Medicines & Healthcare products Regulatory Agency

# Draft guideline on individualised mRNA cancer immunotherapies

# Contents

For	Foreword					
1.	Introduction and scope					
2.	Reg	ulatory principles	7			
3.	Proc	luct design	9			
3	.1.	Introduction	9			
3	.2.	Patient sample collection and storage	9			
3	.3.	Genetic sequencing	10			
3	.4.	Bioinformatics	12			
3	5.	Neoantigen identification and selection	13			
	3.5.1					
	3.5.2	2. Primary Guidance Areas to Consider for the use of AI/ML	14			
	3.5.3	3. Additional considerations for the use of AI/ML	16			
	3.5.4	<ol> <li>Regulatory considerations for the use of AI/ML</li> </ol>	16			
4.	Proc	luct manufacturing	18			
4	.1.	Introduction	18			
4	.2.	Drug substance	18			
	4.2.1	I. Starting materials	18			
	4.2.2	2. <i>In vitro</i> transcription or synthesis of mRNA and purification	19			
	4.2.3	3. Constant and variable elements in mRNA molecule	19			
	4.2.4	4. Characterisation of drug substance	19			
	4.2.5	5. Process validation	21			
	4.2.6	6. Process design	21			
	4.2.7	7. Release testing and potency	21			
4.3. Drug product						
	4.3.1	I. Pharmaceutical development	22			
	4.3.2	2. Batch size	22			
	4.3.3	3. Manufacture of drug product	22			
	4.3.4	4. Quality control and batch release testing of individualised batches	23			
	4.3.5	5. Stability	24			
5.	Non	-clinical aspects	25			
6.	Clinical aspects					

6	S.1.	Introduction	. 27		
6	6.2.	Changes to product design steps	. 27		
6	6.3.	Considerations for randomised placebo-controlled trials	. 27		
7.	Pos	t-authorisation aspects	. 29		
8.	Info	rmation for patients, healthcare professionals, and the public	. 32		
Appendix: List of abbreviations					

## 1 Foreword

The development and impact of mRNA-based vaccines for the COVID-19 pandemic has created new opportunities for mRNA technology. They work by training and triggering the body's own immune system to recognise a pre-defined infection and fight it if found in the body. The immune system will remember this training even if the vaccine is broken down over time. As a result, the body may have long-lasting protection against the infection.

8 The next generation of mRNA therapies seeks to adapt this method of targeting 'external' infections to tackling 'internal' diseases such as cancer. This new approach works on the 9 10 understanding that cancer cells have small differences to normal cells. This enables the immune system to be trained to identify these changes and treat cancer cells as if they are 11 12 external invaders. Like fingerprints, tumour mutations are unique to every patient. Unlike conventional therapies, which are designed for and tested on broad populations, these new 13 14 mRNA therapies can be personalised to match the tumour fingerprint of an individual 15 patient's cancer. This shift in perspective from treating a broad population to focusing on an 16 individual for the design of a medicine poses complexities in ensuring that such therapies 17 are consistent, safe, effective, and of high quality.

19 At the MHRA we regulate medicines, medical devices, and blood components in the UK, and 20 we offer this guidance document to provide a clear and comprehensive regulatory framework 21 to support the development, evaluation, and approval of these therapies. It outlines the 22 specific considerations for ensuring reproducibility, standardisation, traceability, quality, 23 safety, and efficacy across the medicine lifecycle, with a focus on the unique challenges. By 24 addressing aspects such as clinical trial design, manufacturing processes, and post-market 25 surveillance, this document aims to streamline pathways for bringing these therapies to 26 patients without compromising regulatory rigour.

28 The development of these innovative and complex therapies combines expertise from fields 29 such as machine learning, biotechnology, nanotechnology, chemistry, medicine and 30 regulatory science. This requires regulatory frameworks that can adapt to rapid innovations, 31 whilst still prioritising patient safety. As regulators, our priority is to enable innovation while 32 maintaining the highest standards for patient safety and public health. By streamlining 33 development and regulatory review, we hope to facilitate and speed up patient access to 34 these innovative therapies, especially in areas of high unmet need. We aim to make the UK 35 more attractive for the research, development, clinical trials and manufacture of these 36 therapies.

- We have written this draft guidance, informed by advice from the independent Highly
  Personalised Medicines Expert Working Group (EWG) and the Commission on Human
  Medicines (CHM). While this initial draft guidance document is primarily intended for
  developers of individualised mRNA cancer immunotherapies, the regulatory and scientific
  principles discussed herein could broadly be applicable to other disease indications or
  technologies that could benefit from personalisation or individualisation. Specific cases may
  be clarified in subsequent updates to the guidance.
- 45

18

27

# **1.** Introduction and scope

47

64

70

73

77 78

79

80

81 82

83

84 85 86

87

88

48 This document is intended to provide guidance on the development and regulation of 49 individualised mRNA cancer immunotherapies that use lipid nanoparticle delivery systems, and the current scope is confined to the specific regulatory and scientific challenges of these 50 51 technologies. These cancer immunotherapies contain mRNA as the active substance, 52 encapsulated in lipid nanoparticles for drug delivery. The mRNA consists of a fixed 53 component and a variable component. They are individualised because the design of the 54 variable component of the mRNA molecule is tailored to each patient's unique tumour neoantigen profile. Following administration, the mRNA molecule is delivered to host cells for 55 56 expression of neoantigens, with the aim of generating an immune response against the 57 tumour. 58

As we acquire experience of different technologies (e.g., peptides, non-integrative DNA, polymer delivery systems) the guidance will be updated accordingly. Moreover, some of the regulatory and scientific principles outlined here will also apply to individualised medicines that utilise other technologies or therapies that intend to treat other conditions including rare diseases.

In the UK and internationally, the term 'cancer vaccine' has been used for certain cancer
immunotherapies. From the regulatory perspective of the MHRA and based on definitions in
the Human Medicines Regulations (HMRs), individualised mRNA cancer immunotherapies
do not meet the definition of vaccines. Therefore, the term 'cancer vaccine' is not used in this
guidance.

Due to the novel scientific and regulatory aspects of individualised medicines, developers
 are strongly advised to seek our <u>scientific advice</u> on their individual product.

Draft guidance on the manufacturing aspects of individualised mRNA cancer
 immunotherapies is divided into sections on product design and manufacture, encompassing
 the following steps:

- Product design
  - Collecting tumour tissue and germline control samples from the patient
  - Genetic sequencing to identify tumour neoantigens<sup>1</sup>
  - In silico selection of candidate neoantigens
  - Determination of final mRNA sequence including variable region
  - In silico screening for potential safety issues including immunotoxicity
     assessment
- Product manufacture
  - DNA starting material
  - Production of individualised mRNA molecules

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Neoantigens are non-self-peptides acquired by tumours which are presented to T-cells via human leukocyte antigen (HLA) antigens on antigen presenting cells e.g. dendritic cells.

- Subsequent standardised manufacturing steps including incorporation into the drug delivery system (e.g., lipid nanoparticles)
  - Release of individualised batch for cancer immunotherapy treatment course
- Tracking and traceability via a chain of identity will be essential for all manufacturing stepsfrom patient sampling to batch release.
- 95

89

90 91

92

96 Later sections cover non-clinical and clinical data requirements focusing initially on

97 individualised mRNA cancer immunotherapies. Importantly, there is a section on high-level

98 considerations for the post-authorisation requirements (including the risk management plan).

99 Finally, there is a section on information for patients, healthcare professionals, and the 100 public. This is designed to promote a discussion on the type of information that will be

101 needed to inform a benefit risk discussion between a patient and their healthcare

102 professional.

#### **Regulatory principles** 2. 103 104

105 Individualised mRNA cancer immunotherapies will be regulated as medicines under the 106 Human Medicines Regulations 2012 (as amended) (HMRs). From the 1<sup>st</sup> of January 2025, 107 all medicines for human use will be authorised UK-wide following the agreement of the 108 Windsor Framework. For more details, reference should be made to the guidance on UK-109 wide licensing.

