Developers should	overlook critical		applications
use independent	vulnerabilities,	1. Chatbot App: Use external accredited Pen Testers to test the application and API	<u>applications</u>
security testers with	especially those	including OWASP Top 10 for LLMs in the scope.	<u>OwASP AI Exchange</u>
technical skills	requiring specialized	2. ML Fraud Detection: In addition to the steps described for the Chatbot App, include	• <u>OWASP Top Ten for APIs</u>
relevant to their Al	expertise, increasing	relevant OWASP AI Exchange items in the scope.	• <u>OWASP Top 10 2021</u>
systems.	the risk of	3. LLM Platform: Add for platform and API security to the steps we described for the	
	undetected flaws	Chatbot app and the Fraud Detection examples; employ reputable red team testers to	
	and potential	run red team exercises against the model.	
	exploitation.	4. Open-Access LLW Model: Leverage the community to crowdsource red team	
		experts for external testing; contract external experts in resources abow to compliment	
9 3 Developers	Without access to	Establish a Process for Sharing Testing Results: Create a standardized process for	• ISO/IEC 20110: Softwara Tasting
should ensure that the	previous testing	sharing all relevant testing results and evaluation findings with System Operators of	• ISO/IEC 29119. Software Testing
findings from the	findings System	testing reports and ensure timely access for all relevant stakeholders	Standards – Communication and
testing and evaluation	Operators may lack	issuitz reports and ensure amery decess for an relevant stakeholders.	Reporting
are shared with	critical insights into	1. Chatbot App: Share: Share testing results on identified vulnerabilities with System	
System Operators, to	known	Operators and planned mitigations.	
inform their own	vulnerabilities or	2. ML Fraud Detection: Share testing findings with System Operators, highlighting a	
testing and evaluation.	limitations, and	lower rate of rejecting evasion attacks when transactions involve vendors already used	
	mitigations that may	by the user legitimately.	
	not be seen as	3. LLM Platform: Provide detailed reports on testing outcomes, including risks like	
	adequate by	data memorization or output bias, and offer guidelines for secure deployment practices	
	operators leading to	4. Open-Access LLM Model. Publish a summary of security and robustness findings in	
	potential gaps in	community forums, highlighting vulnerabilities like poisoning risks and encouraging	
	security coverage.	feedback for improvements. Provide more detailed reports to customers on request with	
		detailed risks and proposed mitigations.	
9.4 Developers	Without adequate	Conduct Security Reviews for Output Sensitivity: Engage with AI experts to evaluate	• ETSI – Security Artificial
should evaluate	output evaluation,	model outputs and identify any information that could reveal internal model structures,	Intelligence; Security Testing of
model outputs to	Operators or End-	ensuring sensitive aspects remain non-public.	AI
ensure they do not	users may reverse		• ETSI Security Artificial
allow System	engineer model	1. Chatbot App: Test chatbot responses for unintended patterns that could reveal	Intelligence: Privery
Operators or End-	internals or correlate	prompt templates or system configurations, adjusting output constraints to maintain	OWASD ALE - house
users to reverse	outputs with	operational privacy.	UWASP AI Exchange
engineer non-public	sequences of	2. IVIL Fraud Detection: Analyse outputs for patterns revealing specific feature	
aspects of the model	predesigned inputs,	importance (e.g., nigh fraud scores tied to rare combinations), replacing explicit results	
or the training data.	enabling them to	with categorical fisk levels to obscure decision logic.	

	reconstruct the model or training data. This can erode commercial advantage, allow the creation of shadow models, or facilitate attacks, even affecting "open source" models if only parts, such as architecture or weights, are	 3. LLM Platform: Test responses to ensure the model does not disclose memorized training data or hint at weight configurations, implementing filters to mask sensitive details. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Follow the approach described in the LLM Platform example while harnessing the community and crowd sourcing. Integrate Adversarial Testing and Robustness Evaluation: Implement adversarial testing to assess the resilience of AI systems against attempts to reverse engineer or manipulate model outputs. This involves simulating attacks that exploit output data to uncover model weaknesses or extract sensitive information. Open-source tools like ART and TextAttack can help you develop and run these tests. 1. Chatbot App: Use adversarial testing to simulate prompt injection attacks aimed at eliciting unintended responses. Adjust prompt templates and input validation to reduce vulnerability. 	 <u>ETSI – Security Artificial</u> <u>Intelligence; Security Testing of</u> <u>AI</u> <u>CSA Companion Guide on</u> <u>Securing AI Systems</u>, List of AI Testing Tools <u>Linux Foundation AI & Data</u>
		 2. ML Fraud Detection: Test the system against adversarial inputs designed to evade fraud detection, such as fabricated transaction patterns. Refine detection algorithms to improve resilience. 3. LLM Platform: Simulate jailbreaking attempts to bypass guardrails in the LLM's responses. Update output constraints and enhance filtering mechanisms to prevent such exploits. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Leverage community participation to create adversarial tests for input patterns, such as queries that manipulate outputs to infer training data. Apply patches and retrain models to address these vulnerabilities. 	 Foundation: Adversarial Robustness Toolbox NCSC Machine Learning Principles, 1.4 Analyse vulnerabilities against inherent ML threats OWASP AI Exchange TextAttack: Generating adversarial examples for NLP models
9.4.1 Additionally, Developers should evaluate model outputs to ensure they do not provide System Operators or End- users with unintended influence over the system.	Without evaluating for unintended influence, Operators or End-users may exploit system behaviour to align outputs with personal or malicious goals, leading to bias, misuse, or compromised trust in the system.	 Implement Safeguards Against Manipulation: Set controls to prevent Operators or End-users from adjusting inputs in ways that could intentionally influence the AI system's outcomes. 1. Chatbot App: apply prompt engineering and guardrails to constraint prevent output manipulation and abuse the app using prompt injection attacks. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Limit Operator access to input variables to prevent modifications that could allow compromised insiders to tamper model predictions. 3. LLM Platform: Implement rate limits and input validation to detect and block repeated adversarial inputs designed to manipulate model responses, ensuring consistent and unbiased outputs. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Develop or encourage community source plugins to enforce input constraints, preventing users from crafting queries aimed at exploiting or biasing the model's responses. 	• <u>OWASP Top 10 for LLM</u> <u>applications</u> <u>OWASP AI Exchange</u>
Principle 10: Commun	nication and processes	associated with End-users and Affected Entities	• Defenence/Dege
FTOVISIONS	threats/risks	Example Measures/Controls	• Keierence/Kesource

10.1 System	Insufficient	Establish Transparent Data Usage Communication: Provide a transparent overview	• ICO : Generative AI second call
Operators shall	communication	of data usage policies, purpose of processing, specifying whether data will be used for	for evidence: Purpose limitation in
convey to End-users	about data usage,	model retraining, third-party access, or employee review. Each processing activity	the generative AI lifecycle
in an accessible way	access, or storage	needs to be logged, and a compatibility assessment needs to be made for each new	<u>ine generative At mecycle</u>
where and how their	practices can lead to	purpose in accordance with ICO guidance. Ensure end users understand all potential	• GOV.UK - Guidance and tools for
data will be used,	misunderstandings	uses of their data and how it contributes to AI model performance or security and that	digital accessibility
accessed, and stored	and mistrust among	documentation is an accessible format	
(for example, if it is	End-users. This lack	·	
used for model	of transparency	1. Chatbot App: Outline to the end user of the chatbot app how their conversations will	
retraining, or	increases the risk of	be logged, used, and monitored. Include in a downloadable accessible PDF File.	
reviewed by	misuse or	2. ML Fraud Detection: Explain with clear descriptions in the end-user agreement and	
employees or	unauthorized access	privacy policy how customer data will be used for online training and the protections,	
partners). If the	to data, as users may	e.g., anonymisation, in place. Document transparently regions where data is stored.	