110

111 The product design aspects of these medicines will also be regulated under medical device 112 legislation. This is covered in more detail in Section 3 (Product design). 113

- 114 The MHRA envisages that in specific circumstances, an individualised medicine could be 115 issued with a marketing authorisation (MA) under the HMRs, even where there is a variable 116 component that is tailored to an individual patient's characteristics. This means that a single 117 MA could cover use across the target population defined in the indication. The production 118 (including product design process, manufacturing site and process), strength, 119 pharmaceutical form, and method of administration, would be otherwise identical between 120 patient-specific batches. Potentially flexible but predefined processes and controls would be 121 required such that the medicinal product can be reasonably expected to be safe and
- 122 effective in clinical use. Furthermore, the claimed therapeutic indication would need to be
- 123 supported by relevant clinical and non-clinical data, as is the case for products with fixed 124 components.
  - 125

126 The term 'platform technology' has been used by industry and regulators to describe

- different scenarios. For example, the European Commission's proposal for a Directive on the 127
- 128 Union code relating to medicinal products for human use defines platform technology as:
- 'When a certain process/method is used to manufacture specific 129
- 130 individualised treatments, i.e. adjustments to the medicine are made based
- 131 on the characteristics of the patient or the causing pathogen.'
- 132 Whereas the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) draft guidance on Platform
- 133 Technology Designation Program for Drug Development defines a platform technology as:
- 134 'A well-understood and reproducible technology ... that FDA determines to
- 135 be appropriate, where the sponsor demonstrates that the technology
- 136 (1) is incorporated in or used by a drug or biological product and is essential
- 137 to the structure or function of such drug or biological product;
- 138 (2) can be adapted for, incorporated into, or used by, more than one drug or
- 139 biological product sharing common structural elements; and
- 140 (3) facilitates the manufacture or development of more than one drug or
- 141 biological product through a standardized production or manufacturing
- 142 process or processes.'

143 The FDA definition is broader than the EC definition in that it could encompass products that

- 144 are not individualised. As there is no defined international consensus on the scope of what a
- 145 platform technology entails, the term is not used in the remainder of this MHRA draft 146

- submissions as supportive data if justified, and assessed as relevant by the MHRA on a
   case-by-case basis. References to prior knowledge can be found in the International
- 149 Council for Harmonisation (ICH) Quality Guidelines Q8 to Q14.
- 150
- 151 The regulatory classification of an individualised medicine under the HMRs would need to be
- agreed with the MHRA on a case-by-case basis. The individualised mRNA cancer
- 153 immunotherapies are currently classified as Advanced Therapy Medicinal Products
- 154 (ATMPs), and subclassified as gene therapies, under Regulation 2A of the HMRs.
- 155 Classification as an ATMP allows for a flexible and risk-based approach to regulatory 156 requirements.
- 157
- 158 It is acknowledged that the mechanism of action of current mRNA therapies does not involve 159 integration into the host genome. Whereas not all gene therapies are designed to edit the 160 host genome, this perceived lack of distinction could lead to overburdensome risk mitigations 161 for this technology as compared to similar technologies such as COVID-19 vaccines. The 162 addition of a new ATMP sub-classification for nucleic acids that do not edit the patient's 163 genome is being considered.
- 164
- 165 It is also foreseen that mRNA molecules could be manufactured without the need for starting
  166 materials produced by biotechnology. Such products would not meet the definition of
  167 biological products but could be similar in other respects such that classification as an ATMP
  168 would be advantageous. The classification of relevant chemically synthesised products as
  169 ATMPs is being considered.
- 170 171

# 172 3. Product design

#### 173 **3.1. Introduction**

174 This section covers the activities of patient sample collection and storage, genetic 175 sequencing, bioinformatics (sequence data analysis) and neoantigen identification and 176 selection for the determination of the final mRNA sequences for manufacturing the drug 177 substance.

178

Each of the activities must be undertaken with care, due diligence, and full forward and
backwards traceability between the patient samples and selected neoantigens, as they
are critical for the accurate and precise selection of mRNA sequences for the drug
substance. The activities in this section are regulated through their respective medical
device regulations, as follows:

184

Activity	Applicable UK Regulations		
Patient sample collection and	Human Tissue Act 2004 Parts 1, 2 and 3		
storage	Human Tissue (Scotland) Act 2006		
	In Vitro Diagnostics regulation		
	In Great Britain: <u>UK MDR Part IV</u>		
	<ul> <li>In Northern Ireland: <u>EU IVDR (2017/746)</u></li> </ul>		
Genetic sequencing	In Vitro Diagnostics regulation		
Bioinformatics - sequencing	In Great Britain: <u>UK MDR Part IV</u>		
	<ul> <li>In Northern Ireland: <u>EU IVDR (2017/746)</u></li> </ul>		
Bioinformatics - analysis	Medical Device regulation		
Neoantigen identification and	In Great Britain: <u>UK MDR Part II</u>		
selection	<ul> <li>In Northern Ireland: <u>EU MDR (2017/745)</u></li> </ul>		

185

The applicable medical device and *in vitro* diagnostic device regulations may apply within each activity and the compliance with each regulation would need to be followed. This document describes the principles in the design leading to the target neoantigen selection and does not specify every legislative requirement for devices. Specific legislations will need to be examined in complement to this document.

Traceability must be maintained throughout and between each activity, to ensure the
patient sample, corresponding sequence and resultant mRNA selection is linked, using a
unique patient identification number.

195

### **3.2.** Patient sample collection and storage

Patient sampling covers the steps to capture tumour specimens and blood samples.
 Tumour samples may be collected through biopsies of solid tumours through a standard
 care pathway procedure (e.g. needle biopsy or aspiration) <u>ensuring sample chain of</u>
 <u>custody</u>, and subsequent accountability, throughout the acquisition, storage and
 <u>manufacturing processes</u>. Section specimen of a full or partial excision of a tumour would
 be required for subsequent nucleic acid extraction. Currently, the scope of this guidance

does not cover the use of circulating tumour DNA for profiling tumour specific mutationsto individualise a medicine.

Whole blood samples could be obtained through phlebotomy or finger prick methods for 206 germline genetic testing. The collection of tumour specimens and blood samples must be 207 208 undertaken in a healthcare or clinical facility, recognising there are numerous and diverse collection methods and procedures. National guidelines and best practices described by 209 Centres of Excellence should be considered. All methods must be conducted by a trained 210 211 healthcare professional. Tumour specimens must be guality checked to ensure that a representative section of tissue specimen is obtained, suitable for downstream genetic 212 sequencing procedures. Duplicate specimen collection is recommended, preferably 213 214 unstained. Adequate quantities of blood samples should be acquired to support germline 215 genetic testing.

217 The storage of samples and specimens should ensure that the tissue and blood are 218 viable for genetic sequencing, ensuring nucleic acid integrity. The collected samples and specimens must be stored, transported and retained to enable further processing of the 219 samples in accordance with the provisions of the Human Tissues Act 2004 (or equivalent 220 221 legislative provisions across the UK) and additional consideration to national 222 requirements. Appropriate consent, codes of practice, consensual analysis of DNA and 223 ethics approvals are expected. Storage of collected samples and specimens shall be 224 governed by procedures that preserve sample integrity for genetic material extraction. For example, storage of tumour specimen may be chilled, frozen, in equivalent nucleic 225 226 acid stabilisation solutions, or in Formalin Fixed Paraffin Embedded (FFPE) blocks or curls for preservation, storage and transportation. Whole blood must be uncoagulated by 227 228 preservatives and anti-coagulants that do not interfere with nucleic acid extraction methods. Cleaned and fresh starting material is often preferred for sequencing to prevent 229 230 inhibition from contaminants. If samples are frozen and thawed, a fresh-frozen study would be expected to characterise the sample stability. Where applicable, the use and 231 effects of proprietary preservation reagents must be characterised and validated to 232 233 understand their impacts on nucleic acid sequencing. 234

235 A standardised and consistent protocol is expected for the collection, storage, and 236 transportation of tumour specimen and blood samples, in line with standard pathology practices. Where multiple healthcare facilities are employed, robust quality control 237 procedures must be established and adopted to ensure consistency across sites 238 239 assuring specimens and samples are viable for nucleic acid extraction and sequencing. 240 Sample quality cut-offs procedures will need to be implemented to prevent sub-optimal blood samples and tumour specimens from being progressed through into genetic 241 sequencing through specification of minimal tumour content acceptance guality criteria. 242 243 Sub-optimal samples or specimens must not be used for generating neoantigen 244 identification and selection. A morphological quality control is recommended to ensure 245 the tissue contains the target population of cells for genetic sequencing. 246

#### 247 3.3. Genetic sequencing

205

216

248 Genetic sequencing covers the steps for sample amplification, library preparation, and 249 sequence analysis. There are numerous sequencing instruments, and each sequencing technique requires different sample preparation protocols. The type of sequencing should
 be documented and rationalised for the relevant patient sample type.