Developer is an	not fully understand	Documentation is WCAG 2.1 compliant	
external entity, they	or consent to how	3. LLM Platform : Explain with clear descriptions in the end-user agreement and	
shall provide this	their data is handled,	privacy policy how customer data will be used for online training and the protections.	
information to System	potentially resulting	e.g., anonymisation, in place. Document transparently regions where data is stored.	
Operators.	in regulatory and	Documentation is WCAG 2.1 compliant.	
-1	reputational damage.	4. Open-Access LLM Model: Document how personal data, if any, are	
	1 0	processed Make this information available both as a downloadable accessible PDF file	
		and on a dedicated well-structured and accessible HTML web page to ensure broad	
		accessibility.	
10.2 System	Without clear	Provide Comprehensive User Guides and Tutorials: Develop and distribute detailed	• GOV.UK - Guidance and tools for
Operators shall	guidance, End-users	user guides and tutorials that cover secure configuration, integration steps, and	digital accessibility
provide End-users	may mismanage the	recommended usage practices, ensuring users understand safe operation protocols.	digital accessionity
· · · · · ·	may mismanage the	\mathbf{G}	
with accessible	software, leading to	Provide accessible notification options, such as screen reader-compatible text alerts,	
with accessible guidance to support	software, leading to data leaks,	<i>Provide accessible notification options, such as screen reader-compatible text alerts, and customisable notifications for users with sensory impairments</i>	
with accessible guidance to support their use.	software, leading to data leaks, vulnerabilities, or	Provide accessible notification options, such as screen reader-compatible text alerts, and customisable notifications for users with sensory impairments	
with accessible guidance to support their use, management,	software, leading to data leaks, vulnerabilities, or system misuse,	 <i>Provide accessible notification options, such as screen reader-compatible text alerts, and customisable notifications for users with sensory impairments</i> 1. Chatbot App: Provide internal system documentation app configurations including 	
with accessible guidance to support their use, management, integration, and	software, leading to data leaks, vulnerabilities, or system misuse, exposing AI systems	 Provide accessible notification options, such as screen reader-compatible text alerts, and customisable notifications for users with sensory impairments 1. Chatbot App: Provide internal system documentation app configurations including LLM API, highlighting security aspects such as secrets management, encryption, access 	
with accessible guidance to support their use, management, integration, and configuration of AI	software, leading to data leaks, vulnerabilities, or system misuse, exposing AI systems to security risks.	 Provide accessible notification options, such as screen reader-compatible text alerts, and customisable notifications for users with sensory impairments 1. Chatbot App: Provide internal system documentation app configurations including LLM API, highlighting security aspects such as secrets management, encryption, access control configuration and so on, with a downloadable accessible PDF File. 	
with accessible guidance to support their use, management, integration, and configuration of AI systems. If the	software, leading to data leaks, vulnerabilities, or system misuse, exposing AI systems to security risks.	 Provide accessible notification options, such as screen reader-compatible text alerts, and customisable notifications for users with sensory impairments 1. Chatbot App: Provide internal system documentation app configurations including LLM API, highlighting security aspects such as secrets management, encryption, access control configuration and so on, with a downloadable accessible PDF File. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Internal detailed guide on deploying the model and associated 	
with accessible guidance to support their use, management, integration, and configuration of AI systems. If the Developer is an	software, leading to data leaks, vulnerabilities, or system misuse, exposing AI systems to security risks.	 Provide accessible notification options, such as screen reader-compatible text alerts, and customisable notifications for users with sensory impairments 1. Chatbot App: Provide internal system documentation app configurations including LLM API, highlighting security aspects such as secrets management, encryption, access control configuration and so on, with a downloadable accessible PDF File. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Internal detailed guide on deploying the model and associated services, highlighting security requirements. Documentation is WCAG 2.1 compliant 	
with accessible guidance to support their use, management, integration, and configuration of AI systems. If the Developer is an external entity, they	software, leading to data leaks, vulnerabilities, or system misuse, exposing AI systems to security risks.	 Provide accessible notification options, such as screen reader-compatible text alerts, and customisable notifications for users with sensory impairments 1. Chatbot App: Provide internal system documentation app configurations including LLM API, highlighting security aspects such as secrets management, encryption, access control configuration and so on, with a downloadable accessible PDF File. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Internal detailed guide on deploying the model and associated services, highlighting security requirements. Documentation is WCAG 2.1 compliant 3. LLM Platform: Documentation includes step-by-step instructions on integrating the 	
with accessible guidance to support their use, management, integration, and configuration of AI systems. If the Developer is an external entity, they shall provide all	software, leading to data leaks, vulnerabilities, or system misuse, exposing AI systems to security risks.	 Provide accessible notification options, such as screen reader-compatible text alerts, and customisable notifications for users with sensory impairments 1. Chatbot App: Provide internal system documentation app configurations including LLM API, highlighting security aspects such as secrets management, encryption, access control configuration and so on, with a downloadable accessible PDF File. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Internal detailed guide on deploying the model and associated services, highlighting security requirements. Documentation is WCAG 2.1 compliant 3. LLM Platform: Documentation includes step-by-step instructions on integrating the model with existing systems securely, explaining the need for least-privilege access 	
with accessible guidance to support their use, management, integration, and configuration of AI systems. If the Developer is an external entity, they shall provide all necessary information	software, leading to data leaks, vulnerabilities, or system misuse, exposing AI systems to security risks.	 Provide accessible notification options, such as screen reader-compatible text alerts, and customisable notifications for users with sensory impairments 1. Chatbot App: Provide internal system documentation app configurations including LLM API, highlighting security aspects such as secrets management, encryption, access control configuration and so on, with a downloadable accessible PDF File. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Internal detailed guide on deploying the model and associated services, highlighting security requirements. Documentation is WCAG 2.1 compliant 3. LLM Platform: Documentation includes step-by-step instructions on integrating the model with existing systems securely, explaining the need for least-privilege access with read-only roles when invoking APIs, use of TLS, secret management for 	
with accessible guidance to support their use, management, integration, and configuration of AI systems. If the Developer is an external entity, they shall provide all necessary information to help System	software, leading to data leaks, vulnerabilities, or system misuse, exposing AI systems to security risks.	 Provide accessible notification options, such as screen reader-compatible text alerts, and customisable notifications for users with sensory impairments 1. Chatbot App: Provide internal system documentation app configurations including LLM API, highlighting security aspects such as secrets management, encryption, access control configuration and so on, with a downloadable accessible PDF File. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Internal detailed guide on deploying the model and associated services, highlighting security requirements. Documentation is WCAG 2.1 compliant 3. LLM Platform: Documentation includes step-by-step instructions on integrating the model with existing systems securely, explaining the need for least-privilege access with read-only roles when invoking APIs, use of TLS, secret management for configuration credentials and so on. Documentation is WCAG 2.1 compliant. 	
with accessible guidance to support their use, management, integration, and configuration of AI systems. If the Developer is an external entity, they shall provide all necessary information to help System Operators.	software, leading to data leaks, vulnerabilities, or system misuse, exposing AI systems to security risks.	 Provide accessible notification options, such as screen reader-compatible text alerts, and customisable notifications for users with sensory impairments 1. Chatbot App: Provide internal system documentation app configurations including LLM API, highlighting security aspects such as secrets management, encryption, access control configuration and so on, with a downloadable accessible PDF File. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Internal detailed guide on deploying the model and associated services, highlighting security requirements. Documentation is WCAG 2.1 compliant 3. LLM Platform: Documentation includes step-by-step instructions on integrating the model with existing systems securely, explaining the need for least-privilege access with read-only roles when invoking APIs, use of TLS, secret management for configuration credentials and so on. Documentation is WCAG 2.1 compliant. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Like the LLM Platform example, but less detailed. The 	
with accessible guidance to support their use, management, integration, and configuration of AI systems. If the Developer is an external entity, they shall provide all necessary information to help System Operators.	software, leading to data leaks, vulnerabilities, or system misuse, exposing AI systems to security risks.	 Provide accessible notification options, such as screen reader-compatible text alerts, and customisable notifications for users with sensory impairments 1. Chatbot App: Provide internal system documentation app configurations including LLM API, highlighting security aspects such as secrets management, encryption, access control configuration and so on, with a downloadable accessible PDF File. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Internal detailed guide on deploying the model and associated services, highlighting security requirements. Documentation is WCAG 2.1 compliant 3. LLM Platform: Documentation includes step-by-step instructions on integrating the model with existing systems securely, explaining the need for least-privilege access with read-only roles when invoking APIs, use of TLS, secret management for configuration credentials and so on. Documentation is WCAG 2.1 compliant. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Like the LLM Platform example, but less detailed. The organisation takes advantage of their Wiki's accessible features to ensure accessibility. 	
with accessible guidance to support their use, management, integration, and configuration of AI systems. If the Developer is an external entity, they shall provide all necessary information to help System Operators.	Failing to highlight	 Provide accessible notification options, such as screen reader-compatible text alerts, and customisable notifications for users with sensory impairments 1. Chatbot App: Provide internal system documentation app configurations including LLM API, highlighting security aspects such as secrets management, encryption, access control configuration and so on, with a downloadable accessible PDF File. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Internal detailed guide on deploying the model and associated services, highlighting security requirements. Documentation is WCAG 2.1 compliant 3. LLM Platform: Documentation includes step-by-step instructions on integrating the model with existing systems securely, explaining the need for least-privilege access with read-only roles when invoking APIs, use of TLS, secret management for configuration credentials and so on. Documentation is WCAG 2.1 compliant. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Like the LLM Platform example, but less detailed. The organisation takes advantage of their Wiki's accessible features to ensure accessibility. Highlight Model Limitations and Failure Modes: Clearly outline the model's 	• NIST AI RMF
with accessible guidance to support their use, management, integration, and configuration of AI systems. If the Developer is an external entity, they shall provide all necessary information to help System Operators.	Failing to highlight limitations and	 Provide accessible notification options, such as screen reader-compatible text alerts, and customisable notifications for users with sensory impairments 1. Chatbot App: Provide internal system documentation app configurations including LLM API, highlighting security aspects such as secrets management, encryption, access control configuration and so on, with a downloadable accessible PDF File. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Internal detailed guide on deploying the model and associated services, highlighting security requirements. Documentation is WCAG 2.1 compliant 3. LLM Platform: Documentation includes step-by-step instructions on integrating the model with existing systems securely, explaining the need for least-privilege access with read-only roles when invoking APIs, use of TLS, secret management for configuration credentials and so on. Documentation is WCAG 2.1 compliant. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Like the LLM Platform example, but less detailed. The organisation takes advantage of their Wiki's accessible features to ensure accessibility. Highlight Model Limitations and Failure Modes: Clearly outline the model's appropriate uses, limitations, and potential failure modes to inform end-users of 	• <u>NIST AI RMF</u>
with accessible guidance to support their use, management, integration, and configuration of AI systems. If the Developer is an external entity, they shall provide all necessary information to help System Operators. 10.2.1 System Operators shall include guidance on	Failing to highlight limitations and potential failure	 Provide accessible notification options, such as screen reader-compatible text alerts, and customisable notifications for users with sensory impairments 1. Chatbot App: Provide internal system documentation app configurations including LLM API, highlighting security aspects such as secrets management, encryption, access control configuration and so on, with a downloadable accessible PDF File. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Internal detailed guide on deploying the model and associated services, highlighting security requirements. Documentation is WCAG 2.1 compliant 3. LLM Platform: Documentation includes step-by-step instructions on integrating the model with existing systems securely, explaining the need for least-privilege access with read-only roles when invoking APIs, use of TLS, secret management for configuration credentials and so on. Documentation is WCAG 2.1 compliant. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Like the LLM Platform example, but less detailed. The organisation takes advantage of their Wiki's accessible features to ensure accessibility. Highlight Model Limitations and Failure Modes: Clearly outline the model's appropriate uses, limitations, and potential failure modes to inform end-users of scenarios in which the model might produce inaccurate or unreliable outputs. 	• <u>NIST AI RMF</u>

the model or system,	End-users relying on	1. Chatbot App: Inform users that the chatbot may struggle with complex or	
which includes	the AI system for	ambiguous user queries and encourage fallback to human operators for critical or high-	
highlighting	unsupported or	impact scenarios.	
limitations and	inappropriate tasks,	2. ML Fraud Detection: Inform users of scenarios (e.g. unusual market conditions)	
potential failure	increasing the risk of	where the model may produce less accurate predictions, allowing operators to interpret	
modes.	operational errors.	results carefully.	
	data misuse, or	3. LLM Platform : Document the inherent LLM tendency of hallucinations, providing	
	unintended	plausible sounding but incorrect information. Highlight hallucinations in code	
	consequences	generation and its effect on creating vulnerable code	
	consequences.	4 Open-Access I I M Model: Provide a summary of known strengths and weaknesses	
		4. Open-Access LEW Model. 1 loyide a summary of known such guis and weaknesses.	
		Fight the strength on regar queries and poor performance on other domains such as	
10.0.0.0	XX7'.1		
10.2.2 System	Without proactive	Notify Users of Security Updates. Proactively inform End-users about security update,	• <u>GOV.UK - Guidance and tools for</u>
Operators shall	communication	detailing the purpose and impact of each update to promote user compliance. Ensure	digital accessibility
proactively inform	about security-	all users, can be informed by using accessible formats.	