252

261

267

274

288

253 Sample preparation covers the steps required to transform mixtures of nucleic acids from biological samples into different types of libraries suitable for sequencing. Samples must 254 be examined and inspected before extraction of nucleic acids. Inspections must be 255 undertaken to examine sample contamination and signs of improper storage. Whilst 256 sample preparation varies depending on the type of genetic material (DNA or RNA), the 257 quality of the sample will depend on several factors. Such factors should be assessed, 258 and mitigating actions adopted to optimise genetic material extraction. Appropriate 259 sample preparation protocols should be documented, adopted and followed. 260

- Each step of the sample preparation procedure requires different considerations depending on the type of sample and sequencing instrument employed. Protocol and sample preparation methods and controls should be validated and optimised before starting patient sample analysis to ensure the highest quality results. Sources of contamination should be minimised through the separation of designated workspaces.
- The extraction of nucleic acids should consider the most optimal methods for obtaining
  pure DNA or RNA. The sources of the nucleic acids being extracted in the tumour
  specimen should be fully understood that is the homogeneity of the population of cells
  from the specimen. Whilst white blood cells from a blood sample are relatively
  homogenous, needle biopsy samples of a small tumour sample would be difficult to
  isolate. Therefore, a characterisation of the homogeneity of the samples is required.
- 275 Nucleic acid extraction procedures as defined by the manufacturer of the sequencing instrument should be followed. Deviations must be minimised and fully considered with 276 277 strong rationales identified for the deviation from the manufacturer's instructions. Care should be given to avoid contaminants, such as haemoglobins or residual formalin from 278 279 FFPE specimens. Insufficient proteinase treatment leading to carry over proteins and sample cross-contamination require quality checks to be undertaken. Amplification may 280 be performed if instructed by the manufacturer of the sequencing instrument, in which 281 282 case any mitigations of bias must be rationalised and documented. The use of IVD or 283 diagnostic sequencing instruments is preferable and Research Use Only (RUO) sequencing instruments should be avoided unless a validation is conducted for 284 diagnostic/IVD application. The requirements for the sequencing instrument including the 285 286 software in secondary analysis must be validated to meet Good Manufacturing Practice 287 (GMP) requirements.
- Sequencing library preparation is a very important step before sequence analysis. Where 289 possible, commercial kits should be used and would be preferred. Care must be taken on 290 291 the quality of various commercial kits for clinical diagnostic use. Development of ownproprietary sequencing libraries should be avoided. Appropriate library quantification 292 293 should be considered to ensure high quality sequencing data — the technique adopted 294 should be fully described with rationales provided. Bias associated with sequencing 295 libraries should be understood and mitigated, ensuring the number of unique nucleic acid sequences present in the sample are optimised. A standardised and consistent technique 296 297 could be adopted for the preparation of sequence libraries to remove variation across 298 repeat library preparations. Quantification should be undertaken for quality control of the libraries for optimal library concentration using guantitative polymerase chain reaction 299

(PCR) or digital PCR (quality methods and optimal fragment length using electrophoretic
 methods). Sequence bioinformatics should be conducted on the software recommended
 by the commercial manufacturer of the sequencing instrument. The sequence analysis
 software should be computer systems validated to meet GMP requirements for software,
 for example against <u>Good Automated Manufacturing Practice (GAMP)</u> categories, to
 assure patient safety and <u>data integrity</u>.

306

312

322

323 324 325

326 327

328 329

330 331

332 333

334

335

### 307 **3.4. Bioinformatics**

Bioinformatic analysis is crucial for distinguishing tumour-associated gene variants from
 germline variants. It is recommended to conduct a thorough quality check to separate
 germline variants and enrich tumour-associated gene variants for selecting neoantigen
 candidates.

313 Implementing thorough quality control assessments and maintaining an optimised and 314 validated bioinformatics data analysis pipeline is critical to obtaining statistically significant and reproducible results. Once the raw data is generated from genetic 315 sequencing, software tools should be utilised to evaluate quality metrics such as read 316 317 guality, coverage and read depth. It is important to ensure that the read guality and read depth are adequate for analysis and not below the cut-off Phred quality score limit (≥30 318 319 accuracy 99.99%) for quantitative analysis. After analysis, the next stage involves 320 identifying and ranking potential neoantigen candidates to be included in the final mRNA 321 sequence.

In general, bioinformatics data analysis consists of three major steps:

- Primary, which involves sequencing, base calling, preliminary analysis of raw data, quality control and filtering based on Phred score and mapping to the reference genome;
- Secondary, which involves variant calling from the mapped bam files and filtering of called variants based on quality thresholds such as Genome Analysis Tool Kit-Variant Quality Score Recalibration (GATK-VQSR) analysis; and
  - Tertiary, where artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) models are used to filter and select neoantigens.

The Bioinformatics software pipeline should be accredited by External Quality 336 assessment (EQA). Bioinformatics pipeline is typically in an ongoing development. 337 338 Therefore, both the software and the pipeline need to be validated with every version 339 update, either as standalone programs or integrated pipeline. It's crucial to document analysis parameters and maintain a pipeline run log to ensure reproducibility. The 340 341 analysis and variant calling should adhere to industry best practices like those recommended by GATK. Any software, whether developed in-house or externally, must 342 undergo rigorous quality checks following any modifications (e.g., adjustments to ranking 343 criteria or changes to expression thresholds). These checks are essential to maintain 344 345 consistency and reproducibility across cohorts and ensure the reliability of the data analysis. Documenting changes and validating the software after updates are critical 346

347 steps in preventing discrepancies in outcomes. Additionally, a clear statement for data348 storage and its lifecycle should be established and documented.

349

363

372

381

382

350 Bioinformatics data is subject to gualifying consent, and the results of the analysis used solely for a specific intended purpose (refer to the Human Tissues Act 2004 section 351 352 45(1)(a)). The IT infrastructure hosting or storing the data and the data itself will need to be secure and the risk from security vulnerabilities assessed, which will need to be 353 documented along with validated mitigating actions. Interpretations, algorithms, 354 355 computational methods, statistical techniques, and analysis outputs using software that determine a prediction or absolute result that can be used for a medical purpose is 356 regulated as a Software as a Medical Device (SaMD). Bioinformatics pipelines often rely 357 358 on multiple dependencies, which should be clearly catalogued and subjected to thorough 359 risk assessments. This ensures transparent reporting of the functionality and limitations of any integrated artificial intelligence and machine learning (AI/ML) components. Such 360 361 transparency is vital for maintaining accuracy, reproducibility, and regulatory compliance 362 in bioinformatics analysis.

364 **3.5.** Neoantigen identification and selection

This section covers the concepts and considerations for developers when using artificial intelligence/machine learning (AI/ML) for the identification and selection of neoantigens to inform the product design. Identification of candidate neoantigens is based on the output data from the bioinformatics pipeline activities occurring prior to this identification and selection stage. Furthermore, best practice principles outlined in the bioinformatics section should be maintained where applicable, to ensure processes achieve aligned standards.

373 Other software methods for neoantigen identification and selection may be utilised; however, product safety regulations remain universally applicable. For the purposes of 374 375 this document, AI/ML technologies will be considered subject to the regulations governing SaMD. The computational analysis of neoantigens often involves a range of 376 377 techniques including AI/ML. More broadly, the scale and complexity of the data aspects 378 of genomics and bioinformatics activities, often aligns with the advantages of an AI/ML 379 approach to activities such as neoantigen identification. Therefore, this guidance focuses 380 on AI/ML to recognise the future direction of travel of this rapidly evolving area.

3.5.1. Background concepts related to the use of AI/ML

383 Al is a broad term that covers many different approaches to creating engineered systems that generate outputs such as content, forecasts, recommendations, or 384 decisions for a given set of human-defined objectives. However, to date there is poor 385 386 global consensus on definitions and categorisation of AI/ML, making it challenging to 387 ensure all stakeholders are aligned when discussing the use of AI/ML within in specific domain. Choosing the most appropriate type of AI technique (also known as 388 algorithm model) will depend on parameters including the specific functionality of the 389 390 task, the importance of factors such as insights to the AI/ML decision making process, data, and commercial constraints. This section provides a high-level background and 391 context to the use of AI/ML within complex workflows and systems (such as in 392 neoantigen selection). This is to highlight that the insertion of an AI/ML tool into poorly 393

394 controlled and unvalidated workflows has potential to increase risk and obfuscate 395 failures which can have serious downstream consequences. However, when 396 appropriately understood and managed, AI/ML possesses the potential to improve workflows and patient outcomes. 397

#### 3.5.2. Primary Guidance Areas to Consider for the use of 398 AI/ML 399

400 To guide the use of AI/ML technologies and models in neoantigen selection, the 401 following aspects are key areas that require consideration and detailing in the development and maintenance of these tools for neoantigen identification. A body of 402 Al in healthcare guidance and standards has emerged in recent years. Good Machine 403 Learning Practice (GMLP) guiding principles from the MHRA, US FDA, and Health 404 Canada will give readers a foundation for AI/ML development. In addition, structured 405 406 frameworks exist to guide the development and management of AI systems, specifically focusing on those that employ machine learning, including ISO/IEC 407 23053. A comprehensive list of AI development standards can be found from the AI 408 409 Standards Hub.