End-users of any	relevant updates,		
security relevant	End-users may fail	1. Chatbot App : Provide clear notifications to internal end-users when a security patch	
updates and provide	to understand	is applied to the hiring chatbot that disables Word documents and restricts to PDF files	
clear explanations in	changes in system	to avoid certain type of attacks. Similarly, notify internal users when e-mailing	
an accessible way.	behaviour or	functionality is disabled following a prompt injection attack abusing the functionality.	
	associated risks.	Explain how it enhances system resilience and inform them of any changes to features	
	This lack of	or functionality they need to be aware of. The notification should be available as an	
	awareness can lead	accessible web page or accessible downloadable PDF file.	
	to improper use or	2. ML Fraud Detection: Inform users of a security update applied to the fraud	
	failure to take	detection system, such as enhanced protections against adversarial evasion attacks.	
	necessary	Explain, in a WCAG- 2.1 compliant page, how the update improves the system's ability	
	precautions.	to detect malicious patterns and reduce false negatives.	
	increasing the	3. LLM Platform: Inform end-users of updates to API functionality, including	
	system's exposure to	improvements to safety guardrails, such as enhanced mitigation against hallucinations	
	notential	or bias in outputs. Provide documentation of these undates in accessible formats, such	
	exploitation or	as a changelog or dedicated undate name, ensuring the information is easy to understand	
	security breaches	and actionable and is WCAG 2.1 compliant	
	security breaches.	A Open Access I I M Model: Publish detailed release notes in the repository's	
		4. Open-Access LEW Model. I donsh detailed release holes in the repository's	
		changelog and manning list when security-related updates are applied to the model, such	
		as improved robusiness against poisoning attacks. Include an accessible summary page	
10.0 D	T 1	and a downloadable accessible PDF.	
10.3 Developers and	Failure to support	Establish a Documented Incident Support and Communication Process: Develop and	• <u>GOV.UK - Guidance and tools for</u>
System Operators	affected End-users	document a support process for responding to incidents, covering steps for	digital accessibility
should support	and Affected	containment, impact assessment, and recovery, and specify the roles and	 NCSC Incident Management.
attected End-users	Entities during an	responsibilities of Developers and System Operators. This should include specialist	Plan: Your cyber incidence
and Affected Entities	incident can result in	skills and AI expertise that may require. Provide support through accessible formats,	
during and following	prolonged recovery	ensuring usability for all affected stakeholders.	response process
a cyber security	times,		

incident to contain	mismanagement of	1. Chatbot App: Establish a process for assisting End-users who report biased or	NCSC Machine Learning Principles,
and mitigate the	containment efforts,	inappropriate chatbot responses, ensuring NLP/LLM experts are available to investigate	4.3 Develop incident and
impacts of an	and increased	the issue. Determine whether the problem stems from model updates, adversarial inputs,	vulnerability management processes
incident. The process	reputational damage	or misconfigurations. Provide clear and accessible guidance to End-users on mitigating	vullerubility management processes
for undertaking this	due to inadequate	such issues and share updates as an accessible downloadable PDF file.	
should be	communication or	2. ML Fraud Detection: Create a support mechanism to help affected entities (e.g.,	
documented and	guidance.	financial institutions) interpret and respond to a surge in incorrect fraud predictions.	
agreed in contracts	-	Ensure that experts in interpretability techniques such as SHAP and LIME are available	
with End-users.		to explain the root cause of the issue and guide End-users on adapting thresholds or re-	
		evaluating flagged transactions. Provide all guidance in accessible formats, such as	
		WCAG 2.1-compliant documentation.	
		3. LLM Platform: Develop a process for handling incidents such as jailbreaking	
		attempts or misuse of generated content (e.g., deepfakes). Engage AI ethics and	
		adversarial attack experts to assist affected users by providing timely updates, risk	
		mitigation advice, and recovery strategies. Share detailed incident analysis and recovery	
		steps in accessible formats, such as a dedicated incident response webpage with	
		downloadable PDFs.	
		4. Open-Access LLM Model: Leverage contributions from the community of	
		researchers and developers to support affected End-users in responding to issues like	
		data poisoning or toxic content generation. Provide detailed incident updates and	
		remediation guidance via accessible Wiki pages ensuring compliance with accessibility	
		Temediation guidance via accessione viai pages, ensuring compliance with accessionity	
		standards. Offer End-users additional support through mailing lists or forums, ensuring	
		standards. Offer End-users additional support through mailing lists or forums, ensuring all communication is clear and actionable.	
Principle 11: Maintair	Regular Security Upd	standards. Offer End-users additional support through mailing lists or forums, ensuring all communication is clear and actionable. lates, Patches, and Mitigations	
Principle 11: Maintair Provisions	Regular Security Upd	standards. Offer End-users additional support through mailing lists or forums, ensuring all communication is clear and actionable. ates, Patches, and Mitigations Example Measures/Controls	Reference/Resource
Principle 11: Maintair Provisions	Regular Security Upd Related threats/risks	standards. Offer End-users additional support through mailing lists or forums, ensuring all communication is clear and actionable. ates, Patches, and Mitigations Example Measures/Controls	• Reference/Resource
Principle 11: Maintair Provisions 11.1 Developers shall	Regular Security Upd Related threats/risks Delayed updates	standards. Offer End-users additional support through mailing lists or forums, ensuring all communication is clear and actionable. lates, Patches, and Mitigations Example Measures/Controls Implement a Structured Patch Management Process: Establish a structured process	Reference/Resource <u>CSA Companion Guide on</u>
Principle 11: Maintain Provisions 11.1 Developers shall provide security	Regular Security Upd Related threats/risks Delayed updates allow attackers to	Internation guidance via accession with pages, ensuring compliance with accessionly standards. Offer End-users additional support through mailing lists or forums, ensuring all communication is clear and actionable. Iates, Patches, and Mitigations Example Measures/Controls Implement a Structured Patch Management Process: Establish a structured process for developing, testing, and releasing security patches for AI systems, ensuring regular	Reference/Resource <u>CSA Companion Guide on</u> Securing AI Systems, 2.2.4
Principle 11: Maintain Provisions 11.1 Developers shall provide security updates and patches,	Regular Security Upd Related threats/risks Delayed updates allow attackers to exploit	Internation guidance via accessible with pages, ensuring compliance with accessionly standards. Offer End-users additional support through mailing lists or forums, ensuring all communication is clear and actionable. Iates, Patches, and Mitigations Example Measures/Controls Implement a Structured Patch Management Process: Establish a structured process for developing, testing, and releasing security patches for AI systems, ensuring regular updates to address known vulnerabilities with user notifications.	Reference/Resource <u>CSA Companion Guide on</u> <u>Securing AI Systems</u> , 2.2.4 Operations and Maintenance
Principle 11: Maintain Provisions 11.1 Developers shall provide security updates and patches, where possible, and	Regular Security Upd Related threats/risks Delayed updates allow attackers to exploit vulnerabilities,	Internation guidance via accession with pages, ensuring computated with accessionly standards. Offer End-users additional support through mailing lists or forums, ensuring all communication is clear and actionable. Iates, Patches, and Mitigations Example Measures/Controls Implement a Structured Patch Management Process: Establish a structured process for developing, testing, and releasing security patches for AI systems, ensuring regular updates to address known vulnerabilities with user notifications.	Reference/Resource <u>CSA Companion Guide on</u> <u>Securing AI Systems</u> , 2.2.4 Operations and Maintenance NCSC Incident Management
Principle 11: Maintain Provisions 11.1 Developers shall provide security updates and patches, where possible, and notify System	Regular Security UpdRelatedthreats/risksDelayed updatesallow attackers toexploitvulnerabilities,increasing the risk of	 standards. Offer End-users additional support through mailing lists or forums, ensuring all communication is clear and actionable. lates, Patches, and Mitigations Example Measures/Controls <i>Implement a Structured Patch Management Process: Establish a structured process for developing, testing, and releasing security patches for AI systems, ensuring regular updates to address known vulnerabilities with user notifications.</i> Chatbot App: App packages and containers are patched weekly to mitigate new 	Reference/Resource <u>CSA Companion Guide on</u> <u>Securing AI Systems</u> , 2.2.4 Operations and Maintenance <u>NCSC Incident Management</u> , <u>Plant Your other incidence</u>
Principle 11: Maintain Provisions 11.1 Developers shall provide security updates and patches, where possible, and notify System Operators of the	Regular Security Upd Related threats/risks Delayed updates allow attackers to exploit vulnerabilities, increasing the risk of unauthorized access,	 Indication glutance via accession with pages, ensuring computated with accessionly standards. Offer End-users additional support through mailing lists or forums, ensuring all communication is clear and actionable. Iates, Patches, and Mitigations Example Measures/Controls Implement a Structured Patch Management Process: Establish a structured process for developing, testing, and releasing security patches for AI systems, ensuring regular updates to address known vulnerabilities with user notifications. Chatbot App: App packages and containers are patched weekly to mitigate new library vulnerabilities being exploited to stage a breach with e-mails. 	Reference/Resource <u>CSA Companion Guide on</u> <u>Securing AI Systems</u> , 2.2.4 Operations and Maintenance <u>NCSC Incident Management</u> , <u>Plan: Your cyber incidence</u>
Principle 11: Maintain Provisions 11.1 Developers shall provide security updates and patches, where possible, and notify System Operators of the security updates.	Regular Security Upd Related threats/risks Delayed updates allow attackers to exploit vulnerabilities, increasing the risk of unauthorized access, data breaches, and	 standards. Offer End-users additional support through mailing lists or forums, ensuring all communication is clear and actionable. lates, Patches, and Mitigations Example Measures/Controls <i>Implement a Structured Patch Management Process: Establish a structured process for developing, testing, and releasing security patches for AI systems, ensuring regular updates to address known vulnerabilities with user notifications.</i> 1. Chatbot App: App packages and containers are patched weekly to mitigate new library vulnerabilities being exploited to stage a breach with e-mails. 2. ML Fraud Detection: In addition to packages, a patching process for the model 	Reference/Resource <u>CSA Companion Guide on</u> <u>Securing AI Systems</u> , 2.2.4 Operations and Maintenance <u>NCSC Incident Management,</u> <u>Plan: Your cyber incidence</u> <u>response process</u>
Principle 11: Maintain Provisions 11.1 Developers shall provide security updates and patches, where possible, and notify System Operators of the security updates. System Operators	Regular Security Upd Related threats/risks Delayed updates allow attackers to exploit vulnerabilities, increasing the risk of unauthorized access, data breaches, and compromised AI	 standards. Offer End-users additional support through mailing lists or forums, ensuring all communication is clear and actionable. lates, Patches, and Mitigations Example Measures/Controls <i>Implement a Structured Patch Management Process: Establish a structured process for developing, testing, and releasing security patches for AI systems, ensuring regular updates to address known vulnerabilities with user notifications.</i> 1. Chatbot App: App packages and containers are patched weekly to mitigate new library vulnerabilities being exploited to stage a breach with e-mails. 2. ML Fraud Detection: In addition to packages, a patching process for the model helps update the system with security-related fixes, emailing customers of forthcoming 	Reference/Resource <u>CSA Companion Guide on</u> Securing AI Systems, 2.2.4 Operations and Maintenance <u>NCSC Incident Management,</u> Plan: Your cyber incidence response process <u>NCSC Machine Learning Principles</u> ,
Principle 11: Maintain Provisions 11.1 Developers shall provide security updates and patches, where possible, and notify System Operators of the security updates. System Operators shall deliver these	Regular Security Upd Related threats/risks Delayed updates allow attackers to exploit vulnerabilities, increasing the risk of unauthorized access, data breaches, and compromised AI system functionality.	 standards. Offer End-users additional support through mailing lists or forums, ensuring all communication is clear and actionable. lates, Patches, and Mitigations Example Measures/Controls Implement a Structured Patch Management Process: Establish a structured process for developing, testing, and releasing security patches for AI systems, ensuring regular updates to address known vulnerabilities with user notifications. 1. Chatbot App: App packages and containers are patched weekly to mitigate new library vulnerabilities being exploited to stage a breach with e-mails. 2. ML Fraud Detection: In addition to packages, a patching process for the model helps update the system with security-related fixes, emailing customers of forthcoming updates. 	Reference/Resource <u>CSA Companion Guide on</u> Securing AI Systems, 2.2.4 Operations and Maintenance <u>NCSC Incident Management,</u> Plan: Your cyber incidence response process <u>NCSC Machine Learning Principles,</u> 4.3 Develop incident and
Principle 11: Maintain Provisions 11.1 Developers shall provide security updates and patches, where possible, and notify System Operators of the security updates. System Operators shall deliver these updates and patches to	Regular Security Upd Related threats/risks Delayed updates allow attackers to exploit vulnerabilities, increasing the risk of unauthorized access, data breaches, and compromised AI system functionality.	 standards. Offer End-users additional support through mailing lists or forums, ensuring all communication is clear and actionable. lates, Patches, and Mitigations Example Measures/Controls <i>Implement a Structured Patch Management Process: Establish a structured process for developing, testing, and releasing security patches for AI systems, ensuring regular updates to address known vulnerabilities with user notifications.</i> 1. Chatbot App: App packages and containers are patched weekly to mitigate new library vulnerabilities being exploited to stage a breach with e-mails. 2. ML Fraud Detection: In addition to packages, a patching process for the model helps update the system with security-related fixes, emailing customers of forthcoming updates. 3. LLM Platform: Like the Fraud Detection example, with email-notifications to API 	Reference/Resource <u>CSA Companion Guide on</u> Securing AI Systems, 2.2.4 Operations and Maintenance <u>NCSC Incident Management,</u> Plan: Your cyber incidence response process <u>NCSC Machine Learning Principles,</u> 4.3 Develop incident and vulnerability management processes
Principle 11: Maintain Provisions 11.1 Developers shall provide security updates and patches, where possible, and notify System Operators of the security updates. System Operators shall deliver these updates and patches to End-users.	Regular Security Upd Related threats/risks Delayed updates allow attackers to exploit vulnerabilities, increasing the risk of unauthorized access, data breaches, and compromised AI system functionality.	 standards. Offer End-users additional support through mailing lists or forums, ensuring all communication is clear and actionable. lates, Patches, and Mitigations Example Measures/Controls Implement a Structured Patch Management Process: Establish a structured process for developing, testing, and releasing security patches for AI systems, ensuring regular updates to address known vulnerabilities with user notifications. 1. Chatbot App: App packages and containers are patched weekly to mitigate new library vulnerabilities being exploited to stage a breach with e-mails. 2. ML Fraud Detection: In addition to packages, a patching process for the model helps update the system with security-related fixes, emailing customers of forthcoming updates. 3. LLM Platform: Like the Fraud Detection example, with email-notifications to API customers. 	Reference/Resource <u>CSA Companion Guide on</u> Securing AI Systems, 2.2.4 Operations and Maintenance <u>NCSC Incident Management,</u> Plan: Your cyber incidence response process <u>NCSC Machine Learning Principles,</u> 4.3 Develop incident and vulnerability management processes <u>GOV.UK - Guidance and tools for</u>