410 3.5.2.1. Performance factors

411

AI/ML performance (e.g. accuracy, reproducibility, repeatability, and stability) relates to data (e.g. quality, quantity, and appropriateness). For AI/ML, data can 412 413 be analogous to being the raw material used to create a model. Therefore, as with 414 many statistical techniques, the relationships between the data used for training 415 any specific AI/ML model and the target variables are key to a well performing 416 AI/ML product/tool. These data-AI/ML relationships have several dimensions to consider, such as the number of target variables, the spread of values within a 417 target variable (variance), the prevalence or quality of values and any relationship 418 between variables or thresholds. Such considerations are commonplace and are 419 broadly applicable risks to manage in many workflows. The purpose is to highlight 420 421 the degree to which AI/ML models are sensitive to these variables and some of 422 the limitations on mitigating the risks present. 423

For neoantigen selection, the AI/ML would form part of the bioinformatics pipeline. 424 425 Broadly, the AI/ML receives the sequenced genome of the stored patient sample, 426 and outputs the potential neoantigens for downstream mRNA production of an 427 individualised medicine. The target variables (and associated considerations) are outlined in the genetic sequencing section and can be further influenced by 428 429 variations upstream from sequencing during the sample collection and storage stages. Generally, the number of variables and/or greater variation of variables 430 431 within the system you are building the AI/ML for, the harder the data set challenges. Sufficient representation of these variables (and known variance 432 within variables) is required to produce an accurate model. For neoantigen 433 434 identification by AI/ML, ensuring that the range of relevant biological factors (e.g. cancer types and immunogenicity) sample collection differences (e.g. tumour 435 location vs blood samples) and genome mapping technique differences, need to 436 437 be captured in the data used to train and verify the AI/ML. This background is 438 provided to give context to some of the risks stemming from poor and/or unreliable performance of AI/ML in clinical and scientific areas with highly complex, 439 numerous and inconsistent variables. All processes prior to the AI/ML step in the 440 441 mRNA manufacturing process must be sufficiently validated, controlled and

represented in training data to minimise the risks such as bias and over/underfitting of the algorithm model. Furthermore, developers should put in place
systems to record which 'version' of the Al/ML performed the neoantigen selection
for each batch of the therapy (to include documentation on the training data
sources and other software dependencies). The assessment of such Al/ML
systems should be undertaken by an appropriate organisation.

449 Note that acceptable levels of accuracy of preceding steps in the workflow may 450 have significantly different requirements for an AI/ML than what may be 451 acceptable for a human operator. Therefore, sufficient validation activities of the steps that precede the AI/ML model must be conducted with respect to the 452 453 requirements of the AI/ML which may differ from previously human orientated gold standards. For example, the differences in reagents, equipment and data quality 454 may not be impactful to a trained human operator who can compensate for some 455 456 differences even such differences have not been seen before. However, the ability for an AI/ML to handle inputs from outside its training dataset range is a parameter 457 that must be built for and validated specifically. The extent to which an AI/ML 458 model can manage these new inputs is often referred to as generalisability. 459

#### 3.5.2.2. Generalisability vs Narrow Focus

462 Generalisability as a feature of the AI/ML needs to be considered as a design requirement and is pertinent to the risks the AI/ML can bring to the workflow. For 463 464 example, there is typically a tradeoff between generalisability and local accuracy 465 for a more constrained set of inputs. This must be balanced and considered for AI/ML development approaches, considering the specific context of use. 466 467 Stakeholders assessing the safety and reproducibility of the neoantigen selection 468 process need to understand and validate not just the type of AI/ML but the approach taken around the data inputs for any given model. There must be 469 470 consideration of whether the AI/ML produces a single model from sufficient training and test datasets, that performs appropriately across different sequencing 471 472 methods to be safe and effective, i.e. it can act as a "generalised" model. Alternatively, multiple models or combination of models may be used collectively 473 as part of the workflow to optimise outcomes. These may be validated separately 474 475 or collectively. 476

477 **3.5.2.3.** Performance Drift

Throughout the lifecycle of the development and use of AI/ML models, performance drift may occur. Processes must be in place to monitor performance. Any aspects of calibration and tuning (e.g. retraining or parameter adjustment activities) should be detailed to ensure the maintenance of adequate performance. This applies to both unintended performance changes caused by environmental factors and intended performance changes, such as design updates or modifications to design processes.

486 **3.5.2.4. Bias** 

448

460

461

478

479 480

481

482

483 484

485

Whilst the general concept here is of a individualised approach and product
development, the model development prior to this will likely be based on existing
datasets taken from certain patient population cohorts. It will be necessary to

ensure that these datasets are representative of the intended population for whom these individualised medicines are being developed.

#### 493 **3.5.2.5.** Transparency

490

491

492

506

507 508

509

510 511

512

513

514

515 516

526

527

494 Bevond the upstream process and data considerations that impact the performance of the AI are risk considerations relating to validation and 495 496 understanding of the internal model processes. This may be referred to as model transparency and is sometimes referred to as "Blackbox" AI. The extent to which 497 stakeholders can determine what parts of the input data the AI is using to produce 498 499 an output is model dependent. This relates to the underpinning architecture of the 500 model and any features designed into the model to assist with understanding. How 501 transparent and understandable a model is, is a crucial feature to the level of 502 validation needed and to conducting analysis during failure model analysis and correction. 503 504

#### 505 **3.5.2.6. Product updates**

Product updates (including algorithms used in the pipeline) must adhere to safety and performance requirements. Software developed in-house or otherwise should comply with quality checks upon any modifications made to it, to retain consistency across the cohorts in use. Product updates can be required to maintain performance and safety within the validated and evidenced intended purpose. Updating iteratively raises a risk that the product moves beyond the boundaries of the validated evidence base and approval. Algorithms utilising continuous learning are not currently compatible with UK medical device regulations and increase the risk of moving beyond the boundaries of the approved and validated evidence.

#### 517 **3.5.3.** Additional considerations for the use of AI/ML

518 In addition to the primary points on performance, generalisability, drift, bias, and 519 transparency, there may be further considerations to ensure the safe and effective use of these techniques. These include features such as the multi-disciplinary 520 521 expertise required for this work, the requirement for good software and cybersecurity 522 practices, the importance of data privacy and ethical considerations and an 523 understanding of any interactions between humans and the performance of the AI/ML tools. Many of these principles have been captured in the GMLP guidance, co-524 produced by the MHRA, US FDA, and Health Canada. 525

#### 3.5.4. Regulatory considerations for the use of AI/ML

As the scientific development of AI/ML continues in this context of medicine
development lifecycles, as outlined above, it is necessary to consider the accurate
regulatory status and strategy for the use of AI/ML here.

532A recent reflection paper from the EMA, published in September 2024 highlights the533need to further consider the regulatory interactions across the existing legislation for534medicines, *In vitro* diagnostic and general medical devices. For the purposes of the535UK, this requires us to consider both legislation for GB and the EU legislation that536applies in Northern Ireland. Such regulatory considerations significantly apply to the

- AI/ML tools, during clinical trial and live production stages of their use. It is prudent to
   begin with an early consideration of the proposed regulatory strategy for these AI/ML
   products, and to continue to monitor and engage with the development of any
   regulatory guidance in this area.
- Depending on the exact techniques and processes used, alongside jurisdictional 542 543 legislations and interpretations, the AI/ML tools used may qualify as part of the regulated requirements of GMP processes for medicines, or as SaMD, either under 544 the general medical device legislation or under IVD legislation. This may need to be 545 546 assessed by a conformity assessment body as applicable. For example, software that 547 analyses genetic data to match a patient to a specific treatment, predicts the suitability of certain treatments, or creates an individualised treatment based on the 548 549 patient's biodata, would fall under the medical device regulations. This includes 550 applications such as identifying specific genetic mutations linked to drug response, 551 pinpointing disease drivers, and identifying therapeutic targets through software. Any 552 changes or updates to such software would require an assessment of the nature/extent of change and if required, a reassessment of the software. However, 553 554 whichever regulatory route is used or required for the use of AI/ML in this context, the 555 above principles and points of guidance will be equally necessary and valuable to be considered. 556 557

References to relevant published guidance documents are provided below:

- Regulating medical devices in the UK
- In Vitro Diagnostics

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

- Notify MHRA about a clinical investigation for a medical device
- Register medical devices to place on the market
- Software and artificial intelligence (AI) as a medical device
- <u>Good Machine Learning Practice for Medical Device Development: Guiding</u>
   <u>Principles</u>
- Predetermined change control plan for Machine Learning
- Al Standards Hub The New Home of the Al Standards Community
- ISO/IEC 23053:2022 Framework for AI systems using machine learning
- ISO/IEC 22989:2022 Information technology Artificial intelligence Artificial intelligence concepts and terminology

# 571 4. Product manufacturing

#### 572 **4.1.** Introduction

584

588

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600 601

602 603

605

573 The chemistry, manufacturing, and control of the product is regulated under GMP. The 574 manufacture of products for use in clinical trials and subsequent commercialisation must 575 be carried out at a site that holds an authorisation for the manufacture/importation of 576 investigational medicinal products for human use (MIA(IMP)) or a licence for the 577 manufacture/importation of licensed medicinal products for human use (MIA), if based 578 within the United Kingdom. 579

580 The active substance is the mRNA, and the drug product is the formulated active 581 substance, that is the mRNA delivered by an appropriate drug delivery system. The 582 mRNA could be encapsulated or complexed with the drug carrier system, depending on 583 the design of the formulation.

585 A clear production batch definition is required from tissue sampling to the labelling of the 586 final container to ensure consistency and traceability is maintained throughout, especially 587 the design and manufacturing cycle of the drug.

A quality by design (QbD) approach should be taken. The design space principles
 described in ICH Q8 and Q14 could also be applied. References to other relevant
 published guidance documents are provided below:

- <u>The MHRA Orange Guide</u>
- <u>The MHRA Green Guide</u>
- The Human Medicines Regulations 2012
- The Human Medicines (EU Exit) Regulations 2019
- The Medicines for Human Use (Clinical Trials) Regulations 2004
- The Medical Devices Regulations 2002
- Medicines and Medical Devices Act 2021
- EudraLex Volume 4

Specific considerations are provided below to help support development of a commercial manufacturing process and application for marketing authorisation.

### 604 4.2. Drug substance

#### 4.2.1. Starting materials

606 The starting material(s) will be defined and justified by the manufacturer. Generally, 607 such starting materials are expected to be the nucleotide substrates from which the 608 mRNA is manufactured. Currently this could include a DNA plasmid and ribonucleotides. Direct synthesis of the mRNA would only require the ribonucleotides 609 610 to be defined as starting materials. Controls for the starting material should be stringent and well-documented. The principles of GMP will apply to the manufacture 611 612 of starting materials for individualised mRNA immunotherapies, principally riskdetermined controls and regular QP audits (for example the 'Guidelines on Good 613 614 Manufacturing Practice specific to Advanced Therapy Medicinal Products' and the 615 Questions and answers on the principles of GMP for the manufacturing of starting 616 materials of biological origin used to transfer genetic material for the 617 manufacturing of ATMPs' may apply). The manufacture of starting materials should 618 be performed by a site holding a manufacturing licence as this is the start of manufacture for an ATMP. In instances where the manufacture of the patient-specific 619 batch requires a starting material common to all the batches, this would likely require 620 621 GMP certification. An example would be the use of master and working cell banks for the manufacture of a starting material; where sites establishing or storing such cell 622 623 banks, virus seeds or other associated starting material are expected to hold a GMP 624 certification, and if the site is in the UK a MIA. The requirements for demonstrating 625 GMP compliance of starting materials should be discussed with the relevant 626 regulatory authority.

# 4.2.2. *In vitro* transcription or synthesis of mRNA and purification

627

628

629

644

A detailed description of the manufacture of the active substance from the starting material(s) should be provided. The *In vitro* transcription or synthesis of the mRNA and its purification should be described along with operating parameters, in-process controls and acceptance criteria. Any intermediate products and critical steps should be defined. Any hold steps and transportation should be described and suitably validated. If there is storage of the drug substance, the container closure system and storage conditions should also be described in detail and suitably justified.

638 The equipment and premises should be qualified for aseptic manufacture of the 639 product. They should be designed with engineering and procedural controls to avoid 640 cross-contamination between the patient-specific batches. The use of product-specific 641 and single-use equipment is recommended wherever possible. Appropriate cleaning 642 processes should be applied and demonstrated for shared equipment when use of 643 single-use equipment is not possible.

#### 4.2.3. Constant and variable elements in mRNA molecule

It is expected that the mRNA sequence elements encoding the neoantigen peptides 645 will be highly variable between patients. They may also impart differences in the total 646 647 mRNA length. The risk to the manufacture of the active substance from sequence 648 variation will need to be continuously assessed and updated as knowledge expands. 649 The manufacturer will have to demonstrate that the process is under control and that 650 the final product meets the specifications for the expected range in mRNA length, 651 sequence, and structure. The capabilities of the manufacturing process will need to 652 inform the sequence design in the product design in order that every batch is 653 manufactured under adequate control. 654

655 Other than the neoantigen sequence elements, the mRNA is expected to consist of 656 constant elements that perform functions typically related to expression, as well as 657 affecting the stability of the drug substance. These will be constant between patient 658 batches. 659

#### 660 **4.2.4. Characterisation of drug substance**

661The characterisation of the drug substance should encompass all components662present in the finished product. As some parameters may not be possible to be tested

for release for every batch, the characterisation of the drug substance and final drug
product during development is considered critical for the individualised
immunotherapy product. The characterisation studies aim to provide an adequate
understanding of the active substance and final product. Experimental data are likely
to be necessary for the mRNA drug substance alone and for the formulated mRNA
drug product.

669

680

700

The characterisation data should cover the expected range of mRNA lengths and 670 671 structures. Heterogeneity in the product sequence should be considered as part of the 672 characterisation studies, including incorporation of modified nucleotides. The expected range of heterogeneity should be studied, as well as the physicochemical 673 674 properties of the mRNA drug substance and the encapsulated mRNA in the drug delivery system. The effect of modified nucleotides on mRNA attributes such as 675 structure and stability should be characterised. The morphological characterisation of 676 677 the mRNA encapsulated inside LNPs would be expected during development, so that an understanding of the potential differences when changing to a different mRNA 678 sequence can be demonstrated. 679

681 Consideration of the variation in mRNA sequence at the neoantigen elements should 682 be included in the characterisation. This could be an expansive characterisation at the 683 *in silico* level with selective experimental studies. Sequence characterisation should 684 consider the effects on secondary structure and other potential interactions from 685 variation. Since not all sequences can be fully characterised prior to a marketing 686 authorisation application, the use of design space principles is recommended. 687

688 Non-mRNA components should be characterised in the context of their required function in the finished product. This is expected to include, but not limited to, various 689 690 lipids, polyethylene glycol (PEG) derivatives, cholesterol, and polymers which should be characterised in chemical and physical terms. They should also be characterised 691 with regard to encapsulation of mRNA, interactions with the mRNA drug substance 692 693 and their role in the mechanism of action such as cell uptake and delivery. Any gualitative and guantitative studies performed should be appropriately justified. 694 695 Interactions with the immune system may also need to be addressed in 696 characterisation. This may complement the pre-clinical data to support understanding in the uptake and biodistribution of the drug product. Where multiple mRNA drug 697 substances are incorporated, any impact on the critical quality attributes (CQAs) 698 699 should be investigated.

701 The characterisation should establish the required CQAs and controls for the release of the active substance and finished product that are applied to every batch. These 702 703 will also form the basis for the comparability analysis when developing the manufacturing process or establishing new manufacturing facilities. With sufficient 704 characterisation of quality attributes, it is possible to explain and justify any 705 differences observed during the comparability analysis. Otherwise further clinical and 706 pre-clinical studies may be required. The use of prior knowledge as supportive data 707 708 can be used where justified as relevant based on the similarity of attributes. Any potential impurities from the manufacturing process should be characterised in 709 the context of the risk to patients at administration, including both immunogenicity and 710 reactogenicity. The interactions of impurities with the active substance and final 711 712 product should also be considered. A robust strategy for eliminating unnecessary

713 714 715

740

741

759

impurities should be in place; an appropriate risk assessment for the control of impurities can be considered.

#### 716 4.2.5. Process validation

The entire manufacturing process should be validated prior to commercialisation. This
should include the *in vitro* transcription, purification, hold steps, drug substance
formulation, filling, packaging and transportation. The validation data should
demonstrate that each step of the process is under control regardless of variation in
the starting material. Critical steps and controls in the manufacturing process should
be defined and validated. Representative mRNA with characteristics comparable to
the patient-specific mRNA sequences could be used for validation purposes.

The validation should cover the expected range of mRNA lengths and sequence
variation for the patient-specific manufacturing batches. A minimum of three
representative mRNA batches will be required. More may be necessary to cover the
expected variation and—if applicable—fulfil the principles of a design space. The
establishment of a continuous process verification system alongside the commercial
manufacturing process is recommended in order to capture knowledge from further
batch production and improve the process post-authorisation (see ICH Q7).