Principle 11: Maintain Provisions 11.1 Developers shall provide security updates and patches, where possible, and notify System Operators of the security updates. System Operators shall deliver these updates and patches to End-users.	Regular Security Upd Related threats/risks Delayed updates allow attackers to exploit vulnerabilities, increasing the risk of unauthorized access, data breaches, and compromised AI system functionality.	 standards. Offer End-users additional support through mailing lists or forums, ensuring all communication is clear and actionable. lates, Patches, and Mitigations Example Measures/Controls Implement a Structured Patch Management Process: Establish a structured process for developing, testing, and releasing security patches for AI systems, ensuring regular updates to address known vulnerabilities with user notifications. 1. Chatbot App: App packages and containers are patched weekly to mitigate new library vulnerabilities being exploited to stage a breach with e-mails. 2. ML Fraud Detection: In addition to packages, a patching process for the model helps update the system with security-related fixes, emailing customers of forthcoming updates. 3. LLM Platform: Like the Fraud Detection example, with email-notifications to API customers. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Like Fraud Detection example but different cadence 	Reference/Resource CSA Companion Guide on Securing AI Systems, 2.2.4 Operations and Maintenance NCSC Incident Management, Plan: Your cyber incidence response process NCSC Machine Learning Principles, 4.3 Develop incident and vulnerability management processes GOV.UK - Guidance and tools for digital accessibility
Principle 11: Maintain Provisions 11.1 Developers shall provide security updates and patches, where possible, and notify System Operators of the security updates. System Operators shall deliver these updates and patches to End-users.	Regular Security Upd Related threats/risks Delayed updates allow attackers to exploit vulnerabilities, increasing the risk of unauthorized access, data breaches, and compromised AI system functionality.	 standards. Offer End-users additional support through mailing lists or forums, ensuring all communication is clear and actionable. lates, Patches, and Mitigations Example Measures/Controls <i>Implement a Structured Patch Management Process: Establish a structured process for developing, testing, and releasing security patches for AI systems, ensuring regular updates to address known vulnerabilities with user notifications.</i> 1. Chatbot App: App packages and containers are patched weekly to mitigate new library vulnerabilities being exploited to stage a breach with e-mails. 2. ML Fraud Detection: In addition to packages, a patching process for forthcoming updates. 3. LLM Platform: Like the Fraud Detection example, with email-notifications to API customers. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Like Fraud Detection example but different cadence closer to the regular monthly releases and posting updates for new updates on community forums. 	Reference/Resource CSA Companion Guide on Securing AI Systems, 2.2.4 Operations and Maintenance NCSC Incident Management, Plan: Your cyber incidence response process NCSC Machine Learning Principles, 4.3 Develop incident and vulnerability management processes GOV.UK - Guidance and tools for digital accessibility
Principle 11: Maintain Provisions 11.1 Developers shall provide security updates and patches, where possible, and notify System Operators of the security updates. System Operators shall deliver these updates and patches to End-users.	Regular Security Upd Related threats/risks Delayed updates allow attackers to exploit vulnerabilities, increasing the risk of unauthorized access, data breaches, and compromised AI system functionality.	 Included of the decession of the pages, ensuring computated with decession of standards. Offer End-users additional support through mailing lists or forums, ensuring all communication is clear and actionable. Iates, Patches, and Mitigations Example Measures/Controls Implement a Structured Patch Management Process: Establish a structured process for developing, testing, and releasing security patches for AI systems, ensuring regular updates to address known vulnerabilities with user notifications. 1. Chatbot App: App packages and containers are patched weekly to mitigate new library vulnerabilities being exploited to stage a breach with e-mails. 2. ML Fraud Detection: In addition to packages, a patching process for the model helps update the system with security-related fixes, emailing customers of forthcoming updates. 3. LLM Platform: Like the Fraud Detection example, with email-notifications to API customers. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Like Fraud Detection example but different cadence closer to the regular monthly releases and posting updates for new updates on community forums. 	Reference/Resource CSA Companion Guide on Securing AI Systems, 2.2.4 Operations and Maintenance NCSC Incident Management, Plan: Your cyber incidence response process NCSC Machine Learning Principles, 4.3 Develop incident and vulnerability management processes GOV.UK - Guidance and tools for digital accessibility

		 Enable Automatic Updates Where Possible: Configure AI systems to support automatic security updates, minimizing the risk of delayed patch implementation. 1. Chatbot App: the organisation operates automated container image patching combined with regression testing to avoid breaking changes. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Similar to the implementation in the Chatbot App example, as part of staged rollouts with automated regression tests and rollback mechanisms. 3. LLM Platform: Similar to the implementation in the Chatbot App example, as part of staged rollouts with automated regression tests and rollback mechanisms. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Implement automatic updates for both open-source code and model weights. Use CI/CD tools to pull, test, and deploy new releases, ensuring updates are tested for vulnerabilities and announced on community forums to notify 	<u>NCSC Vulnerability Management</u> <u>– Put in a policy to update by</u> <u>default</u>
11.1.1 Developers shall have mechanisms and contingency plans to mitigate security risks, particularly in instances where updates cannot be provided for AI systems.	Unaddressed vulnerabilities can lead to exploitation if updates are not feasible, increasing risks of unauthorized access and system disruptions.	 System Operators. Develop Contingency Plans for Non-Updateable Components: Establish and document contingency plans, including compensating controls, for components that cannot receive updates due to system limitations or dependencies. 1. Chatbot App: Deploy compensating controls such as rate limiting and enhanced logging for an outdated chatbot version integrated with a legacy CRM system that cannot be updated due to compatibility issues. 2. ML Fraud Detection: An earlier version of the fraud detection model for a specific market segment with some custom escalation rules is running on legacy software platform that cannot be upgraded due to incompatibilities. Instead, compensating controls such as network segmentation to isolate the system from other critical environment and use of Intrusion Detection Systems and enhanced monitoring are added to detect potential exploitations. 3. LLM Platform: For a legacy deployment of the platform's API gateway that cannot be updated, implement compensating controls like API request filtering, strict authentication mechanisms, and enhanced monitoring and alerting to minimize risks. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Recommend compensating controls such as sandboxing to customers using an older version of the model that cannot be updated due to dependency on outdated libraries. 	 <u>NCSC Vulnerability Management</u> <u>– The organisation must own the</u> <u>risks of not updating</u>
11.2 Developers should treat major AI system updates as though a new version of a model has been developed and therefore undertake a new security testing and evaluation	Inadequate testing of major updates can introduce vulnerabilities and changes in model behaviour, risking data breaches, system manipulation, or	 Conduct Comprehensive Security Testing for Major Updates Perform a security assessment, including penetration testing and model read teaming, for any major AI system updates, treating each update as a new version. 1. Chatbot App: When switching to a new major version of the supporting LLM, use automated tests to ensure guardrails continue to be effective. 2. ML Fraud Detection: use adversarial robustness tests, when performing major retraining of the model with some new datasets to mitigate risks of new vulnerabilities. 3. LLM Platform: Use pen tests and red teaming to test a major new version with additional API endpoints. 	 <u>NCSC Machine Learning</u> <u>Principles</u>, 1.4 Analyse vulnerabilities against inherent ML threats <u>NCSC Guidelines for Secure AI</u> <u>System Development</u>, Secure Deployment <u>CSA Companion Guide on</u> <u>Securing AI Systems</u>, Part 2.2.3.

process to help protect	unintended	4. Open-Access LLM Model: Conduct community-driven red teaming exercises for a	Deployment, Item 3 (Release AI
users.	behaviour.	major update, inviting experts to test for vulnerabilities like poisoning risks or model	systems responsibly) and Annex A
		extraction attacks, and document mitigation actions.	– Technical Testing and System
			Validation
			NIST: AI Test, Evaluation.
			Validation and Verification (TEVV)
11.3 Developers	Without evaluation	Offer Preview Access for Major Model Undates Provide System Operators with	• NIST ALDME
should support	support System	preview access to major model undates allowing for evaluation and adjustment to any	OWASD AL Exchange
System Operators to	Operators may	new system behaviour.	OwASP AT Exchange
evaluate and respond	overlook risks in		
to model changes, (for	updates, leading to	1. Chatbot App: Internal System Operators should have access to test environments for	
example by providing	potential	new releases.	
preview access via	configuration errors	2. ML Fraud Detection: Similar to the Chatbot App example and for new model	
beta-testing and	or unmitigated	versions.	
versioned APIs).	vulnerabilities.	3. LLM Platform: The provider offers a quarterly beta program via API versions to	
		help customers evaluate changes before they upgrade their applications.	
		4. Open-Access LLM Model: Early beta versions of the model are released regularly	
		as part of a vetted beta program with confidentiality agreements and with instructions to	
Duin sints 12. Maniton		customers' System Operators on how to host and evaluate it.	
Principle 12: Monitor	your system's denavio	ur	
Provisions	Related	Example Measures/Controls	Reference/Resource
10.1.0	threats/risks		
12.1 System	Insufficient logging	Implement Comprehensive Logging for Security and Compliance: Establish a logging	• <u>CSA Companion Guide on</u>
Operators shall log	investigation and	Jramework that captures key aspects of system behaviour, including user interactions,	Securing AI Systems, 2.2.4
actions to support	compliance	access evenis, and flows, and model outputs, ensuring logs support compliance and security standards	Operations and
security compliance	enforcement	security sumatrus.	Maintenance
incident	weakening the	1. Chatbot App : Log user interactions with the chatbot, including prompt metadata.	• CISA Joint Cybersecurity
investigations, and	ability to detect and	such as timestamps, session Ids, and response times, to support auditability and	Information - Deploying AI
vulnerability	respond to security	investigations. Avoid logging full user prompts or responses unless anonymised or	Systems Securely
remediation.	incidents.	explicitly necessary for troubleshooting and ensure compliance with data protection	• NCSC guidance on Logging
		regulations by implementing data minimisation, retention policies, and secure storage.	for Security Purposes
		2. ML Fraud Detection: Log user access attempts, model predictions, and flagged	NCSC Mashing Learning
		transactions to maintain a robust audit trail for compliance and incident analysis.	<u>NCSC Machine Learning</u>
		3. LLM Platform : Log fine-tuning activities, model deployments, and system usage	<u>Principles</u> , 3.2 Monitor and
		metadata, ensuring sensitive operations are traceable and aligned with security policies.	log user activity
		4. Open-Access LLM Model : Maintain logs of public feedback contributions and	
		model training iterations, capturing details such as contributor IDs, timestamps, and	

		Ensure Secure Storage and Retention of Logs: Implement secure storage mechanisms, such as encryption (both at rest and in transit), to protect logs from unauthorized access. Define a retention policy that aligns with compliance obligations and supports security incident investigations. Avoid logging personal data unless strictly necessary; if personal data must be logged, ensure it is anonymized or obfuscated and managed in compliance with applicable privacy laws (e.g., UK GDPR, CCPA). Periodically review and update the retention policy to address evolving compliance and operational requirements.	 <u>CISA Joint Cybersecurity</u> <u>Information - Deploying AI</u> <u>Systems Securely</u> <u>NCSC guidance on Logging</u> <u>for Security Purposes</u>
		 Chatbot App: Store chat metadata log (in encrypted storage with access restricted to authorized personnel, retaining logs for one year to comply with operational policies. ML Fraud Detection: Use an encrypted cloud-based storage solution for transaction logs, retaining them for X years per financial regulations, with secure deletion for older logs. LLM Platform: Encrypt logs from training and model management systems, 	
		 retaining them for a defined period based on regulatory and contractual obligations, and operational policies. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Securely store logs of training contributions and flagged outputs in an encrypted repository with RBAC granted to minimum number of people. 	
		as needed. Retain the logs for six months to enable community-driven debugging and transparency.	
		Establish Routine Log Analysis for Model Validation: Define a regular schedule for log analysis to assess model output consistency, detect anomalies, and verify that outputs align with desired outcomes.	• <u>CISA Joint Cybersecurity</u> <u>Information - Deploying AI</u> <u>Systems Securely</u>
		 Chatbot App: Regularly analyse session logs to identify trends in user escalations or repeated failed responses, which could indicate prompt misalignment. ML Fraud Detection: Use of regular log analysis help detect poisoning patterns or drift when online logging is introduced in the fraud detection scenario for certain 	
		 volatile markets where fraud patterns evolve quickly. 3. LLM Platform: Perform periodic log reviews to detect anomalies in API usage 	
		testing or misuse.	
		4. Open-Access LLN Model: Use community feedback to support customer System Operators with log analysis to identify trends in toxic or biased outputs, correlating them with specific input patterns to address potential data poisoning.	
12.2 System Operators should analyse their logs to ensure that AI models	Without regular log analysis, security breaches or model issues may go	<i>Implement Alerts for Anomalous Model Behaviour:</i> Set up alerts to notify operators of unexpected behaviour, such as unusual outputs, abnormal input patterns, or significant deviations from historical performance.	• <u>CISA Joint Cybersecurity</u> <u>Information - Deploying AI</u> <u>Systems Securely</u>
continue to produce desired outputs and to detect anomalies,	undetected, potentially leading to incorrect outputs	1. Chatbot App: Configure alerts to flag instances where user query failures exceed a threshold, indicating potential issues with the underlying language model or prompt configurations.	

security breaches, or unexpected behaviour over time (such as due to data drift or data poisoning).	or system vulnerabilities	 2. ML Fraud Detection: For a fraud detection system, implement alerts to notify operators when the model starts flagging an unusually high number of transactions as fraudulent in a short period. The alert triggers a review to investigate whether the issue is due to changes in input data, a model drift event, or potential adversarial activity. 3. LLM Platform: Set up alerts for sudden spikes in requests targeting specific APIs, especially for sensitive topics or jailbreaking attempts, prompting a review for adversarial testing. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Encourage users and System Operators to notify you via e-mail of anomalous behaviour. 	