#### 733 **4.2.6. Process design**

Process design studies can make use of prior knowledge from similar products to establish controls and parameters for manufacture during development. The applicability of data from similar products to the process design will be dependent on the overlap in quality attributes and the scale of manufacture. The manufacturing controls for the commercial manufacture will need to be validated for the product using representative batches.

#### 4.2.7. Release testing and potency

The specifications define the active substance regardless of variation in the starting
material. Wherever possible, the active substance, and final product should be
subject to release testing. It may be acceptable to omit release testing for the drug
substance if justified and authorised, but exhaustive control is expected at the drug
product level. Some release testing might not be possible on the formulated drug
product for technical reasons, so testing at the drug substance level will be required.

749 A typical set of mRNA drug substance tests could include appearance, particulates. pH, endotoxin, bioburden, entire nucleotide sequence, RNA concentration, capping 750 751 efficiency, poly(A) tail, mRNA integrity, mRNA purity, residuals (which may be template, enzymes, solvents, and nucleotides), and the functionality of the desirable 752 mRNA drug substance (e.g. protein expression). Where applicable, pharmacopoeial 753 754 limits should be adopted. This is not an exhaustive or prescriptive list, but it will 755 depend upon knowledge of the CQAs determined through characterisation of the 756 concerned drug substance and drug product. These tests may be used at release or 757 subject to in-process controls. The acceptance criteria for specifications will be set using knowledge of the manufacturing capability and clinical experience. 758

760 Potency is the quantitative measure of biological activity based on the attribute of the 761 product linked to the relevant biological properties. The potency assay should be 762 based on the intended biological effect and ideally related to the clinical response. 763 This is expected to be a challenge for individualised mRNA neoantigen immunotherapies. It is possible to justify the use of a potency assay that measures a 764 765 product attribute that has been demonstrated to correlate with the intended biological effect. An analytical method that measures a correlate - such as protein expression -766 is considered a functionality assay rather than a true potency assay. The use of 767 768 physicochemical measures, as a functionality test, in place of a cell-based expression 769 assay need to be substantiated with adequate data to support biological correlation. The complex mechanism of action for mRNA neoantigen immunotherapies may 770 771 require multiple potency/functionality assays. It is recommended that these are 772 established as early as possible in development. Preferably a validated 773 potency/functionality assay will be available for testing batches in the pivotal clinical 774 trial. 775

The analytical methods should be validated and adhere to ICH Q2 for the control of
the commercial manufacturing process. They should provide an adequate measure of
attributes to determine comparability between clinically qualified material and the
batches manufactured with the commercial process as per ICH Q5E.

### 781 **4.3. Drug product**

780

782

793

800

#### 4.3.1. Pharmaceutical development

Prior knowledge gained from mRNA products with the same administration route may
be pertinent to the product development and can be used as supporting evidence in
choices in the final product design. The effect of the variation in mRNA sequence,
length, and structure on drug loading by the intended drug delivery system,
physicochemical properties of the drug carrier (e.g. nanoparticles), release, and
subsequent cellular uptake and translation should be considered in detail.

#### 789 **4.3.2. Batch size**

Fach batch is patient-specific but nevertheless is expected to be within the validated
range and subject to the same manufacturing controls. The manufactured product is a
batch.

A clear production batch definition is required from tissue sampling and drug product
intermediates to the labelling of the final container to ensure consistency and
traceability. To ensure the individual patient batch is linked to the individual patient
sample, a protocol for chain of identity and chain of custody should be implemented
that uses a unique patient identification number.

#### 4.3.3. Manufacture of drug product

801The drug delivery system protects the mRNA from nuclease degradation and enables802its entry into cells for expression of the neoantigen peptides. The components of the803drug delivery system are considered excipients. They should be of pharmacopeial804quality where monographs apply, described in detail, justified in terms of their805inclusion and levels, and subject to stringent controls. Where novel excipients are

- 806 employed, the synthesis and controls, as documented in a similar manner as in an 807 Active Substance Master File (ASMF) should be provided. The required non-clinical 808 studies supporting the use of novel excipients must be performed and presented. The incorporation of the mRNA active substance with the drug delivery system is part 809 of the manufacture of the drug product. A detailed description of the manufacture of 810 811 the finished product - from the active substance and excipients to the formulation with 812 the drug delivery system - should be provided, along with the required in-process controls, supported by relevant process validation data. For individualised medicines, 813 814 this process may have been established based on prior knowledge from various 815 mRNA with similar lengths, sequences, and structures and identical drug delivery system for the intended route of administration. 816 817
- 818 In addition to the conventional physicochemical characterisation of the drug product, which includes, but not limited to, particle size distribution and polydispersity (e.g. for 819 820 nanoparticle encapsulated mRNA), surface properties (including charge) and morphological characterisation, the characterisation of the drug product may require 821 studies of the drug delivery system components to adequately understand the 822 interactions with the mRNA, any impurities and anticipated interactions in vivo (for 823 example, the formation of RNA-lipid adducts where LNPs are used as the drug 824 825 delivery system). 826
- Where hold-time or temporary storage are required for drug product intermediates,
  these should also be adequately validated and controlled within the agreed
  conditions. Subsequent filling and packaging process should also be detailed,
  supported by relevant validation and stability data. The equipment and premises
  should be qualified for aseptic manufacture of the product. They should be designed
  with engineering and procedural controls to avoid cross-contamination between the
  patient-specific batches.

834

839

840

851

835 If multiple manufacturing sites are required for different batches, these sites should be
836 appropriately validated with the commercial process to ensure comparability of the
837 product manufactured at different sites.
838

#### 4.3.4. Quality control and batch release testing of individualised batches

This needs to be immediate to meet an acceptable turn-around time. The proposed 841 842 release specification should be determined based on pharmaceutical development 843 data, as well as relevant stability data from process validation and clinical batches. 844 Appropriate risk assessment on the process and controls can be made. Where data 845 from medicinal products with similar properties that use the same manufacturing process are available, these may be used in support of the control strategy. Additional 846 product-specific data is required to establish the acceptance criteria. The release 847 848 testing may include, but not limited to appearance, pH, osmolality, particulates, 849 identity of the mRNA drug substance, RNA concentration, mRNA purity, residual 850 solvents, lipid impurities, and potency/functionality assay(s).

The specific quality considerations of the drug delivery system, those that are CQAs determined by characterisation, will need to be included in batch release testing.

- 854 These include particle size and distribution (polydispersity), surface properties, mRNA 855 encapsulation efficiency and product-related impurities (such as RNA-lipid adducts). 856 The omission of CQAs from drug product release testing may be authorised, where justified by sufficient manufacturing data to demonstrate in-process control. These 857 omissions may be supported by extensive characterisation data. The drug product 858 859 release will require endotoxin and sterility testing. Any deviation from pharmacopeial standards on testing should be discussed with the relevant regulatory authority prior 860 to submission. Where applicable, pharmacopoeial limits should be adopted for the 861 862 drug product specifications.
- 864Testing for the absence of adventitious agents should be performed using the865analytical procedures described in the British Pharmacopoeia (BP)/European866Pharmacopoeia (Ph. Eur.). Where the required turn-around time is prohibitive for the867testing of absence of adventitious agents under the pharmacopeial methods868alternative validated testing may be acceptable. The manufacturing process should869be designed to minimise the risk of contamination including through control of the870starting materials, raw materials, and excipients.
- A critical set of tests for release that can be performed in the restricted time prior to 872 clinical use must be defined and justified for the drug product (and possibly drug 873 substance). The amount of material available may also restrict the tests that can be 874 875 performed. Otherwise, additional samples should be stored for further analysis. Manufacturers should also consider a two-step batch release procedure where critical 876 tests are performed prior to administration. Further specification tests can be 877 878 completed post-administration. The scheduling for batch release testing should be 879 discussed with relevant regulatory agencies. 880

#### **4.3.5. Stability**

863

871

889

- A shelf-life for any intermediates (if required long-term storage), or hold-time for shortterm continuous manufacturing process, the active substance and finished product
  should be defined. An in-use shelf-life and conditions should also be considered.
  Storage conditions, including the freeze-thawing steps, if applicable, and
  transportation should be supported by relevant experimental data.
  The container closure system should be described and its compatibility with the
  product demonstrated.
- Real-time stability data is required to justify shelf-life claims as per ICH Q5C. The
  collection of data on temperature excursions, photostability, transportation and other
  in-use clinical scenarios is recommended. The entire cold-chain storage through
  manufacture, temporary and long-term storage to in-use storage should be
  incorporated into stability study design.