12.3 System Operators and Developers should monitor internal states of their AI systems where they feel this could better enable them to address security threats, or to enable future security analytics.	Lack of internal state monitoring can delay detection of security threats or model drift, increasing risks of unauthorised modifications and system failure.	 Implement Monitoring of Key Internal States: Identify and monitor critical internal states of the AI system, such as hidden layers, attention weights, or feature importance, which could provide early indicators of security threats. 1. Chatbot App: No action taken since model is operated by another party and existing logging is sufficient. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Monitor the importance of features like transaction amount, geolocation, or merchant type in the fraud detection scenario; a sudden drop in geolocation importance could indicate drift or tampering by fraudsters adapting their strategies. 3. LLM Platform: Critical use cases might require introspection of internal model weights, such as where patterns are identified during development and testing that indicate a failure state. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: The small organisation needs to investigate and understand reported biases. As a result, implements this advanced type of monitoring to track shifts in attention weights during community training contributions to detect how biases are introduced. 	• <u>CISA Joint Cybersecurity</u> <u>Information - Deploying AI</u> <u>Systems Securely</u>
		 Use Secure Storage for Internal State Data: Store data from monitored internal states securely, ensuring that sensitive internal metrics are protected from unauthorized access. 1. Chatbot App: Encrypt and store user session data and conversation states securely in a database with restricted access. 2. ML Fraud Detection: The company saves the weights in a protected and isolated storage using a separate dedicated enclave as recommended by the <u>CSI/CISA/NCSC</u> Joint Cybersecurity Information - Deploying AI Systems Securely 3. LLM Provider: Similar to the implementation in the Fraud Detection example. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Use secure storage with access restrictions and encryption for any internal state information that might be generated and used during development. 	• <u>CISA Joint Cybersecurity</u> <u>Information - Deploying AI</u> <u>Systems Securely</u>

12.4 System Operators and Developers should monitor the performance of their models and system over time so that they can detect sudden or gradual changes in behaviour that could affect security.	Failing to monitor performance over time can hide behavioural shifts, making the system more vulnerable to degradation, attacks, and inconsistencies	 Track and Benchmark Model Performance Metrics: Define and monitor key performance metrics for the AI system, such as statistical accuracy, factual correctness, response time, and error rate establishing benchmarks to detect deviations. 1. Chatbot App: Tracking user feedback in the UI escalation rates (conversations requiring human intervention) can reveal gradual degradation of the chatbot app 2. ML Fraud Detection: Monitoring prediction accuracy ensures the fraud detection model remains within the target range and detects evasion attacks; Usage spikes may indicate extraction or reconnaissance attacks. 3. LLM Platform: Using automated checks with semantic similarity metrics and trusted datasets, and periodic fact-checking of outputs by LLM developers can detect poisoning. Use of third-party safety APIs and custom classifiers can be used to detect biased, toxic, or inappropriate language in outputs. 4. Open-Access LLM Model: Monitor community-reported feedback and benchmark outputs against open datasets to detect performance issues such as reduced factual accuracy or increased bias in generated text. Use automated tools to flag significant deviations for review. Implement Drift Detection to Identify Behavioural Shifts: Use drift detection tools to identify shifts in model behaviour due to changing data patterns or environmental factors, allowing proactive responses 1. Chatbot App: No action taken, as user prompts are not used for model training. 2. ML Fraud Detection: Since online training has been adopted, drift monitoring is applied, detecting shifting tactics like the use of VPNs and proxies that decrease the importance of transaction location to mask fraud. Use statistical tests to detect shifts in transaction distributions. 3. LLM Platform: Concept drift is actively monitored to detect and adapt to changes in language, emojis, and jargon, which could bypass content filters altering model behaviour and be exploited by attackers for jailb	 ICO: What do we need to know about accuracy and statistical accuracy? CISA Joint Cybersecurity Information - Deploying AI Systems Securely NCSC Machine Learning Principles, 4.1 Understand and mitigate the risks of using continual learning (CL)
Principle 13: Ensure	proper data and mode	disposal	
Provisions	Related	Example Measures/Controls	Reference/Resource
13.1 If a Developer or	Improper disposal or	Develop and Implement a Secure Transfer and Disposal Policy with Data Custodian	• ICO Disposal and deletion
System Operator	transfer can lead to	Oversight: Establish a comprehensive policy to govern the secure transfer and disposal	ICO: Guidance on AI and
decides to transfer or	unauthorized data	of training data and models. Ensure that Data Custodians oversee all actions,	data protection
share ownership of	recovery, risking	confirming compliance with regulatory standards, protection of intellectual property,	• ICO: Detention and
training data and/or a	breaches, IP loss,	and adherence to organizational policies. This includes compliance with UK GDPR	• ICO: Ketention and
model to another	and non-compliance	when involving personal data.	destruction of information

entity they shall	with data protection		CSA Companion Guida on
involve Data	laws	1 Chathot Ann: Define procedures for securely transferring or deleting fine-tuning	Convince AL Sustance 2.2.5
Custodians and	1d w 5.	datasets used in the chatbot's LLM Data Custodians must actively annrove all deletions	Securing AI Systems, 2.2.5
securely dispose of		or transfers	End of life
these assets. This will		2 MI Fraud Detection: Develop protocols for securely transferring or deleting	 <u>NCSC Machine Learning</u>
protect AI security		training data and models including sensitive customer transaction records. Require Data	Principles, Part 5: End of
issues that may		Custodian active approval before executing any actions	Life
transfer from one AI		3 I I M Platform: Establish a policy for securaly transferring model ownership or	
system instantiation to		licensing agreements, ansuring all fine tuned and proprietory models are disposed of or	
another		transferred in compliance with contractual and regulatory obligations	
another.		4 Open A gauge LI M Model: For open source contributions include guidelines for	
		4. Open-Access ELM Worder. For open-source contributions, include guidelines for	
		Data Custodian	
12.2 If a Davaloper or	Incoauro doto	Implement a Secure Data Deletion Policy with Data Custodian Oversight: Establish a	a Saa Dafamanaa in "Davalar
13.2 If a Developer of	deletion during	nolicy for accure Data Detetion Foucy with Data Custodian Oversigni. Establish a	• See References in Develop
decides to	desemmissioning	policy for securely detering data and models during decommissioning, specifying	and Implement a Secure
decides to	max load to	methods compliant with standards. Ensure Data Custodians validate all deterions to	Transfer and Disposal
medal and/on system	may lead to		Policy with Data Custodian
they ghall involve	to residual data	1 Chathat Anne Samuely delate all conversation loss promet data and configurations	Oversight"
Deta Custodiana and	in areasing	I. Chatbot App: Securely delete an conversation logs, prompt data, and configurations	• NIST SP 800 88 Pey 1
Data Custodians and	meneasing	of fine tuning dete accessed via the ADI	$-\frac{1}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$
securery defete	regulatory and	2 ML Encode Detections Enforce a policy requiring the secure deletion of all historical	Guidelines for Media
applicable data and	security risks.	2. ML Fraud Detection: Enforce a policy requiring the secure detection of an instorical	Sanitization
configuration details.		Data Custodiana anguna compliance with financial and miyogy regulations.	• NCSC - Secure sanitisation
		2 LIM Distform: Notify systematic before decommissioning public LIM services	of storage media
		5. LEW Flation: Notify customers before decommissioning public LEW services,	
		Data Custodiana to validata delation processos	
		A Open A coord LI M Model: Securely delate all training and test detects	
		4. Open-Access LLIVI Woodel: Securely delete an training and test datasets,	
		and an access LLM. Pequire that your Date Custodians to varify the process to ansure	
		open-access LLM. Require that your Data Custodians to verify the process to ensure	
model and/or system, they shall involve Data Custodians and securely delete applicable data and configuration details.	unauthorised access to residual data, increasing regulatory and security risks.	 Chatbot App: Securely delete all conversation logs, prompt data, and configurations when decommissioning the chatbot system. Involve Data Custodians to verify deletion of fine-tuning data accessed via the API. ML Fraud Detection: Enforce a policy requiring the secure deletion of all historical transaction data, model weights, and configurations during system decommissioning. Data Custodians ensure compliance with financial and privacy regulations. LLM Platform: Notify customers before decommissioning public LLM services, ensuring all uploaded data is securely deleted after notification periods expire. Require Data Custodians to validate deletion processes. Open-Access LLM Model: Securely delete all training and test datasets, intermediate model versions, and unreleased models when ceasing development of an open-access LLM. Require that your Data Custodians to verify the process to ensure transparency and compliance. 	 Policy with Data Custodian Oversight" <u>NIST SP 800-88 Rev. 1</u> <u>Guidelines for Media</u> <u>Sanitization</u> <u>NCSC - Secure sanitisation</u> of storage media