# 895 5. Non-clinical aspects

Development of individualised mRNA cancer immunotherapies should take into account
concepts in the ICHS9 Guideline on non-clinical evaluation for anticancer pharmaceuticals.
In line with this, separate dedicated testing for certain aspects in animals (e.g. safety
pharmacology, reproductive toxicology) are not expected. Developers should also take
account of guidance from the World Health Organisation on Non-clinical development of
<u>vaccines</u>; however, allowance should be made for the differing nature of the type of use
intended for patients with cancer.

903

904 In principle, a product to be used will be based on a construct, that is, an mRNA insert 905 encoding for each neoantigen selected from analysis of a tumour sample from a patient (and 906 there may be any number of such). This insert will be in a fixed mRNA backbone: such 907 elements will be the only variant will be in each mRNA insert. Where lipid nanoparticles are 908 also used, the elements making up the nanoparticles will be consistent across products, 909 meaning its constituents will not be altered; however, there is scope for there to be minor 910 variations in relative proportions of these constituents when suitably justified and supported 911 by the relevant CMC pharmaceutical development/validation data. Beyond these changes, 912 where either the mRNA backbone or the nanoparticle element is changed, this will be 913 considered a new product and testing to support that new product will be expected as if it 914 were the initial product. This backbone will be supported by testing into the elements 915 described below. Once established, this dataset can support use of product with mRNA 916 insert(s) selected by analysis of the patient's tumour.

917

918 Initial testing should prove the principle that the mRNA construct produces a specific 919 immune response which should have an anti-tumour effect. As many experimental options 920 are available, the MHRA is not prescriptive in this aspect: developers should satisfy 921 themselves as to the potential benefit to the patient to be treated, in the knowledge that the 922 MHRA will review the evidence base that supports that conclusion. However, the mode of 923 action should consider that many target antigens will be intracellular: the product should be 924 shown to induce a relevant immune response. Testing should explore the distribution of 925 administered mRNA and components of the lipid nanoparticle, including duration of 926 exposure to, and metabolic fate of, elements of the lipid nanoparticle. It is acceptable to 927 cross-refer to studies with other mRNA constructs, if these are shown to be relevant. Where 928 a product intended for clinical use is notably different from that used in prior non-clinical 929 studies, bridging studies may be useful to support the expectation that the revised product 930 will retain efficacy and have a similar safety profile. Testing is also expected to explore 931 safety of the administered product in a manner resembling the intended clinical use, taking 932 account, where relevant, of ICH and WHO guidance documents noted above. 933

This stage seeks to characterise the potential activity and safety of this use of mRNA and the drug delivery system (e.g. lipid nanoparticles). Subsequently, there should be a record of use of the specific product used in a specific patient that should consider why the product was expected to be of use to treat the patient.

938

- 939 Data from the first stage of testing should be supplied in applications for a clinical trial
- authorisation and for a marketing authorisation. For the second stage of testing, these datashould be retained for inspections and could be used in later submissions.

## 942 6. Clinical aspects

#### 943 6.1. Introduction

944 Developers of individualised mRNA cancer immunotherapies should consult <u>the EMA</u>
 945 <u>guideline on the evaluation of anticancer products in humans</u>.

946

955

960

961

962 963

964

965

947 We envisage that the evidence of clinical efficacy and safety to support a marketing 948 authorisation application would come from studies of an investigational medical product that 949 is representative of the proposed commercial product from the quality perspective, 950 considering the design and manufacturing aspects covered in the previous sections. It is 951 recognised that the variable part of the mRNA sequence is likely to be unique to each clinical 952 trial participant, and moreover, unique to each patient that receives the immunotherapy post-953 authorisation. The clinical development of mRNA cancer immunotherapies should include 954 investigation of (not limited to):

- Pharmacodynamic activity including immunogenicity, and potential markers of efficacy
   and safety
- Optimal dose, frequency, route of administration and treatment duration
- Appropriate oncology setting including treatment line
  - Optimal timing from surgical resection to start of treatment
    - Need for sequential or concomitant therapy including immunotherapy
    - Efficacy using clinically relevant endpoints for chosen setting
      - Safety including administration-related reactions (e.g. intramuscular injection or intravenous injection/infusion), reactogenicity, immune-related adverse events, and other off-target effects

#### 966 6.2. Changes to product design steps

When designing the pivotal clinical trial(s), the product design steps should be fixed for the duration of the trial (see section 3 of the guidance). After completion of the trial, recent or planned changes to the product design steps could reduce the external validity of the clinical trial data and introduce uncertainty into the evaluation of benefits and risks. Therefore, the clinical documentation to support any licensing submission should describe any changes to the product design steps and justify that the efficacy and safety of the commercial product grad be inferred from the non-clinical data and clinical trial data.

# 974 6.3. Considerations for randomised placebo-controlled 975 trials

976 As individualised therapies may have some variability in manufacturing time necessitating 977 different administration timing from patient to patient, the administration timing for patients 978 randomised to placebo may also need to be varied to maintain the study blind. To provide a 979 valid comparison the patients in the placebo group should receive treatment in line with 980 standard of care and should not receive sub-optimal treatment because of factors related to 981 the active treatment group. In particular, efforts should be made to ensure that in the placebo 982 arm the time from surgery (or biopsy) to any co-administered treatment is in line with 983 standard of care. 984

Page 27 of 34

In some cases, a delay to co-administered standard of care treatment is unavoidable in the
individualised immunotherapy arm (e.g. the mechanism of action requires certain timing in
relation to the individualised immunotherapy). In this scenario the use of sham/placebo
treatment in a double-dummy design could facilitate avoiding the delay of standard of care in
the placebo arm.

991 If, to maintain the study blind, it is considered unavoidable to delay the co-administered standard of care treatment in the placebo arm to match the individualised immunotherapy 992 arm, the developer should provide evidence that the treatment comparison remains valid, for 993 example, any superiority of individualised immunotherapy + standard of care vs standard of 994 care alone is generalisable to the real-world setting, where standard of care may be 995 administered sooner; real-world data could be used in support. This should only be 996 considered in exceptional circumstances and strong justification that the delay to standard of 997 care treatment is unavoidable will be required. 998

999

1000 The timing of randomisation and choice of analysis populations should be carefully

1001 considered to ensure they correspond to the study objectives. Possible study objectives
1002 could be to describe the benefit of the strategy of deciding to try and use an individualised
1003 immunotherapy, and/or to describe the benefit of using such a therapy once it is available.

1004

1005 Use of the estimands framework as described in the ICH E9(R1) addendum is encouraged1006 to aid these considerations.

#### **Post-authorisation aspects** 7. 1007

1008 When planning pharmacovigilance systems developers should consult EU guidance on 1009 Good pharmacovigilance practices (GVP) as well as MHRA guidance on Exceptions and 1010 modifications to the EU guidance on good pharmacovigilance practices that apply to UK 1011 MAHs and the MHRA. Developers should also be aware of MHRA Guidance on 1012 pharmacovigilance procedures. 1013 1014 The information in the above guidance should be followed for all individualised mRNA 1015 cancer immunotherapies. The principles outlined in the EMA Guideline on safety and 1016 efficacy follow-up and risk management of advanced therapy medicinal products also apply. 1017 The relevant aspects of GVP P. II guidance, such as immunogenicity, manufacturing 1018 variability and traceability should be considered. Where products will be indicated in 1019 paediatric populations, GVP P. IV should be considered. The guidance below outlines 1020 additional considerations for pharmacovigilance specific to these products which should be 1021 considered. Developers should begin considering plans for pharmacovigilance and risk 1022 management early in the development process. 1023 1024 Developers will submit a Risk Management Plan (RMP) as part of the Marketing 1025 Authorisation Application (MAA) following the format as described in the existing guidance 1026 (see above). 1027 1028 Developers should identify safety concerns using the principles outlined in GVP - Module V as they would with any medicinal product. As these products are ATMPs and are complex in 1029 1030 nature, other product-specific aspects should be considered when identifying the safety 1031 concerns for these products. The Guideline on safety and efficacy follow-up and risk management of advanced therapy medicinal products, provides an overview of safety 1032 concerns which may be relevant for ATMPs, some of which will be relevant for individualised 1033 1034 mRNA cancer immunotherapies, such as quality characteristics, storage, and distribution, administration, traceability, unwanted immunogenicity, persistence, and real-world safety 1035 1036 and effectiveness. Developers should also consider whether other aspects specific to these 1037 therapies give rise to additional safety concerns, taking into consideration the individualised 1038 nature of the approach, the neoantigen selection process, the delivery mechanism, and the tumour site and stage for example. Safety concerns such as reactogenicity, immune-related 1039 1040 adverse events, infusion related reactions, possible off-target effects, safety in special

- 1041 populations, safety in patients with autoimmune disease and safety in immunocompromised 1042 /immunosuppressed patients are also appropriate to consider. 1043
- 1044 Linking to genomic data when reporting suspected adverse drug reactions as part of routine 1045 pharmacovigilance should be considered, as appropriate.
- 1046
- 1047 Given the novelty of individualised mRNA cancer immunotherapies, it would be expected that post-authorisation safety studies (PASS) be included in the RMP, to characterise the 1048 1049 long-term safety and effectiveness of the products in a real-world clinical setting and to
- 1050 further characterise safety concerns in the RMP.
- 1051
- 1052 The safety concerns and study objectives should be considered when selecting a study
- 1053 design for a PASS. <u>GVP - Module VIII</u> discusses study design and data sources.
- 1054 Consideration should be given to whether existing data sources, such as cancer registries
- like the National Cancer Registration and Analysis Service would appropriately capture data 1055

1056 or could be amended to meet the study objectives or if the set-up of a new data collection system will be needed. The EBMT and the collection of data on CAR T cell therapies is an 1057 1058 example of an existing data source being adapted for use in a new product class. It is preferable that the PASS includes a comparator population, for example using real-world 1059 data sources. An appropriate comparator will be essential where the benefits of therapy 1060 1061 need to be established. As currently it is intended that the therapies will be used in the adjuvant setting, it will be important to try to differentiate the safety profile of these therapies 1062 from the safety profile of other treatments patients have received. It will be important to 1063 consider how long patients will be followed up for, to ensure that any long-term effects of the 1064 therapies are captured. Opportunities to use existing electronic health records with 1065 appropriate data linkage to enable long term follow-up should be considered. Patient 1066 1067 genomic information may provide insights into determining mechanisms for certain adverse 1068 events, such as immune-related events.

1069 1070

1071 An important consideration in the design of a PASS and pharmacovigilance systems in general for individualised mRNA cancer immunotherapies, is examining how changes to the 1072 1073 AI/ML which performs the neoantigen selection will impact the safety and effectiveness of 1074 the therapy. Developers should put in place systems to record which 'version' of the AI/ML 1075 performed the neoantigen selection for each batch of the therapy (to include documentation 1076 on the training data sources and other software dependencies). Developers should consider what information would need to be captured and what analysis may need to be performed to 1077 monitor differences in the safety and effectiveness profiles of the products with different 1078 1079 'versions' of the AI/ML. 1080

1081 The neoantigens included in the product can be individual to each patient, though it is 1082 assumed that some of the same neoantigens or combinations of neoantigens will be present 1083 for multiple patients, and some products may not be fully individualised. It is possible that 1084 different neoantigens could lead to different adverse effects. As safety signals arise in a post 1085 marketing setting developers will need to consider how they will examine if the signal is 1086 related to a specific neoantigen.

1087

1088 Traceability will be a key pharmacovigilance requirement for individualised mRNA cancer 1089 immunotherapies. As outlined in previous sections of this guidance traceability will be vital at all stages of manufacturing, distribution, administration, and pharmacovigilance. Traceability 1090 1091 is essential to ensure the correct patient receives the correct product and to allow for 1092 adverse reactions experienced by patients to be linked with the specific product 1093 administered. The RMP should include discussion on measures in place to support traceability. It will be essential to ensure linkage between pharmacovigilance systems and 1094 traceability data. As part of the traceability aspects, developers should plan how information 1095 1096 on the individualised aspect of the product will be available to patients and their treating physicians. As part of risk minimisation, novel methods for communicating and storing this 1097 1098 information should be considered (e.g. use of barcoding).

1099

1100 Developers should consult <u>GVP - Module XVI</u> in order to inform the selection of risk

1101 minimisation measures. Given the potential for handling and administration errors it seems

1102 likely that educational materials for healthcare professionals would be warranted and would

1103 help ensure traceability. Control programmes may be warranted, for example product

distribution only to accredited healthcare facilities demonstrating the appropriate processes

- 1106 for individualised mRNA cancer immunotherapies such as educational materials for patients
- 1107 or a patient card will need to be considered for each product using the criteria set out in GVP
- 1108 Module XVI. Methods for evaluating the effectiveness of risk minimisation measures should
- 1109 be discussed in the RMP, informed by <u>GVP Module XVI Addendum II</u>.

# 1110 8. Information for patients, healthcare 1111 professionals, and the public

Patients, carers, healthcare professionals and the wider public should have early access to good quality information about individualised mRNA cancer immunotherapies. This is important to inform individual benefit risk discussions between patients and their healthcare professional, ensure safe use, and reduce the likelihood of misinformation.

1116

1117 A summary of product characteristics (SPC) and patient information leaflet (PIL), form part of 1118 the conditions of any marketing authorisation and these documents will be standardised 1119 across the target population. There may be challenges in conveying relevant information on 1120 individualised medicines within the current format of these documents. A public assessment 1121 report (PAR) including a lay summary would be published within 30 days of granting a 1122 marketing authorisation. The marketing authorisation holder should work with the MHRA to 1123 ensure that the PAR includes relevant information to assist patients, healthcare 1124 professionals, and the public.

1125

1128

1129

1130 1131

1132

1133

1134

1126 In the context of individualised mRNA cancer immunotherapies, relevant information (in 1127 addition to routine medicines information) could include:

- A description of the design phase of manufacture
- An explanation of the individualised nature of the product and the relevance of clinical trial data
- Provisions regarding ownership, storage of, and access to genetic data (considering the relevant UK regulations)
- Estimated turnaround time from tumour sampling to administration

The timing for provision of relevant information should also be considered, particularly in relation to the timing of surgical resection or biopsy. For example, a pre-operative benefit risk discussion and early treatment decision may mean a shorter turnaround time from tumour sampling to product administration. However, the patient may need more time to understand the relevant information, ask questions, and come to an informed decision.

1141 As an additional risk minimisation measure to address identified safety concerns in the risk 1142 management plan (RMP) it may be appropriate to provide educational materials for

1143 healthcare professionals and/or patients (see section 7). Any additional non-promotional

1144 materials, including press releases and patient-support materials (outside those mandated in

- 1145 the RMP) would require vetting by the MHRA advertising team.
- 1146
- 1147

# 1148 Appendix: List of abbreviations

AI	Artificial Intelligence		of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use
ASMF	Active Substance Master File	IMP	Investigational Medicinal Product
ATMP	Advanced Therapy Medicinal Products		
BP	British Pharmacopoeia	ISO/IEC	International Organization for Standardization (ISO) and International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC)
СНМ	Commission on Human Medicines	100/120	
COVID-	Coronavirus Disease 2019	IVDR	In Vitro Diagnostic Regulation
19		LNP	Lipid Nanoparticle
CQA	Critical Quality Attributes	MA	Marketing Authorisation
DNA	Deoxyribo-Nucleic Acid	MAA	Marketing Authorisation
EC	European Commission		Application
EMA	European Medical Agency	MAH	Marketing Authorisation Holder
EQA	External Quality Assessment	MDR	Medical Device Regulation
EU	European Union	MHRA	Medicines and Healthcare
EWG	Expert Working Group		products Regulatory Agency
FDA	U.S. Food and Drug Administration	MIA	Manufacturer's/ Importation Authorisation
FFPE	Formalin-Fixed Paraffin-	ML	Machine Learning
	Embedded	mRNA	messenger Ribo-Nucleic Acid
GAMP	Good Automated Manufacturing Practice	PAR	Public Assessment Report
GATK-	Genome Analysis Tool Kit- Variant Quality Score	PASS	Post-Authorisation Safety Studies
VQSR	Recalibration	PCR	Polymerase Chain Reaction
GMLP	Good Machine Learning Practice	PEG	Polyethylene Glycol
GMP	Good Manufacturing Practice	Ph. Eur.	European Pharmacopoeia
OM	Good Pharmacovigilance	QbD	Quality by Design
GVP	Practice	RMP	Risk Management Plan
HMR	Human Medicines Regulations	RUO	Research Use Only
HTA	Human Tissues Act	SaMD	Software as a Medical Device
ШA	International Council for	SPC	Summary of Product Characteristics
ICH	Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Registration	WHO	World Health Organisation
	114	9	

- 1152 © Crown copyright 2024
- 1153 Open Government Licence



- 1156 Produced by the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency. <u>www.gov.uk/mhra</u>
- 1157 You may re-use this information (excluding logos) free of charge in any format or medium,
- 1158 under the terms of the Open Government Licence. To view this licence, visit
- 1159 <u>http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence</u> or email:
- 1160 psi@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk.
- 1161 Where we have identified any third-party copyright material you will need to obtain
- 1162 permission from the copyright holders concerned.
- 1163 The names, images, and logos identifying the Medicines and Healthcare products
- 1164 Regulatory Agency are proprietary marks. All the Agency's logos are registered trademarks
- and cannot be used without the Agency's explicit permission.